

# THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by post as a Newspaper.

£2 per annum post-free.

Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

*"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"*

Vol. 30, No. 20

November 1964

## NATION-WIDE SMEAR AGAINST THE AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS VICIOUS ATTACK IN FEDERAL PARLIAMENT

The recent launching of a nation-wide campaign of smearing against the Australian League of Rights, and its National Director, Mr. Eric Butler, provides striking evidence that the League's expanding programme is causing something akin to hysteria amongst certain circles. Political Zionist spokesman I. Leibler has made the fantastic statement that Mr. Butler's "publicly expressed views do not substantially differ from those which paved the road to the extermination of millions of Jews". His attacks on Mr. Butler and the League of Rights were endorsed by Labor Members, all well known for their "soft" attitude on the Communist issue. In the Federal Parliament on October 28, Mr. Hayden from Queensland called upon Mr. D. J. Killen, M.P., to dissociate himself from the League of Rights. Dr. J. Cairns also made a vicious attack upon Mr. Killen because of his alleged association with the League of Rights.

The current smear campaign was initiated by Mr. Isi Leibler in an article in *The Bulletin*, Sydney, of October 3. Mr. Leibler arrogantly urged that Mr. D. J. Killen should dissociate himself from Mr. Eric Butler, and that Mr. Killen's colleagues should "prod" him to obey Mr. Leibler's demand. Also attacked was Mr. Norman Banks, well-known Melbourne radio and TV personality, who had also offended Mr. Leibler by interviewing Mr. Butler on his radio and TV sessions after Mr. Butler's return from overseas. Mr. Killen's "crime" was that for the second time he had been present at a League of Rights Annual Seminar. Mr. Leibler also reminded his readers that Mr. Killen had gone to the United Kingdom at the request of the League of Rights to campaign against Britain's proposed entry into the Common Market. Apparently Mr. Leibler has little real interest in the retention of the British Commonwealth. He claimed to have helped with the smear campaign against Mr. Killen in Brisbane *Truth* just prior to his visit to Britain.

Mr. Leibler's attempted smear in *The Bulletin* has completely failed. Mr. Killen exposed his humbug in most effective language, and also demonstrated that Mr. Leibler's memory is a little faulty. Mr. Killen recalled how Mr. Leibler had come to lunch with him at Canberra, and then tried to get Mr. Killen to denounce Mr. Butler. Mr. Leibler desperately tried to counter with his own version of what took place, but Mr. Killen's reply left no doubt in the minds of readers what had actually happened. And Mr. Killen's revelation opened the eyes of many people to the type of tactics Mr. Leibler attempts. Australians do not like these threatening tactics.

### Mr. Leibler's Defender

In answer to Mr. Butler's challenge to Mr. Leibler to produce some evidence of his alleged "Jew-baiting," Dr. John Playford of the Faculty of Economics and Politics at the Monash University offered what he claimed were a selection from Mr. Butler's writings. No evidence was offered whether in fact Mr. Butler had either written or was responsible for what was quoted. And there was

one complete fabrication. And no effort was made to deal with an objective statement from our columns that it is an unfortunate truth that the biggest percentage of top Communist agents in the West have been Jews, and that the Jews collectively have offered the least effective resistance to Communism. Large numbers of our readers are Protestants, but we have never been charged with hating Protestants because we observe that Communist penetration into the Protestant Churches has been far greater than it has been in the Catholic Church. Threats and smear campaigns are not going to prevent us from reporting and commenting on well-established facts.

### Another Kind of "Unity Ticket"

We do not believe that Mr. Leibler is a Communist. But it is most unfortunate from his point of view, and those who regard him as their mouthpiece, that he is receiving strongest support from Labor politicians like Dr. Jim Cairns. It may be that Dr. Cairns has on some occasion attacked Communist policy. But we have never seen such an attack. And Dr. John Playford who defended Mr. Leibler in *The Bulletin*, only recently was the guest speaker for the Communist-front organisation. The Jewish Council against Fascism and Anti-Semitism, whose most prominent figure is Mr. Ernest Platz. Dr. John Playford is to appear at the next year's Olinda Conference of the Victorian Fabian Socialists to deliver a paper on The League of Rights. Mr. Leibler's opening "shot" in *The Bulletin* referred to "anti-Semitic" "unity tickets". But it would appear that Mr. Leibler is also sharing a unity ticket; with the Fabian Socialists. And his attacks on Mr. D. J. Killen must delight the Communists. Mr. Leibler has never explained why, if he is a genuine anti-Communist, he persists in attacking one of the most competent anti-Communists in the Federal Parliament.

### Why League Is Being Attacked

So long as Mr. Killen continues to take the stand he does on many of the basic issues of our day, we shall report his views and his addresses without seeking per-

Continued on page 8

## **BRITISH ELECTION RESULTS THE BEST POSSIBLE**

Our British correspondents are all agreed that the British election results were the best possible under the circumstances. The results confirmed the prediction of those who said that the Conservatives would be defeated, not by a substantial increase in support for Labour, but by large numbers of traditional Conservatives refusing to vote. Dissatisfied Conservatives who did go to the polls, voted for the Liberals as a form of protest. Some also deliberately marked their ballot papers so that their vote was informal.

There appears to be little doubt that the Common Market question has been ended — at least for the foreseeable future. This should enable more positive thought to be devoted to strengthening the British Commonwealth. The Conservative defeat was necessary for a revival of genuine conservatism in Britain. But the Labor majority is so small that it should prevent any disastrous excesses by Mr. Wilson.

While Australia and New Zealand will appreciate any positive policies by the Wilson Government to improve trade and other relations, there is grave apprehension concerning a possible change in British defence policies. Although Mr. Wilson has made it clear that Britain will continue to support Malaysia against aggression from Indonesia, unless Australians and New Zealanders show a greater inclination to shoulder their share of military defence burdens, they may well find that the Wilson Government will readily accept any proposal which enables them to pull out of South-East Asia without losing too much face.

We regret that Mr. John Paul of the British Anti-Common Market League was not successful in unseating leading Marketeer, Edward Heath. But he polled a vote double that of the national average for independents. And the yeoman work he has done in recent years has helped to lay the foundations for a return to true greatness by the senior member of the British Commonwealth.

## **NEW TACTICS AGAINST CANADIAN CHRISTIAN ACTION MOVEMENT**

Mr. Ron Gostick, Director of the Christian Action Movement, reports that new tactics are being used by the enemies of this growing patriotic, non-party organisation. The tremendous campaign of smear and intimidation launched when Mr. Eric Butler toured Canada earlier this year failed disastrously to achieve its objective. Now shocking posters purporting to be authorised by Mr. Gostick, are appearing in many parts of Canada. A major effort is being made to deny mailing privileges to the Canadian Christian Action Movement because it is allegedly circulating "hate" literature.

The Canadian Christian Action Movement played a leading role in the nation-wide campaign of protest, which forced the Pearson Government to make at least a tactical

retreat in its campaign to pull down the Canadian Red Ensign as Canada's official flag. This campaign demonstrated what could be done with a realistic organisation. Building such an organisation is the key to winning the war for freedom against the mounting threat of the totalitarians.

## **RICH FINANCIERS AND INVESTIGATION OF COMMUNISM**

**Writing in the September issue of "American Opinion," Martin Dies, Chairman of the historic Dies Committee on Un-American Activities for seven years, relates an incident of great historical significance:**

"When a man acquires a national reputation, he can use his office to make a fortune if he is politically immoral. I remember that I was requested to meet with a group of very prominent and wealthy Jews at the Waldorf-Astoria, to discuss with them the subject of Nazism in the United States. The meeting was not publicised and there were present some of the richest financiers in the United States. I told them that it was my determination to ferret out and expose every Nazi or Fascist group in our country and every anti-Semitic organisation, which had any ties with Germany or Italy . . .

"When I made it clear to these men that I intended to investigate Communism just as vigorously and extensively as I intended to uncover and publicise Nazism, their enthusiasm was not very great . . . They believed that Russia was a leading foe of Nazi Germany and they could see no reason for an investigation of Communism.

"When the meeting adjourned, a man who had invited me to attend and to speak told me that if I would confine my investigation to Nazism and anti-Semitism I could be assured of unlimited financial backing. There was no doubt in my mind but that I could make several million dollars by simply limiting the investigation. In fact, as I have often reported, Mr. H. B. Swope had me come to his office and wanted me to head an anti-Nazi organisation in the United States, which he assured me would be supplied with unlimited money and with the support of most of the newspapers and radios of our nation. He said that, if I did not agree, Roosevelt intended to destroy me. Naturally I could not make any such agreement and I told him so. It wasn't long before Roosevelt issued a blast against me and all of the power at F.D.R.'s disposal was used to undermine both me and the Committee on Un-American Activities."

The result of the destruction of Congressman Dies was that the Alger Hisses and other top Communist traitors were left free to carry on their treacherous activities inside the American Government. Today some of the same rich financiers who helped to destroy Congressman Dies have been organising to destroy Barry Goldwater. And they threaten to destroy every patriotic grass-roots movement in the U.S.A. These movements are labelled as "hate-peddlers".

# A NON-BRITISH MIGRANT LOOKS AT THE BRITISH COMMONWEALTH

**Extracts From Paper By Mr. Karl Moeller At The 1964 League of Rights Seminar Over the centuries, many non-British observers have looked at the British — their world-wide influence; their institutions; their philosophers, poets and statesmen. Some of the comments have been critical to the extreme — like that of Napoleon that the British are only a nation of shop-keepers — others have been filled with admiration almost ascending to outright adulation.**

There are also many non-British European migrants who have settled either in Britain or in one of the Commonwealth countries who have, without reservation, adopted the British way of life, and some of them have even become staunch defenders of the Commonwealth. It is true, of course, that not all non-British migrants have such a record. There is for instance this despicable character, the atom scientist Klaus Fuchs, who was given refuge in England and who betrayed vital secrets to the Soviets. When I decided to leave war devastated Europe I had no difficulty in deciding that my future home would be in one of the British Commonwealth countries in preference to the U.S.A., Latin American countries, or French overseas possessions, all eager to have European migrants.

As I have pointed out, my ideas on British countries were rather idealistic and, as I found out after I arrived in Australia, not any more in keeping with reality. In fact, I came to the conclusion that I must have missed the real thing by about fifty years.

## **Retreat From Empire**

The full-scale scuttle of the British Empire had just begun with India and then Palestine. In Australia the communists were evidently active. Industrial strikes threatened to disrupt the economy. Prime Minister Chifley had to call on the army to keep essential public services in operation, and finally Chifley was forced to jail Communist Union bosses including the late Jim Healy, in order to prevent chaos. Press reports indicated that other British countries suffered from the same type of trouble.

As I thought that Communism was only a problem peculiar to continental Europe, I was shocked to find this conspiracy so deeply entrenched in a British country.

From experience I knew that Communism was the most vicious foe of any type of monarchy or any other form of civilised government. Every Communist confessed or otherwise, is dedicated to liquidate monarchs and all traditional institutions. This automatically makes every Communist not only a revolutionary, but also a traitor and a potential murderer.

This realisation destroyed my first dream to live in a one hundred percent loyal community composed of loyal citizens only.

In the years after my arrival in Australia I watched with increasing consternation the progressive break-up of the British world, which apparently had the full support of the Press and influential political organisations within British countries.

The specious arguments used by the internal public opinion makers to explain why this liquidation of British authority, and coexistence with the revolutionary forces

of communism was necessary and was desirable, began to confuse more and more people until we have reached a position today where confusion reigns supreme, with only a few people left to understand the principles involved in this power game.

It is not surprising, therefore, that one can hear, even from leading and apparently respected citizens of British origin, remarks such as that monarchies should be abolished because they are old-fashioned, costly and undemocratic. Or that the British have only exploited the people in their colonies and it is only right and proper that they should withdraw, and pay for their injustice.

## **The Attack On The Crown**

The first assertion is of course only a repetition of the arguments of all those revolutionary termites who are aware that a monarchy, and particularly the British monarchy, is the most formidable bulwark against radical change—a change as desired by the Communists and their socialist blood-brothers of various shades. They know that the easiest way to destroy the Crown is by introducing disloyalty to the subjects of the Crown.

As the present generation seems to be preoccupied with thoughts revolving around material things, a suggestion such as the Crown is an expensive institution and should therefore be abolished finds some appeal. In their short-sighted greed, some people think what they could do with the money allotted to maintain the Royal household. That this money, however much it may appear, is merely a tiny fraction of the National Budget is forgotten; forgotten also is the fact that whatever the nation's financial contribution may be, it is an investment, the assets of which defy calculation in terms of Pounds, Shilling and Pence, but can only be assessed by its benefits accruing to future generations, the same as past expenses on the Crown have given the British people a social order with maximum freedom for the individual member, which is the envy of many non-British people.

The contention that monarchy is old-fashioned and should be exchanged for a republican system is equally fallacious. Monarchy is no more old-fashioned than other institutions such as Parliament, the Courts, the Army and Navy, and the Police. Even if the power of the British Monarchs has been drastically curtailed over the last centuries, the King-or-Queen-in-Parliament still remains more than a mere symbol of freedom, it is still a political reality which stands between the common people and any would-be despots in Parliament. The protagonists of a republic should be reminded that republics are precariously devoid of an authority, which gives continuity as well as impartial judgment and protection to a nation.

Continued on Page 6

## "EXTREMISM" AND THE BRITISH ELECTION RESULTS

One of the most significant features of the British Elections were two Conservative gains in the Midlands, one at the expense of Mr. Gordon Walker, who now has to be found a safe seat in order that he can be Mr. Harold Wilson's Foreign Secretary. The Conservatives made their gains on the immigration issue, their candidates obtaining considerable support from traditional Labor electors who have come to resent the unpleasant results of a large influx of non-Europeans.

"Extremism" is one of the swearwords often used today to smear conservatives of any type. The two Conservative winners have been badly smeared by those who prattle a great deal about democracy, which they describe as the majority vote. But when the majority votes decisively against their views, they demonstrate who are the real extremists and totalitarians.

Although the following article was written by our contributor, D. Watts, just prior to the British Elections, it is even more appropriate now that the Elections have produced in the Midlands a clear manifestation of opposition to the Labor Party's race policies:

In *The Australian* of October 3 appeared an article, "Colour Prejudice Haunts the House of Tolerance", by Mr. Douglas Brass. Reading this and re-reading it, I am left wondering whether I am very stupid or Mr. Brass needs a psychiatrist. There may be a gentler explanation.

Mr. Brass is very much upset because Britain now has a colour problem that is becoming politically influential and may cost the British Labour Party some seats. The Labour Party in Britain is reaping what it sowed when it did so much to create the problem; but it is not hard to understand that, to a doctrinaire racial totalitarian such as Mr. Brass seems to be, there should not be any inevitability in the sequence that has occurred, and that the Labour Party should have reaped something entirely different. What bewilders me is that Mr. Brass, apparently a journalist of some standing and therefore, one assumes, of some intelligence, cannot find anything but wickedness in the British reaction against racial mixing — a reaction which repeats the reaction against that everywhere else in the world. To him it remains tragic, dispiriting and shameful; for to acknowledge that what is common may be normal would set the task of a weary and painful reconstruction of political and sociological thought upon new foundations.

To that journalist the possibility that the man who would be a Foreign Secretary in a Labour Government could lose his seat through "colour prejudice" is an "unsavoury irony." That possibility might be a devastating comment on the Labour Party's foreign policy, and to devout believers in that policy it might have a very nasty taste; but (and this may be where I am stupid) I cannot find any irony except that which Mr. Brass evidently does not . . . the irony of being hoist by one's own petard. From the tone of the article it may perhaps be surmised that the irony that Mr. Brass discovers comes from an assumption, which racial totalitarians have been trying to thrust down Australian throats — that we cannot have harmonious relations with foreign countries unless we give their nationals our country. Incidentally, many Commonwealth peoples are foreign, in the sense of being alien, to the British in everything but British citizenship — an arbitrary, not a natural, status.

### Reality Contradicts Theory

That Mr. Brass finds it ironical that British behaviour should contradict a theory that contradicts reality is con-

firmed in his anguished cry that the British Commonwealth Immigrants Bill is a version of the White Australia Policy. How horrible! To Mr. Brass the Immigrants Bill is un-British, intolerant. Britain's doors, he says, have always been open to the displaced and the unhappy. There is a great difference, Mr. Brass, between affording asylum to a few political refugees and opening one's doors to a flood from the wide world.

"Where," asks this despairing totalitarian, "can one turn now for the truly multi-racial society?" Why the dickens should we turn for it anywhere? How the doctrinaire pimples come out all over the propagandist! Multi-racialism was suggested in the first place as a solution of problems arising where a multiplicity of races already inhabited one country. To multiply races in order to have multi-racialism is to create an unnecessary problem in order to solve it; but to the totalitarian, multi-racialism is not the solution of a problem, but the destruction of individual groups preparatory to the destruction of individualism. A further consideration is that if there were a truly multi-racial society in Britain and nowhere else in the world, the strong inference would be that the British must be abnormal. This would not appear to be so to the totalitarian, for to the abnormal normality seems to be abnormal.

I mentioned the possibility of a less harsh explanation than that of neurotic obsession. This is that certain political theorists move in circles where only their own ideas and opinions are expressed, so that a wall of words shuts them off from reality. They have little, multi-racial gatherings, which are the twentieth century version of the much-satirised vicarage parties of the nineteenth century. Only nice people are present. They gush over some coloured visitors as the unctuous matrons and prattling spinsters of the last century used to gush over the dear vicar, and, as a compliment to the guests and in the name of cultural exchange, there may be foreign dishes instead of strawberries and cream.

### Mr. Gordon Walker's Legacy

Mr. Brass, himself, has the same primly distasteful attitude towards what he considers to be political nastiness as the vicarage-party spinsters used to have toward sexual intercourse and pregnancy. According to him there is a civilised covering up of disgusting racial prejudice among responsible people — racial prejudice being one of those

Continued on Page 7

## LEIBLER AND CO. FUNK DISCUSSION WITH ERIC BUTLER

Although Mr. I. Leibler had complained that Mr. Norman Banks was supporting Mr. Eric Butler and the League of Rights, and criticised Mr. Banks for giving a favourable picture of South Africa in his "World Diary" programme, he declined to appear on a 3AW programme with Mr. Eric Butler to discuss the issues he had raised. Mr. G. Tatz, formerly of South Africa, and now at the Monash University, and the Rev. D. Pope, prominently associated with the visit of former South African Communist, Mr. Solly Sachs, had also been invited to appear in the same programme, but adopted the same attitude as Mr. Leibler. One of the excuses for non-attendance was that Mr. Banks would not be an impartial chairman.

The management of the Melbourne TV station, GTV-9 then invited Mr. Butler, Mr. Leibler, Mr. Tatz and the Rev. Pope to appear on their Saturday evening Norman Banks programme under an impartial chairman. Mr. Butler was the only one to accept the offer. The other three would meet Mr. Banks, but not Mr. Butler. The weak excuse offered for not meeting Mr. Butler before one of the largest viewing audiences in Melbourne does not alter the truth that they, Leibler and Co., were afraid to debate with Mr. Butler in public. Mr. Leibler does not know the Australian people if he expects them to have any respect for him after his miserable and cowardly performance.

It is important to recall that following Mr. Butler's appearance on GTV-9, Mr. Leibler, in his capacity as Public Relations officer for the Victorian Jewish Board of Deputies, wrote complaining to the management of GTV-9. The "line" taken was very similar to that of four University lecturers who wrote a joint letter to the Melbourne *Age* complaining about Mr. Butler's appearance. When false allegations were corrected by the management of GTV-9 in *The Age*, Mr. Hugo Wolfsohn, senior lecturer in political science at the Melbourne University, presumably speaking on behalf of his University colleagues, had the barefaced effrontery to say that they could not recollect what they had previously claimed.

When Mr. Leibler, or any of his associates, can summon up the courage to meet Mr. Eric Butler in public, they may regain some of their lost respect with the Australian public.

## MORE "ANTI-SEMITISM"!

A leading Melbourne book selling firm has been displaying and selling copies of Hitler's *Mein Kampf*. We wonder if Mr. Leibler has run a campaign against this firm for promoting Nazism and "anti-Semitism"! Or does he confine his efforts to The Heritage Bookshop? The Heritage Bookshop has never stocked *Mein Kampf*, but it is prepared to meet orders from students of National Socialism. It also supplies Communist books to students of Communism.

THE NEW TIMES—November 1964

## SUCCESSFUL CAMPAIGN AGAINST MR. SOLLY SACHS

If it had not been for the Australian League of Rights, Mr. Solly Sachs, the former South African Communist, would have come to Australia without any challenge whatever. Although dire threats were made that serious action would be taken against anyone calling Mr. Sachs a Communist, no such action was in fact taken. Sachs showed that he was frightened when he withdrew from the Norman Banks GTV-9 programme in Melbourne. He bitterly criticised Mr. Eric Butler. Mr. Banks gave him a real thrashing on the ABC Four Corners programme, bluntly stating that he believed Sachs still to be a Communist. Sachs denied this, but when challenged at the Brisbane University, refused to give a definite answer.

The League's campaign was carried into the Federal Parliament, where the Attorney General also referred to Sachs' Communist background. Communists were prominent in meeting Sachs and organising functions for him in every part of Australia. Mr. Butler reports that the League has not finished with the Sachs question. He is awaiting a reply from the Rev. D. Pope of the movement known as South Africa Protest, to two important questions: Were the Australian people told that Sachs had been removed from his position with Christian Action in London, and the circumstances under which he had been asked to sever his connection?

The campaign on the Sachs issue was valuable training in demonstrating the value of the League of Rights' organisational structure. Apparently the Deputy Labor leader at Canberra, Mr. G. Whitlam, felt it was too successful. He complained, in an attack on Mr. Butler in the Federal Parliament, that he had been responsible for having the Sachs issue raised. He also showed his colours by joining in on the attack on Mr. Killen in the House, reading the *Dissent* smear, which we exposed in our issue of September 4.

## BRITISH NATIONS MUST SUPPORT SOUTHERN RHODESIA

The mounting international pressure on the Southern Rhodesians to submit to a policy, which can only lead to more chaos in yet another part of Africa, must be cheering news to the International Communist conspiracy. The anti-colonial campaign in Africa has prepared the way for the Communist advance. Prime Minister Ian Smith of Southern Rhodesia used some robust and realistic language when he said that the present British Government clearly prefers to negotiate with gaolbirds, murderers and Communist agents. The so-called nationalist leaders, rightly under detention because of their terroristic tactics against their fellow-Africans, speak only for themselves. The tribal leaders speak for the great majority of the African natives in Southern Rhodesia, and they know of the chaos and bloodshed, which will follow if the protection and guidance of the Europeans is removed at the present time.

Continued on page 7

Page 5

## **Mr. K. Moeller's Paper** Continued from Page 3

The U.S.A., which has borrowed heavily British traditions and which has perhaps the strongest written constitution, is an example of how a republican constitution can be subverted by a determined despot. After the 1933 American Presidential election, Franklin Delano Roosevelt moved into the White House. It was not long before he submitted and forced legislation known as the New Deal through the Federal legislature. The validity of this New Deal legislation was however tested in the courts, and was finally declared as unconstitutional by the U.S. Supreme Court. As Roosevelt knew that he would not receive the American people's support to amend the Constitution, he simply stacked the Court with his own followers, in true dictator fashion. Had there been a King-in-Parliament this usurpation of power would have been impossible without the removal of the King.

### **Monarchy And Democracy**

The charge that a monarchy is incompatible with democracy cannot be rationally discussed unless we know exactly what we mean by democracy. Unfortunately there are so many interpretations of that word with great variations that it has become the proverbial trap for young players. Some people mean by democracy the type of government we are enjoying at the moment, that is a constitutionally limited monarchy. The Communists say that only a Communist regime can be democratic. Many of the Communist countries refer to themselves as people's democracies—people's democracy of Rumania, Poland, etc.

We know very well that it is the Communist Party in those countries which rules and which is composed of less than 5 percent of the total population of any Iron Curtain country, while the other 95 percent wish the Communists to where they belong.

A third interpretation of the word democracy is, and it is the literal one, that it means mob rule. Up till 1928, the U.S.A. Army Training Manual gave the following definition of the word democracy: "A government of the masses. Authority derived through mass meetings or any form of direct expression results in mobocracy. Attitude towards property is communistic — negating property rights. Attitude towards law is that the will of the majority shall regulate, whether it be based upon deliberations or governed by passion, prejudice and impulse without restraint or regard to consequences."

If we accept this last definition, or the Communists' definition, as a basis to decide then we must admit that monarchy and democracy are incompatible, and I say thank God for that.

The Frenchman, de Toqueville, who incidentally was a great admirer of the British, declared, "democracy may lead to despotism or to freedom. It leads to despotism if nothing is set above the power of the majority." To this we could logically add that if something is set above the power of the majority it is not a true democracy any more, not in the strict meaning of the word.

I believe the time has come when the British people will

have to make a stand for the one institution, the Crown, to which they owe their status in the world; a status which would in my opinion even be greater had not the democratic idea interfered, particularly in this century.

It can hardly have escaped the notice of the revolutionary clique that despite their corrupting influence the majority of British people are still loyal subjects of Her Majesty. This is particularly true of the common people in the country. A revealing incident, which bears out this viewpoint, has just taken place in Western Victoria. A radio announcer of one of the local commercial stations, who had a flair for imitating other people, had taken off during a programme someone who appeared to be Queen Elizabeth. Shortly afterwards he was inundated by 'phone calls from disgusted listeners. The announcer was forced to apologize. He declared that he had not consciously imitated the Queen. It is not important whether this radio announcer did or did not imitate the Queen, the important thing is that many people jumped to the defence of the Queen, and had sufficient courage to tell a man where to get off, a man who they believed to be disloyal, derogatory or just plain ignorant.

### **Solid Core Of Loyalty Remains**

Despite decades of bombardment with subversive comments and arguments, there remains a solid core in the population. I believe this is true of all British countries. If this solid core could be organised to resist the subverters and to become as vociferous as their enemies, then the British world would have no problems and would go from triumph to triumph instead of retreat to retreat.

I think the time has come, for instance, to discard all this twaddle about British countries being democracies when this is patently false. Why not state that this country is a constitutional monarchy and state, at the same time that you are proud of it? No doubt there will be denunciations from our leftist friends, such as old-fashioned, reactionary, and out of step. But once the matter is explained, fewer and fewer people will be frightened off by these standard leftist "compliments".

One of the benefits which would almost immediately accrue if this political emotional word of democracy was abandoned, or at least toned down, is that it would be of no use to the Communists who at present heavily rely on it as a dialectical term for the sole purpose of hoodwinking the West, hence the official use of the word democratic in conjunction with the various Communist regimes in Eastern Europe and Asia.

Those who should find it hard to discard the word "democracy" immediately, and it may be difficult to drop it immediately and completely, should only use the word with extensive qualifications. This, in fact, could be an alternative to discarding the word. The qualifications should clearly express that voting both politically, with the political vote, and economically, with the money vote, must be responsible voting. It should be stressed at all times that there are no rights and privileges without responsibilities.

I believe that under present circumstances neither of these extremes is justified. What is required is a sober assessment of the facts as recorded by history and a positive application of these facts to the present situation, with the aim of finding an acceptable formula or policy to deliver to posterity whatever is worthwhile in the British heritage and still salvageable.

Before I migrated to Australia in 1949 I had, apparently, only distorted notions as to the significance of the British Commonwealth in present day World affairs. I still regarded the British as a race of Empire builders who went about this business with unexcelled single-mindedness. Through my school-day history and geography lessons, the idea that the British are the perfect rulers and administrators had taken hold of my imagination.

What impressed me perhaps most, were the assertions of almost all of my history teachers that the British are so dedicated and loyal to the Crown that they have virtually no traitors amongst their own people to worry about in wartime or peace, throughout their history. This, by European standards, appeared to be almost unbelievable, and it is little wonder that even in wartime Germany the German youth was urged to follow this example of loyalty, of course towards their own head of State.

As you know, this splendid record of British loyalty has been soiled, but only in comparatively recent times. The rot became manifest with the Burgess and McLean affair, and since then one security scandal after another rocked the British, undermining the faith of the British in themselves, their government and institutions.

### **Non-British Support British Institutions**

Another aspect of the British, which intrigued me beyond description, was their apparent ability to first defeat an opponent and then gain the former opponent's friendship and co-operation. The late Field Marshall Jan Christian Smuts would probably be the best known example of recent times. The fact that the French of Canada and the Boers of South Africa supplied troops and finance for the Imperial idea and cause should suffice as evidence.

### **Support South Rhodesia** Continued from page 5

By holding back the forces of revolution in Africa, the Smith Government is making a decisive contribution to the general struggle of Western Civilisation to survive. Support for the campaign against Southern Rhodesia is a form of suicide. Public support for the Southern Rhodesians must be mobilised right around the British nations. No group has been more loyal to the British link than the Southern Rhodesians.

If Southern Rhodesia is destroyed by the forces of revolution, the position of the Portuguese and the South Africans becomes more vulnerable. Readers of this journal everywhere should let their Prime Ministers and political representatives know immediately that they are strongly opposed to any betrayal of Southern Rhodesia.

### **"Extremism"** Continued from Page 4

"covert issues fostered in the shame of privacy." Indeed, any vulgar mention of the facts of the racial conflict in Britain seem to Mr. Douglas Brass to be inelegant in polite society. Thus, although the Labor Party's choice for the next Foreign Secretary, Mr. Gordon Walker, was Foreign Secretary in the Atlee Government, the foreign and impartial policy of which helped to set the ball of racial disharmony rolling, and a tenet of the Labor Party's creed is racial mixing, and Mr. Brass, himself, believes that there should be unrestricted coloured immigration into Britain, that journalist finds it hard to stomach the accusation that Mr. Walker, that good man, "let the niggers in." It is as though some horrid person were saying that the respectable man's offspring were - whisper it — illegitimate.

I do not know why a convinced multi-racialist who surely knows that multi-racialism without segregation must end in racial cross-breeding should regard such sayings as "if you want a nigger neighbour vote Labor" and "would you like your daughter to marry Harold Wilson?" as brutal smears. Though certainly the quoted sayings are meant to be gibes, a true believer in multi-racialism and racial integration should be able to convert them into recommendations. That evidently they cannot suggests that they have been trying to flout something inherent in sensitive human nature.

Mr. Brass remarks, "On the whole - - and there is something to be said for it - - the dirt is kept under the carpet." Could the idea that if what is dirt to us be kept out of sight we can pretend that it does not exist be more prudishly Victorian? I think the trouble may be that it is not swept under the carpet, for if it were, Mr. Brass would not be scraping it out again.

### **Past History**

When racial totalitarian theories were first put forward, those who accepted them held them to be incontrovertible truths. Now that attempted practical application has proved the theories to be fallacious, they belong, really, to past history. However, for a long time yet many people will cling to them and will continue to enjoy their happy, little gatherings of nice-minded multi-racialists, will twitter round the coloured Vicars of Marx and, symbolically at least, will eat their fried beetles or long-pig or whatever it is; but they and their theories belong to an epoch, not to all time.

History could not show us a situation more tragic and comic than that of those who commanded everyone to accept their ideological conception and political prescriptions on the ground that these are in the climate of present thought and in the present historical movement, being left high and dry on the shores of the Past by the tides of Time. That, Mr. Brass, is irony.

### **ENGLISH DONATION**

Will our anonymous supporter in England who recently sent £40 sterling please note that this donation was received safely. Many thanks.

## AN EVENT OF TREMENDOUS IMPORTANCE

### Freedom Congress — November 13-14

The Victorian State Director of The League of Rights, Mr. Kevin Hanger, has requested us to make a special appeal to all Melbourne and near-Melbourne readers to support the Freedom Congress to be held in the Empire Room, Federal Hotel, Melbourne, on Friday evening, November 13, and Saturday afternoon and evening, November 14.

This will be a complete presentation by Mr. Eric Butler of the League's basic course on the International Conspiracy and the strategy and tactics necessary for defeating it. History, economics, finance, politics, brainwashing, subversion and philosophy are all dealt with in this comprehensive course. Irrespective of how much they have read, or think they know, no person is properly equipped until he has done this basic training course.

We are at war, and the only way to win wars is to train properly and thoroughly. Then there must be realistic organisation. Readers are urged to be present themselves and to interest their friends. Surely every reader can bring one other person?

Although it is desirable that those attending should attend all four sessions, it is possible to attend less than the four sessions. A reduction in fees will be made. The fee for the complete course is £1, which includes afternoon tea on Saturday afternoon. £1/10/- for husband and wife.

Mr. Butler's first lecture, given on the Friday evening, deals with the question, "Is The Communist Threat Really Serious?"

This is the Course which produced the nationwide campaign against the Canadian Christian Action Movement earlier this year, and which the local revolutionaries fear because it relates theory to effective organisation and action. Enrolments can be made in advance or at the door of the Congress.

## BIG EXPANSION OF LEAGUE IN QUEENSLAND

The recent visit to Queensland by the Director of the League of Rights saw a big expansion in League influence and organisation. State Director Don Martin and colleagues are to be congratulated on their magnificent work. Four Schools were held. Mrs. Anne Neill, former undercover agent, participated in two of the Schools, one in Brisbane and one in Toowoomba, addressed one public meeting, and did one TV and two radio interviews.

Mr. Butler also did TV and radio interviews. The Brisbane public meeting for Mr. Butler was the best yet held. Challenged by a University student to meet Mr. Butler before the TV cameras to repeat some of the smears levelled against him in the Fabian Socialist journal,

*Dissent*, Mr. R. Forward, lecturer at the Brisbane University and a co-editor of *Dissent*, collapsed.

Once again we appeal to all readers to participate in the growing organisational structure being created by the League. Queensland supporters should contact the Queensland State Director, Mr. Don Martin, P.O. Box 3, Paddington, Queensland.

### Smear on League continued from PAGE 1

mission from Mr. Leibler or anyone else. Our reporting of Mr. Killen, or any other public figure, does not mean that the person we are reporting agrees with all our views. Nor does it mean that we endorse all the views at all times of those we report. We have supported Sir Robert Menzies on some issues, while criticising him on many others. The enemies of the Australian League of Rights, and Mr. Eric Butler, know as well as we do why they are intensifying their campaign against the League. They know that the League is expanding steadily, that it is having an increasing impact in Australia, and that it is building an organisational structure, which is going to make it possible to challenge successfully the policies of defeat, and betrayal, which this country has suffered for far too long.

### Mr. Leibler's Support

The success of the League of Rights can be judged by the smears directed against it. We are well aware that more attacks are already under way, or are being planned. The League expects these attacks. It would be disappointed if they did not eventuate. Every new attack further publicises the League and brings many new investigations. The League rates Mr. Isi Leibler as one of its best supporters. But we would like to warn this excitable and slightly hysterical gentleman that his antics are tending to produce that very "anti-Semitism" which he says he is fighting. But then Mr. Leibler knows that "anti-Semitism" is a weapon, which the revolutionary forces have used for a long time against Civilisation. It is a weapon created and exploited primarily to use the rank and file of the Jewish people to support policies inimical to their own best interests.

### Safeguarding The Individuals

The policies advocated by the League of Rights would safeguard the rights and liberties of all individuals. Mr. Leibler's suggestion that Mr. Butler's views could lead to the extermination of Jews is as fantastic as the recent warning by Adlai Stevenson who, addressing an American Jewish Congress, warned, "Goldwaterism is a movement which can result in 'concentration camps and gas chambers'." It may be, of course, that Mr. Leibler really believes what he says about Mr. Butler. If this is true, then he is a victim of some form of brainwashing, and we can only adopt the traditional Christian attitude: Forgive them Father; they know not what they do. But forgiveness does not imply weakness, as Mr. Leibler and those backing him, or using him, are going to find in the stirring days ahead.