# THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Volume 29, No. 5

Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Phone 63-9749

March 22, 1963

#### **EDITORIAL**

### QUEEN'S RALLYING CALL ON THE COMMONWEALTH

In what has been described as perhaps the most frankly political address she has ever made, the Queen made it clear in an address at the Exhibition Grounds, Brisbane, on March 6, that she does not share the defeatist view that the Commonwealth was inevitably doomed to pass from the stage of history. Her Majesty's address must be regarded as a rallying call to all those who grasp the great issues involved in the struggle to preserve the British Commonwealth against the mighty international forces determined to destroy it completely.

There has been keen speculation as to who wrote the Queen's address, but there appears to be little doubt that it expresses the Queen's deepest convictions. Coming when it did, it was an address of great significance.

The full text of the Queen's address was as follows:

"There are some who see the changing pattern of the Commonwealth as the beginning of its end. These people forget that the Commonwealth is not based on any rigid treaty or rules of action. It is an organic association of like-minded peoples. It is an instrument of enormous power and influence in the hands of its members, but it can only function for their benefit if they are prepared to use it.

"The Commonwealth is like a plough which is quite useless standing in a shed, but in the right hands it can cultivate the soil into productive farm lands. Properly used the Commonwealth can help to cultivate a prosperous and enlightened civilisation for all its members. Neglected, it will rust into scrap.

"In a world in which we are facing the problems of nuclear experiment and space exploration, no scientific data or machine can entirely replace the basic human qualities of courage and faith. I believe these qualities are as manifest today in the people of the Commonwealth as they have ever been, and it is these qualities which will provide an answer to the problems of the future."

Because the Commonwealth is still "an instrument of enormous power and influence," it is one of the major barriers to the creating of the World Police State. That is why it is under such heavy attack. The forces of International Finance, with their main headquarters in New York, struck their first major blow against the British world when, in 1917, they forced a disastrous financial policy as the price of American support. The same forces were primarily responsible for the last war, which was used to deliver further devastating blows against British interests and British sovereignty.

The sudden cessation of Lease-Lend at the end of the war, the forcing of the Bretton Woods financial agreement upon the British as the price of a dollar loan, the attack upon the Imperial Trade Preference system through the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs (GATT) were all part of the general policy of destruction. Forcing Britain into the European Common Market and depriving her of an independent nuclear defence system were to have been the final blows. With the complete destruction

of British independence, the British Commonwealth would immediately have fallen to pieces. But something went wrong, The British people, encouraged by support from the Crown Dominions, fought back doggedly. The truth about the Treaty of Rome and the Common Market was forced out into the open. And the Skybolt betrayal aroused the British to a determination to provide their own nuclear defence. The breakdown of the Common Market negotiations provided a victory.

But the victory has only provided a breathing space. The enemy and his stooges are pressing the attack once more. The theme is that it is "inevitable" that Britain must "eventually" join the Common Market. Australia and New Zealand must join some type of Asian Common Market. Canada must be absorbed by the U.S.A. This is psychological warfare of the most deadly type. There are many agents of this campaign, one of the most notable in Australia being the former official of the International Monetary Fund, Mr. Lesley Bury, the man who as a Cabinet Minister stabbed his own Prime Minister in the back. Mr. Bury recently spoke in Sydney on his pet theme of the disintegrating Commonwealth. Last week he was in Melbourne speaking to the Liberal Club at the Melbourne University, where he is reported as having said that Australia would gain if Britain joined the Common Market. Britain outside the Common Market would continue to "shrink in power as a nation".

It is clear that Mr. Bury and those rootless intellectuals who share his views do not possess the Queen's faith in the future of the British Commonwealth. They reject their Sovereign's claim that the Commonwealth is still "an instrument of enormous power and influence". They reject her appeal to cultivate the Commonwealth, not to let it rust. All those who utter or help to spread this type of poisonous defeatism can only be described as traitors.

If the British world is to make the unique contribution of which it is possible to the saving of Civilisation, those who no longer possess those "basic qualities of courage and faith" referred to by the Queen, should be rooted out of public life as quickly as possible.

Continued on page 4

#### POLITICAL HYPNOTISM

By D. WATTS

A concerted effort should be made by those who have some respect for humanity and truth to squelch the Communist doctrine of Historical Determinism.

Mr. Sandys' comment, with regard to the outcome of the Common Market talks, to the effect that President de Gaulle is trying to oppose "the natural course of history" is an echo of Mr. Macmillan's "winds of change" phrase which was intended to make the jettisoning of a large part of the Empire appear to be a cataclysmic process man had not the power to stay.

Historical Determinism is a doctrine, which, if accepted, could lead to frightful consequences. It allows those in power to represent any policy whatsoever as being the ordained one, the statement of the inescapable; so that it has the effect of paralysing the will of many who would not normally take a fatalistic attitude towards trends they deplore.

There are principles governing natural and human behaviour; but no *particular* historical movement is inevitable. No historical event is pre-determined in a fixed pattern of human behaviour. Different consequences do follow upon different actions; but men can sail against the prevailing historical winds and alter the course of history, as men have done before; and they need not wait upon inexorable destiny to carry them into the future.

This freedom enjoyed under the government of universal principles is to be found everywhere in experience. We can use the principles to achieve our desired purposes; for there are different principles and opposite principles, and this makes for particular freedom. For example: it is a law of nature that when iron is heated it expands; but if sufficient pressure be exerted upon the iron, it does not expand, though heated. Opposite forces modify the action of each other. Again, men do not flout laws of nature when they fly in aeroplanes: but they make use of the natural laws in achieving something that they have willed.

What is determinism in the physical world is *will* in man; so that there are two principles, which can govern to produce particular results. There are, indeed, organisational principles, but these govern general, not particular, organisational forms and action. There is historical movement, for movement is a principle of our universe; but particular historical movements are brought about by human beings who create a just and useful order by willing to use the immutable organisational laws wisely, or else who create disorder by trying to ignore the universal laws or make a snarl of folly and injustice through representing their own particular rule and law as being of the nature of the universal.

A recent statement by Lord Home, the British Foreign Secretary, is a fair sample of muddled, or an attempt to muddle, thinking - - a procedure characteristic of the shrewdies who believe that so long as the words sound right, it does not matter if they do not make sense. He is reported as saying that should one of the partners of that (Franco-German) alliance try to use it to dominate Europe or to destroy the multi-national structure of NATO, it would be "a most dangerous development".

The key to all Britain's action over the Nassau agreement and the attempt to enter the Common Market had been this: "that we have felt increasingly in recent years that everything is combining to move the nations of the world inexorably towards interdependence."

It is possible to relate that mixture of national freedom and international determinism to the "winds of change" that blew a number of backward and politically weak peoples out of interdependence within an imperial structure to mock political interdependence and real economic dependence. In the proposed set-up, multi-nationalism means limited power of local government with dictation by the U.S. of foreign or international policy, and interference by her, when she feels so disposed, in domestic policies.

The point, however, is that even if there be forces moving the nations inexorably towards interdependence, the pattern of relation need not necessarily be that designed by the U.S. Whether domination of Europe by one nation were a good thing or a bad one, there could still be international interdependence. The truth seems to be that Lord Home and his colleagues dislike the idea of a Europe dominated by a France with monarchial instincts, but like the idea of domination of that continent by a U.S. whose values are plebian rather than democratic. It is to be feared that their reasons are wholly materialistic.

There are other factors besides the economic one to be considered in international organising. There is the psychological one, responsible for the production of different characteristic cultures. From that point of view, if Europe must come under the domination of France or the U.S., perhaps it had better be France. The French respect and would cherish European culture; but the U.S. would not. That latter country prides itself on its modernism and is, besides, a prey to national narcissism and would be the enemy of an ancient culture, for there is a psychological element in Americans, as a whole, that impels them to cheapen all cultural expression.

Relevantly, the philosophy of determinism, on which the Americans stand their right to rule Europe, is a cheaper one that the awful philosophy of free-will, with its implication of spiritual responsibility. The philosophy of free will infers a spiritual as well as a material responsibility in political as in all other action.

However, it is not to escape spiritual responsibility that those at present in power are preaching historical determinism. It is being done to give an air of more than human authority to their policies. Those statesmen whose will it

# UNION'S COMPLAINT ABOUT GENUINE PROGRESS

At Port Pirie, S.A., equipment for bulk handling of grain has released a goodly number of men from uninteresting toil. It is forecast that later this year mechanical handling of concentrates will bring similar good fortune to others.

Conditioned to look at life upside down, the Waterside Workers' Federation has complained about the increase of leisure, and, with the Government, sees this bit of real progress as a "problem" to be investigated. That perverted outlook is the real problem requiring investigation. Under the conventions it has imposed, the living standards of the families of the released men will be lowered. There is no need for such deprivation.

The release of the men does not diminish one iota the ability of farms and factories to maintain the flow of goods, which comprises Australia's REAL INCOME (production) from which the worker's money income draws sustenance for his family.

Statistics show that "price values" of our total REAL INCOME (production) exceed our total MONEY INCOME by many millions of pounds. This fact is the core of all of our mis-called "problems" of trade. The flow of money to consumers should never be less than the flow of goods from industry. Then, from the economic, as well as the Christian standpoint, people displaced by labour savers are still entitled to an undiminished share of the national production. They should continue to receive the same money income, without sacrifice from the rest of the community. How come?

Since the men's dismissal does not cause any diminution of the means of sustenance, entertainment, etc., no one has to work harder to support them. And, since many millions of pounds extra purchasing power is needed for distribution of goods already produced, the Reserve Bank can issue some of it to Governments interest-free for payment to the released men. Thus, no one's already inadequate purchasing power would be depleted further.

Of course it would not be fair for one group of people to monopolise the freedom endowed by inventors. So the arrangement should last either until the men are invited further to increase the community's physical living standard, or the grain of leisure is distributed throughout the community by a reduction of working hours.

—Eve Arndt.

(Continued from page 2) is that certain particular events shall take place and who, when they have set things in motion to bring about the events, claim that they are inevitable in some determined, historical movement, equate themselves with the Universe.

## THE SPURIOUS MONETARY SYSTEM

A most important pamphlet, headed "The Christian Doctrine of Wealth" has been published in Scotland, by the Congregational Union. The purpose is mainly "to examine the financial system".

Unfortunately, most people take it for granted that the conventions round about our monetary affairs are not assumed to be faulty.

In the said pamphlet, "the sins and follies of men are written into human institutions and systems".

"The malfunctioning of the present monetary system is responsible for much confusion and distress".

"There is widespread waste and misuse of the world's wealth; and over great areas, human beings are living well below the subsistence level".

"It is wrong that a man should be employed on work that can be done by a machine".

"Men are *forced* to seek employment".

"Society should be forced to distribute the means of livelihood other than by the way of paid employment".

"The objective will result in the wider distribution of leisure".

"The right attitude of work is in the proper use of leisure, so to regard work as part of the achievement of creative purpose".

"The Churches have so far failed to advise the people in the right way. The aim must rather be the development of the whole spiritual man: and the spiritual answer can only be effective, if it takes account of the economic motives behind them. A complete and wholesome community is one in which all aspects of human existence and endeavour are represented".

"To define the nature of the monetary system," we must "remove some of the misconceptions".

"The (British) Government relies in practice wholly on the 'advice' given to it by the Governor of the Bank of England". The result comes to it that "the banking system is fraudulent in the strict sense of the word". To get an opposite effect "we would welcome a high degree of decentralisation in the control of expenditure".

"Purely financial considerations must not be allowed to stand in the way".

"To solve the economic and social and moral problems, we must develop a challenge".

"Debt-finance is prejudicial to human well-being"; for it shows up "a double indictment".

Realists (without appealing to the Scotland pamphlet) know that the *control* of financial arrangements and publicity (via the PRESS) are synonymous. Most daily newspapers are not expected to expose those who publish the "news" in a faulty way.

The passages marked with "inverted commas" are partial quotations from *The Christian Doctrine of Wealth*.

—C. H. Allen.

THE NEW TIMES PAGE 3

### **EICHMANN'S PROSECUTOR**

The deputy prosecutor of the Eichmann case, Jacob Baror, was convicted in Tel-Aviv for assuming a law degree (Doctor of Law).

Baror admitted that he did this premeditatedly in order to obtain a higher salary.

The case against Eichmann, which was initiated with the criminal deed of kidnapping, can now be seen even clearer in its illegality.

It is generally the fashion to talk about Eichmann, the "mass-murderer". However, one can only refer to someone as a murderer who was found guilty by a competent court after a fair trial. In the proceedings against Eichmann neither was the court competent nor was the case conducted in a legally incontestable manner. In the incompetent Israeli court it was not even proven that Eichmann killed one single human being.

Translated from the German publication *Suchlicht*, page three October 1962, which is a supplement of the monthly publication *Nation Europe*. This journal is very objective in its comments and has a well-established reputation for accuracy.

### A CHOICE FOR DESTINY COMMONWEALTH AND COMMON MARKET

By Sir Arthur Bryant

In this book the famous British biographer and historian writes with moving eloquence of the case against Britain joining the European Economic Community. Sir Arthur Bryant cuts through the dishonest and defeatist double-talk and shows how the Common Market threatens a thousand years of history and the future of the British nations.

This little classic should be in the hands of every Australian determined to fight for his heritage.

Order from The Heritage Bookshop. 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne. Price 3/-.post free.

#### FLUORIDATION LITERATURE

The Australian League of Rights has published an eight-page brochure on the fluoridation issue. This compact but comprehensive publication, in which the views of eminent medical, scientific and dental experts are listed, is excellent for those wishing to campaign against fluoridation. The price of the brochure is 6/- per dozen, post-free.

## ANNUAL SOCIAL CREDIT TRAINING COURSE

The annual Social Credit training course for people in and around Melbourne will be held as usual this Autumn and Winter. The course will be held at the offices of *The New Times*, and conducted over eight weeks. The starting date will be announced later. It is anticipated that a feature of this year's course will be the number of young people doing the course. Supporters with young people in their late teens or early twenties are requested to endeavour to get their sons and daughters along.

This year's course will be under the capable directorship of Mr. Edward Rock. An early indication of how many would like to do the course would be appreciated. Students of all ages are welcomed.

#### QUEEN'S RALLYING CALL

Continued from page 1

Potentially self-sufficient, the British Commonwealth possesses enormous natural resources. The British peoples everywhere are not lacking in industrial skills. But even more important than their great material resources are their social and political structures. These structures, based upon an application of the fundamental values of Western Christendom, can be used to modify economic and financial policies in order to demonstrate to the world the pathway to a genuine international order. The British Crown today has unfortunately been deprived of much of its power. But it still possesses considerable Authority. We believe that the Queen is attempting to exercise that Authority in an attempt to serve her subjects. Her Brisbane address was a call to re-dedicate ourselves to fighting for basic values. The immediate answer of her loyal subjects should be greater action to revive the British Commonwealth.

# WORLD COUNCIL OF CHURCHES AND CUBA

Those Christians who have been concerned about Communist influence in the World Council of Churches, will not have their fears allayed by the knowledge that the World Council had expressed "regret" to President Kennedy over the blockade of Cuba. The matter was warmly debated by delegates to the last American Lutheran Church's convention. The convention voted overwhelmingly to repudiate the WCC statement.

The WCC statement which drew the ALC's fire noted that the world church body had in the past "expressed concern and regret when governments have taken unilateral military action against other governments" and that therefore the officers considered it their duty to "express grave concern and regret" over the United States action with regard to Cuba.

The statement was signed by Dr. Franklin Clark Fry, president of the Lutheran Church in America and the Lutheran World Federation and chairman of the WCC's policy-making Central Committee; Dr. Ernest A. Payne of London, vice-chairman; and Dr. W. A. Visser 't Hooft, general secretary of the WCC.

Dr. Nolde forwarded this statement to the United Nations Security Council along with excerpts from an address he had made the day before President Kennedy announced the quarantine.

Among other things, Dr. Nolde said the United States must avoid unilateral military action and must submit its actions to the rule of law and he pointed out that the United States "has military bases on foreign soil closer to the U.S.S.R. than Cuba is to the United States."

During debate, Dr. Frederick E. Kottke, a professor at the University of Southern California, said Dr. Nolde's statement was "basically Communist doctrine" and a "Communist play on semantics."