

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Volume 28, No. 19

Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Phone 63-9749

October 19, 1962

EDITORIAL

THE BATTLE FOR AUSTRALIA'S FUTURE

Those naive or wishful people who attempt to console themselves concerning Indonesia and West New Guinea by pointing to soothing Indonesian statements, might care to explain why, if Dr. Soekarno and his Communist associates have no further expansionist ideas, they are quickly adding to their build up of armed forces. It is claimed that these forces and the modern military equipment are necessary only for defensive purposes. But who does Dr. Soekarno think is likely to attack Indonesia?

Quite obviously he cannot believe that the Communists are going to attack, otherwise they would not be so keen to supply Indonesia with an increasing flow of modern military assistance. And he can have no fear that the primitive people of West New Guinea are likely to threaten him with a revolt. The truth is that the build up in Indonesian military strength is a part of the general strategy of Communist conquest of the whole of Asia, of which Australia is regarded as a part. Much of the Communist equipment requires that Russian and other Communist technicians be sent with it.

Communist strategy looks beyond West New Guinea to the trouble that they must confidently anticipate in Australia's part of New Guinea. The Communist campaign against Australia has been stepped up in the "United" Nations, where it has received a big impetus from the fantastic recommendation by the Foot Commission that steps be instituted by Australia to give the New Guinea natives self-government practically immediately. Irrespective of whether Australia attempts to implement the policy of votes for all New Guinea natives or resists it there will be problems which the Communists feel they can exploit.

Spokesmen for the Australian Government have bravely stated that they will defend any aggression against Australian New Guinea. We do not doubt the sincerity of these spokesmen. But will Australia be in the position to meet a challenge? *National Review*, the usually-reliable American conservative journal, has claimed that the Kennedy Administration made it painfully clear to the present Australian Government that if they encouraged the Dutch to resist militarily the Indonesians, and that if this military resistance led to the Chinese Communists entering the struggle on behalf of Indonesia, the American Administration would not guarantee any support if Australia became involved in any way. *National Review* also claims that the Australian Government was gently reminded that it has been relying upon American support for the large sums it has been borrowing from the World Bank for the Snowy Mountains project.

The Kennedy Administration may be prepared to send 15,000 battle-equipped American troops to Mississippi to force one American Negro into a University, but it is not likely to be very concerned about Australian interests in

New Guinea. It will not risk a showdown on the Communist build-up in Cuba. So far from being really concerned about aggression, the Kennedy Administration has both endorsed and helped to finance it. Spokesmen for this American Government first deplored the suggestion of any aggression concerning the West New Guinea issue. Not only did Dr. Soekarno engage in aggression; it is now revealed that he had a large military force on the way to West New Guinea at the very moment negotiations were supposed to be going on. And what was the Kennedy Government's reaction to all this? It produced a Mr. Bunker, who produced a plan. The plan was simple. The Dutch get out of West New Guinea and allow the Indonesians to come in. This arrangement was blessed by the "United" Nations. How can it be logically suggested that President Kennedy is going to be any more concerned about the Australians than he is about the Dutch? If it is argued that they have an agreement with Australia, it might be remembered that America is a NATO ally of the Dutch in Europe. But this did not prevent her from supporting Indonesian aggression.

It is also appropriate to remember that America is supposed to be the ally of Belgium and Portugal. But President Jack Kennedy's Administration helped push the Belgians out of the Congo and then, when President Tshombe of Katanga refused to sink into chaos with the rest of the Congo, supported military aggression against him through the "United" Nations. Communist strategy for the conquest of Africa is based upon getting control of a united Congo including Katanga and its tremendous mineral wealth.

In order to assist them in their strategy for the Congo, the Communists and their dupes set in motion the terrible uprising in Portuguese Angola. And when the test came in the "United" Nations, the Kennedy Administration was right to the front solidly voting with the Communists against Portugal. The apologists will attempt to justify the American betrayal of their Dutch, Belgium and Portuguese NATO allies by claiming that America could not afford to go against the Afro-Asian bloc in the "United" Nations.

Continued on page 4

NEWS COMMENTARY

Bureaucracy Marches On: Six years ago the present Federal Government made much play on its alleged determination to halt the rising tide of bureaucracy. Brave words were spoken, and the public was assured that effective action was being taken. Those who care to consult our files will find that we were not impressed. In fact we predicted that any slowing down in the growth of bureaucracy would only be temporary; that a continuation of a policy of financial and economic centralism would inevitably produce still more bureaucracy.

Recently published figures concerning the growth of bureaucracy have unfortunately confirmed our predictions. The Victorian Employers' Federation has joined in the new outcry, pointing out that while in Victoria the number of people employed in private enterprise dropped from 676,000 to 671,000 from June 1960, to June, 1962, the number of public servants had increased from 215,000 to 225,000.

While it is certainly true that the Federal Government's restrictive financial policies have been partly responsible for the decline in the number employed by private enterprise, it must also be pointed out that private enterprise is always striving to increase production while reducing labour costs. More and more mechanisation is being introduced. Under a policy of "full employment", every improvement in efficiency in private enterprise gives added impetus to the expansion of Governmental activities to help ensure that incomes are distributed to those not required in private enterprise. Once again we repeat: the increasing rate of bureaucracy cannot be halted while it is insisted that the role of the Government is to provide "full employment".

The real answer to bureaucracy is the curtailment of the role of Government to that of maintaining rules within which the individual can gain the full benefit of his economy. The full benefit would include a fall in the price level reflecting gains in true efficiency in production, an earlier retiring age for those desiring it, and a more liberal policy of pensions of all kinds.

Confused Thinking on Common Market: Mr. W. C. Wentworth. M.H.R. recently made an attack at Canberra upon the growth and menace of bureaucracy. But the same Mr. Wentworth, who often says sensible things concerning International Communism, has now joined those who support the European Common Market. He is therefore supporting the creation of a super-bureaucracy.

Sir Wilfrid Kent Hughes is another confused politician. Sir Wilfrid has on occasions attacked the growth of centralisation and bureaucracy in Australia, deploring the loss of sovereignty by the States. But he also supports the Common Market, which would destroy the sovereignty of the British people and perhaps seal the fate of the British peoples everywhere.

International Communism is not going to be defeated by destroying successful organic political structures through a process of centralising power. Those attracted to the superficial argument that genuine unity and strength come

from centralisation, have lost faith in the principles necessary for survival.

Mr. Harold Macmillan's Double-Speak: The present British Prime Minister, Mr. Harold Macmillan, has never been a strong supporter of the British Commonwealth. He did not impress when he visited Australia in 1958. He acted and spoke as if he had just discovered Australia. Mr. Macmillan's claims to be a Commonwealth man are as phoney as are his claims to be a Conservative. A close study of his history and statements reveal him to be a man prepared to shift with the new "winds of change." He has continued to shift his ground on the Common Market issue, his latest contributions being fundamentally opposed to his earlier claims.

Mr. Macmillan clearly reveals his defeatism when he claims that if Britain refuses to join the European Economic Community, neither the U.S.A. nor the Community would bother to consult British opinion. The policy makers in the U.S.A. are not very concerned about British opinion at the moment. The truth is that British opinion will never be consulted or respected until the British Commonwealth recovers its old unity of purpose and becomes what it could be: a decisive, independent influence in a world being progressively driven towards totalitarianism.

Mr. Macmillan states that by accepting the Treaty of Rome, "Britain would have a strong voice in deciding the nature and timing of political unity." Mr. Macmillan has either not read the Treaty, or he is once again being deliberately dishonest. Our view is that a study of his record leaves no doubt that he is one of the greatest living exponents of the art of double speaking. He must be destroyed politically before there is any hope of a British revival.

The Treacherous Role of the "B"BC.: In a report from London, published in *The Bulletin* of October 6, Mr. Alan Reid provides further evidence of the unscrupulous methods being used in Britain to brainwash the British people on the Common Market issue. The "British" Broadcasting Commission is making its contribution. Mr. Reid reports that after listening to an hour-long session in which there were blatant errors of fact concerning Australia's trade relationship with Britain, he pointed these errors out to the producer. The producer made an "indirect apology" by pointing out that the "B"BC had been misled on other aspects of the issue by the British Foreign Office. He also made the significant admission that people in Commonwealth countries were better informed on Common Market issues than were the people of Britain.

So far from Harold Macmillan and his supporters being genuinely concerned about the Commonwealth, they are using every possible weapon to damn the nations of the Commonwealth, even suggesting that Commonwealth countries have let British industries down. In spite of financial policies, which inevitably produce friction, Britain has over the past ten years had a successful trade relation-

ship with Australia. The fact that the Common Marketeers are prepared to jeopardise that relationship, is further evidence that they are traitors who do not care two hoots about either the British people or the British Commonwealth.

Ominous Developments in Western Europe: We have often stressed the fact that politics are dominated by economics just so long as the philosophy of economic determinism is accepted. If it is "inevitable" - i.e. a natural law - that nations can only make their internal economies work by exporting more than they import, thus obtaining "a favourable balance of trade", then it is useless to bewail the fact that the Communists take advantage of this and use trade as an essential part of their warfare.

Belgium's representative at the "United" Nations. Mr. Spaak, a revolutionary who was disloyal to his King, and who is a leading supporter of the European Economic Community, recently made a most significant statement, which passed without any comment. Mr. Spaak said amongst other things concerning the virtues of the European Economic Community, that Community countries were increasing their exports to Communist countries. Many naive people think that the Community is a bulwark against Communism. But if the economy of the Community is going to become increasingly dependent upon exports to the Communist bloc, then political policies must be governed by this situation.

Already well-informed observers know that there have recently been radical changes in West German foreign policies towards the Communist bloc. The groundwork has been laid for big trade deals and future diplomatic relations. Dr. Adenauer's party expert on foreign affairs, Herr Ernst Majonica, has recently stated: "We want to point out that the Common Market must not destroy trade with the Eastern countries. On the contrary, it can inspire increased trade." Certain anti-British elements in Australia never lose an opportunity of claiming that the British are unreliable allies in the struggle against Communism, because Britain has sought to increase exports to the Communist bloc. But the same elements have supported the Common Market, particularly lauding West Germany.

The monopolists of Germany have re-established themselves firmly since the end of the war. And they are increasing their exports to the Communist bloc. Last year exports reached £253,500,000, which is two and a half times more than Britain's. It is not surprising, therefore, to learn that there are signs of a major shift in West Germany's foreign policy concerning the Communist bloc. These facts explode the story that the Common Market is primarily a barrier against Communism.

The British Commonwealth could recover its lost independence and increase its strength, both economically and politically, by concentrating upon developing its own internal trade instead of competing to send exports to the Communist bloc.

THE NEW TIMES

STATE CONTROL ADVOCATED BY CHURCHMEN

During the recent issue of "State aid" for church schools, the Rev. Alan Walker is reported as stating "Under no circumstances must there be any breaking down of the principle of the separation of Church and State". Mr. Walker also said that the State Government should bring all church-run primary schools under the control of the State School system.

Such statements from a Protestant Minister are incomprehensible.

The results of education divorced from religion are disastrous, resulting in educated technicians, capable only of recognising materialistic values.

It is due to the undermining of Christian influence on education that is making the end of Western Civilisation inevitable.

RED TIDE TURNED?

We note with interest the statement made by Mr. Khrushchev in Rumania on June 18, 1962: "The Red flag will fly over the United States - - not because the United States will be invaded by the Soviet Union, but because of the internal uprising of Communists inside the United States."

TOTALITARIAN PRESS

We read that the Israeli Government has passed a law, which completely gags the press. It authorises the government to close down any publication found guilty of publishing two libelous statements in the course of two years. Any person, citizen or non-citizen, could be imprisoned in publishing a statement displeasing to the Zionist regime.

THINKING ABOUT THAT CHRISTMAS GIFT?

Yes, Christmas will soon be here again. When considering gifts for this year, why not give a gift of which the recipient will be reminded the whole year? We urge readers this year to take full advantage of our Christmas gift scheme for *The New Times*. We make the special offer of a full year's subscription to *The New Times* for half-rate, £1. Or you may prefer to double the number of gift subscriptions by making them for six months only, at the rate of 10/- per subscription.

As usual, we will be producing a special Christmas issue of *The New Times*, and the gift subscription will start with this number. Those intending to take advantage of this scheme are urged to contact us as early as possible in order that we can make the necessary organisational arrangements. Readers may tell their friends that they have sent the subscription as a Christmas Gift, or we can do it for them.

PAGE 3

THE BATTLE FOR AUSTRALIA'S FUTURE

Continued from page 1

What, then, if the situation develops in Australian New Guinea where the Communists and the Afro-Asian bloc call for intervention? And the answer is obvious to all those who cannot or will not see that the Dollar Diplomats are not going to do anything to halt the mounting world-wide revolution. The forces of International Finance have pressed forward relentlessly all round the world, feeding the flames of a revolution, which has through the West New Guinea issue been brought closer to Australia.

The battle for Australia's future has, of course, been raging for many years. But it is now rapidly approaching a stage, which could be decisive. The first essential is a realistic financial and economic policy enabling a much more realistic defence programme. Australia should emulate the spirit of the Portuguese who in spite of being a comparatively poor people, have refused to bow to a spurious "world opinion". If Australia allows herself to be driven from New Guinea, then this will but encourage the revolutionaries to push on to Australia itself. They have many subversive agents and numerous starry-eyed idealists who would readily agree to allow the enemy to flood Northern Australia for a start, with agents under the guise of helping the "surplus" Asian population. A national resurgence based on fundamental traditional British-Australian principles is one of the first essentials for survival. But in the last analysis Australia cannot survive alone unless she is assisted. American policy has proved unreliable, and therefore every effort should be made to defeat Harold Macmillan's Common Market treachery and to revive a British Commonwealth, which can still play a decisive role in the struggle for Civilization against Revolution.

WARNING ON ASSIMILATION

The Melbourne *Herald* on 24/9/62 reports Dr. Frieda Schaechter, medical officer at the Receiving House, Royal Park, as warning against forcing assimilation. Dr. Schaechter studied a number of migrants with paranoid reactions related to the difficulties of assimilation, which were overwhelming.

Forcing assimilation and compulsory integration are widespread policies, which create enormous problems and are in fact policies of levelling down. The one-world promoters ignore completely the natural tendency of human beings to segregate themselves, a tendency which if frustrated strikes at the very heart of the idea of the uniqueness of the individual.

LEAGUE DIRECTOR IN QUEENSLAND

After reporting to a Sydney group of League supporters on Sunday, October 1, Mr. Eric Butler opened his 1962 Queensland tour with a meeting on the Darling Downs at Warwick on Monday, October 2. Both the Warwick and Toowoomba daily papers contained an excellent report of Mr. Butler's remarks, particularly featuring his reference to the disastrous American policy concerning the West New Guinea issue. The regional ABC station interviewed Mr. Butler and also gave an excellent summary of his views.

On Tuesday, October 3, Mr. Butler spoke in Tara, on Wednesday in Dalby, and on Thursday in Toowoomba. He also spoke to one Ministers' Fraternal. League of Rights Regional Councillor Mr. O. C. Carter, organised the most successful Downs tour. Many valuable new contacts were made, and the League's work in this area both expanded and consolidated.

The Brisbane paper *Sunday Truth*, which made a vicious smear attack upon Mr. D. J. Killen, M.P., and Mr. Butler before their mission to Britain, launched a further attack upon Mr. Butler in its issue of October 7. It had sought desperately around Brisbane to discover someone who could tell of Mr. Butler's movements, and eventually sent a reporter and a photographer to the Toowoomba meeting. The reporter was rather puzzled about his assignment. He was rather embarrassed, he said, after the meeting, that he had to ask Mr. Butler if he was "anti-Jewish"! Mr. Butler had not even mentioned the word "Jew" in his address and answers.

Although Mr. Butler's address had been an examination of the growth, strategy and tactics of International Communism, with some references to the Common Market, the *Truth* report attempted to seize upon Mr. Butler's answers to several questions concerning the support, which Communism has had from rather unsuspected sources. When asked about Mr. Bernard Baruch, Mr. Butler gave a factual statement about this financier's dominant role in American and international politics. The *Truth* story was a vain attempt to suggest that Mr. Butler had made an address, which in fact he hadn't. This further featured attack by *Truth* has not had the effect desired. During last week and this week he has been fully occupied in addressing meetings of numerous Brisbane organisations. He returns to Sydney this weekend where he has a full programme for next week.

The New Times is published by New Times Ltd., Third Floor, Pressgrave Building 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne. Subscription: £2 per annum, post-free.