THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Volume 29, No. 1

Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Phone 63-9749

January 25, 1963

EDITORIAL

HAS DEGAULLE UPSET THE INTERNATIONAL PLOTTERS?

General De Gaulle's opposition to Britain joining the European Economic Community appears to be one of those unrehearsed events with which the plotters for world power have to contend. We have often urged supporters to hold fast to the faith that those plotting to achieve complete world dominion are working against reality — Truth. A vital aspect of reality is that even the most brainwashed individual may unexpectedly resist, even if only unconsciously, the policy of the plotters. Permanent central control of power and central planning is only possible if individuals can be reduced to the level of dumb animals.

Unless General De Gaulle's current stand against Washington is deliberately designed to obscure other moves on the international chess board of power politics, it is clear that he has thrown a very big spanner into the machinery designed to fit Britain into a United States of Europe as a necessary preliminary to an amalgamation between this centralised structure and the U.S.A. We cannot help but notice that De Gaulle is at present most unpopular with that section of the world's press, which more openly reflects the views of the international power groups.

There is no doubt that De Gaulle has been strongly supported by the Rothschilds in France, and that his main policies up to date have met with the approval of those promoting world revolution. But De Gaulle is also a stubborn old man who has increasingly made it clear that his conception of the European Economic Community is very different from that of the architects of the Treaty of Rome. He has his own dreams about the role of a new France in the scheme of European and world affairs. And, of course, there are those stubborn economic realities, which are helping to make nonsense of the propaganda concerning European unity. There is, for example, the French farming community, upon which De Gaulle has relied for much of his support. And the French farmers are concerned about how to dispose of their mounting surpluses. French wheat growers are now competing against Australian and Canadian wheatgrowers in exporting to Red China.

Not only has De Gaulle made it clear that he is not prepared to allow Commonwealth primary producers to compete against French farmers in the Common Market; he has also aroused the American Secretary for Agriculture by what are described as his "inward looking" policies. General De Gaulle has in effect said that he sees the European Economic Community as a tight club of present members, in which France will be a dominant force. Common tariffs will be used to exclude unwanted production from other countries.

One of the most significant portions of General De Gaulle's statement concerning Britain and the Common

Market, was his reference to the fact that the British were not politically ready to join Europe. This is an understatement. British opposition to joining the Common Market has been steadily mounting, and the manner in which President Kennedy imposed his policy on Harold Macmillan on the Skybolt issue has helped to arouse still further British opinion. The present British Government has surely reached an all-time low in accepting policies, which can only be described as treachery. Kennedy and his backers are now openly cracking the whip over Harold Macmillan. And as this comment is being written, they are applying tremendous pressure in Europe to break down De Gaulle's stand on British entry into the Common Market.

French opposition has not prevented, the Macmillan Government from continuing to press for admittance to the Common Market. The fact that the British Government can continue to seek admission in the face of current events, is surely striking proof of the enormous pressure being applied to the Government. It is also striking proof of the depravity of this collection of yes-men. But events are now fast developing to the stage where the way is clearing for the British counter-offensive to the international plotters.

Irrespective of whether De Gaulle's opposition is overcome or not, the end of Britain's proposal to enter the Common Market is in sight. British and Commonwealth opposition is too strong. The genuine alternative must now be put forward with vigour. The mounting opposition throughout all parts of the British Commonwealth, to the proposed policy of complete betrayal, has demonstrated what is possible to advance an alternative policy for the reviving of the Commonwealth. In his statement on Britain's proposed entry into the Common Market, General De Gaulle did have the decency to say how much civilisation owed to the British for their single-handed stand in the dark days of 1940. A similar effort is possible today by the British Commonwealth. The opposition is now very much on the defensive, even if only temporarily. Let us keep them there. There is at last light ahead for the battle we have been fighting over the past two years.

NEWS COMMENTARY

Diefenbaker's Visit to Macmillan: The Australian press practically ignored the Canadian Prime Minister's visit to see Mr. Harold Macmillan while the British Prime Minister was meeting with President Kennedy in the Bahamas. Upon his return to Britain, Mr. Macmillan denied at the London airport a report that he and the Canadian Prime Minister had discussed the holding of another Prime Ministers' Conference before Britain finally decided upon entry to the Common Market. But Mr. Diefenbaker told Canadian correspondents that "I reminded Mr. Macmillan that Canada, as well as Australia and other members of the Commonwealth, had said in London last September that they should be given the opportunity to make further representations when British negotiations had progressed far enough to enable a Common Market decision to be made.

"I said that our position on that remained unchanged—that there must be a further conference if Britain decides to enter the Market." Mr. Diefenbaker implied later that as the Common Market negotiations were proceeding so slowly, there was no urgency to try to pin Mr. Macmillan down to a firm undertaking. Although the joint communiqué issued at the end of the talks was vague, it did state that "The two Prime Ministers exchanged views about the current negotiations for British membership of the Common Market . . ."

There appears little doubt that Mr. Diefenbaker's visit to see Mr. Macmillan was for the purpose of attempting to persuade him to break off the Common Market negotiations and to explore an alternative policy for the British Commonwealth. But Harold Macmillan clearly would not consider any genuine alternative to the Common Market. He is the perfect tool for the power-groups surrounding President Kennedy, who are determined to strip the British world of all independence. But current developments suggest that Macmillan's career could be nearing its end.

The U.N.'s Aggression Against Katanga: George Orwell's 1984 has arrived. Although it has been proved beyond argument that the U.N. initiated the fighting with the Congo province of Katanga last year, and that U.N. forces committed brutal atrocities in the Katanga capital of Elizabethville, the current campaign against Mr. Tshombe and his province has been hailed as one of "pacification" and in the interests of "peace". Katanga is the only part of the former Belgian Congo where there has been law and order. Conditions have deteriorated in the other parts of the Congo. A full-scale tribal war has been raging in the former province of Kasai. But there has been no U.N. intervention here.

The U.N. campaign in the Congo has provided further evidence of the collaboration between International Communism and Dollar Diplomacy. It should not be forgotten that the original move against Katanga was initiated by the Afro-Asian bloc, now an instrument of Communist

policies, supported by the Soviet and the U.S.A. Britain and France abstained from voting.

If the U.N. can be used for dealing with Mr. Tshombe and Katanga today, then tomorrow it can be used to intervene in any part of the world where "peace" is threatened. It is not surprising that the Europeans in Southern Rhodesia are concerned, and that the South African Government is looking to its defences. A world tyranny is in process of being built under the guise of "protecting peace". The world will be horrified when the true story is told of what the U.N. has done in Katanga.

The Cuban "Crisis" Ends: The U.S.A. and the Soviet have now officially concluded their Cuban negotiations. In a letter to the U.N. Secretary-General, U Thant, it is stated: "The Government of the United States and of the Soviet Union express the hope that the actions taken to avert the threat of war in connection with this crisis will lead toward the adjustment of other differences between them and the general easing of tensions that could cause a further threat of war." This statement is a major propaganda victory for International Communism. It fits perfectly into Comrade Khrushchev's current "peaceful coexistence" theme.

U Thant has expressed his confidence that neither Cuba, the Soviet nor the U.S.A. will do anything to produce another crisis. This statement helps protect Castro, who has shown his contempt for the Americans in his recent statements concerning the ransoming of the Cubans taken prisoners in the Bay of Pigs fiasco. Well might he be contemptuous, a fact not lost throughout Latin-America, when it is borne in mind that the Communists did not yield to any suggestion that there be "adequate inspection" of the removal of Soviet weapons from Cuba.

It is now claimed by the Cuban refugees, who first reported the installation of nuclear weapons in Cuba, that Khrushchev did not even take these away, but only the crates. The weapons have gone underground. And U.S. naval experts are pointing out the rather obvious truth that, even if Khrushchev did take ground-based nuclear weapons away—as part of the dialectical move we outlined at the time of the Cuban "crisis" - Soviet rocket-equipped submarines operating from Cuba as a base could cause tremendous damage in the U.S.A. But there is little threat of nuclear war by Mr. Khrushchev. Under "the threat of war" and the cover of "peaceful co-existence", he is making spectacular progress. And clearly he has powerful allies in the West.

In a review of the Cuban affair, Dr. Fred Schwarz, the famous Australian expert on Communist philosophy and dialectics, agrees substantially with the analysis we have provided. Khrushchev's "moderate" address and attitude in East Berlin provided further evidence confirming Dr. Schwarz's view that the Communists' deliberately (Continued on page 5)

PAGE 2
THE NEW TIMES

TRADE OR TREASON?

INCREASING WHEAT EXPORTS TO RED CHINA THREATEN AUSTRALIA'S FUTURE!

Australia is at War:

Whatever differences of opinion the Chinese and Russian Government leaders have about HOW best to conquer the world for Communism, they are all dedicated Marxist-Leninists and fervently subscribe to Khrushchev's famous summary of the Communist faith: "History is on our side. We will bury you."

Under the THREAT of thermo-nuclear war, the Communists are waging the REAL war. Their weapons are propaganda, subversion, mass brain-washing through the creation and exploitation of world tensions, and TRADE. They exploit the unsound economic and financial policies of the non-Communist nations. They teach that shortsighted, uninformed and confused non-Communists can be used to advance Communism.

When Australia was at war with Germany and Japan, only traitors would have suggested sending wheat, wool, or any other exports to these countries. Why then send exports to an enemy who shows Australia on his maps as a part of Asia? And who believes Australia can be conquered within the next 15 years?

The Chinese Communists have attacked India, are playing a decisive role in the military war in Vietnam, where Australians are involved, and in conjunction with the Soviet, are openly backing the aggressive policies of Dr. Soekarno of Indonesia.

Exports to Red China are exports to a declared enemy of Australia.

Tying Australia's Economy to Red China:

Communist China has become Australia's biggest single wheat customer since December 1960. The total financial value of these exports has been given as approximately £134M. This is a very big part of Australia's total exports. Much of the wheat has been sold to Red China by the Wheat Board ON CREDIT.

Individual wheat growers, many of whom have expressed fears about the Wheat Board's policy, cannot be held responsible for what is taking place. The present policy has encouraged many to increase their acreages, and has brought new growers into the wheat industry. In the absence of a genuine financial alternative, it is clear that more wheat will be grown to meet Chinese Communist requirements. THIS IS A NATIONAL ISSUE, WHICH MUST BE FACED BY THE WHOLE COMMUNITY.

The Federal Government has power under Section 13 of the Wheat Industry Stabilisation Act 1954-58 to give directions to the Wheat Board "concerning the performance of its functions and the exercise of its powers . . ." The Federal Government must exercise its power in defence of Australia's future.

It should also be noted that the wheat industry has been substantially subsidised by the whole Australian community. It is estimated that nearly £10M will be paid in subsidy on the current crop.

By supporting a policy which is resulting in more wheat growing primarily to meet the Chinese Communists' requirements, the Federal Government is granting the Communist enemy a powerful lever with which to influence Australia's domestic and foreign policies against this country's best interests. The more the Chinese Communists can make the Australian economy dependent upon exports to Red China, the more they can encourage Australian public opinion to support, for example, Australian recognition of Red China and the seating of yet one more Communist member in UNO.

Australians must oppose immediately a policy of subsidising their own defeat and demand that the Federal Government introduce alternative policies, which will be of permanent benefit to both the wheatgrowers and the rest of the Australian people. TO SUGGEST THAT GENUINE ALTERNATIVE POLICIES ARE NOT POSSIBLE IS TO SUBMIT TO THE VERY PHILOSOPHY OF THE COMMUNISTS, TO ENDORSE "ECONOMIC DETERMINISM."

Australian Wheat Does Not Benefit Communists' Victims:

Faced with the foregoing facts, a large number of well-meaning people have attempted to justify wheat exports to Red China by claiming that they assist the starving Chinese people. The policy of wheat exports is therefore one of humanitarianism! But the Chinese Communists, whose policies have played a big part in producing famine conditions in Red China, have been sending food to Cuba, Albania and other countries. Most wheat imports are used primarily to feed the Chinese Communist Army and the Communist bureaucracy. Australian wheat is helping the Communists to retain their domination of the Chinese people.

Australians desire to help the victims of Communism in China with wheat, or other food, all talk of trade should cease, and the foodstuffs should be offered as a clear-cut gift — but with one provision: THAT THE DISTRIBUTION TO THE CHINESE PEOPLE BE SUPERVISED EFFECTIVELY BY SOME BODY LIKE THE INTERNATIONAL RED CROSS.

Chinese Communists would almost certainly refuse food gifts under this condition. But the offer by the Australian Government would at least strike a severe psychological blow against the Chinese Communists. It would also gain Australia many more friends throughout Asia. Increasing wheat exports to Red China only convinces many Asians that Australians are more concerned with short-term financial gain than they are about long-term principles.

Why Extend Credit to Red China?

The policy of extending credit to Red China is in fact one of subsidising the Communists. While Australian credit has been extended to Red China, the Communist leaders of that country have supported North Vietnam with 141 million roubles, Albania, 55 million roubles, Cuba, 60 million dollars, Guinea, 25 million dollars, and Nepal, 20 million dollars.

The extension of wheat sales on credit to Red China could reach the stage where the Communists could use the situation to force more and more credit out of Australia — under the threat of losing a big wheat market.

How is Red China Paying for Wheat?

The true purpose of exporting surpluses should be, not to gain a "favourable balance of trade", but to obtain required imports in exchange. What imports does Australia require from Red

THE NEW TIMES PAGE 3

China? Noodles? Pig bristles? The truth is that Australia requires nothing from Red China that she cannot get elsewhere—preferably from fellow members of the British Commonwealth. Red China does comparatively little exporting to the non-Communist world — EXCEPT DRUGS WHICH HER AGENTS SMUGGLE INTO OTHER COUNTRIES FOR THE PURPOSES OF OBTAINING FOREIGN CREDITS. Some authorities claim that Red China earns the biggest portion of foreign credits and currency through its illegal drug business.

But obviously Red China requires more than the proceeds from the drug traffic to pay for wheat and other imports from Western countries. Spokesmen for the Australian Wheat Board have claimed that Red China pay for Australian wheat in "cash credits". These "cash credits" are of no use to Australians unless they can be used to purchase imports from other countries equivalent to the wheat sent to China. In the absence of any knowledge of what type of "cash credits" the Red Chinese are using, it is impossible to know how Red China is financing wheat imports from Australia. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD MAKE THIS INFORMATION AVAILABLE AND ENABLE AUSTRALIANS TO SEE WHETHER THEY ARE IN FACT GETTING ANYTHING OF REAL VALUE IN EXCHANGE FOR THEIR WHEAT.

There should also be a clear statement concerning the claim by the New South Wales Labor Council, in a resolution passed on November 29 of last year, that the wheat sold on credit by Australia is being used by Red China "to earn foreign exchange in other countries."

The information required can be made available by Dr. H. C. Coombs, Governor of the Reserve Bank. It will be recalled that Dr. Coombs welcomed to Australia in June 1962, representatives of the Bank of China. Dr. Coombs had met these representatives when he was in Red China. He claimed that there were no politics involved in the visit, that it was "strictly a commercial business trip." While there is no doubt the visit was related to wheat exports, it is highly probable that other "business" interested the Chinese visitors. Just two weeks before Dr. Coombs welcomed the Chinese bankers to Australia, the Indian Prime Minister, Mr. Nehru, demanded that two employees of the Bank of China leave India because of their "subversive, anti-Indian activities".

Trade a Major Feature of Communist Warfare:

Right around the world Communists are using trade as a means of warfare. Example after example could be given. The basic doctrines of Communism make it impossible for Communists to separate economics from politics. Those people who claim that Australia can become deeply involved economically with Red China without becoming involved politically, are either completely ignorant of the realities of Communism — OR THEY

DISTRIBUTE THIS LEAFLET

Further supplies of this leaflet for distribution may be obtained from the League of Rights in YOUR State:

Victoria: 273 Little Collins St., Melbourne. South Australia: Box 1297L, G.P.O., Adelaide, S.A. Queensland: P.O. Box 3, Paddington, Brisbane, Qld. West Australia: Box 1131N, G.P.O., Perth, W.A.

New South Wales: Box 2957, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W. No charge is made for this leaflet, but any donations, however small, are welcomed to help meet printing and postage costs.

ARE CONSCIOUS TRAITORS.

In an important and revealing statement on September 19, 1962, Red China's Foreign Minister, Chen Yi, said that China was considering a progressive development of trade with Japan as preliminary to strengthening political relations steadily for the eventual restoration of diplomatic relations. AUSTRALIANS SHOULD HEED THIS WARNING AND CALL A HALT TO EXPORTS TO RED CHINA BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE.

How to Strike a Blow for Your Country's Defence:

Write a letter TODAY to your Federal M.P. (and don't forget your Senators) telling them (1) That you oppose further wheat and other exports to Red China; (2) That you want the Government to take steps to ensure that the cessation of wheat exports to Red China will not be a financial burden upon the wheat-growers or the rest of the community; (3) that if Australia feels that surplus production should be disposed of on a credit basis, that this should be first offered to Commonwealth nations like India on the clear understanding that at a later date Australian exports will be replaced by required imports.

EX-COMMUNIST OFFICIAL REVEALS CHINA'S PLAN FOR AUSTRALIA'S CONQUEST!

The Story of Chang Lao, by veteran Australian expert on the Far East, Dr. W. G. Goddard, does not make pleasant reading for Australians. A former official of the Peking Foreign Office, who managed to escape to Hong Kong, tells his story to Dr. Goddard. This is perhaps the most authentic picture yet given of Mao Tse-tung's China.

Chang Lao reveals:

- How Communist China is using an extensive drug trade to earn foreign credits.
- The clever perversion of Christianity and other religions to further Communism.
- The nature of "Maoism", a form of "spiritual imperialism".
- The role of Indonesia in China's strategy for taking Australia. Events in West New Guinea have graphically confirmed Chang Lao's story.

In a postscript, Dr. Goddard asks whether Australians can hold Australia for another generation. Is Australia as a nation riding into the sunset? Dr. Goddard expresses his grave fears, but puts forward positive proposals, which Australians can adopt to help save themselves before it is too late.

The Story of Chang Lao is the "book of the moment" as far as Australia is concerned. Price 10/8d., post free. Six copies at the rate of 6/9d per copy, post free.

Order from The Heritage Bookshop, 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne.

Lenin said that the "Capitalists" would provide the rope for their own hanging — if they thought they could sell it for a profit! Australians must stop playing the Communists' game.

PAGE 4 THE NEW TIMES

used the Cuban affair to produce a sharp increase in world tension as a prelude to then easing it as part of what can only be described as international brainwashing. The relief following the end of the tension has been shrewdly exploited. Khrushchev's description of himself as a "peace-maker" is now being widely accepted throughout the non-Communist world.

Opposition to Commonwealth Policy: The severe setback to the plan to force Britain into the European Economic Community, has thrown the exponents of the inevitability doctrine into a panic. While they are not clear at the moment just what should be done next, they are agreed that a Commonwealth policy is no alternative. The Herald, Melbourne, in its editorial of January 17, was quickly off the mark by telling its readers that a Commonwealth policy was no alternative to the Common Market. The Herald has for some time faithfully reflected the policy line of the world revolutionaries. The immediate spate of statements attacking any suggestion of a revived British Commonwealth, provide further evidence of the existence of a plot to wreck the Commonwealth as an independent force in the world. But they should also enable greater support to be mobilised in favour of a positive Commonwealth policy designed to show the world the way to peace and sanity.

REALITIES OF FAITH

The belief that the observance of well-defined principles involving faith allied with action, in the face of seemingly overwhelming action, will result in the eventual triumph of those principles is the creed of Social Crediters. We must be able to "see through" the apparent triumph of a devilish philosophy, which has almost completely perverted all the principles we seek to preserve and extend.

Those of us whose perception of the Christian philosophy has been deepened and strengthened by Social Credit seek to love God and to serve Him. "In whose service is perfect freedom." Those who deny us say that there is no God, and that man is just matter. We seek to live as individuals free under the laws of God to develop our own lives in our own way. Those who deny God seek to make us a collectivity dominated by the planners, who claim to know what is best for us. They seek power over our life and our death and everything between.

We know that the perversion of the financial mechanism into a weapon of control has made "getting a living" an end in itself. In most fields of human activity success is equated with an ability to come to terms with the system — so that it does not penalise you even if it should penalise your neighbour. The politician can vote himself a bigger salary and pension. Civil servants are such a powerful group that they can through boards of enquiry, etc., be

sure of receiving a sympathetic hearing for their demands. Unionists can operate similarly. The businessman must have his expense account to enable him to come to terms with taxation. Those who can get themselves in to that holy of holies, the "United" Nations, can get a top salary tax-free. Increasingly the parasitical growth of one section of society must look to the other section for sustenance, with each striving to obtain a larger share of the cake. The parasites are parasites only through the implementation of a policy they do not understand. And so it is with all warring factions.

What is taking place today is a process of decay. Technological advances have made it possible on the material plane for a decreasing proportion to support the whole populace. That this progress in one field should result in destruction and enslavement, rather than organic growth towards freedom and security, constitutes the gravest sin against the Creator. The repercussions of flouting natural laws are all around us.

In the organic cycle the process of decay is accompanied by re-building. The Christian should see himself as part of the organic structure of society, repairing the damages of disease. In every section of society there are opportunities for Social Crediters inspired by their deeper insight — a glimpse of reality, as Douglas put it — into the essence of the Christian philosophy, to establish a cell of reconstruction in the body politic.

We must arm ourselves by continual application to the fundamentals, which Douglas gave us. The just price and the national dividend would cut through causes of dissatisfaction. But the just price and the dividend are only mechanisms; behind them is the philosophy of love, which pervades the whole of Social Credit. We must seek to understand and to know the philosophy, and the policy will naturally grow out of that knowledge. "Seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His righteousness and all these others shall be added unto you."

The Christian concept is a continuing revelation. Undoubtedly Social Credit is part of that revelation. As Dr. B. W. Monahan has said: "It is I think probable that . . . Social Credit is actually historically necessary for the further development and spread of the Christian idea." In a society where Christian leaders and spokesmen accept as axioms such destructive policies as "full employment", organised marketing, punitive taxation, etc., all resulting in the destruction of the individual's initiative and creativeness, Social Crediters have the task of changing the attitude of Christian thinkers towards the accepted basis of our society. Ours is the task of creating a fertile soil out of which freedom will grow, where "every man shall sit himself under his own fig tree and none shall make him afraid".

—E. Rock. PAGE 5

TOTALITARIANISM BY STEALTH AT BACCHUS MARSH (VIC.)

The Melbourne *Age* of December 19 carried the disturbing report (to those who still believe in the free society) that the Shire Council of the small centre of Bacchus Marsh, approximately 30 miles from Melbourne, had introduced fluoridation of the public water supply without any publicity in order to prevent the Australian League of Rights from intervening.

The National Director of the League, Mr. Eric Butler, wrote a letter to *The Age* in which he pointed out that the Councillors of Bacchus Marsh must have a most contemptuous attitude towards ratepayers if they feel that they cannot be trusted to hear both sides of an issue. He also said that while supporters of fluoridation had the right to list the organisations like the World Health Organisation in favour of fluoridation, presentation of the truth requires that all the organisations and eminent medical authorities and scientists opposed to fluoridation should also be mentioned.

Mr. Butler pointed out that those who continued to parrot that fluoridation was completely safe for everyone should be confronted with the tragic history of thalidomide; the drug, which produces deformed babies. This had also been declared safe, and originally medical journals refused to publish the exposure of its dreadful effects.

The Age did not publish Mr. Butler's letter. This is an example of the freedom of the press so much talked about —by the big city press. The Ballarat morning paper, *The Courier*, editorially criticised mass medication in Bacchus Marsh.

The totalitarians in Bacchus Marsh have justified their imposition of mass medication by the type of polls taken in Soviet Russia. A small group of the fluoridationists went around the small centre, told the people what wonderful success fluoridation had been, and asked them were they in favour of it. Not hearing any other view, it is not surprising that it is claimed that over 90 percent expressed themselves in favour of fluoridation. If the 90 percent were really keen on fluoridation, they could, of course, have democratically expressed their policy by purchasing fluoridation tablets from their chemist. But the manipulators of opinion wanted mass medication imposed upon everyone. They feared any open challenge by those who could present the truth, so persuaded the local Council to introduce the measure without publicity. The fluoridationists are well aware that in nearly every case a referendum is held with both sides of the case being presented, the majority revolt against this Communist-like policy.

The League of Rights points out that the responsibility of whether mass medication should continue in Bacchus Marsh must rest with the people of this centre. The League is, however, discharging its responsibility by bringing the truth to the attention of as many Bacchus Marsh citizens as possible. Any developments of significance will be reported. For the sake of the record we report that the Chairman of the Fluoridation Committee of the National Executive of the League of Rights, Mr. Hugh Gerrand, has visited Bacchus Marsh at his own expense. One of those called upon was the local Anglican Vicar. Although Mr. Gerrand is also an Anglican, he got a very cold reception from a man who claimed that there was no moral issue involved! He was a keen supporter of fluoridation.

The imposition of a totalitarian policy by stealth amongst a few hundred people in a small Victorian country centre may not appear of great importance against the backdrop of the struggle for the world taking place today. But unhappily the fact that local Councillors can be persuaded to impose such a policy is a striking manifestation of the disease, which is eating the very foundations out of the free society everywhere. If the West is to survive, policies like mass medication must be strongly rejected by individuals who believe in both freedom and personal responsibility.

LEAFLETS ON FLUORIDATION AVAILABLE

Adequate stocks of the leaflet on fluoridation are available from The Australian League of Rights. No fixed charge is made, but those ordering are requested to send stamps or a small donation to help cover printing costs.

Order from the League of Rights, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

DO YOU BUY FROM DIETARY HEALTH PRODUCTS?

All supporters are requested to remember that by buying their health products through Dietary Health Products, they are helping substantially towards financing activities associated with *The New Times*. We make special mention of the recently reduced price for Vitamin E, which now sells at 30/6 per hundred, or 128/- per five hundred. This is the genuine natural Vitamin E.

All information concerning vitamins and other health products may be obtained by writing to The Dietary Health Products, 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne.