

# THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

*"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"*

Vol. 30, No. 6

3rd April 1964

## EDITORIAL

### CANADIAN REPORTS CAST MORE DOUBT ON FLUORIDATION CLAIMS

Two recent reports from Canada cast further doubts on the general claims of those advocating fluoridation of public water supplies. In May 1963, "Archives of Environmental Health", a specialised publication of the American Medical Association, printed a paper by a member of the Canadian Research Council in Ottawa, in which many critical questions were raised concerning the fluoridation of public water supplies. Little or no notice was taken of this paper until John Lear, science editor of "Saturday Review", an American publication with a circulation of 300,000, used portions of the paper in an attack upon fluoridation of public water supplies. This attack appeared on January 4 of this year and immediately created a sensation in the U.S.A. and Canada.

It is significant that the Canadian National Research Council's research chemist responsible for the paper on fluoridation John Marier has stated, "Our paper was not an attack on fluoridation. I myself have been giving my son fluoride in milk — and he has no cavities after six years." Marier is merely adopting one of the main conclusions reached in the NRC paper that, contrary to the claim of the advocates of fluoridating the public water supply, any benefit from fluoride is obtained as a result of the fluoride ion attaching itself to the teeth as the liquid containing it is consumed. The NRC paper lists evidence contradicting the usual claim that fluoride reaches the surface of the teeth through the bloodstream.

Marier and his research colleagues produced their paper on fluoridation because Marier's attention was directed to the subject during research on calcium phosphate in milk. Marier's interest was first aroused when he read reports that calcium phosphate became less soluble and therefore less readily available to the body, when fluoride was present. Fluorides taken in milk are less dangerous than fluorides in water, because they readily pass through the body without being absorbed. If the Canadian NRC report is correct, that tooth decay is reduced as a result of the fluoride ion attaching itself to the surface of the tooth, then it is obvious, as Marier believes, that fluorides should be best given in milk, thus minimising the risks of body absorption. Fluorides in milk are quickly excreted.

Shortly after the *Saturday Review* article was published, reports were published concerning experiments by the British Columbia Provincial Health Department, which persuaded a sufficiently large number of parents to permit them to use a cheap chemical called acidulated fluoride phosphate. This was brushed on with a toothbrush. Those treated were compared with those who brushed their teeth with distilled water, and the B.C. Health Department claimed that results showed 40 percent less tooth decay.

Both the Canadian reports we briefly mention provide still further evidence that it is unnecessary to impose compulsory mass medication upon the whole community in order to obtain whatever benefits it is claimed are to be obtained from applying fluorides to the teeth. We offer no comment on the reports we mention, but they do provide further evidence disproving the sweeping claims of those advocates of fluoridation who state that fluoridation of the public water supplies is the only way in which fluorides can be used to prevent tooth decay. The same advocates continue to claim that no one will be harmed by the fluoridation of public water supplies. But the National Research Council of Canada paper raised the question of whether fluorides in the water supply can protect teeth without seriously affecting other parts of the body. In his article in *Saturday Review*, John Lear comments:

"Surely we have learned to respect this question. We have frightening examples from the past to justify it. Radium water was drunk by the glassful, with the approval of some of the most advanced physicians, until someone suddenly discovered that those who were drinking it were slowly disintegrating internally. Sulfa elixir was accepted as a cure-all for a while; when its full effects were realised, the drug laws of the United States were reformed as a consequence. X-rays were performed for every imaginable purpose in the belief that there could be no such thing as too much diagnosis; then the National Academy of Sciences in 1956 reported that X-rays were a greater peril to health and life than any other form of radiation, and the use of X-rays dropped sharply almost overnight. Anti-biotic worked wonders against some of man's toughest competitors among the bacteria; but the over-use of anti-biotics in a shotgun-type of therapy alien to modern medicine brought the removal of the anti-biotic division director of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration only a few years back . . ."

We continue to oppose fluoridation of public water supplies as a policy of mass medication contrary to the medical ethics of a free, Christian society in which the individual has genuine freedom of choice.

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

In view of what can only be described as a remarkable change in policy, but one, which is becoming more evident everywhere, we publish the following letter from one of our readers.

Sir,

On the 17th of March, 1964, the Melbourne Press announced that Mr. A. A. Calwell, the Leader of the Australian Labour Party, which is notorious for its "soft" line towards Communists and which has often collaborated with them in Trade Union elections, had been made a Papal Knight. The brief of nomination said that it was for "the signal service he had rendered to the well-being of the Church and the advancement of Catholic affairs".

It should be known that the socio-political philosophy of Mr. Calwell is diametrically opposed to that of the Church. One has only to read his book and the great Encyclicals side by side to be convinced of that. Yet, he has been knighted for "his signal service to the Church"! It is so fantastic as to make one's head reel.

The very inference contained in Mr. Calwell's prepared statement (prepared by whom?) that Archbishop Mannix approved of the recommendation is but an attempt to forestall criticism. Archbishop Mannix is now dead; he cannot deny the allegation, nor, conceivably, say that he was made to sign a document he had not read. It is impossible to visualise Archbishop Mannix, the outspoken enemy of Communism who repeatedly warned Catholics against Calwell's party, signing a recommendation for the same Mr. Calwell. The prepared statement is a piece of hypocrisy, and one would very much like to know the author of it, for it shows a subtlety unlike Mr. Calwell.

The Pope, therefore, nominated Mr. Calwell on the strength of a certain recommendation made to him. The fact that Calwell is now a Knight is immaterial. We might even rejoice with him, were it not for the fact that his nomination will have a very regrettable consequence: in the eyes of many Catholic voters it will "clear" the Labor Party, and this may be a tremendous setback for the hitherto influential minority of genuine Christians who fought Communism.

When Popes are advised by Modernists and Liberals, when the Church itself has been infected by the detestable errors that were condemned by Pius IX, Leo XIII, St. Pius X. Pius XI and Pius XII, one cannot help wondering whether the time is not ripe for a divine intervention.

Y. L. DUPONT,

28 Collina St., Mitcham.

The following letter written by one of our readers could serve as a guide to others, especially our readers who are farmers. It is suggested that trade with Communist countries should be only undertaken in exchange for real political gains in satellite countries, free elections in Hungary, Poland and other subject countries. We must learn to use our strengths to take the diplomatic offensive to the Communists.

The Editor,  
The *Wimmera Mail-Times*,  
Wilson Street,  
Horsham.

Dear Sir,

The Wimmera Machinery Field Days Committee's invitation to the Soviet Embassy should certainly produce some critical comment.

The reason for this invitation, as stated, was to produce, if possible, the sale of agricultural machinery to the Soviet Union.

In order to relieve some consciences and to forestall criticism it was also pointed out that both Britain and the U.S.A. were trading with Communist countries and that it would be a good thing for Australia also, particularly since Mr. Khrushchev has ordered an expansion of Soviet agriculture.

This, incidentally, is the same Khrushchev who told U.S. diplomats. "We will bury you, history is on our side," and who drowned the Hungarian Revolution in streams of blood, not to speak of his role of chief liquidator in the Ukraine under Stalin.

In view of this it is strange and frightening that there are still people in the West who are keen to trade with the Communists. It is true there have always been people who thought they could do business with the devil without harm to themselves or succeeding generations.

Those Western governments condoning trade with the Communists are obviously victims of this false philosophy.

Lenin, the evil genius of Communism, has predicted that the Western countries will give the revolutionary forces of Communism extensive material support through credit and trade. Lenin even went so far as to say, "The capitalists will even sell us the ropes with which we are going to hang them".

There is absolutely no evidence that the present rulers of Communism have abandoned Marxist-Leninist principles and would stop short of total world conquest. On the contrary. Khrushchev said: "I say now that we will never go against the programme of Lenin and will follow it in the future. We are not now going to reject what we have created."

Speaking in Warsaw to Polish Communists, he said: "We must realise that we cannot co-exist eternally, for a long time. One of us must go to his grave. We do not want to go to the grave. They (the Western World) do not want to go to their grave either. So what can be done? We must push them to their grave."

These words are certainly explicit and should be understood even by political ignoramuses of which, unfortunately, Western Leadership harbours more than a fair share. Failure to understand that we are engaged in the fiercest struggle the world has ever seen, can only have disastrous results. Looking the other way when danger is around is not going to evade danger.

Whether we like it or not the West and Communism are engaged in a type of war. It is the Communists who have declared this war, who have laid down the rules,

THE NEW TIMES—APRIL 3, 1964

which have enabled them to enslave more than one third of the world during the last 47 years.

Trade, to the Communists, is just another weapon. A stick with which to hit the West. Any proposal regarding trade with the enemy during the previous wars would certainly not have been tolerated. Why, then should the same principle not apply to the present situation?

With regard to this prospective sale of agricultural machinery to the Soviet Union, it should also be kept in mind that the Soviet Union recognises no patent rights, national or international. This means that the Soviet Union is not restrained from mass-producing any piece of machinery as soon as they have obtained **ONE** sample or the blueprints.

I am not suggesting that the Soviet Union could not obtain these blueprints, or even the actual product, anyway, after all she has stolen even the most secret plans, including the plans for the A bomb and H bomb, but why serve things up to her on a silver platter. Yours faithfully.

K. MOELLER.

### NON-INFLATIONARY EXPANSION

The following letter although submitted, and then re-submitted to the *Financial Review* for publication after a period of several weeks was not published. We can only conclude that the *Financial Review* is only concerned with reviewing orthodoxy. Like the Queen in the fairy tale of Snow White they only wish to see their own image, and not admit that there may be a better image and a greater reality.

The Editor,  
"Financial Review",

Dear Sir,

Credit disbursed for wealth destruction in World War 2 provided us with a surplus of spending money in relation to the short supply of consumer goods, yet without price inflation. After the war the accumulated purchasing power was drawn upon to create a stronger demand for consumer goods, and capital goods to produce them, than had ever been known before. Competing for workers, employers offered wages above standard rates. If employers were to remain solvent the higher wages had to be recovered through increased prices. And so the inflationary spiral was started: higher "costs" increased prices, then, to enable the sale of today's production, the "cost" of tomorrow's had to be increased, and so ad infinitum.

Change of land ownership requires special legislation, but as far as industry is concerned it was not the increased flow of money (bank credit), which caused inflation. The cause was increased financial "costs", nearly all of which are comprised of wage payments, past and present. The greater call upon the banking system's overdraft facilities to meet the higher financial "costs" was, and is, an effect.

Employers do not begrudge employees a share of increasing production, but it should have been realised long ago that it can't be done by raising wage payments, the purchasing power of which is cancelled by the inevitable increase of prices. Union leaders know this, but there is

a measure of truth in the allegation that they have a "vested interest in industrial unrest". Various schemes for beating the "vicious spiral" have been suggested, including one from a former Commissioner of Taxation, Mr. Ewing, of Victoria. Some, based on a misconception of the existing credit system, call for unnecessarily drastic changes. There is a way, however, by which present ills can be removed, while retaining and enhancing desirable features. It could be implemented in six months with far less bother than the change to decimal currency.

In brief, the principles are: Since all taxation, whatever the names, is paid from income, abolish all except income tax. Reduce prices by unloading the taxes from them. Let all credit for Federal, State and local Government expenditure be advanced by the Reserve Bank. **AFTER** the credit has performed its function of enabling distribution and construction, let it or as much as is necessary, be recalled through income tax for cancellation. Then repeat the cycle.

The credit would not pass through industrial costs accounts, therefore, would not be price inflationary. The rate of recall could be regulated according to the need for demand stimulation. Workers, and all others, would have an immediate increase of buying power by the removal of taxes from prices. Workers' income tax could be reduced, which, linked with lower stable prices, would provide the desired genuine increased share of the national production not transferable by self-cancelling wage manipulations.

I am, Sir, etc.,

G. K. TAVENDER,

Sevenhill, South Australia.

### CURE FOR PREJUDICE

"The Prime Minister (Sir Robert Menzies) today urged travel as a cure for prejudice," said a report from Sydney published in my daily paper of 3/3/64.

For the moment I thought my contributions to Sir Robert's extensive travels had been well spent. He had thrown off his prejudice against social and economic truth and would now set about the conversion of Finance, Government, and kindred institutions into servants of the people instead of weapons for suppressing them: swords into ploughshares, so to speak. Automation would be allowed to fulfill its intended function, and people would increasingly be freed to indulge in more travel to free themselves of their prejudice against freedom.

Alas, on reading to the end I found that what Sir Robert wants to rid us of is prejudice against the taking over of Australia by foreigners. He enthuses over the fact that since 1957 the amount spent by overseas visitors in Australia had increased from £6m a year to £27m. Now those figures simply show **WHAT TOURISM HAS COST US IN TERMS OF WORK**, for which we get nothing unless the credit is used to purchase needed goods from the country of origin, either by our own tourists, or as imports.

We are all for reciprocal "tourism", and persistently advocate a national financial and economic policy which would make it possible for more people to travel, if they

(Continued on Page 4

## PREMIER MANNING OF ALBERTA AND POLITICAL ZIONISM

The recent visit of Premier Manning of Alberta, Canada, to the Zionist State of Israel, and his statements to a Zionist audience upon his return, tend to provide further confirmation of the claim of competent and informed Social Crediters in Alberta, that the influence of Political Zionism played some part in the repudiation by the Manning Government of the tactics and strategy advised by the author of Social Credit, C. H. Douglas.

According to press reports, Premier and Mrs. Manning were the guests of the Israeli Government, which paid all their expenses. In his rather extravagant eulogies of the achievements of the Israeli Government, Premier Manning has made no reference to the original owners of Israel, the Arabs, nor to their plight since nearly one million of them were forced out of their own country. There does not appear to be any record of Premier Manning having ever offered one word of criticism concerning either the internal or external policies of Israel. Surely he is not unaware that in the "United" Nations, Israel has supported every major policy designed to advance the World Revolution?

It is an irrefutable fact that Premier Manning of Alberta is today regarded with special favour by the directors of Political Zionism. His Government is Social Credit in name only, contenting itself with providing what is judged by most standards, "good government". But "good government" is not Social Credit, as stressed by Douglas in commenting on the retreat of the Manning Government from the battle it was originally elected to fight. If Premier Manning ever renews that battle, we will predict that his Political Zionist friends will quickly let him know of their strong disapproval.

### TRUTH IS NOT FOR SALE

By D. TREVENA

Truth may be defined as the correct relationship of any one thing to all other things in the universe. Experience leads me to believe that the "plan" for truth has always existed, but it is man's purpose to discover it and arrange the right associations. There is no "new" truth, only new discoveries of truth.

Social Credit is a conception of a large and important part of truth mainly concerned with correct relationship between the human individual and the group, politics, Government, religion, economics and money. Establish this and the hindrance to further discovery is greatly diminished.

The Social Credit concept is not static. What appeared to be true may require revision because of the later discovery of a hitherto unrecognized factor. The evolution of the aeroplane is a physical illustration of the point. The Social Crediter is a seeker of truth. When he finds it he does not try to sell it; he submits it for examination, and gives it to all who are capable of recognizing truth. He has found that the majority of people are too mentally lazy to examine his discoveries, and a large section of the

others prefer untruth; therefore, progress towards the Social Credit State is unavoidably slow. Once sufficient people understand the truth, the first step is to relate money to economic reality. Persistent effort in this direction is essential all of the time, but it will be no more difficult through the existing Reserve Bank than a new special bank set up for the purpose. The change will not come overnight, but through a lengthy period of trial and error. Wrong thinking cultivated through many centuries, and its perpetuation desired by the "vested interests" in it, cannot undergo a spectacular correction; nevertheless that is what the Social Crediter must try for.

A Canadian writes that Social Credit does not catch on like it ought because Social Crediters are the world's worst salesmen. We confuse our customers with a wide variety of wares, he says, whereas we should be pushing one "fast selling item", the People's Bank. Although neither Social Credit, nor true Social Crediters, are for sale, we know what our friendly critic means. Three of "the wide varieties of wares that confuse our customers" are:

1. The orthodox economics of Alberta and British Columbia being advertised as genuine Social Credit.
2. Labelling as Social Credit, the "selling" of Australian exports on credit then cancelling the debt every seven years! This is worse than Communism: yes, worse than the present enslavement of producers.
3. Ticketing the "People's Bank" idea as Social Credit in a nut's shell.

A published wrong idea does at least carry the germ of thought stimulation to an apathetic public, but it is completely fallacious to suppose that misrepresentation provides a short cut to the Social Credit State. It detracts from the effectiveness of legitimate action.

### Cure for Prejudice

Continued from Page 3

so desire. And who does not to some extent? What we deplore is the mentality that registers physical losses as gains! To Sir Robert, tourism is "an industry which is producing in real terms for our country as invisible exports the ninth largest amount among our export industries". Admittedly, the work of supplying our produce to tourists is an "invisible export" as far as most people are concerned, but only because the wool has been pulled over their mind's eye by totalitarian agencies. To the realist there is no invisibility about losses by export.

It is the policy of the Menzies Government to increase such losses, even to the point of offering bribes for co-operation. Exports would not be lost if we received equal value of NEEDED imports in exchange. But no, Governmental objective is to get rid of the real wealth and hoard the "foreign exchange" until its buying power is curtailed by price inflation overseas. Rather than release a few millions for the purchase of know-how and equipment so that Australia could own and get the full benefit of oil and motor industries, the misnamed "Liberal" government favours hoarding of the tickets, and losing the industries to foreign investors.