

# THE NEW TIMES

*"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"*

Volume 28, No. 6

Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Phone 63-9749

April 6, 1962

## EDITORIAL

### CHANG LAO REVEALS PEKING'S PLANS FOR AUSTRALIA

Chang Lao is the name given to a former top official of the Chinese Communist Government by Dr. W. G. Goddard, Australian expert on the Far East, in a book now about to be published in Australia. Chang Lao held an important position in the Peking Foreign Office before he defected. He subsequently met Dr. Goddard and told him his story. We do not propose here to anticipate the publication of one of the most important books yet to appear in Australia, but current developments to Australia's north, and certain activities within Australia, emphasise the significance of what Chang Lao told Dr. Goddard. And they indicate that the sands of time could be fast running out for Australia as a European nation.

Just prior to defecting from the Peking Foreign Office, Chang Lao had access to the records concerning Communist plans for Australia. He learned about the Indonesian Tan Malakka, the agent of Peking, who was financed to keep alive the Indonesian claim to West New Guinea. Tan Malakka visualised the day when the whole of New Guinea would become a part of a Communist Greater Indonesia. His plan was termed ASLIA and would include all countries from the Philippines to Northern Australia. Chang Lao says that Mao-Tse-tung knows all about Australia. But the Chinese Communists must first gain admittance to the "United" Nations, use this organisation to force Australia to open its north to migrants from Communist China, before any invasion. These migrants would prepare the way.

Against this background of information concerning Peking's plans, it is instructive to look at the growing campaign in Australia against the present immigration policy, generally described by the term "White Australia". While it is true that there are many sincere, but woolly-minded people advocating varying degrees of modification of the present policy, there is no doubt that these people provide the necessary cover for those subversives who know exactly what they are about. If the "United" Nations can be kept together long enough for Communist China to be seated, there is no doubt that the growing agitation in Australia against the present immigration policy will be used in the "United" Nations. Many plausible arguments would be used to force a modification. Australians will be told how un-Christian it was for them to prevent starving Asians from the right to develop the empty North. They would be told what a wonderful gesture this would be towards "the easing of tensions in Asia." Prominent Churchmen opposing the present immigration policy have admitted that ultimately they favour a multi-racial Australian nation. It is not surprising that the Communists are confident that pressure on Australia through the "United" Nations, in conjunction with subversive activities within Australia, can ultimately force Australians to loosen their grip on the basic policy essential for their preservation as a European people.

It is clear, therefore, that the growing controversy within the Australian Labor Party on the "White Australia" issue is of greater significance than most people realise. This controversy is not merely a domestic one; it is directly related to Communist strategy to attempt to use the A.L.P. to further some Communist objectives. Although the "White Australia" policy was raised last year in the A.L.P., the present policy continued to have official endorsement. The Federal Executive gave instructions that A.L.P. members must not be members of the organisation known as the Association for Immigration Reform. But up to date this direction has not been taken seriously. And it is highly significant that the issue is to be brought forward at the June conference of the Victorian A.L.P., when there is to be a challenge to the present Federal A.L.P. policy. It is generally agreed that the Left-wing intellectuals and pro-Communists, quite apart from the secret Communists, are more firmly established in the Victorian A.L.P. than in the A.L.P. in any other State — with the possible exception of W.A.

At least twelve members of the Victorian A.L.P.'s Left-wing intellectuals are members of the Immigration Reform Group. One of these is Dr. J. Cairns, who at the time of writing, has refused to say what his attitude will be towards the directive that A.L.P. members must resign from the Immigration Reform Association or be expelled. Another is Dr. M. H. Cass, Zionist Jew, who stood for the ALP against Mr. Menzies in Kooyong at the last Federal Elections. The growing struggle inside the A.L.P. over the nation's traditional immigration policy is beyond doubt being closely watched in Peking. And there must be considerable satisfaction with what is being accomplished by agents of subversion manipulating large numbers of innocent dupes.

But even more satisfaction must be felt in Peking as Sir William Gunn, chairman of the Australian Wool Bureau, and his colleagues, push ahead with their proposal to develop what Sir William describes as "a tremendous market potential for wool in China." In considering China

Continued on page 3

## NEWS COMMENTARY

### **Centralised Finance Destroys States' Rights:**

Comparatively few Australians have fully realised the extent of the quiet constitutional revolution, which has been taking place in Australia under a Government whose spokesmen have publicly maintained that they will support the Federal Constitution against all attacks. It is therefore worth recording the frank admission by the Minister for Territories, Mr. Hasluck, who said at Canberra last week that Australia "was moving towards financial unification, but many Australians had not yet adjusted themselves to the change." Financial unification makes a complete mockery of the Federal Constitution, making the Federal Government subservient to the growing Federal bureaucracy, the master of the States.

Mr. Hasluck said "The States are dying hard and surrendering stubbornly their financial powers." We wish we could say that we have noted this stubborn opposition to financial centralism. The truth is that the Federal system, with the States secure in self-governing powers, has few outstanding supporters in either the Federal or State Parliaments today. The State politicians generally have become corrupted by a system, which while it makes them increasingly dependent financially upon Canberra, enables them to avoid the acceptance of responsibility to electors.

The silent revolution, which has undermined the constitutional structure of Australia, is but one more example of the deeply seated disease, which has all but destroyed our Civilisation. The disease is a lack of understanding and faith in basic principles, and a will to fight to uphold those principles. Until this disease is eradicated, the drive towards the centralisation of power in all spheres will continue, irrespective of the label of Governments. It is certainly true that the inevitable results of centralisation of power are producing signs of dissatisfaction. But the problem around which the long-term prospects for Civilisation will be resolved, is whether this dissatisfaction can be guided into constructive endeavours based upon an acceptance of fundamental values and principles.

**A Congo Bishop's Revealing Comment:** At a time when some Church Bishops are talking about "racial equality" and ignoring reality, it is interesting to note the views of Congolese Bishop Kimbondo, who has recently asserted that many Christians are relapsing into polygamy and neglecting to train their children in chastity. Some Catholic families still force their girls to submit to female circumcision, a deeply entrenched practice in Africa.

Bishop Kimbondo's information is further confirmation of the truth that the process of civilising is a long and arduous one and that to place the future of Civilisation at the mercy of peoples who are not far removed from barbarism, is a form of treason. Western peoples themselves have demonstrated that it is easy to slip back towards barbarism as witnessed by some of the policies pursued during the last war.

**Mr. Santamaria Misleads on Common Market:** In a recent telecast Mr. B. A. Santamaria, President of the National Civic Council, added his voice in support of the propaganda, which insists that it is "inevitable" that Britain join the European Common Market. "Let us face the facts", says Mr. Santamaria. "Britain has no real alternative but to enter the Common Market." This statement savours of economic determinism, which Mr. Santamaria gives further evidence of accepting with his statement: "that the whole world is being compelled to change." There is no reference to what or who is compelling the change, and whether the change is one, which is helping to further true human purpose. The truth is that there is no real economic necessity whatever for the British people to enter the Common Market. They grow a certain amount of their food, do this as efficiently as any other people in Europe, and can obtain adequate supplies to make up the remainder of their requirements from other parts of the British world. The total economic resources of the British nations are far in excess of those of the member nations of the Common Market. The underlying economic policy of the Common Market is large-scale planning and monopoly.

Mr. Santamaria said that Britain cannot afford the "political . . . isolation which she would face if she stayed out." Just what this jargon really means we do not know. The whole British world should be thankful that Britain has developed her political structure isolated from Europe. The political systems of Europe produced Hitler, Mussolini and the tragedies of France. Britain cannot afford to have her political and constitutional structure submerged in the new totalitarian monster in process of erection in Europe. This issue affects the peoples of the British world everywhere.

**Chinese Warning on Red Bloc Rift:** While the Western world continues to take at its face value the alleged major rift between Peking and Moscow, and naive commentators talk about the possibility of the West being able to back the "moderate" Khrushchev against Stalinist Chinese, the *China Post*, the English-language newspaper published in Formosa, warned the politicians of the West against the danger of attempting to capitalise on "minor differences of approach or views" between the Soviet Union and Communist China. Any such interpretation was "wishful thinking", the paper said.

**Papal Social Encyclicals and Communism:** It is unfortunate that the many Roman Catholics who have accepted uncritically the view that economic aid to the "under-developed" countries will be a substantial contribution in the fight against Communism, have seized on portions of the last Papal Encyclical, *Mater et Magistra*, to justify their attitude. The eminent American Roman Catholic authority on Communism, Professor A. T. Bouscaren, of Le Moyne College, Syracuse, New York, has recently examined this question, pointing out that while there is need for true Christian charity towards poorer nations, as pointed out in *Mater et Magistra*, it is fatal to confuse this with the

primary necessity of defeating Communism.

Professor Bouscaren observes that one of the biggest mistakes made in China was based upon the belief that social reforms could be introduced while ignoring the Communist military challenge. He shows how in country after country where massive economic aid has been given, it has little or no bearing on halting the Communist drive. Professor Bouscaren writes:

"As citizens and as Catholics, we can certainly have serious reservations about aid to Marxist and neutralist leaders in the under-developed world . . . Moreover, the qualifications in *Mater et Magistra* about extending aid (respect for private property, the moral order, and subsidiary) raise serious questions about certain aid programmes (notably to India and Brazil) which tend to strengthen collectivism and violate basic economic principles."

Foreign aid programmes are at present helping International Communism more than hampering it. But the Western world accepts them, not primarily because it is believed they are examples of charity, but because so many have been brainwashed into believing that the internal economies of the West can only function by exporting more out of the country than is brought in. It is this perverted thinking concerning economics and finance which is helping to write finish to Civilisation.

## PEKING'S PLANS FOR AUSTRALIA

Continued from page 1

as a market representatives of the wool industry have obviously been influenced by growing wheat sales to Communist China. When asked last week whether Communist China could afford to pay for big quantities of wool, Sir William Gunn replied, "The record of the People's Republic of China is that it has always paid." The fact that Communist China has had to purchase Australian wheat on credit does not suggest that Communist China can readily purchase large quantities of Australian wool. However, quite apart from the important question of whether Communist China can pay in credits which enable Australia to buy imports in exchange for wheat and wool exports to China, and where these credits have come from, there is the disturbing truth that trade is used by the Communists to advance their strategy of world conquest. If Australia's two biggest rural industries, wheat and wool, become dependent to any great extent upon exports to Communist China, then this situation can be exploited to develop further pressure within Australia to compel the Australian Government to start making concessions to the Communists.

All this, and much more, is well understood in the Peking Foreign Office, where Chang Lao's former colleagues continue their planning for the ultimate conquest of Australia. Australia is fighting for survival, and the enemy is firmly entrenched within. It is the enemy within which Australians must confront and defeat if their children are to enjoy the heritage which rightly belongs to them.

## The Most Fundamental Fact About the Communist Conspiracy

**The following portion of an address by Dr. Revilo P. Oliver, Professor of the Classics, University of Illinois, U.S.A., to the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, provides a most penetrating observation on a basic aspect of the International Communist conspiracy, which is generally overlooked.**

I have spoken on other occasions about the Communists and their methods, and of their appalling success throughout the world and especially in the United States, where they are now actively preparing to take over the entire nation and are, on the most optimistic estimate, more than half of the way to that goal. Let me today try to describe the bacillus and to account, so far as possible, for its virulence. I draw on many sources of information, of course, but I must particularly mention the recent book by Frank Meyer, "The Moulding of Communists."

I suspect that first-rate Communists, like first-rate criminals of other kinds, are born, for the science of genetics gives us no reason to suppose that vicious instincts are not transmitted in the same way as the shape of the lobe of an ear or susceptibility to a disease. But I shall not argue with those who claim that Communists are primarily produced by training, for it is a sad truth that with human character as with life itself, it is easy for man's ingenuity to destroy what he cannot create.

The simplest and most fundamental fact about the International Communist Conspiracy is one of the hardest to grasp. Communists are not human, in the selective and optimistic sense in which we habitually use that word. They neither think nor feel as we do. They do not see the world that we see. To be sure, they dress as we do; they may simulate urbanity and wit; they can misuse our language so adroitly that they seem to mean what they say; but inwardly they are as alien from us as the ghouls of Arabian legend or the Martian monsters imagined by our most extravagant writers of "science fiction."

Communists are not human because they have been subjected to a prolonged and intensive discipline devised to purge them of all the instincts and capacities that we like to regard as characteristic of man — self-respect, independent judgment, conscience, pity, and every form of decency and morality. And the discipline is above all designed to make certain that anyone in whom the human qualities are not totally extinct is eliminated from the Conspiracy.

### RECRUITING AND EXPELLING

The Communist Party in the United States — which, as you know, is merely the visible and least dangerous part of the iceberg — is merely a recruiting agency. It recruits members, yes, but it works much harder to get rid of them. We sometimes permit ourselves to feel encouraged when we hear of a defector from the Party, and we do not realise that one function of the Party is to produce defectors — that is to say, to eliminate from the Party as rapidly as possible unreliable material. The Party regards it as unfortunate of course, that sometimes this cannot be done before the person has reached a position of importance in the organisation, but it is realistic and it knows that the only way you can test materials is by subjecting them to ever-increasing strains.

Take a specific example, a man whom I know and regard with high respect. Readers of Kenneth Goff's "Communism in America" will recall that, next to his wife's conversion to Christianity, he lists, as an immediate cause of his break with the Party, the effect produced on him in 1939 when he was interrupted in the midst of a public tirade against Hitler by a party functionary who brought news of the pact between Hitler and Stalin. Thus the Party not only lost a very efficient and valuable agent but also sustained very considerable damage through the revelations, which he subsequently made before the Dies Committee, and today it is still suffering some damage almost daily, because Mr. Goff is now a very effective speaker against it.

This was a loss, yes, but we must realise that from the standpoint of the Conspiracy it was a loss strictly comparable to the kind of losses that almost every business has to sustain as an inevitable part of the process of making a profit. Here was a man who felt that, on major issues, at least, the truth or falsity of what he was saying in public somehow mattered—a man in whom there remained the human impulse to distinguish between truth and falsity, and who therefore did not really accept the basic Communist premise, which a promising member should

grasp without having to be told, that truth is, at any given moment, the boob-bait that the Conspiracy finds it expedient to put before the suckers at that moment. It is whatever will advance the purposes of the Conspiracy, and of that only the remote, unseen headquarters from which orders come can be the judge. A good Communist must be a perfect tool, a thoroughly reliable weapon, which will shoot at any mark at which it is aimed. A man who retains any vestige of humanity is like a rifle with a flawed barrel—not merely useless, but dangerous—and therefore his elimination from the Conspiracy, at whatever momentary cost, really strengthens the Conspiracy and is therefore a net gain.

If you will review the careers of the very few men and women who have ever defected from the Communist Party after attaining positions of any responsibility in it, you will see that all of them simply flunked their examinations at some critical point. Understand that I mean no disrespect to them personally when I say this, for I know some of them well, consider them friends, and respect them highly. But to state the brutal truth, the Conspiracy was glad to be rid of them before it placed any greater reliance on them, for, as Mr. Meyer puts it, in some corner of their being something human had survived the rigorous training they had undergone—a fatal flaw in the weapon. The damage resulting from the defection was trivial compared to the overall gain—the defection was a business loss incidental to the process of forging absolutely reliable weapons.

Whittaker Chambers came to a breaking point and confessed. But from the standpoint of the Conspiracy that loss was a mere trifle in comparison with the fact that Alger Hiss did not confess—Hiss who had been President of the Carnegie Endowment, one of the founders of the World Health Organization, and had held other high positions in the interlocking directorates of Communist control over this nation; who had been the Eminence Rouge of our State Department, and who had supervised, among other things, the great betrayal at Yalta. Had Hiss confessed, that would have been a real blow to the Conspiracy—one that might have set their schedule back by several years—but presumably he had long before passed all the examinations. It is to create such men that the Conspiracy works, and such men is all that it really wants.

### COMMUNIST DISCIPLINE

Communist discipline is thorough—thorough in ways that seem to us incredible until we understand that its purpose is to extirpate all vestiges of humanity—every human sentiment from self-respect to pity, from intellectual integrity to friendship. As one item in this procedure, the Party deliberately scourges promising members with utterly unreasonable criticism. Let me cite one of the examples given by Mr. Meyer.

A young American woman, who was a university teacher and a practising psychologist, was a member of one of the local Communist cells, and had charge of keeping certain records. In this essentially clerical job she had no subordinate—no one to assist her or to whom she could delegate the work. Remember that point, for it is important.

The Party sent this young woman, who was of a good American family and presumably above suspicion, on a very difficult and hazardous mission to Spain, which she accomplished brilliantly and at the risk of her life. On her return, she undoubtedly expected some word of commendation, if not a full meed of praise, from her superiors in the Party. Instead, she was severely censured because the records in her office had not been kept up-to-date while she was in Spain! and she was forced to appear before a meeting of the cell and make an utterly absurd and irrational confession of error that she had been negligent in not being in two places at the same time. This was part of the training. Persons who cannot endure such outrageous treatment leave the Party. That is what the Party wants.

The operations on the mind, which Mr. Meyer describes in detail but which are too complex to be analyzed at this time, are even more drastic. One effect is to induce a kind of monomania in which the Communist sees every thing that he does, thinks, indeed, his every thought, in just one set of terms and uses only one criterion: what serves the Communist Conspiracy. To every question—and we mean literally every question—there is at any moment one correct answer. What is the speed of light?

What is the area of North Carolina? Should you divorce your wife? Is "Hamlet" a great play? To every question, the correct answer is the one that will at the moment advance the Communist Conspiracy. If you even imagine that there can be any other answer, you are a flawed tool and must be discarded.

The Party deliberately encourages internal struggles between members and factions and, when these do not suffice, it contrives artificial crises over trivial or absurdly theoretical issues, which, however, seem terribly real to the emotionally involved participants. Sometimes it deliberately tries and expels a member on patently false charges—just to make sure that no one who remains in the Party is so weak as to hesitate to betray his friend or to become the instrument of the most flagrant injustice. That is part of the training.

The training works; it produces in the end absolute and utterly inhuman obedience to orders, whatever they are. And, incidentally, there are no outward indications of rank in the Conspiracy. That, for example, accounts for the fact that members of the Conspiracy who hold high positions in our government or society may be mere menials within the Conspiracy itself. As Mr. Meyer says, "A dramatic spy or policy-subverter in a high government post, a world renowned writer or speaker, or a Communist trade union leader with great public prestige and power, may be little more than an office boy to obscure and unknown men with no formal position in or out of the Communist Party." If it is any consolation to you, you may be sure that some of the most arrogant figures in our public life meekly submit in private to tongue-lashings from their Communist superior such as Uncle Tom would never willingly have endured—not even from his Ol' Massa.

### NO DEFECTION FROM REAL CONSPIRACY

But the training works. There have been defections from fairly responsible positions in the Party, yes, but it should be noted that they have been defections from the official Communist Party, which, after all, is merely the training school. There has never been a defection of a Communist whom the Conspiracy placed in a really responsible position in American society: no editor of a newspaper, no member of Congress, no official of the State Department, no officer in the Defence Department, no judge of a court—and we know that the Conspiracy has placed its agents in these and similar positions—has ever confessed. Before the Conspiracy places men in such positions, it makes sure that they have passed all the examinations—that they are no longer human in any way.

And these are the real strength of the Conspiracy, which, as everyone knows, plans to take over the United States, not by war from without or violent revolution from within, but by simply taking over the government of the nation by formally legal means, that is to say by covert manipulation of the masses. As Mr. Meyer says, "The total loyalty and dependability of the (Communist) cadre has made it possible to manoeuvre with consummate ease. Kaleidoscopic activities on a hundred fronts—many of them seemingly unrelated, some of them even in apparent opposition to one another—are utilised by a leadership conscious of its aims . . . to achieve strategical and tactical ends." That leadership in its remote and mysterious headquarters gives the orders and it alone may know the real significance of a given operation. The really trained Communists—those who hold high positions in our society—carry out those orders with implicit obedience and accuracy.

That is the secret of the Conspiracy's hideous strength and of the appalling success with which it has moved steadily forward towards its goal, the destruction and annexation of the United States by internal subversion. And we shall never be able to combat it until we understand that we are literally dying of a disease caused by a specific germ or bacillus, the secret Communists that have found lodgment and multiply in all the veins and arteries of our national life. And, above all, we cannot afford to indulge in any squeamish sentimentality about the poor little germs: they are not, in any significant sense of the word, human.

*The New Times* is published by New Times Ltd., Third Floor, Pressgrave Building, 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne. Subscription: £2 per annum, post-free.