

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 29, No. 17

6th September 1963

EDITORIAL

SIGNIFICANT STATEMENT BY NEW ZEALAND'S PRIME MINISTER ON BRITISH COMMONWEALTH

Whatever view one takes of the recent address by the New Zealand Prime Minister, Mr. Holyoake, in which he said that "cords of attachment binding certain Commonwealth countries to Britain and to one another have begun to fray", there can be no doubt that it was an address of great significance at the present critical time in the history of the British Commonwealth of Nations.

While our own immediate reaction was that the New Zealand Prime Minister's address was a depressing example of defeatism, we learn from an outstanding anti-Common Marketeer in Britain that he welcomed Mr. Holyoake's address because it acted like a "cold douche" on those British Conservatives who had felt that the policies of the Macmillan Government were "appreciated" by the Dominion Governments.

In order to better assess Mr. Holyoake's address, it is desirable to quote what appear to us to be the key statements in his address. The following are from the New Zealand press:

"In many respects the multi-racial concepts on which the Commonwealth is based are under strain . . . We are conscious of the many modifications that the Commonwealth has undergone in response to changing conditions and we recognise that this plasticity, this adaptability, has been one of the foundations of its survival.

"Nevertheless there is a point beyond which the relationship becomes so attenuated and so elastic as to provide no genuine cohesiveness or sense of purpose.

"In particular. New Zealand cannot avoid being affected by the great movement towards economic and political unity still in progress in Western Europe. New Zealand has always been conscious of the benefits which could ensue, both in Europe and in the world, if the great energies and abilities of the people of Europe could be directed into co-operative undertakings and towards agreed goals.

"New Zealand has been equally conscious of the economic dangers which she herself would encounter if the United Kingdom were to join the European Economic Community," said the Prime Minister.

"If the United Kingdom entered Europe and there were no action to protect New Zealand's legitimate trading interests, we in this country would be confronted with something close to economic disaster. For the present, British entry to the Common Market is blocked and New Zealand has a period of respite.

"We are employing this in an earnest and active search to extend existing markets and to find new ones. In this way we have enjoyed encouraging successes. But we have found and can observe no markets which in scope or certainty can match that which we have enjoyed for so long in the United Kingdom," said Mr. Holyoake.

"Since it remains British policy to seek entry into Europe we cannot dismiss the possibility that this will not yet be achieved. We expect assurances concerning our position to be fulfilled.

"Even so we still face difficult economic problems, and we may also be confronted with profound political changes in our relations with Britain and other members of the Commonwealth."

NOTHING POSITIVE ADVOCATED

There is certainly a great deal of truth in Mr. Holyoake's claim that the relationship between the Commonwealth nations becomes so elastic that there is "no genuine cohesiveness or sense of purpose". But nowhere do we find any suggestion from Mr. Holyoake concerning positive policies, which will develop a greater sense of common purpose. If press reports are correct, Mr. Holyoake's Government has joined with the other Governments of the Crown Commonwealth, in making it clear to the Southern Rhodesian Government that a completely independent Southern Rhodesia will not be accepted as a member of the British Commonwealth unless the Europeans first hand over political power to the natives. We trust that some New Zealanders will point out to Mr. Holyoake that the Southern Rhodesians demonstrated in no uncertain manner their loyalty to the British Crown during the last war. The present Southern Rhodesian Premier, Mr. Winton Field, has made it clear that his country desires to remain loyal to the British link. How can the Commonwealth have any sense of common purpose if some members are not prepared to stand behind their fellow-Britishers against the pressures of what is described as "world opinion"?

The truth is that no Prime Minister in any part of the British Commonwealth has made any positive move at all towards offsetting the treachery of the Macmillan Government in Britain. There was a time when the Commonwealth would have produced at least one leader prepared to barnstorm the British Commonwealth to get some common action and cohesion. The late "Billy" Hughes would,

Cont. on page 4

NEWS COMMENTARY.

No Budget Analysis: We do not propose to waste valuable space in analysing the latest Budget. It is simply the same mixture as before. Regular readers of this journal should know by now that while present financial and economic policies, rooted in a philosophy of collectivism, are persisted with, taxation must remain permanently high. No doubt an attempt will be made in next year's Budget to buy a few votes for the following Federal Elections. But any small reduction in taxation will be later wiped out when the next "crisis" demands "stern" action.

As the price level continues to creep ever upwards, so is the value of pensions, child endowment, and savings wiped out. The march towards the complete totalitarian State continues. But an awakening to economic realities continues. So we propose to devote our attention to much more fundamental issues. Only when these issues have been successfully dealt with will Budgets start to become worth getting excited about.

The Dangers of a Pacific Confederation: It is a great pity that Mr. B. A. Santamaria, of the National Civic Council, who talks so much robust sense on some questions, should at the same time advocate policies which have no basis in reality and which are dangerous to Australia's future. Mr. Santamaria has for some time been talking about the concept of a Pacific community of non-Communist nations. We would readily agree with the concept of community, providing the members of that community are not expected to start losing their identity and their national sovereignty. But as we understand Mr. Santamaria, he is talking about the necessity of a formal Confederation. Before too many Australians get carried away with this concept, we would suggest that they ponder on what has happened to the various Federations and Confederations around the world.

Both in Australia and the U.S.A., Federation *in practice* has meant the steady encroachment of the central power upon the rights and powers of the different parts of the Federation. The growth of centralised power produces the inevitable swollen bureaucracy - - and the ideal environment in which the secret Communist and other subversives can operate. In Canada today, Confederation is being seriously strained, primarily because the French-Canadians feel that they have progressively lost their self-governing rights, as more and more power has been progressively concentrated at Ottawa. Canada faces an explosive internal situation at present, where the racial differences between the French-speaking and English-speaking Canadians are being fostered and exploited by revolutionaries. If this type of thing can happen in a Confederation in which both the major race groups are Europeans, what could happen in a Pacific Confederation, where Australian and New Zealanders would be a minority of Europeans?

Australia and New Zealand can best play an effective role in the Pacific by retaining freedom of action, support-

ing other nations in the area according to the policies of those nations. Once these two nations entered a Pacific Confederation, it would be the beginning of the end of them as European communities. In a Confederation it would be impossible to uphold the present immigration policies. But presumably this does not concern Mr. Santamaria and those who think like him.

That South African "Police State": Australians are indebted to that well-known oracle on international affairs, Mr. Douglas Wilkie, for some more information on the South African "Police State". Writing in *The Sun*, Melbourne, of August 30, Mr. Wilkie outlines what he thinks the British Government might do to win "friends throughout black Africa." Britain could defy Verwoerd "by erecting a black Statue of Liberty on the Bechuana border, beckoning to 'poor, huddled masses yearning to be free'."

If Mr. Wilkie is suggesting that the South African Government is keeping the "huddled masses" of Bantus in the Union against their will, he immediately exposes himself as rather weak on facts. Or does he feel that it is not necessary to be truthful when dealing with South Africa? If South Africa were the type of "Police State" suggested by Mr. Wilkie, the Bantus would, of course, be trying to get out of the country. But the Bantu not only stays, but sends out such encouraging reports, that natives from other parts, including the British Protectorates, strive to get into the "Police State".

Faced with a growing campaign of well-financed subversion, the South African authorities have a tremendous problem in policing the movement of natives across the borders between the British Protectorates and South Africa. Because they are forced to take drastic action to protect themselves, the South Africans are then charged with more "Police State" methods.

The groups promoting world revolution do not care two hoots about the Bantu of South Africa. If Mr. Wilkie is as well informed as he pretends he is, why does he not make this truth public? Why do he and other press columnists continue to write commentaries, which serve the purpose of the world revolutionaries?

"Security" in Britain Today: Events in recent times in Britain have confirmed the warnings of those who have contended that the British Security services should be drastically overhauled. There is little doubt that there has been Communist infiltration. Now comes a further shock. The name, private address and telephone number of the head of Britain's secret service has been published by *Sanity*, the journal of the Campaign of Nuclear Disarmament. It was the CND movement, which caused a sensation in Britain some months back by publishing the Government's plans to deal with those who survived a nuclear attack on Britain. Subversion and infiltration are not, of course, confined only to Britain. Every Western nation is threatened from within.

SEPTEMBER 6, 1963—THE NEW TIMES

BOOK FOR THE ANNUAL DINNER NOW!

DO NOT MISS ANOTHER INSPIRING SUCCESS

It is now only 14 days to the 1963 Annual "New Times" Dinner, to be held at the Victoria Palace, Little Collins Street, on September 20. And there are many whom we know will be there who have not yet booked. As last year's record attendance taxed our seating capacity to the limit, it is imperative that all those desirous of attending this year make their bookings immediately.

This year's Dinner will climax another year of tremendous expansion in all activities associated with "The New Times". There will be inspiring reports and messages. And there will be new faces, many of them young, keen faces. We urge all older supporters to be present in order to encourage the supporters of the future to continue going forward in the perilous days ahead. Let all demonstrate that spirit of comradeship so necessary for sustained campaigning.

As usual, the Toast to "The New Times" will be provided by comparatively new supporters, thus demonstrating the growth and vitality of our work. The young lady seconding the Toast this year will be the youngest supporter yet to speak at the Dinner.

One of the highlights of the Dinner will be Mr. Eric Butler's annual report and address. His address this year will be packed with information concerning his recent world tour. The title of his address will be: "The Destiny of The British Commonwealth."

The guest of honour this year will be that courageous Australian patriot, Mrs. Anne Neill, who served Australian Security in the Communist conspiracy for 7 years. Her story is stranger than fiction. Mrs. Neill will speak at the Dinner and a record attendance would be a fitting tribute to a woman who now stands openly with us.

A first-class dinner will, we are sure, meet with the approval of all guests. Guests may arrive at 6 p.m. and the evening will continue until approximately 11 p.m. The donation towards the Dinner will be the same as last year, 35/- per head. Those requiring fish dinners are requested to make this clear. Supporters should also indicate if they have friends with whom they desire to be seated at the Dinner.

Make Certain That September 20 Marks Another Annual Act Of Re-Dedication

"THE HERITAGE BOOKSHOP"

The World Under God's Law. (T. Robert Ingram)

35/8 posted.

The author is Rector of St. Thomas' Episcopal Church and School in Dallas, Texas, U.S.A. and a leading Christian writer and Educationist in the fight against the erection of the secular materialist State. This book, a commentary on the Ten Commandments in the light of the present departure from the Laws of God, is a must for those who would wish to equip themselves in the fundamentals of the Christian faith as applied to modern society.

Chang Lao (Dr. W. G. Goddard) 10/8 posted

A highly placed Chinese Communist official reveals Communist plans for expansion through West New Guinea, to East New Guinea to Australia. The book of the moment for all thoughtful Australians. Special rate of 6/9 plus postage if bought in minimum quantities of a half doz.

The Thirteenth Power (Maj.-Gen. Richard Hilton)

22/6 plus postage

Historical work on the rise of international finance working through the U.N. and in other devious ways to make the Middle East an economic dependency.

BEHIND THAT "GENTLE MARCH" IN WASHINGTON

President John Kennedy has been widely quoted on his reference to the mass march of Negroes in Washington as one, which was "emotional" and "gentle". He also spoke about the marchers' "dignity". But this capital city in which the march took place, allegedly to better the lot of the American Negro, is supposed to be the "showplace city" on race relations within the United States. Here is "integration" in action. The results have shocked all Americans who know about them. Some indication of these results is provided in the following full-page advertisement which appeared in Washington's "Evening Star" of May 7 under the heading: "Is This My City?"

Is this REALLY my city?

A pregnant woman assaulted in her home?

A police officer gunned down in the streets?

Workmen robbed of their wages daily?

Riots and assaults at D.C. Stadium?

Is this MY city?

Where the coming of darkness signals the start of violence?

Where women walk with fear and terror?

Where tension explodes without even a spark?

Where decent people reside and work in constant anxiety?

Is THIS really my city?

And the voices cry, "protect the criminal!"

Why aren't these same voices raised in horror and protest at the senseless brutality of these crimes?

Why aren't tears shed for the VICTIMS of these vicious attacks?

Who can HELP my city?

The police department? They claim their hands are tied.

The Commissioners? They look to Congress.

The Congress? They sit but they don't stir.

The courts? They administer "justice".

The official organisations and "spokesmen?" They're so busy arguing for special interest and special privilege that the means destroy the ends.

WHO can help my city?

This city of trees and parks, magnificent monuments and broad avenues.

This city of culture and citizenship.

This city where doors and hearts used to be unlocked.

Today, this city is the most dangerous of its size in the nation.

First in criminal assaults.

Second in robberies.

Fourth in murders.

IS THIS MY CITY?

CECIL WILLCOCKS

We regret to record the recent death of Mr. Cecil Willcocks, Subiaco W.A. A Social Credit pioneer, Cecil Willcocks always gave generously of his time and substance to the service of Social Credit. He was a true gentleman. Our deepest sympathy to his wife who shared her husband's interests.

Mr. Robert Thompson, M.P.,

To Speak In Melbourne

The leader of the Canadian party which calls itself Social Credit, Mr. Robert N. Thompson, M.P., will address a meeting at the Empire Room, Federal Hotel, Collins Street, Melbourne, on Friday, September 27, at 8 p.m.

In sponsoring this meeting for Mr. Thompson, one of the leading political figures in Canada today, the Australian League of Rights emphasises the fact that it has no special relationship with any political party, and that it has invited Mr. Thompson to speak primarily because of his opposition to Britain joining the European Economic Community, and his strong support for a revived British Commonwealth. The title of his Melbourne address will be: "Can the British Commonwealth be revived?"

Readers are urged to be present with as many friends and associates as possible.

EDITORIAL

Continued from Page 1

in his heyday, have been a man likely to have undertaken such a mission. But today the British Commonwealth lacks the type of political leaders capable of standing up to the creators of "world opinion".

SIGNPOST TO DISASTER—OR SIGNAL FOR REVIVAL?

The cynics may claim that Mr. Holyoake's statement was at least an attempt to embarrass the Macmillan Government following the recent adjustments to butter quotas; adjustments, which New Zealand claimed were to her disadvantage. But far more than this type of statement is required from Commonwealth Prime Ministers. If they really want to reach the British people and convince them that they want to revive the British Commonwealth, to give it more cohesion and purpose, they must talk positively and not negatively. Mr. Holyoake has missed an excellent opportunity of doing this. One of the reasons for this is his blind acceptance of great benefits that will flow from "economic and political unity" in Western Europe. In other words, the New Zealand Prime Minister accepts the deadly doctrine of inevitability. The British Commonwealth has no future unless sufficient British people everywhere turn their backs against all doctrines of inevitability, and recapture the faith and vision of their forefathers.

However one views it, Mr. Holyoake's statement, coming as it did from the smallest member of the Crown Commonwealth, is of great historical significance. It could be a major signpost pointing towards the eventual destruction of the British world, or it could be a signal for a greater upsurge of effort by all those who determined to defeat the enemies of the British world.