

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 30, No. 4

6th March 1964

EDITORIAL

THE POT BOILETH

How well we remember from our childhood fairy tales the vision of the ugly witch hovering and cackling over a bubbling cauldron as she added to the brew some further foul ingredient. As the brew in the cauldron gushed and hissed the ugly witch invoked the Powers of Darkness to aid her in her perpetration of evil.

This vision may be presented analogously in today's revolutionary social and political climates: unhappily no fairy tale! The bubbling cauldron has its counterpart in fomented social strife and discontent; the ugly witch is International Communism and its votaries, and what fouler ingredients are there than deceptive propaganda, espionage, and treason?

The cauldron of today gushes and hisses in South Vietnam, Indonesia, Malaysia, as it did formerly in Hungary, Cuba, and Tibet, and without doubt the Red Witches of Communism are cackling, and the powers of darkness need no invocation in this perpetration of evil against mankind.

Coming closer to home it would seem that the enemy, impatient over the undesired continuance of the White Australia Policy, has set about accelerating the onset of racial tensions in our own country by striking in the Northern Territory, and furthermore by striking through the Northern Territory Legislative Council. An explosive little package, in the form of a new bill, to be called the Social Welfare Ordinance, has been unwrapped by the N.T. Director of Welfare, one Harry Giese. This bill, if passed, will have the effect of removing all restrictions from aborigines. One Melbourne newspaper has described this bill as revolutionary, and indeed it is, but in a much fuller sense than was meant by the journalist. It is revolutionary in the fullest Marx-Leninist sense!

Now it is a natural attribute beating in the breasts of all decent people to hasten the day when men of different nationalities and race will happily co-operate together. This journal consistently points to those principles, which will enable this to become an actuality.

But in today's subversive fostered climate of racial equalitarianism (all men, black, brown, and brindle, are exactly the same) it is really not so surprising that such a bill as this is mooted. Of course, the Northern Territory Director of Welfare himself could be as naive as Bo-Peep about the implications of the bill, and (to disassociate him from subversive influences) we can only hope that such is the case; at the same time we are willing to wager that if the principles of this proposed legislation were traced back to their very source we would come upon a Red Witch cackling as she adds this new ingredient to the bubbling cauldron.

This bill, to become law, must first be passed by the Northern Territory Legislative Council, and then be recom-

mended for approval by the Minister for Territories (Mr. Barnes); the Governor-General's assent is automatic. The bill will allow aborigines to drink liquor, remove all controls over movement and employment of aborigines, and will allow European men to associate "in any way" with aboriginal women. It will also equalize European and aboriginal wage rates. As good a bill as could be imagined to inflame social conditions in our Northern Territory. But it is more than likely that much more astute minds than that of the N.T. Director of Welfare are at work. With more than a passing familiarity with the techniques employed by the Red Witches we believe that this proposed bill is yet another dodge designed with the purpose of marshalling world opinion against our White Australia Policy, whether the members of the Northern Territory Legislative Council realize it or not. It is not difficult to foresee screaming headlines in the world press—if the bill is rejected; and heaven help us if it isn't—"Australia supports Apartheid", or "Australian Government Endorses Racial Discrimination". In fact, we shall receive the South African treatment from world opinion, and won't the United Nations have a field day? The Afro-Asian bloc in the U.N. will be yelling their heads off in their attacks upon Australian brutality and colonialism; our presence in Papua-New Guinea will be "re-appraised"; Mr. Soekarno will be promising the downtrodden peoples of Papua-New Guinea "freedom and liberation". Perhaps some Indonesian guerillas will appear there as from nowhere, bearing United States weapons of course!

This bill could well be meant to bring about our intimidation by the United Nations (playing its appointed role) with a view to forcing the abandonment of our traditional immigration policies.

If we are to get the South African treatment we must at all costs give the South African rejoinder—uncompromising adherence to policies that we believe are right, and utter refusal to bow to intimidation from any quarter. We must support Malaysia to the hilt, and we must acquire tactical nuclear weapons, to be solely under our own control.

Like the ugly witches of the fairy tales these Red Witches of today will be confounded by the powers of Light and Good. Adherence by the nations of the free world to Christian principles and a display of faith and courage will yet hurl these cackling demons to the bottomless pit for all eternity.

NEWS COMMENTARY.

"PROGRESSIVE EDUCATION"

A number of observers of modern educational methods have in recent years warned that the emphasis upon making the child feel that he has no real value separate from the group has fostered the very philosophy, which aids the Communist advance. Writing in "The Tablet", Brooklyn, U.S.A., Theresa Mitchell has exposed what she terms "Group-Centred Culture".

"The child is an object of admiration to the progressive educationists only so long as he conforms to the ideas and activities of the group. If he differs from this collective organism, if he is truly an individualist, then he is 'mal-adjusted'.

"The progressive educators are anxious for the child to express his own ideas and his own individuality—so long as his own ideas conform to those they've tried to indoctrinate him into accepting. They are insistent that he must have the right to protest, to rebel against set patterns of behaviour, or to criticize model and moral standards—so long as he doesn't rebel against or criticize theirs.

"... There is a natural tendency of the individual to conform to the group. Conformity is fostered by simply encouraging the child to take the way of least resistance which very often will be what the group is doing, or what the group approves of, good or bad." Because of this tendency "the approval or disapproval of the class is a ready-made and terrible weapon with which to enforce such discipline as the progressive teacher thinks expedient.

"Human beings do not have a collective conscience or a collective mind. When 'majority' becomes a god moral principle goes out the window. Thus, it is a grave mistake to assume that there is no 'discipline' under the aegis of progressivism. It is the regimentation of the 'group mind' from which pupils need to be freed. The criterion for right is not the majority vote.

"When the Christian teacher governs the pupils in his charge he should not think of them as a 'group' at all. They are separate and individuals—to be moulded to the best of his ability, into 'other Christs'."

DE GAULLE'S BACKGROUND

When de Gaulle dramatically vetoed the Macmillan Government's attempted entry into the European Economic Community (the Common Market), the howls of rage from men like Bernard Baruch in the U.S.A. left no doubt in our mind that either consciously or unconsciously the French leader had seriously upset the grand strategy for driving Britain into a highly centralized Western European structure, a necessary preliminary for the next step of a trans-Atlantic Union, a concept first put forward by Clarence Streit under the title of Federal Union. The fact that de Gaulle has been closely associated, with the Rothschilds in France, his present Prime Minister being a Rothschild representative, raised the question of whether one of the possible explanations of the de Gaulle move was that the Rothschild group was in conflict with the Wall Street group of international financiers. Whatever the truth about this, there is little doubt that de Gaulle's current policies have the backing of the Rothschild group. And the

Rothschilds support the one world concept. Therefore, while de Gaulle may be antagonising other supporters of the same concept, the consequent controversy must be regarded basically as a difference concerning methods only. The presentation of de Gaulle as a genuine nationalist is false.

As this last statement will appear surprising to many, it is essential to recall that de Gaulle's deepest convictions were outlined in his two main works, *The Edge of The Sword* and *The Army of the Future*. These works were produced in the thirties. In *The Edge of The Sword* de Gaulle makes it very clear that he has thrown over all the old values, which could not be accepted in the new world evolving. And what sort of a world was this? Well, in *The Army of the Future* de Gaulle said that the new world order would require a small, professional international force to keep peace. He wrote. "France has always recommended the formation of an international police force composed of contingents from various countries." De Gaulle said that this force would be composed of professionals who would fight "without concerning themselves about motives". These views were expressed in 1938, and there is no evidence that de Gaulle has modified them since. How then can he be regarded as a spokesman for genuine nationalism? Can it be that de Gaulle is playing the type of double role that he played when he seized power with his promise to keep Algeria French? That his "nationalism" is in fact designed to help drive the world towards one world? de Gaulle's history is such that these questions require a serious study before de Gaulle's policies can be realistically assessed. But one thing is clear: The Rothschilds are closely associated with a politician pursuing revolutionary policies.

ISRAEL MERELY A TOOL

We have never been numbered amongst those who have believed that the creation of Israel was an end in itself. Israel was the creation of the Political Zionists, and it has only survived because of the enormous financial and other assistance given to it by the Political Zionists. But just as the controllers of the Zionist movement used the rank and file of Jews in Western Europe as troops, amongst whom some casualties must be expected, in order to further their long-term objectives, so Israel might well be regarded as expendable if this proves necessary. A brief examination of a few little-publicised facts confirms this view.

For some time Israeli spokesmen have been complaining about Western German scientists working for Nasser in Egypt, allegedly on rocket projects. Mrs. Golda Meir, Israeli Foreign Minister, has complained to the West German Government. But Mrs. Meir should know that she should be complaining to the American administration, which has supplied Nasser, increasingly coming under Communist influence, if not control, with the funds with which he can work on expensive rocket projects. The Political Zionists in the U.S.A. have always strongly supported the lavish American "foreign aid" programmes, which supply the funds to Nasser and similar people.

It is also instructive to examine Israel's voting record in the "United" Nations, and to bear in mind the numerous

(Continued on Page 4

IN DEFENCE OF HUMAN QUALITY

By D. WATTS

Now egalitarians are denouncing the policy of uniform development for backward peoples and advocating one of producing elites among them. That would have delighted the heart of Gilbert of the operas. In an elite one can believe; but the thing that intrigues is how the ideological jugglers manage to keep the policies of elite and equality both in the air at the same time.

Observation informs that it is possible because political propaganda has become largely a game of calling things by wrong names. You call a pig a peacock and then try to carry on a conversation about pigs and peacocks such as: The pig spreads his beautiful tail and wallows in the mud, so mud must be good for tails. Yes, and the peacock can only emit grunts through his snout and preen his shining blue bristles. With that kind of jumbling the propagandists have been able to play many very merry tricks: but the funniest trick of all has been to persuade the general public to take the business quite seriously—as least it must have seemed funny to the word jugglers.

A good deal of human aspiration and human obstinacy, human goodness and human weakness has made the game of words almost universally acceptable. All must bear some of the blame for the flight from political truth; but looking back over the history of the present propaganda, it does not seem unfair to place a large share of responsibility for the injurious make-believe upon the shoulders of the Americans. There might have been some healthy reaction after the last world war against the misrepresentations of leftist extremists but for the powerful influence of the U.S.A. in the propaganda and international spheres.

The False Picture of Americans

According to their own account, the Americans are a very peculiar people. They cannot stand up to culture. Under its influence they deteriorate rapidly. The well-educated American striving to develop in himself an ability to appreciate the best in literature, painting and music, becomes an intellectual snob. If he tries to set up for himself a high code of morals and to follow it, he becomes a "square". If he understands that behaviour can be beautiful and does his best to behave beautifully, he simply makes of himself that ridiculous object, "a stuffed shirt". The atmosphere of culture that an American creates in his home blights his children. His son becomes a weakling, and his daughter an alcoholic whore given to running cars over little children.

The only way in which an American of good education and with high moral standards and cultivated tastes can cleanse himself of their unhealthy contamination is to adopt a certain coarseness of speech and manner and to make himself a little cheap. He redeems himself entirely if he toadies to the better types of Americans—the slum-dwellers, the criminals and, of course, the Negroes.

The Negro American, on the other hand, seems from what one reads to respond somewhat more normally to cultural influences. Indeed, if educated, he becomes mentally

brilliant, able to make the vitiated, educated whites squirm beneath his superiority; while the light of his sensitive virtue shows up all the ugliness of their spite and false pride, their coldness and mean cruelties.

Many foreigners do not doubt the veracity of this self-portrait; but the more sceptical must suspect that it is but symbolic of that positiveness of opinion coupled with superficiality of thinking that has made words, the world over, propaganda missiles rather than tools of reason.

American ideology was born of two philosophies at war with each other—individualism and egalitarianism. At the time when the theories were married it seemed to be a good match to those who were taken with the handsome appearance of the parties and disregarded the differences in disposition.

The philosophy of individualism assisted a reaction against a once generally accepted idea, nearly as faulty as some at present popular, that there is an exact relation between rank and quality. A hereditary nobility called itself an aristocracy, and it and the upper middle classes were acknowledged to be quality classes.

The claim was not entirely unsupported by actuality. The members of these classes had not only evinced an ability to place themselves in a superior position in the community, but had continued to produce individuals of outstanding quality. They came to regard the civilized state with respect, patronizing learning and the arts, and they cultivated courteous manners. In comparison with the rough boors and rude hinds, they really were gentle men and gentle women.

With the improvement of the general quality of the community—that is, with increased civilization—men of superior quality appeared in the lower ranks. This drew attention to the fact that sometimes among the upper classes were persons of very poor quality. From that it came to be recognized that there is no absolute, inevitable relation between rank and quality, and that a man's quality is more important than his accidental rank.

All that is an old story; but now comes the surprise ending. After repudiating the proposition that there is an indissoluble relation between rank and quality, people right joyfully accepted the proposition that there is an indissoluble relation between rank and quality. To be sure, the quality class was then held to be in the lower instead of the upper ranks of society; but class and quality were still associated in men's minds, and very soon class became more important to them than quality, even as it had been in the old order.

Continued next issue

NEWS COMMENTARY

Continued from Page 2

reports from Africa which state that African terrorists are being trained in Israel. The Israeli Government, and their financial backers in New York, supported de Gaulle's betrayal in Algeria which, amongst other results, was responsible for the loss of most of the Algerian Jewish community. Presumably this community was also regarded as expendable in order to help bring the Communist Bella to power in Algeria. Israel has strongly supported all moves against South Africa, the Portuguese in Africa, and generally speaking has backed the whole programme of World Revolution. Political Zionism is closely associated with that revolution, and the promoters and controllers of this international power movement are in the U.S.A., not Israel, which is merely a tool.

Chickens Home to Roost in Cyprus

The Cyprus situation provides one of those many ironic twists we are witnessing on the world stage. The British have been recast from their former villainous role of the grasping colonialist to that of peacemaker. However, it is still the same conductor in charge of the baton who is using the British as stool pigeons for the success of his own play. Like all dictators Archbishop Makarios is finding that racial minorities can be very troublesome when it comes to fulfilling one's dreams of complete dictatorship, and the Turkish Cypriots have shown that they do not appreciate the tune the Archbishop has been playing. To solve the problem created by the Turkish Cypriots refusing to recognize that they can be eliminated by the same methods which were good enough for British soldiers a few years ago, Makarios, who at that time was arrested for inciting his followers to shoot not only soldiers but harmless women and children as they went about their household duties, has now called upon the objects of his hatred to save his dictatorship from possible Turkish invasion. But hark at the serpentian demands of the ever-arrogant arch witch doctor. The British are welcome back to save his Marxian hide, but not as the British, but as part of the United Nations. Perhaps like the black dictators of Africa he visualizes a clean sweep of all the opposition if the peace-keeping forces are under the control of this instrument of revolutionary force.

Tanganyika, Kenya, Uganda Also

While it is to the credit of that quality which is uniquely British that she responds to the cries for help from political children who should never have been given authority in the first place—if one can give something which is incapable of being accepted—it surely needs something more than the assurance given by Sir Alec Douglas-Home in a recent debate on Commonwealth Relations in the House of Commons when he explained why the British forces were in the above mentioned places and "therefore, the Commonwealth countries understand that when the chips are down the Commonwealth can rely on Britain". It is one thing to help promote Commonwealth solidarity, sadly missing when pressure was being applied to Britain to join the Common Market. It is another to go in and bolster up regimes, which are incapable of accepting those principles upon which the Commonwealth was founded. It is time that

the winds of change blew from the opposite direction to enable balance to be retained.

THE POLITICIAN

In all the struggle to find ways and means of penetrating the darkness that surrounds us none has made a greater contribution than the author of "The Politician", Robert Welch. The following is an extract from the prologue.

The American government had saved the Soviet regime from financial collapse in 1933, and given its prestige and credit the vital hypodermic injection, by recognition. The American government had saved the Soviet regime from destruction by Hitler's armies, in 1942 and 1943, through intervention with both supplies and military force. The American government had made possible all of the post-war expansion of the Soviet empire, by acquiescence and frequently by collaboration in the Soviet subjugation of other nations. But in the spring of 1953 the American people were no longer in a mood to condone such a partnership. The American people had begun to wake up to the extent of Communist infiltration into our Government and into every segment of our public life. They were, at long last, realizing the crime of "containment" and the folly of appeasement. And without the American government to hold over the Kremlin the umbrella of its protection, against storms rising on every side, the Kremlin faced a very precarious future. The sad truth is that this tyranny was actually saved, in this period of great vulnerability, by just one thing; by the inauguration, on January 20, 1953, of Dwight David Eisenhower as President of the United States. The circumstances of his election made it politically necessary for him to bring many good men into his government. The rationale of his election, and the temper of the American people, made it imperative for a while that he talk a good brand of anti-Communism. But the Communist influences, which completely controlled him, kept the reins of that control tight and effective. Subtly, cleverly, always proclaiming otherwise and finding specious excuses for what were really pro-Communist actions, these Communist influences made him put the whole diplomatic power, economic power, and recognized leadership of this country to work, on the side of Russia and the Communists, in connection with every problem and trouble spot in their empire. You only have to look at where we stand today, at exactly those same spots on the Scoreboard reviewed above, to realize the truth of this assertion and the extent of this Communist success. It simply was not possible to lose so much ground, so rapidly, to an enemy so inferior, by chance or by stupidity. The explanation calls for a very sinister and hated word, but one, which is by no means new in the history of governments or of nations. The word is treason. It is the province of this treatise to show the part played in these treasonous developments, however unwittingly or unwillingly, by Dwight Eisenhower; and how, as the most completely opportunistic and unprincipled politician America has ever raised to high office, he was so supremely fitted for the part.

The Politician, by Robert Welch, obtainable from The Heritage Bookshop, Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. 52/- posted.