

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Volume 28, No. 7

Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Phone 63-9749

April 20, 1962

EDITORIAL

AUSTRALIA'S FIGHT FOR LIFE

Addressing the recent annual conference of the Associated Chambers of Commerce in Hobart, Sir John Crawford, Director of the Research School of Pacific Studies at the Australian National University, said he "was shocked by the way Australia was glossing over the effects of Britain's entry into the Common Market." While appreciating Sir John's attempt to warn Australian business leaders of the economic consequences of Britain joining the European Common Market, we point out that there has been an even more dangerous glossing over of much more basic aspects of this issue. However, at last these aspects are being forced into the open, and while Australia and other parts of the British world have now entered the final battle of a fight to survive, we believe that the prospects of winning the fight are now better than they were six months ago.

Many legitimate criticisms can be made against Mr. John McEwen's campaign in the U.S.A. and Europe, but he has rendered the British peoples everywhere a real service by his forthright attack upon the American policy-makers. He charged these policy-makers with having a "phobia" against the system of trade preferences under which Australian and other Commonwealth countries' goods enter Britain. He also helped bring into the open the fact that the policy-makers in the U.S.A. have forced Britain to apply to join the European Common Market, and are actively campaigning in Europe to ensure that no trade concessions are made to the British Commonwealth in exchange for Britain's acceptance of the Treaty of Rome.

"AMERICAN INFLUENCE"

The real policy-makers in the U.S.A. are the International Money Power and their strange allies, Left-wing intellectuals like Adlai Stevenson, who has recently said that the U.S.A. must also link with the European Common Market. Mr. McEwen said in Brussels that "I am sure that American influence, whether active or passive, will need not be thrown against us, if we are to have reasonable hope of success in defending our essential trade." But the policy-makers, who are as much opposed to the true interests of the American people, as they are opposed to the British peoples, are not going to relent in the campaign they have waged for so long to end the independence of the British world. The American people and their economic system are being used as pawns in a campaign, which has as its ultimate objective the concentration of all power on a world scale. Economic and political centralism are proceeding hand in hand, and even those who previously thought that the European Common Market was merely the creation of a free trade area now have no excuse for failing to realise the political and constitutional implications of what is involved if Britain accepts the Treaty of Rome. The Commonwealth Relations Minister, Mr. Duncan Sandys, speaking for the benefit of those in Europe who feared that his Government did not accept the political implications of the Treaty of Rome, has said.

"Britain recognises clearly that the Treaty of Rome is not simply an economic agreement but that it also has an important political content."

COMMONWEALTH OR COMMUNIST TRADE?

If in spite of mounting opposition the British Government does decide to join the Common Market, there is no doubt that Australia and New Zealand will immediately become the two most vulnerable European nations in the world. Mr. McEwen has warned that if Australia—and the same applies to New Zealand—loses her present market for butter, there are no other markets available. The Australian and New Zealand dairying industries would be devastated. And, as Mr. McEwen also warned, if Australia loses her present markets for other primary production, she will be compelled to seek increased trade behind the Iron Curtain with Communist countries. The gearing of the Australian economy to increasing exports to Communist nations would merely hasten the end of Australia and New Zealand as independent European nations. Australians and New Zealanders are at present literally fighting for life, and they must exert every possible pressure to ensure that the last battle to preserve the independence of the British world is not lost.

We hasten to point out that we are well aware, as we have never stopped pointing out in these columns, that Australia would not be so vulnerable at the present time if unrealistic internal financial and economic policies had not forced so much emphasis upon exporting, but at this stage of the developing world crisis we cannot reasonably bring a big section of the dairying, dried fruits and sugar industry to a dead halt. There are psychological as well as physical problems. The wool appraisal scheme during the war demonstrated what can be done if Australia was forced to the stage where she had to adopt a modified internal financial policy to cushion sections of the primary industries rather than gear them up to help the Communist enemy, and we will deal with this situation

Continued on page 4

NEWS COMMENTARY

Secretary of State's Frightening Comment: The Secretary of the American State Department holds one of the most important official posts in the non-Communist world. His views are therefore of the greatest importance in assessing just how much real understanding there is of the Communist conspiracy amongst leading Western officials who advise politicians. Mr. Dean Rusk, American Secretary of State, recently gave evidence before a House subcommittee, which is studying trade with Communist countries. And his comments provide further frightening evidence of why the West continues to be defeated by the Communist conspiracy.

Explaining why the Kennedy Administration continued making dollar loans available to Communist Tito while cutting off nearly all American trade with Communist Castro, Mr. Rusk said that Cuba is part of the world Communist conspiracy, but Yugoslavia "has shown the world that escape from the Soviet system is possible." Mr. Rusk's knowledge of history is very weak, because so far from "escaping" from the world Communist conspiracy, Tito was allegedly expelled by Stalin while protesting all the time that he was a loyal and dedicated Marxist. And whatever the truth about Tito's relationship with Moscow, the record is quite clear: Tito has sided with Moscow on every major issue and has provided valuable assistance in other countries where the Communists are working to take control.

The late Mr. Foster Dulles supported dollar loans to Tito on the ground that these loans were helpful to the American economy. But as far as we know there is no record of Mr. Dulles going as far as Mr. Dean Rusk, who said in answer to a question concerning Tito's likely policy in the event of a showdown between East and West, that "I would suppose that under those circumstances the Yugoslavs would make a strong effort to keep out." Communist Tito has expressed himself rather differently, but perhaps Mr. Rusk's advisers in the State Department have not informed him on this point. It was State Department officials who only a few short years ago were expressing even greater confidence in Castro than they are now expressing in Tito.

Those who accept the village idiot theory of history believe that the continuing Communist advance is the result of "bad luck," or because Western politicians have made another "unfortunate mistake." Realists see the story has one of consistent treachery in high places.

Financing Indian Socialism: The indiscriminate foreign aid programme is paving the way for ultimate Communist control of India. Although evidence has been provided over the years by those critics who claimed that foreign aid to India was merely fostering State slavery, a former adviser to the Nehru Government, Indian economist Professor B. R. Shenoy, has provided the most telling comment. Professor Shenoy has said that the American foreign aid programme is playing into the Communists' hands by channelling resources into projects, which stifle economic and social progress.

"Our Socialist policies are being jacked up by the savings from free societies overseas," says Professor Shenoy. In a series of articles in sections of the Indian press last year he analysed the effect of foreign aid on the Indian economy. The Indian economist claims that the massive foreign aid given since 1945 has failed to help cure the nation's mass poverty. In the Five Year Plans, too much emphasis has been given to heavy industry instead of agriculture. Professor Shenoy says, "Foreign aid has not added to the national product. In the absence of sound domestic economic policies to extend foreign aid is to pour it down a rat hole."

Unfortunately the Western economies have become increasingly geared to foreign aid, irrespective of whether it benefits individuals or not. Or whether it is helping to prepare the ground for the advance of Communism. If Western economies can only function by exporting economic aid to Socialist and Communist Governments, then it is time for a realistic examination of these economies with a view to making some necessary modifications.

The World Bank and World Revolution: Students of revolution who refuse to accept the superficial and "official" view of modern revolutionary movements, and who make it their business to understand the vital role of financial policy, have invariably been struck by the close relationship between the Money Power and subversion. Under the guise of defeating Communism, the International Money Power has been rapidly extending its control throughout the world.

One of the principal instruments of the Money Power, the World Bank, has openly played a decisive role in a number of developments in recent years. It is not surprising therefore, to read in the Foreign Trade News Bulletin of the Canadian Bank of Commerce "Considerable investment activity (in Africa) is taking place under the guidance of the World Bank. A survey is under way in Kenya, and the World Bank has granted a loan of 8.4 million dollars to help finance the development of farmlands in the White Highlands which are to be re-settled by Africans."

It would be interesting to know whether this dollar loan, which is to help finance the Africans to take possession of country, which the Europeans have pioneered, was by arrangement with Jomo Kenyatta. The Africans are going to find that rule by the International Money Power, whose technique is to involve all people deeper and deeper in debt, is very different from that of the European colonial powers.

Averell Harriman's Treacherous Policies in South-East Asia: Mr. Averell Harriman, Assistant American Secretary of State, appears to be the chief adviser to Washington on South-East Asia, and the type of advice he is giving could have a bearing on Australia's future. It is essential to remember that Harriman has long been regarded as a tool of the International Money Power, and that he played a decisive role in the series of betrayals to International Communism during and after the last war.

At a time when the Communist pressure in South-East Asia is growing daily, Mr. Harriman is advocating the creation of a neutral Laos, even though American military advisers point out that Harriman's policy is impossible. "Peaceful co-existence" in Laos is what the Communists are working towards. This will in fact mean a Communist take-over. This would leave both Vietnam and Thailand more vulnerable to Communist aggression.

If the Harriman policy for Laos is adopted, this will make nonsense of the recent strong American action in Vietnam and the guarantee to Thailand.

Former American General Attacks "No Win" Policy: Former American Army General Edwin Walker, a military leader with a most distinguished record, believed that the troops under his command in Germany should understand the nature of the Communist enemy. He therefore had them instructed not on the superficial aspects of Communism but on the deeper implications. The result of this patriotic endeavour was the sudden dismissal of General Walker from his command.

General Walker demonstrated his integrity when, rather than continue being muzzled, he retired from the Army, thus losing all retiring allowances, in order that he could speak freely to his fellow-Americans. Giving evidence before a Senate sub-committee investigating alleged military "muzzling," General Walker said that his removal was by a Government "apparatus" aimed at dismissing militant anti-Communist leaders of the armed forces. General Walker mentioned the fact that former President Eisenhower had the assistance of a ghostwriter for his book, *Crusade In Europe*, and that he "could also be checked." This ghostwriter was a Communist.

General Walker said, "He was a scapegoat of an unwritten policy of collaboration and collusion with the international Communist conspiracy." The American armed forces had been paralysed by the national policy of "no win."

It is the policy of "no win" which is paralysing the whole of the non-Communist world. And the "no win" attitude is carefully fostered by every possible method. The non-Communist world will never develop the will-to-win until it re-finds its soul and demonstrates a faith similar to that which produced Western Christendom.

Anglican Bishop Protests Against Defeatist West New Guinea Policy: Only when the Federal Opposition charged—falsely as pointed out by Mr. Holt—the Government with having exerted influence on the Australian Broadcasting Commission to prevent the repetition of a news item, did the majority of Australians learn that the Anglican Bishop of New Guinea, Bishop Philip Strong, had said at Newcastle that he was distressed by the weakening policy of the Australian Government on the West New Guinea issue. The Bishop said that, although the policy of self-determination did not have the support of other nations, "Australia should make a very strong protest against aggression in West New Guinea."

Apart from the A.B.C. report, very little other publicity was given to Bishop Strong's remarks. In spite of all the

humbug about "negotiations," the truth is that the policy-makers in the U.S.A. have made it clear to the Dutch that they have got to get out of West New Guinea in favour of Dr. Soekarno. The "negotiations" are only concerned with devising ways and means of transferring control from the Dutch to the Indonesians.

Not only is the Dutch Government subject to international pressures, business groups in Holland favour a settlement in the hope that they can re-open commercial contacts with Indonesia. If the "United" Nations take over from the Dutch for a short period prior to the Indonesians being given control, this will be but one more example of this organisation being used to endorse aggression.

General de Gaulle and the Rothschilds: Many competent observers of the French scene have maintained ever since General de Gaulle used his fellow-generals to bring him to power and then betrayed them, that he was representing the Rothschilds. General de Gaulle's appointment of the managing director of Rothschilds Bank, M. Georges Pompidou, as French Prime Minister, would appear to provide confirmation of this view.

Press reports state that Pompidou first initiated the first secret contracts between the French Government and the Algerian Moslem insurgent leaders. If the object of the change of Prime Ministers is, as claimed, to pave the way for a social programme with strong Left-wing appeal, this is further evidence of the close connection between Big Finance and Socialism.

Have YOU Acted Yet on Common Market Issue?

Two leading British newspapers, *The Times* and *The Scotsman*, recently published a critical letter on the Common Market from one of our readers. We believe that this letter, typical of many previous letters sent to Britain, has helped stimulate the growing public opposition in Britain to the proposal of the Macmillan Government to join the European Common Market. It is now openly admitted that a free vote in the House of Commons would result in a majority vote against the proposal. What is urgently needed is an increasing flow of letters from Australia and other British countries to Britain as suggested in the League of Rights' brochure on the real issues of the Common Market. Mere *views* on any issue are useless. These views must be translated into appropriate *action*. We wonder how many of our readers have acted along the lines suggested by the League of Rights brochure. Salvation must start with *individual* action. A person not willing to write four or five letters on an issue can make little contribution to the great drama now nearing its climax.

Adequate supplies of the League of Rights brochure are still available for distribution. Why not write to the League TODAY? The address is Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne. There is no charge for brochures, however many requested. But small donations to cover at least the cost of postage are welcomed.

AUSTRALIA'S FIGHT FOR LIFE

Continued from page 1

if it should arise. But at the moment, Australians must regard their trading arrangements with Britain and other Commonwealth countries as an essential feature of the defence of the whole British Commonwealth against its external enemies, and act accordingly.

MACMILLAN RETREATS AS FINAL BATTLE STARTS

As we have previously reported, there is no doubt that the Macmillan Government is starting to appreciate the extent of the opposition to their proposed betrayal. It can no longer be denied that opposition is growing rapidly in Britain, both Government and Opposition supporters expressing concern. Mr. Macmillan's political stocks are sagging, as witnessed by the fact that, although he broke with tradition by personally entering the latest by-election, the big electoral swing against his Government continued. When Mr. Macmillan first announced that his Government would attempt to enter the European Common Market, the impression was created that the Government would make up its mind about joining and consult the Commonwealth Prime Ministers later. It appears that there has now been a retreat from this position. There will be a Prime Ministers' Conference early in September, after which, states Mr. Macmillan, "it will be our duty to put our proposals before the House of Commons." It may be of course, that Mr. Macmillan will attempt to present the Prime Ministers with a *fait accompli*.

Between now and September the International Money Power, aided by its allies and stooges, will intensify every effort to force the British Government to betray the British heritage. Those starry-eyed innocents who think that the International Money Power has no connection with this battle for the future of the British world, should be asked to explain why, after Mr. Harold Macmillan and his associates had consistently opposed Britain's entry into the European Common Market, they suddenly in April, 1961, took a dramatic somersault. Was it only coincidence that this somersault followed the British Government's application to the International Monetary Fund for a loan of £716 million? More and more people are having their eyes opened to the realities behind the Common Market proposals, and we enter the final months of the battle with what must now be considered as reasonable prospects of success. Every Australian who realises what is involved owes it to himself and to his children to make every effort to ensure that British Australia does not die in the final battle now being fought.

APOSTOLIC DELEGATE SUPPORTS "WHITE AUSTRALIA" POLICY

Opponents of Australia's immigration policy, which ensures that Australia remains a European nation, and which prevents racial friction to be exploited by the Communists and other subversives, falsely charge supporters of this policy with being "racialists" and anti-Christian.

It is significant that Churchmen, who express caution against altering Australia's immigration policy, receive very little publicity when they give their views. A classic example of this suppression was provided late in 1961 when the Church of Rome's Apostolic Delegate to Australia, New Zealand and Oceania, the Most Rev. Maximilian de Fustenberg, D.D., said it was "wise" for Australia to retain its present immigration policy. His Excellency was addressing a laymen's dinner in Ballarat, Victoria, on November 20th. The February, 1962, issue of *Light*, Ballarat Diocesan journal, reported the Apostolic Delegate's views on Australia's immigration policy as follows:

Australia, he said, seemed to have a truly great destiny as a leader in the Asian nations. He had been in Japan for some ten and a half years and he knew that that nation, like nearly all the Asian countries, was looking to Australia for help, inspiration and leadership.

If he could speak on the delicate question of the White Australia Policy, His Excellency observed, he would say that Australians were wise to retain it. He knew from observation that it was not regarded in Asian countries as a "colour bar" nor did it give offence. On the contrary, Australia was often cited as an example of tolerance and sympathetic understanding. It had won many Asian friendships by its sponsoring of numerous students at Australian schools and universities. This was a policy to be fostered and developed; but he felt that abandoning at this time the traditional White Australia policy would bring many troubles inside and outside the country.

Apart from an obscure reference to His Excellency's remarks in *The Age*, Melbourne, there was no other reference outside Ballarat, in either Church or the secular press, to this defence of Australia's immigration policy.

STUDY CLASS STARTS ON MAY 24

The 1962 Social Credit Training Course will start on Thursday, May 24, at the offices of *The New Times*. We are sure that many of our increasing number of new supporters will avail themselves of this opportunity to equip themselves so that they may become effective in applying Social Credit tactics to meet the current situation.

Students will have the benefit of a competent panel of lecturers, and will find the course of absorbing interest. Those intending to do the course should let our office know at their earliest convenience.

The New Times is published by New Times Ltd., Third Floor, Pressgrave Building, 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne. Subscription: £2 per annum, post free.