

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 31, No. 7

July 1965

EDITORIAL

A NEW BRITISH COMMONWEALTH REQUIRED THE TRAGIC RETREAT OF SIR ROBERT MENZIES

Irrespective of what Sir Robert Menzies' personal views may be on many of the issues commented upon by the communiqué issued by the Prime Ministers' Conference in London, the truth is that by lending his support to this communiqué, Sir Robert has helped to strike a major blow against the very principles upon which a successful Commonwealth must be based. It is bad enough that the communiqué should attempt to influence the internal policies of Portugal and South Africa, two non-Commonwealth nations; but the decision concerning the self-governing colony of Rhodesia was outrageous. If the British Government attempts to act upon this decision, this will be the end of the Commonwealth in its present form.

In attempting to assess the Australian Prime Minister's failure to take a firm stand on fundamental principles concerning the Commonwealth, it should be recalled that the Menzies Government retreated on the West New Guinea issue under Washington pressure. Sir Robert may be attempting to keep on side with the Johnson Administration, which talks about halting the Communist drive in Asia while at the same time it works in Africa to remove all obstacles to the red flood. No one has campaigned harder against Rhodesian independence than President Johnson's UN representative, Adlai Stevenson. Stevenson has lined up with the Communists in attacking Rhodesia, South Africa and Portugal. Australia's future cannot be assured by attempting to placate Adlai Stevenson and the forces he represents. Sir Robert Menzies has admitted, in a press interview, that China was penetrating the Commonwealth through some of the African States. Why then does he agree to communiqués, which aid the strategy of Communist China in Africa? Surely it is better for the senior member of the Commonwealth Prime Ministers to make a call for the reorganisation of the Commonwealth on a realistic basis, one that ensures that those nations belonging to the Commonwealth do not sabotage one another? The truth is that the Commonwealth cannot continue in its present form. Many of the African members only continue with the Commonwealth because of what they believe they can get out of it. But they accept no responsibility towards other members.

Formal Rules Required

As Sir Robert Menzies has nearly reached the end of the political road, surely his one last major act of statesmanship could be to make a blunt call for the reorganisation of the Commonwealth. He is the one man who could do this. Sir Roy Welensky has given a lead with his proposal that there should be some formal rules. There should be acceptance of the Crown, a firm military alliance, non-interference in one another's affairs, and civilised standards of behaviour. Those who cannot accept

these rules have no place in the British Commonwealth "club". Those wishing only to have trade and other loose ties might be accepted as associate members. But those serving revolutionary purposes should be bid farewell. The British Commonwealth still offers a great hope to the world. It is still capable of inspiring leadership in every field of human endeavour. It can lead the way into real international co-operation and the elimination of friction resulting primarily from financial and economic policies, which do not serve true human purposes. But it desperately requires leaders capable of vision. Perhaps the Rhodesian issue will precipitate the crisis, which will produce this leadership?

Over his long career, Sir Robert Menzies has eloquently espoused many excellent principles, only to retreat later under pressure without making a real fight. It will be noted that the Prime Ministers' communiqué did not make any reference to the internal or external policies of the most vicious colonists of all time, the Communists. Criticism was reserved for a former member of the Commonwealth, South Africa, forced to leave the Commonwealth because Dr. Nkrumah and others insisted that they should dictate South Africa's internal policies. Also for Portugal, a loyal member of the Western anti-Communist alliance. If the Australian Prime Minister is going to join with the Nkrumahs in criticising the internal policies of nations friendly to Australia, then he has no defense if Australia's internal policies also come under criticism. There is little doubt that this criticism will be made at future Commonwealth conferences if present developments continue unchallenged.

Beyond doubt the most dangerous portion of the Prime Ministers' communiqué was that dealing with Rhodesia. Before attending the Prime Ministers' conference Sir Robert Menzies had said clearly that the question of Rhodesia's complete independence was one to be decided exclusively between the British and Rhodesian Govern-

Continued on page 8

THE INTERNATIONAL CONSPIRACY IN HEALTH

By Dr. J. N. HALDEMAN

Jesus Christ, the greatest healer of all time never suggested that anyone be compelled to take His services. For good reason nothing annoys the collectivist-internationalist more than to bring in the name of Jesus Christ. Let us examine the Christian outlook toward human beings, versus the activities of the promoters of the International Conspiracy that would place us in the category of animals or livestock in a totalitarian World State.

Rather than people being just animals, as "higher education" so often teaches, human beings are the highest example of the Divine Will, and what primarily differentiates him from the lower orders is his initiative. The Collectivists are engaged in an organized attempt to drive people down to the animalistic State to become a number in a card index. To see how successful this programme has been, just examine how many pieces of paper, each with its number, a person requires to carry on his daily activities.

Christ said: "The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath." On the same basis this means that all man-made institutions have only one excuse for their existence and that is to serve the people concerned — but this is twisted to compel man to serve man-made institutions.

In the Christian concept, systems, procedures and plans are made by man for man, and the interests of the individual are above all these. The Collectivist-Internationalists reverse the Christian concept and make systems, procedures and plans the aim and purpose of man.

The anti-Christian origin can be spotted immediately by the compulsive measures enforced on the individual concerned, with no provision for him to contract out if he does not like it.

Laws, regulations and controls are necessary in any orderly society so that the activities of one will not injure others, but the Collectivists elevate these into an end in themselves. Their object is to control; their philosophy, "the end justifies the means".

Every time we give up some of our freedom, with responsibility, to that extent we are giving up some of our Christianity. The strength of the Voortrekker founders of the South African Republics was that they understood this and would act to defend their freedom and beliefs.

State Medical Services

As early as 1911, the Fabian Society began to promote a programme for National Medical Service. This was followed by Political and Economic Planning with the most comprehensive report drawn up by Wm. Beveridge, Director of the London School of Economics and Political Science (1919-37). Their programme, where introduced, has been a soul-less machination.

In health matters it has been most unsatisfactory to both doctor and patient. Good doctors advise and treat patients individually, whereas a State Medical Service must standardize procedures and treat a mass condition - - "the disease" — but there is no such thing. Your health is different from my health. Your disease is different from my disease, inconvenient as this may be to the Collectivists.

The good doctor is the one who takes into most serious account the individual differences of his patients. That is what people are entitled to in a free society. To get the best in service an individual must contract directly with one who has specialized knowledge and training in health matters, otherwise the effectiveness of the relationship is reduced and the skilled doctor is devoting much of his time to filling out forms.

The sick patient is chased from specialist to specialist, and from office to office to get permission for the work done. The Doctor is so over-worked on trivial calls that he has not the time or energy necessary for needy cases.

Centralized health schemes lead to a situation where each patient and doctor has his name and number on State dossiers, and the "omnipotent" State, which can do no wrong, looks after him from "conception to cremation".

Along with the acceptance of any State Health Service people give up their freedom and responsibility. This is epitomized in the story of the Soap Box Red, with a heavy foreign accent, haranguing his audience: "Come the revolution, everybody get big house on hill. Come the revolution, everybody has big limousines. Come the revolution, everybody eat strawberries and cream". A voice in the audience, "But I don't like strawberries and cream." Soap Box Red, with emphasis - "Come the revolution, you *gotta* eat strawberries and cream."

A Dangerous Obstacle

Freedom of choice -- the freedom to contract out, is the most precious privilege anyone can have. It is based on the Christian concept of the worth of the individual. Any time that any idea or programme infringes on this privilege, it is not for our individual welfare. The Collectivist says we must give up our individual advantage for the collective advantage. When a Christian subscribes to this, it is the result of the concentrated, intentional brainwashing done by the International Conspiracy.

The idea of Freedom is the most dangerous obstacle to the Conspiracy, so they have proceeded to use every means of communication (they practically control them all) to distort the meaning of Freedom. They use it continually to mean the control of responsible White people by Black or Coloured political puppets. Freedom is associated with affairs such as in the Congo. "Freedom fighters" is a term frequently associated with guerilla warfare, sabotage and atrocities.

The Freedom to choose or refuse by responsible people, the all-important freedom, is sidetracked to mean freedom from fear and want, and freedom of worship and speech,

which is available to anyone in gaol and is of no danger to the Conspiracy.

The Internationalists

Capitalizing upon an inherent fear of ill-health and death, the Internationalists found that drugs were the most lucrative of all industrial enterprises with up to a 10,000 percent profit (Kefauver Report, U.S.A.). By salting away these enormous profits in tax-free Foundations they have gained control of the policy of Medical Associations, Medical Schools and Health Departments throughout the world. By enormous advertising and public relations campaigns they have brainwashed the public and governments into accepting the anti-Christian infringements on human liberties and are making ever-increasing strides towards the acceptance of the totalitarianism of their World Slave State. The International Conspiracy recognized that health would be one of the easiest and best means of infiltration for their purposes.

The London School of Economics was financed by Sir Ernest Cassel specifically "for the purpose of training the bureaucracy of the future Socialist State". Many of those who have done so much to advance socialism in the world, from Kennedy to Kenyatta, have had their training and inspiration from this source.

The Rockefellers

The great forward movement in controlling international drugs and world medicine began with the rise of the Rockefeller Empire, when John D. discovered that he could sell a product that cost him a fifth of a cent for fifty cents. (*The Super Drug Story*, by Morris Bealle). From a peddler's cart this enterprise grew to a ten billion dollar Drug Trust.

As the money poured in through concentrated, ruthless exploitation, with no holds barred, Rockefellers gained a major control of the world oil and drug production. Through their family connections they went into innumerable other businesses, industrial and financial enterprises, to become, next to the Rothschilds of Europe, the most powerful family in the world. They financed a number of communist newspapers such as P.M. (now defunct). They acquired control of newspaper chains (including the *New York Times*) and radio networks, film production companies, and the most leftist of the international news agencies, Associated Press. In *The American Rich* by Nickerson, an associate of Rockefeller, their programme for business consolidation and the suppression of human freedom is outlined.

International Finance is the directing force for the International Conspiracy. Communism has been the chief political weapon, although all liberal and leftist movements have been used to the limit of their possibilities. The Communist State is the banker's paradise. The banks control everything in these countries, and kick out persons such as Khrushchev, when they are no longer useful to them.

Rockefeller's bankers, Kuhn, Loeb and Co., along with the Rothschilds of Europe, financed the Communist rise to power in Russia. The Rockefellers made a deal on

Middle East oil with the Communist Government of Russia. This was one of the conditions for the United States recognition of the U.S.S.R.

Mind Control

Alger Hiss, top communist agent, was appointed Chairman of the Rockefeller-controlled Carnegie Foundation, and never failed to support their interests. Many other top communist agents were, and still are, given high positions in Rockefeller-controlled institutions. The major Foundations and Philanthropies are controlled or cooperate with the Rockefeller organization. Thirteen foundations to 1964 have had a total accumulation of R4,320,000,000 tax free. An objective of these Philanthropies, they have said, is to arrange that "THE PEOPLE YIELD THEMSELVES WITH PERFECT DOCILITY TO OUR MOLDING HANDS." (*Occasional letter No. 1 of G.E.B.*)

In a meeting that international finance tried to keep secret, Senator Nelson W. Aldrich, whose daughter married a Rockefeller, launched a comprehensive programme to control teaching in the Universities of the world. The (Rockefeller) General Educational Board by 1924 had spent sixty-five million dollars for the stated purpose of "influencing the character of scholarship and teaching." (G.E.B.) This became "the most powerful educational Foundation in the world" Nevins, not only influencing but also dictating, appointments to University faculties. The Institute of International Education was financed by the Carnegie Foundation for the exchange of students and teachers to promote their concept of international "goodwill and understanding."

"Authentic" and "unbiased" textbooks, promoted and endorsed by the Conspiracy are readily accepted by educational institutions. The Encyclopedia Britannica is a Rockefeller enterprise, infiltrating leftist propaganda into education. Other encyclopedias are under the control of the Conspiracy.

Wherever possible communists and leftists have been given a preference in University positions and were always given important build-ups in the international-controlled press. They included men such as Harold Laski (Harvard and London School of Economics) and Owen Lattimore, an expert in espionage (John Hopkins). The control of Social Science Studies and Mental Health received special attention.

"Progressive" medicine is encouraged. This includes liquidation or sterilization of the mentally unfit, which ultimately means the political opposition. Mercy killings, artificial insemination and compelling the sick to accept treatment prescribed and severe penalties to parents who reject the treatment prescribed for their children, is the result.

The "God" Expert

The objective of the expert and scientist who is a Christian is to discover and utilize the wonders of God; but physical scientists are brainwashed into a materialism, which denies the existence of God. As a Christian the

scientist places his skill and services at the disposal of his fellow man but so often the collectivist-materialism comes to the fore, and he is a party to trying to compel others to accept what he thinks is good for them. Instead of serving God, he tries to be God, and man who is in the image of God has never been successful or satisfactory as a God.

The Conspiracy encourages the God-expert and the God-scientist to impose, by compulsory regulation, his ideas on his fellow man, and brainwash the public into accepting these impositions. Men, who are otherwise Christian, parrot the ideas of the enemies of Christianity such as "man does not know what is good for him," and such utter nonsense as "a man does not know when he is sick." Therefore the God-scientist, the God-State, must compel him to do what they think is good for him.

The "do-gooders" jump on this bandwagon. They insist that other people must be compelled to do what they think is good for them. The Christian way is to try to persuade or induce others to do what is right, but leaving the freedom of choice, as long as it does not interfere with the freedom of others, up to the individual concerned. One is a policy of compulsion, the other a policy of inducement.

Medical Aid Societies

Voluntary contributory sick funds and medical aid schemes are a privilege in a free society. But when they are made compulsory it indicates that they have received their inspiration from anti-Christian sources. Doctors and patients are then compelled to conform to the controls and dictates of a third party that assume that doctors and patients do not know what is good for them. Compulsory membership induces people to be ill as that is the way for a member to get value.

Instead of compulsory schemes, why not investigate why any sick benefit scheme is necessary? Why the high cost of illness? (This could soon be traced to the Drug Trusts). Why the shortage of purchasing power in the hands of the people in this age of abundance, so they cannot personally contract for their own health requirements? The cause of this could soon be traced to a faulty financial system.

Doctors, both medical and non-medical, swear on oath they will do their best to attend to the sick whether they have money or not; but costs are piled up on both doctors and patients, creating insecurity. This has been arranged by the Conspiracy as a pre-requisite to their introduction of Welfare States leading to world dictatorship.

Communist and other totalitarian governments always support the medical monopoly and the elimination of health freedom. They eliminate non-medical health practices regardless of the public demand or the benefit to health they render.

Political Medicine

The birth of Organized or Political Medicine, as we know it today, and the launching of the major attempt to effect a medical monopoly began in 1910, when a Rockefeller protégé, "Doctor" G. H. Simmons, gained

control of the American Medical Association.

Simmons, it was subsequently revealed, had no medical education whatsoever, and was a noted "advertising quack abortionist" who had acquired a M.D. degree from a diploma "mill". (*Rockefeller-Internationalist*. E. M. Josephson, M.D.).

Simmons was so successful in his monopolistic efforts that he succeeded in closing half the medical schools in the U.S.A. Although some of these schools were of low standards, that was not the issue as stated. If a medical school was to continue it was compelled to accept the assistance and control of the Drug Trust operating through their henchmen in the American Medical Association. Today, if medical schools started an independent research into the nefarious activities of some of those who donate to their schools, they would soon find themselves in serious difficulty.

Simmons was eventually succeeded by Morris Fishbein, a "hatchet man" for the Rockefellers. He never completed his medical course or practised medicine. These two notorious medical dictators controlled U.S. medicine, and were a major influence on world medicine for over forty years. The American Medical Association receives ten times as much from advertising as it does from membership. It has always been an instrument to further the Medical Monopoly and the elimination of health freedom.

Every medical association in the world, every medical university, and every medical practitioner have regular medical representatives pounding on the door promoting the Rockefeller solutions to human problems and a subtle indoctrination at a high price.

If South Africa, being a Christian nation, undertook some real health research into these matters, the world press and the United Nations would soon forget about apartheid and concentrate on a much greater danger to world power.

The Milk Monopoly

There is no limit to the activities of the Rockefeller Drug Trust. They have succeeded in obtaining a virtual milk monopoly in the United States. For some reason there are too many people in South Africa who seem to approve of too many things that work badly in other parts of the world. In the U.S.A. the Milk Trust succeeded in tripling the price of milk and degrading its quality. The milk monopolies are engineered by Health Departments who have been fed the Rockefeller propaganda over the years. The methods are mainly (a) extensive use of propaganda paid for by the taxpayers, which the departments call "health education," (b) through health regulations, (c) by monopolization of milk distribution and (d) by compulsory pasteurization. "You gotta eat strawberries and cream."

Under the milk monopolies a systematic synthetic adulteration of the milk supply goes on to increase profits. Poor quality and poor service with increased prices are the natural result. Of course, this is "all for the good of the health of the people."

Radiation Scare

Rockefeller associates in the U.S. Government gave Soviet Russia the atom bomb, and also "gave" a couple to Japan after she was defeated, resulting in the ruin of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. This made it possible to subject people to world propaganda scares. But the propaganda never mentions that some people, only a quarter mile from the centre of the blasts, received no injuries and are today living healthy lives. Of course, recklessly throwing atom bombs around is not a desirable diversion.

To slow down the West's atomic development programmes, the Rockefeller indoctrinated Medical Associations throughout the world, and Governmental Health Departments, through the controlled press, sponsored a mass radiation scare. The regular competent use of x-rays constituted no menace to health, but while governments, medical associations and the public were frightened by the radiation scare, mass x-ray examination of people to catch the rare and elusive T.B. bug went on unabated.

It is easy to understand that the Conspiracy feels that any medical intervention, so long as it is in mass, is a desirable procedure. The world opinion makers gave no space to the report of leading insurance companies that "atmospheric testing could be carried on for years without approaching the perils of falling downstairs in the average American home, or of simply crossing the street". (*You can Survive the Bomb* by Col. Mel Marrence).

The Invisible Government

The Council for Foreign Relations (Rockefeller) and the Royal Institute of International Affairs (Rothschild) were conceived at a dinner in Paris in 1919. The C.F.R. has among its membership top men in finance and government and is known as "The Invisible Government" of the United States. It has had, among its members and associates, top communist agents such as Hiss, Currie and White. The Advertising Council of the C.F.R. has a major, if not the complete control, of American advertising.

Dan Smoot says in *The Invisible Government* "The new 'mental health' laws, which the Advertising Council is helping to persuade people in all States to accept, eliminate the constitutional safeguards of a person accused of being mentally ill, thus making it easier for bureaucrats, political enemies and selfish relatives to commit him, and get him out of the way." An "Invisible Government," working to carry out the objectives of the International Conspiracy, is operating in every country.

Mass Medication

The promoters of World Government have always been behind mass vaccination programmes. If anyone thinks he is completely safe and protected by vaccination, and he is always given the assurance that he is, then there is no reason why he should not be vaccinated. If he is then completely safeguarded through his vaccination then there is no Christian reason to give it to the mass or make it compulsory.

Those who do not want it cannot possibly be any menace to those who have it, but "you gotta eat strawberries and cream."

Every health procedure, whether anyone thinks it is beneficial or not, must have positive legal and known safeguards to health freedom. There must be a guarantee of a man's Christian prerogative to look after himself, with God's help and without compulsion. Because questionable and unqualified practices in health must receive some attention, Political Medicine uses this to tighten its Medical Monopoly. A monopoly is more evil than any abuses it is supposed to correct.

Huge hospitals are a monument to the ineffectiveness of the medical monopoly of health facilities in which the human factor has become of so little importance. Removing the monopolistic medical control and giving free access to all facilities to non-medical health practitioners would result in greatly reduced hospital requirements.

Compulsory medication originated from the totalitarian concept that the State is responsible for people, rather than from the Christian concept that the State is responsible to people. The State is responsible for indigents and those who may be classed as wards of the State, such as the Native population of South Africa. The State has the right to do for them what it thinks is best, the same rights as the parents have for their children.

Fluoridation of Water

The ideas of mass medication come from the brainwashing programme of the Conspiracy under the guise of health education. One of the latest is fluoridation of water supplies.

Every effort is made to try to keep people thinking about whether fluoridation is good or bad for them on the assumption that if it is a good thing it must be introduced and everybody must be made to accept. This over-riding concept is so powerful that any amount of facts indicating that fluoridation is NOT good and IS bad, takes second place to the introducing of mass medication.

The Planned Economy

The world planners always have their supporters and counterparts in local government. Instead of the rule of law and proper control by Parliament they strive for rule by regulations, hatched up by faceless bureaucrats to extend their empire at great cost, financially, and to the detriment of the Christian concept of freedom. Christianity is not a plan, it is a philosophy; a way of life which is the antithesis of the planned economy. Yet people have been so brainwashed that they feel that planning has become one of the major virtues by which we may overcome the evils imposed on Society. Individually and nationally we must make some plans, but the dialectical materialism of socialism has elevated this into being a master rather than a servant.

"Political and Economic Planning" frankly stated "that war and threat of war" will be used to force countries "to embark on large scale planning." A ditty explains it.

"One plan needs another plan

And a third plan to unit 'em.

And then the first time things

go wrong,

Another plan to right 'em,
And that plan calls for other
plans
And so ad finitum."

War and Peace

For military service, in defence of his country, a man will give up individual freedom, but here again the propaganda is spread that military or totalitarian procedures are desirable and should be enforced in all phases of national life. This is contrary to and, in fact, the opposite of the Christian concept necessary to the greatest individual and national achievements. The difference is that, in one case, a man is willing to die for his country and in the other, he must live for his country.

The end and purpose of man is to serve God, and we can be sure that man will make the best progress toward the goal that God has chosen for him if he is in a position to use his individual initiative and maintain his

right to choose or refuse, with responsibility, the things in this life. Man is responsible for himself and to God.

Man must be in a position to stand on his own feet. He must be able to choose for himself what he wants. In entering any voluntary association with others there must not be any penalty for contracting out if he does not like it, provided that his activities are not to the detriment of others.

Only by following the example and guidance of Jesus Christ will man be able to successfully combat the evil forces of the International Conspiracy and achieve the greatness for himself and his country to which his God-given possibilities permit.

"To act is to live; to live is to choose; and to choose is to evaluate." (MacIvor).

"The conditions upon which God hath given liberty to man is eternal vigilance which condition if he break servitude is at once the consequence of his crime and the punishment of his guilt." (Curran, 1790).

SPOTLIGHT ON THE CULTURE DISTORTERS

Although the following article was written for the readers of The South African Observer, readers of the New Times, especially parents who watch the antics of so-called "entertainers" and their effect upon the growing generation, will find much food for thought in the observations contained therein.

After what the Johannesburg "Sunday Times" called "two successive disasters," meaning the row over the visit of two British pop entertainers, Miss Dusty Springfield and Adam Faith, it is hoped that the Government will take another look at its policy which has enabled avowed mischief makers to enter the country.

The "Sunday Times" of course fears that the Government will now adopt a tougher line and that, as a consequence, there will be "fewer tours" of South Africa by British "artists."

The situation, as the Johannesburg paper naturally sees it, was summed up in a headline right across the top of the front page in its issue of January 10, reading: "A Cultural Crisis"!

Religion Substitute

Eventually, as we all know, Adam Faith departed from South Africa in a hurry after an order had been served on him to lodge security for a civil action to be brought by his South African promoter, and he departed, so we were told, because he had not been permitted to play before mixed audiences.

"Adam Faith" - the name is quite significant, for it is not the name with which the lad was born. His real name is Terence Wright. Why then was it changed to "Adam"? And why "Faith"?

The sort of frenzied reverence which people like Adam Faith and the Beatles generate among our adolescents may well supply an answer to these questions. For the new "music" has become for many of our youngsters a primitive religion substitute, and the exponents of it are its high priests.

Words like "Adam" and "Faith" can, therefore, be expected to enlist some subconscious associations with feelings of reverence and respect planted in early childhood.

Idolatrous Cult

The Rev. John Dreyer of the Nederduitch Hervormde Kerk and editor of *Die Hervormer*, official organ of the church, wrote: "The Beatles, those four limp, hip-wiggling mop-headed youths have established themselves as the

central figure of an idolatrous cult for millions of rootless people who have lost God and culture."

And he went on: "The fact that four limp youths with their rowdy music, their hip-wiggling and their stamping feet can drive huge crowds of people to hysterical ecstasy and frenzied excitement is a sign of degeneration . . . the Beatles and their worshippers have established a cult that is foreign to Christianity. This is the cult of people who have lost God and who seek refuge in idolatry; they are people who have become frustrated, spiritually bankrupt; they are rootless, bored, tired people."

What the Rev. John Dreyer wrote is simple and clear and perfectly true. But is it part of the tragedy of our times that, simple and clear as his words are, they will be understood and assimilated by only a few people.

The rest, people of all age-groups, are being swept along on the current tide of degeneracy, assuring themselves with a worried smile as they watch the antics of their own children, that it is "only a passing craze" and will do no harm.

Not Sufficiently Understood

The problem of the adulteration of food is far more easily handled. Noxious chemicals are susceptible of analysis and experiment and their action measured and described in the shared vocabulary of modern science. But

a cultural poison is more difficult to isolate and to describe, and therefore, in the long run, more dangerous.

And what makes the fight against cultural poisoning all the more difficult, is that it is a highly complex social phenomenon in which the guilty men are lost to view behind the ranks of innocent dupes, like the Beatles, the Dusty Springfields, the Adam Faiths and the servile newspaper columnists who sing their praises.

Multi-Million Dollar Industry

Cultural adulteration is promoted deliberately by those who have made a multi-million dollar industry out of spreading the blight of decadence, making the very victims of it pay for the poison.

These people know well enough what they are doing. They do not have to be told that, as Schopenhauer put it, "music is uniquely related to the will" and that a decline in the health and vigour of the will, the keystone of our moral existence, is inseparable from a decline in the element of discipline and control and form in music.

A decaying morale produces decadent music - - and decadent music promotes and hastens the decay of morale.

What has happened in the realm of modern music is that the primitivism of the Negro has been brought into combination with the Western man's loss of religious faith greatly expediting the process of decay.

As Richard M. Weaver puts it in his brilliant work *Ideas Have Consequences*: "The primitive and the bored sophisticate are equally impatient for titillation."

Jazz, which had its origin in the Negro ghettos of New Orleans, has been compared with "an indecent story syncopated and counter pointed."

"There can be no question," says Weaver, "that, like journalism in literature, it has helped to destroy the concept of obscenity."

It has done this by undermining the concept of propriety, of discipline and control, "proscribing the higher centres of the mind so that the lower may be uninhibited from executing their reeling dance."

"Here indeed," says Weaver, "is a music to go with empiricism, and it is only natural that the chief devotees of jazz should be the primitive, the young and those persons, fairly numerous, it would seem, who take pleasure in the thought of bringing down our civilisation."

Appeal to the Immature

The new music which makes so powerful an appeal to the immature, is a short cut to emotional release, it is the musical counterpart of sex shorn of a demanding aesthetic ritual of delicacy and tact. It represents dissolution of life's intangible forms, the firmness of which are the essence of character in men, and of style in art and literature.

By neutralising the higher functions of the mind, it sets the basic passions free - - and, of course, it translates naturally into the crude, mindless, deadpan eroticism of the jive and twist.

What it preaches is not liberty but libertinism.

How is our small South African nation, which has held

out for so long, now to be protected against this all-consuming evil?

The Remedy in South Africa

There can be no remedy that does not begin with education, the education, first and foremost, of the responsible few.

In South Africa it is mainly the Afrikaner who has made any significant effort to protect and build up the foundations of a Western European cultural heritage which, regardless of a difference of language and idiom, he shares with the English-speaking group. He has organised himself on a national basis under the banner of the Federasie van Afrikaanse Kultuurvereniging (F.A.K.) and, more still, he has a Church, which, although divided on doctrinal detail, has always served as a powerful bastion of his cultural and religious identity.

But the situation is by no means secure. As Mr. J. D. Vorster put it, the Afrikaner's culture is "sliding fast down the modern slipway of destruction".

In Such a Situation

In such a situation there must be a concentration of the limited instructional resources at a point where it is likely to yield the best results - - among the young people, especially in the universities, who, nearly all, at some stage in their youth, are highly receptive to any instruction that helps them to discover for themselves a sense of direction and of purpose.

Once they get into business or the professions, they nearly always find that they have neither the time nor the inclination for any thinking or reading not directly concerned with their daily work.

These young people must be made to understand the true meaning of all genuine cultural activity, that it is a kind of spontaneous activity calling into existence a host of skills and aptitudes, both physical and mental, which hitherto existed only as infolded potentialities.

These young people must be made to understand that cultural adulteration is supplied by the barbarian within the gate of Western civilisation, and that the effect of it is a kind of spiritual gelding or emasculation in which the will is not permitted to arm itself adequately with a regulatory and restraining intellect.

Root of the Trouble

They must be made to understand that what they might take for a momentarily exciting release of emotion, can be something highly damaging — a permanent dissolution of the forms which health and strength require, with the end result of an aimless, confused emotional life in many ways akin to drunkenness.

They must be made to understand that the tragic unhappiness of countless individuals in our modern urban civilisation, the broken homes, the juvenile delinquency, the crime, the alcoholism and neurosis, the mental sickness and suicide, are not so many separate phenomena, but only so many different aspects of a human soul which has been prevented in a variety of ways from attaining full maturity. And that cultural adulteration lies at the root of much of the trouble. Continued on Page 8

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to acknowledge with thanks the anonymous donation received from the United Kingdom of £581 and express our appreciation to the donor. We salute the faith and belief in the essentialness of the work done which prompted such a generous gesture.

SYDNEY MEETING FOR ERIC BUTLER

Following his visit to Queensland, Mr. Eric Butler will address a public meeting on Australia's relationship to South East Asia on Thursday, July 15. All Sydney readers are urged to be present and to bring as many friends and associates as possible.

The meeting will be held in the Auditorium, G.E.N.E.F. Centre, cnr. Kent & Bathurst Sts., Sydney, at 8 p.m.

Further information may be obtained from Mr. Roy Gustard, 2 Kennedy Street Gladesville. Ring 89 1776.

A WARNING!

Year by year the Annual Dinner of "The New Times" has been expanding. It is anticipated that a record attendance this year will place a serious strain upon seating accommodation. Those intending to attend particularly interstate visitors, are therefore urged to make definite bookings as early as possible. The donation for the Dinner will, in spite of inflation, be held at 35/- per person.

This year's Dinner will be held on Friday, September 24, and will, as usual, coincide with Melbourne Show Week. The principal guest of honour will be an outstanding expert on international affairs, who will present a Paper at the League of Rights Seminar the following day, September 25.

Last year a number of non-earning University students were able to attend as the guests of supporters who very generously donated to New Times Ltd. for this purpose. We suggest that many supporters might do similarly this year, thus enabling some of our growing number of students to attend this important annual function.

As usual, country and interstate visitors requiring hospitality are asked to let us know as soon as practicable.

We must stress that should seating capacity be over-taxed by the number wishing to attend, we will have no alternative to allocating seats to those who have made firm bookings first.

League Seminar

The theme of the League of Rights' Annual Seminar is the Communist thrust in Asia towards Australia. A feature of the Seminar will be a Paper by a West Australian League supporter who knows Indonesia intimately, and who is at present teaching Indonesian. The League confidently anticipates a "full house" at this year's Seminar. Further details will be announced later.

EDITORIAL *Continued from Page 1*

He is also reported as having said at the Prime Ministers' conference that Rhodesia's affairs should not be discussed unless Rhodesia was represented at the conference. But then Sir Robert apparently accepts a communiqué which urged that unless Rhodesia attend a constitutional convention aimed at majority African rule in Rhodesia, and release all "retained or restricted African leaders", Britain should suspend the present (1961) Constitution and appoint an interim Government to take over in Salisbury. The Nkomos and their like are not African "leaders"; they speak for only a very small minority of agitators like themselves. Many of those retained have been guilty of acts of violence against their fellow-Africans. And they are the agents of International Communism. By demanding their release, the Prime Ministers' Conference was therefore serving the cause of revolution. It was certainly not serving the best interests of the majority of Africans, who are solidly behind the Smith Government, realising that their future progress depends upon the Europeans staying to maintain law and order, and to provide the help and leadership without which the Africans cannot advance.

What Does Sir Robert Fear?

We find it hard to believe that Sir Robert Menzies, Mr. Harold Wilson, Mr. Lester Pearson and Mr. Keith Holyoake. Prime Ministers of the Crown Commonwealth do not understand the truth about the situation. Mr. Wilson has no excuse after the experiences of Mr. Arthur Bottomley, the Minister for Commonwealth Relations, when he met the Chiefs in Rhodesia. These Chiefs embarrassed Mr. Bottomley with their blunt comments. They made it clear that their methods of sampling opinion amongst the Africans were just as effective as those proposed by Mr. Bottomley. It may be that Mr. Wilson's obsession with Socialism prevents him from accepting the realities of the Rhodesian situation. But we also suggest that he is afraid of the Afro-Asian members of the Commonwealth, primarily because he fears a loss of export markets. The Canadian Prime Minister appears to be determined to serve the international revolution, so his attitude towards Rhodesia is not surprising. Mr. Holyoake continues to act as timidly as he did during the Common Market debate. He is probably afraid to defy the British Government, primarily because of New Zealand's dependence upon the British market for its primary production. But what does Sir Robert Menzies fear? Unless he is very poorly advised, he must know that any attempt by the British Government to suspend the Rhodesian Constitution can only precipitate a declaration of independence by the Rhodesians, and any attempt to use force against Rhodesia would split the whole Commonwealth.

Continued from Page 7

They must be made to understand that in the fight to preserve and develop the culture of their people and to preserve their nation, they have a mission capable of turning their own lives into an exciting, challenging and satisfying adventure.