

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 32, No. 11

NOVEMBER 1966

EDITORIAL

Is the Western Alliance collapsing in the War Against Communism?

The Communists have always asserted that men will react to words rather than the realities around them. The real world situation in regard to the Western nations endeavours to resist Communism more than justifies this conclusion. The success of the continuous propaganda campaign to convince Western leaders and policy makers that they and the Communist slave states can live in peace and co-operation is resulting in more and more victories for the Communists in the ideological warfare, which is preliminary to the final physical enslavement. Co-existence as a policy has made such progress in the minds of Western leaders as to be demonstrated almost daily.

In the past week in answer to questions in the House of Parliament at Canberra, the two most responsible Australian leaders, the Prime Minister, Mr. Holt, and the Deputy Prime Minister, Mr. McEwen, who both lead parties who rely on their success for their anti-Communist policies, made it clear how far they had travelled along the paths of co-existence. Mr. McEwen rebuked Mr. Calwell for referring to the Russian Communists as "the enemy," and said certainly "we do not regard them as the enemy." Mr. Holt used a moral argument to justify trade with China by saying that in every country there were good and bad people. "Here in Australia there are people who hold views foreign to ours, and we have to deal with this to protect the freedoms and liberties which we hold dear." While the Germans and the Japanese were making war on us neither of these men who were then prominent in Australian politics used such arguments. They would not be prominent in politics today had they done so. Today they accept a new standard of political morality, one that has been established through a steady erosion of fundamental truth under the attack of Socialist and Communist propaganda.

Just before he left Washington to come to Australia and New Zealand to attend the Summit talks on Vietnam at the Manila Conference, the President of the United States, Mr. Johnson, met with Mr. Gromyko, the Soviet Foreign Minister, to publicly demonstrate to the world the sincerity of his newly announced policy of "building bridges to the east," or pursuing policies of "peaceful engagement," with the Russian Communists.

The reality of such a policy is that it is all one way. Just a few weeks previously the Soviets had admitted to what was well known, that they were supplying technicians and instructors to North Vietnam to teach those Vietnamese fighting her war by proxy the best methods of killing American and Australian fighting men.

To those who have not lost their senses and the ability to discriminate between right and wrong these policies

being pursued by the Western leaders can only be described as treasonable; that is if words have not lost their meaning. For what is treason, but to deliver into the hands of the enemy, you are supposed to be fighting, the means of making war on your own kind. Mr. Johnson's "peaceful engagement" with the Communists consists of the supply of valuable aid. Preliminary reports coming from such journals as "Business Week," October 15, which is only concerned with giving facts to businessmen for their guidance, indicates that such items as electronic equipment, chemicals, machine tools and even navigation equipment such as ground and marine radar could be supplied. Mr. Holt and Mr. McEwen are united on the rightness of supplying essential foodstuffs to enable such equipment to be used more efficiently by the Communists.

This is the sorry state of the West. Morality is collapsing, not only in the more publicised areas of personal relations, sex, family disciplines, etc. The pace is being set by national leaders pursuing policies of compromise and bargaining with truth.

The weakness of the Western nations is the same weakness, which has confronted man since the beginning of time. Pursuit of material gain instead of placing spiritual values first.

History teaches that to deny freedom in the pursuit of security is to lose both. It is taught that man cannot live by bread alone, and the product of Christian living is freedom. Unfortunately too many of our Christian leaders have forgotten this truth. In their obsession to save life they are prepared to bargain away men's souls.

While the West seeks to increase trade with the Communists through "building bridges to the east" and "peaceful engagement" with Russia, the Communists, never deviating from Marxian-Leninist strategy, steadily forge the chains with which they seek to bind us.

While Mr. Wilson negotiates with Mr. Kosygin to pull

Continued on page 8

TIME TO THINK OF CHRISTMAS GIFTS

As Christmas time approaches once again, it is time to start thinking about Christmas gifts. Readers can solve their Christmas gift problem easily by giving their relatives and friends either an annual subscription to *The New Times* or a book. Such a gift is most appropriate at the time when all that Christmas stands for is being attacked by the forces of organised evil.

We have a special subscription rate for those wishing to give an annual subscription to *The New Times* as a Christmas gift: \$2 for one subscription, \$3 for two subscriptions, \$4 for three subscriptions, and \$1 per subscription for four or more. A card can be sent with the first issue, the Christmas edition, if desired. A gift subscription to *The New Times* is a different kind of Christmas present, and one, which will remind your friends of you throughout the coming year. Many of our best supporters were first introduced to *The New Times* through a gift subscription. Here is the way to solve your Christmas gift problem while at the same time extending our influence.

Books also make a splendid Christmas gift, and are of permanent value. Either make a selection from the enclosed list, or call at the Heritage Bookshop to make a selection from the wide range of books available.

Supporters can assist us with our organisational problems by ordering their gift subscriptions and books at early as possible.

For your gift subscriptions of New Times, or your books, write to:

Box 1226L P.O., Melbourne

or call

273 Little Collins Street

Melbourne

3rd Floor, Presgrave House, Room 33

THE WORLD CONSPIRACY

Many people both in and outside of the Social Credit Movement seem unable to accept Major Douglas's belief that there is strong evidence pointing to the existence of a world conspiracy, a plot for world domination by the controllers of world finance assisted by its offspring World Communism. The idea seems so fantastic, that many people who rely for their information on the objectively written statements in the daily and weekly Press, just "won't hear it" at all. But those who have closely studied the trends of world happenings during the past fifty years, and have become alarmed at the rapidly deteriorating situation, feel that the deadline for the take-over is near and possibly arranged for the year after next. Renewed suggestions that further attempts are in the offing to push Britain into the European Corn-

mon Market are attributed to the workings of the conspiracy. Regional blocs, the E.C.M. Comecon on the other side of the Iron Curtain and the Organisation of American States in the New World are penultimate manifestations. With such enormous units, in which the rights and wishes of the individual will be completely submerged by a great welter of bureaucratic control, will make it easy, or so the plotters believe, to amalgamate the regional groups in the final framework of a world government dominated by finance. This completely mad idea cannot possibly lead to permanent results, it is contrary to nature itself, the spirit of man will never become attuned to a world tyranny. As you so often remark, human beings are organisms, they can never be anything but frustrated and miserable when forced as units into an organisation, and the bigger the organisation the greater the frustration.

Many signs of an approaching take-over are with us today. Major Douglas predicted that a preliminary to the setting-up of despotic government, would be the handing over of Britain's "last squadron of bombers" to the World Junta. So long as Britain's armed forces have been maintained in adequate strength and under British control, it has always been possible to resist a complete economic take-over, but when these have been surrendered, alien power becomes absolute and tyranny unchallengeable. The heathen are indeed "entering into our heritage."

It is right to say this disastrous state of affairs has only become possible because of the wretched and wrong-headed economic orthodoxy of leading politicians of all parties. They have smothered the nation in debts, without realising that they have subscribed to the most subtle fraud of all time, namely the purloining of the nation's credit by the banking system and its re-issue as a debt. And because of this, and the terms of which they have been compelled to accept foreign loans, they have handed over the control of Britain's diminishing armed forces to the U.S. and U.S. dominated organisations. The ridiculous excuse for this sacrifice of sovereignty and power has been that Britain cannot afford to pay for her own defence and security.

Yes! Britain has not only lost control of her external security, but the scrapping of the Territorial Army has endangered internal security as well, and again the stupid excuse that there is insufficient money to keep it going. There are representatives of Finance-Communism at work in this country who are the very merchants of revolution. The arming of a left wing minority would have been futile so long as the British people had the protection of their Territorial Army, but now this safeguard has been removed.

Yes! It's good we agree our duty demands that we should make these matters as widely known as possible, the more informed people there are about, the more likely the crazy plans for world domination will fail.

—Cecil Keene, in *Credit Notes*, England.

"Police Brutality" and the Communist Conspiracy

There is strong evidence that the outbreak of organised attacks on Australian police is only counterpart of similar attacks the whole world over. Just as protests against Vietnam erupt simultaneously all over the world, evidence of the efficiency of the Communist intelligence system, the same pattern is emerging in the attacks upon that body of men, which in the last analysis must hold the line against civil disorder. The following report from U.S.A. comes from "American Opinion," September, 1966, and was contributed by Reed

Benson and Robert Lee from Washington.

On June 2, 1966, the *Washington Post* reported that "unsigned handbills accusing an Alexandria (Virginia) policeman of brutality in using a police dog against Negro youths last month are being circulated in Negro areas of Alexandria by the Northern Virginia Voter Education Project." The *Post* reprinted a drawing, which appeared on the handbill—a harsh caricature of a policeman with an "All American" badge on his shirt and a vicious dog in front of him. The text of the handbill read:

This cop's dog may attack your child. On May 18, 1966, an Alexandria policeman unleashed his dog on a group of Negro kids in the Lyles Crouch School playground. That dog bit one boy. Will you let this happen again? City Hall hires these cops with your tax money. Voting gives you power over City Hall. Register and vote now.

Sounds awful, doesn't it? Until, of course, you learn that (1) the "group of Negro kids" was actually a gang of fifteen youths ranging from their late teens to their early twenties, who were shooting dice on the school grounds and who attempted to flee when police arrived; and (2) the eighteen-year-old "boy" referred to in the flyer later admitted, "The dog didn't really bite me. He just caught me by the pants leg, and scratched me with his teeth."

When the director of the Voter Education Project was queried about this unjust smear of the police, and her organization's distribution of the flyers, she said simply that it was a graphic means of persuading Negroes in Alexandria to use the ballot. "We have to make use of incidents like this as voter registration tools," she asserted. Here, then, is a classic illustration of the devious tactics being used today to harass and break down local law enforcement in America.

In recent years, thousands of charges of "police brutality" have been levelled against the local police. According to the Justice Department, there were a total of 4,755 allegations of police brutality received by the F.B.I. in the three-year period 1963-1965. That's a lot of allegations in any man's book. And yet, it is amazing to note that only ten convictions, involving only fourteen officers, resulted from all of those charges. In other words, to the great credit of the police, less than one percent of the "police brutality" charges proved true.

On the other hand, if we take the figures on attacks against the police for just one year alone—1964—we find that eighteen thousand policemen (one out of every ten) were assaulted, while 7,738 policemen (one out of every twenty-four) were injured in assaults. In the six-year period 1960-1965, some 278 officers were murdered in the

line of duty. As *U.S. News and World Report* concluded in its issue of September 6, 1965:

Diligent inquiry . . . has failed to turn up evidence of any "wave" of brutality on the part of police toward citizens of the United States.

What research does reveal is that civilian "brutality" against the police is being practised rather widely.

The Communists, of course, are knee-deep in this effort to turn the people of America against their local police. In 1961, the Annual Report of the House Committee on Un-American Activities stated:

The Committee findings in 1961 did nothing to alter its conclusions in the Annual Report for 1960 that:

"There is considerable evidence that, in the United States, as well as on a world scale, the Communists feel that the present tactical situation calls for increased utilization of rioting and mob violence."

This not only means that there will be more riots, but that there will be more charges of police brutality. They will be made every time and in every place in the United States where the Communists stage or participate in a riot. It is standard operating procedure for the Communist Party to make this charge whenever the police interfere with or impede its subversive efforts. It is part of the Reds' "Stop thief!" technique—to charge others with brutality when they have resorted to it in order to achieve one of their ends . . .

Police forces everywhere must realize that the Communist Party hates them . . . and will do everything it can to discredit them. This is because police forces stand for law and order, and the Communists want chaos. (Emphasis in original.)

Also in 1961, the International Association of Chiefs of Police informed its membership of a nationwide campaign by Communist leaders to discredit police officers. On November 23, 1961, the *Deseret News* newspaper in Salt Lake City quoted the Superintendent of the Utah State Highway Patrol, who had just returned from I.A.C.P. meetings, as follows:

When large groups of people gather, efforts are being made continually by well-coached agitators to promote some form of physical violence. Police, who must maintain peace and order, then must apply some form of physical force with the result that pictures are taken and published in an attempt to show that the enforcement officers are employing "Gestapo" tactics.

This is something to keep in mind the next time you see a picture in your newspaper of a police officers allegedly committing "police brutality" while trying to

Continued on Page 8

What African "Independence" has cost the British Taxpayer

Does the British taxpayer, one of the most heavily taxed in the world, know what the present British Government is giving away to independent African States? And does he know that in many of these States, while the African people remain in poverty, their Members of Parliament draw higher salaries than British Members of Parliament—and the British taxpayer pays for it? Does he realise that a major factor contributing to the present economic plight of Britain is this policy of bolstering up the so-called independent African States?

In 1965 alone, financial aid to African territories which recently became independent has cost the British taxpayer £60,904,000. The total over the last five years is nearly £300,000,000 and more—much more—is earmarked for the future.

Through the years Rhodesia has neither asked nor received a penny in charity from Britain. Through imperial preferences Britain's trade with Rhodesia is very much to Britain's advantage. However, Mr. Wilson's efforts to bring down the Rhodesian Government is costing YOU—the British taxpayer—this:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Loss to British insurance companies of insurance business in Rhodesia | £1,200,000 |
| Cost of airlifting oil to Zambia (which could be transported over Rhodesian Railways at no cost to you) up to July, 1966..... | 8,500,000 |
| 30 new rail tankers for Zambia purchased from Japan with British taxpayers' money..... | 150,000 |
| Loss from Rhodesian investments, per annum | 5,000,000 |
| Cost of replacing Rhodesian tobacco from other sources (and in dollars to) | 14,000,000 |
| Increase in the cost of your copper purchases, partly attributable to Zambia's policy in trying to bring down Rhodesia | 25,000,000 |
| Cost of setting up Francistown Radio Station (plus running expenses—an unknown amount) | 350,000 |
| Loss of exports to Rhodesia | 14,000,000 |
| Release of Rhodesia's debts as results of Britain's freezing our assets (see para. 4 below) | 15,000,000 |
| Overall costs of sanctions on Rhodesia and bolstering up Zambia's economy (to end of 1966) | 300,000,000 |
| Add aid to independent African States over the last six years | 400,000,000 |

£783,200,000

To pay for all this and increased expenditure at home, the Wilson Government has increased taxation, frozen

wages and prices, curtailed travel allowances, caused increased unemployment and forfeited the confidence of her trading partners.

Your Government has borrowed from the International Monetary Fund £1,000,000,000, the interest alone on which will be over £40,000,000 per annum; whilst existing debts to Canada and the U.S.A. cannot be repaid.

By 1970 you have to pay the I.M.F. £942,000,000.

Your economy is over 80% in debt.

Your country is losing trade. Your balance of payments deficit in 1966 will be over £130,000,000.

You are heading for national bankruptcy.

No wonder sterling is now at the lowest value since Mr. Wilson's Government took office. No wonder your housing programme is falling further and further behind schedule. No wonder your defences have been handed over to the care of other countries, because you can no longer afford to keep up your armed forces.

YOU ARE BEING TAKEN FOR A RIDE!

These are the facts: and unless you face them, you are facing ruin. Britain, in the hands of the Wilson Government, has been financially ruined and reduced to a third-class power.

1. Sanctions against Rhodesia have failed. The only way Britain can deny Rhodesia her independence is by force. This would mean war with South Africa—Britain's third biggest trading partner—the collapse of sterling and the collapse of the British economy.

2. Britain has irrevocably damaged her reputation as a trader, insurance broker and international banker to the world over the Rhodesian Independence Issue; but her confiscation of Rhodesia's assets, entrusted to her as a banker; by her repudiation of pre-U.D.I. trade agreements; by refusing to allow Lloyds and other City bodies to honour their obligations, by withholding Armed Service and other pensions from aged and disabled loyal servants of the Crown; by acts of piracy on the high seas; and by the blockading of friendly and neutral ports, contrary to all international law.

3. Due to the Wilson vendetta against Rhodesia, anti-British feeling is mounting in your third biggest trading partner, South Africa; and here is the result:

| | Figures from January to May | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | 1965 | 1966 |
| British imports from South Africa | £76,000,000 | £84,000,000 |
| British exports from South Africa . | 107,000,000 | 91,000,000 |
| Britain's favourable balance of trade | £31,000,000 | £7,000,000 |

Continued on Page 8

A New University Faculty?

DOCTORS OF DEMORALIZATION

By F. H. SIBSON

The following is a summary of a statement to the Rhodesian Parliament by Mr. J. H. Howman, Minister of Information and Immigration, on the recent deportation, for subversive activities and promiscuous immorality, of a small minority group of lecturers at the University College in Salisbury.

Some of its revelations show remarkable similarities to reports of certain manifestations in some Australian universities, from the standard anti-patriotic political demonstration technique to the pseudo-liberal "new morality" offensive against basic standards of Right and Wrong, so aptly typified when one of our intellectual luminaries urged, upon a gathering of just-joined student youth and maidens, the important and rewarding part played by "sex sport" in contemporary university life.

Because, said Mr. Howman, the deportees had since "set out to determine the image of Rhodesia in other countries" and were claiming that they did not know why they had been deported, the Government was making an exception to the usual rule of silence observed by most in such cases. For security reasons, however, not all the story could be told.

"The Government has shown the greatest forbearance in its dealings with the university and has never attempted to interfere with its academic freedom. The time arrived, however, when 'academic freedom' was interpreted by certain lecturers as complete licence to engineer a breakdown of law and order. They actively engaged in the propagation of subversion in a calculated endeavour to lead others to break the law and flout authority. Any country would, and must, deal appropriately with such behaviour. In concert they conspired to mislead mainly African students, and other Africans in townships around Salisbury. Academic freedom is a hollow principle if it means that students unwilling to engage in subversion may be intimidated by threat of physical violence into taking part in subversion to the detriment of their education and loss of study time. Such intimidation was rife.

"The evil generated by these people was spreading beyond the campus. Here we had a number of people in positions of trust that abused that trust by corrupting the minds of young people. Their plan was to lead to the closure of the university and ultimately result in a state of affairs, which might in their minds 'justify' intervention by British.

"These are some of the acts of one or more of them: self-confessed Communist principles; forming Communist cells; creating chaos and lawlessness in the university and beyond; acting as couriers for banned terrorist organizations and maintaining close association with terrorists in Zambia and assisting them in their violence against the Rhodesian people, inciting students to engage in subversion, to organize disturbances and demonstrations

and offer themselves for arrest, promoting militant African nationalism to further Communism in the long term; refusing to lecture in defiance of written instructions by the principal; harbouring and feeding an escaped restrictee; encouraging and promoting physical assaults by students of one race on students of another; physically assaulting persons opposing certain political ideologies; providing statistical information for use by terrorists in activities against the interests of Rhodesia; advocating that Britain should invade Rhodesia.

"Government does not usually set itself up as a judge of morals, but it recognises that these persons occupied by a special place in the community and had charge of young people. In addition to their political activities, the immoral and promiscuous sexual activities of some of them were a by-word on the campus. There is evidence of the procuring of abortion resulting from such licence.

"Not one of these people was a Rhodesian citizen—in fact, several were aliens—and none cared for the real traditions of a university or its academic or campus activities. During the time they were scheming they were making arrangements to obtain employment elsewhere. Their aim was chaos.

"We have acted in the hope that the benefits of higher education for all our people will not be interrupted and will not be harmed. The principal of the University of London recently stated that academic freedom is not to be interpreted as licence to flout the laws of the land. This is precisely the policy on which the Government has acted."

While in Toowoomba recently, the head of the External Services Department of the Ministry of Information, Mr. Alan Izod, told a group of Rhodesia's well wishers that one of the conspirator's aims was to destroy the non-racial (or "multi-racial") character of the University. From its foundation it has always accepted students irrespective of race or colour or creed, provided they could pass the academic entrance standards normally demanded by all reputable universities. This non-racial character the Government is determined to maintain. It is a vital part of the job of preparing the next generation for working and living together, with the young cream of the nation setting the example.

As Mr. Izod explained, its maintenance gravely hinders the standard propaganda misrepresentation of Rhodesia as a stronghold of "racism."

The attempts of the deportees and their backers to wreck the university have not, of course, been abandoned. They are being continued now through those media of misinformation in Britain and elsewhere which have always found it congenial to try to wreck everything that Britain's kindred in Africa—and Asia, too—have ever tried to build. That story goes back for more than a century, as Rajah Brooke of Sarawak and Raffles of Singapore, as well as the Boers of the South African Great Trek, could tell us if they could speak from their graves.

JEWISH EDITOR ON SOUTH AFRICA

We believe the following report extracted from the Melbourne "Jewish News" of Friday, June 24, 1966, is a true appraisal of the situation in South Africa which is being misrepresented by the world press—certainly here in Australia, as Mr. Shalit points out.

MISINFORMED ON SOUTH AFRICA

"The Jew in South Africa, because of his historical experience, has a humanitarian attitude to the underdog," says Mr. Levi Shalit, noted essayist, short story writer, and editor of South Africa's Yiddish newspaper, of the Jewish attitude in his country to apartheid.

In the political sphere, however, they tend to view the situation "as a duel between two blocks—white nationalism and black nationalism."

"The Jewish Board of Deputies takes no stand on Apartheid."

He said the last twenty and thirty years had considerably improved the Black South African's standard of living. This trend has resulted in Jewish Youth gradually losing all interest in the black man.

NO POLICE STATE

Australians, as many people in the world, are misinformed as to the position in South Africa.

It is not a Police State, people do not live in terror and they say what they want to in public as long as it is in the boundaries of legal opinion. There is no danger of the Apartheid policy rebounding upon the Jewish community.

What manifestations of anti-Semitism there is, the Government does its best to prevent and eliminate.

VOLUNTARY WORKERS NEEDED TO WRAP "NEW TIMES"

Our staff of voluntary workers responsible for wrapping our journals is in need of new recruits. A couple of our regular helpers have been forced to drop out and need replacement. If you can spare the time and would care to make a worthwhile contribution to a valuable cause would you contact the office. Either ring 63 9749 or write to Mr. Ron Dyason who is responsible for drawing up the roster. Mr. Dyason can be contacted through our box number, P.O. Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

RADAR FOR THE REDS

In *Analysis* of last week (October 26, 1966) we pointed out that the Soviet Union is very heavily engaged in helping North Vietnam pursue the war against us in South-East Asia. We quoted reports from the *New York Times* to the effect that the Soviet authorities were increasing their shipments of road-building and power-generating equipment to North Vietnam that the number of batteries firing Soviet anti-aircraft missiles has been

increased from four last fall to twenty-five or thirty by now, that the radar network of North Vietnam is more intensive than that over Eastern Europe, and that conventional anti-aircraft batteries around Hanoi and Hai-phong have more than trebled in the past year. We also pointed out that the North Vietnamese air defence system—particularly its complex of radar-controlled guns and large numbers of light automatic weapons—has been tremendously strengthened and has improved in efficiency. In fact, it has been so improved, that Gen. John R. McConnell, Air Force Chief of Staff, has called it "the greatest concentration of anti-aircraft weapons that has ever been known in the history of defence of any town or any area of the world." We also quoted an aviation authority that told us that the advanced air defence system in North Vietnam represents "one of the most ambitious programmes of Soviet military aid on record," and that it is considerably more advanced than any system or equipment with which we have provided the South Vietnamese.

The United States Government is so thoroughly and so blatantly involved in committing treason against its own people, that one wonders how many more planes and how many more pilots must be lost over North Vietnam before Americans begin to realize what is going on. It should be noted that North Vietnam manufactures no radar equipment of its own and must therefore get all of its radar from the Communist states of Eastern Europe. These are the countries to which we are now going to ship "airborne navigation equipment and ground and marine radar." Is there any doubt that such equipment has strategic value and that it will be used to inflict even greater losses on our fighting men?

The President, of course, would like us to think otherwise. During his brief visit to the Cam Ranh military base in Vietnam, he told the assembled troops:

I come here today for one good purpose. To tell you and through you to tell every soldier, sailor, airman and marine in Vietnam how proud we are of what you are doing and how proud we are of the way you are doing it.

It would be wonderful if we could say the same thing for LBJ, for nothing would give a patriot greater satisfaction than a President he could be proud of. America has long lacked a President who cared for the lives of his fellow citizens. From 1917 to 1966 we have been led through four bloody wars by four Democratic Presidents, all controlled by the same internationalist clique with its insatiable lust for total world power. We should like to believe that the President of the United States is an independent man, controlled by no one but the Constitution of the United States, so that he could be believed when he told those young Americans sons and fathers 10,000 miles away from their homes:

We shall never let you down . . .

The sad truth is, he already has.

from "Review of The News," 2nd November, 1966

DO YOU KNOW THAT . . .

John Steinbeck, American novelist, was asked to speak out against the Vietnam War, to denounce air raids in North Vietnam. He replied:

"You know well how I detest all war but for this one I have a particular and personal hatred - - I am against this Chinese-inspired war. I don't know a single American who is for it. But you ask me to repudiate **HALF a war, OUR HALF**. I appeal to you to join me in denouncing the whole war.

Surely you don't believe we send bombs and heavy equipment against civilians? We are bombing oil storage, transport, and the heavy sophisticated weapons they carry to kill our sons."

"VOICES OF HATE"

Mr. Edward St. John, President of the South African Defence and Aid Fund, which sponsored the visit to Australia of Mr. Robert Resha, representative of the Communist-influenced African National Congress, has resorted to some very desperate tactics in attempting to deal with critics who claim that it is outrageous that Mr. St. John should be the endorsed Liberal Party candidate for the blue-ribbon Liberal electorate of Warringah, N.S.W. Mr. St. John urges that Mr. K. D. Gott's smear booklet on Mr. Eric Butler and The Australian League of Rights should be consulted by those who wish to study the "radical Right." Mr. St. John is supported by the Secretary of the S.A.D.A.F., a Mr. Nettheim.

The recommendation of the Gott booklet has caused widespread consternation in Government Party circles, because the background of this Communist-promoted booklet is now well known. It is also known that after a number of Melbourne printers refused to publish this filth, a printery run by well-known Leftists in the A.L.P. undertook the job. One of the main principals is Mr. Gordon Bryant, M.P.

Those responsible for the Gott booklet felt that it would be a major blow against Mr. Butler and The League of Rights. It has proved the very opposite. Wherever this booklet has been used, The League of Rights has scored heavily. We have strongly recommended that all our supporters should obtain a copy of the Gott booklet and keep it for future purposes. They should also keep a copy of "An Exposure of 'The Secret Life of Eric Butler'."

The publishers have completely misjudged the attitude of the Australian people to this type of smear. Mr. Edward St. John will find that he also has been extremely foolish.

FRESH STOCKS OF "NONE DARE CALL IT TREASON" ARE AVAILABLE

This best seller by John Stormer has outsold all other political exposes of the Communist Conspiracy. Documented to substantiate every point made, None Dare Call it Treason covers every phase of the conspiracy, pointing up the reasons why the West has been on the retreat so consistently in the face of the Communist conspiracy. It is a truly fantastic story of treason in high places, the capture of the important institutions responsible for moulding public opinion in the Western world. Those who want to make themselves conversant with the real war being fought for civilisation should not be without None Dare Call it Treason.

Read it yourself.

Pass it on to a friend.

Circulate it among key people.

Order from

HERITAGE BOOKSHOP
Box 1226L G.P.O., Melbourne

JEWISH HATRED

The following are extracts from a review of two books by Mordecai Richler in "The Telegram," Toronto, Canada, of October 15. The books are "Scroll of Agony," edited by A. Kaplan, and "The Janowska Road," by Leon Wells.

The Germans are an abomination to me. I'm glad Dresden was bombed for no useful military purpose. The Russians couldn't withhold and mistreat German prisoners of war long enough for me. No public event in recent years has thrilled me more than the hunting down of Adolph Eichmann, although I know it was a blunder to bring him on trial. Instead, Eichmann should have been murdered, and this should have been only the first of many acts of vengeance. Israel's maturity, the absolutely rational policy of coming to terms with the new Germany, makes me ashamed. While bargaining for reparation money with the Germans strikes me as being even worse.

How much a murdered brother? What price a burnt baby? I am not touched by the Berlin Wall. It seems such small recompense. Why, their wall dead are so unsatisfyingly few that all their photographs barely cover two pages of a colour supplement. Their wall dead is so modest in number that they can be remembered with flowers. **Each one with flowers . . .**

In Scroll of Agony, Chalm Kaplan wrote on April 12, 1940, "Is there any revenge in the world for the spilling of innocent blood? I doubt it. The abominations committed before our eyes cry out from the earth: "Avenge me." But there is no jealous avenger. Why has a "day of vengeance and retribution" not yet come for the murderers? Do not answer me with idle talk—I

Continued on Page 8

Continued from Page 1

out troops from Europe, and President de Gaulle sabotages N.A.T.O., thus increasing the pressure to persuade Germany to "co-operate" with Russia, the Press reports that eastern European members of the Communist bloc go to Vietnam to see what effect their "substantial aid" to Hanoi is having. "The Australian," 3/11/1966, reports that Hungary alone is sending \$8.92 million annually. Only those who believe in fairy tales—or are brainwashed by Communist propaganda—believe these States pursue independent policies from those dictated by Russia. It was pointed out by "The Australian" report that these supplies, including those coming from Russia go via China.

All of which points up the fact that it is the West, which is steadily making concessions to the Communists, whether they be Russian, Chinese, Hungarian, or the traitors within our midst.

If there was one lesson we can learn from the Johnson visit to Australia, it is that the heart of the Australian people is comparatively sound despite the years of assault. It remains for patriots to supply the necessary catalysis by exposing the evil of the policies of weak leadership, and lead people into the light of truth against which the darkness of evil can never stand.

THE "FREE" PRESS

Mr. Alan Izod, senior under-secretary of the Rhodesian Ministry of Information was interviewed in Perth when visiting there recently. He was reported in "The West Australian," October 26, when questioned on the Rhodesian Government's policy of Press censorship. "We admit we do this. But in England at the moment they have a system of news management by which papers get official 'leaks' from Government sources if they print what they are told," said Mr. Izod. "This is a form of Press control."

When one contrasts this practice with Rhodesia's where they are fighting for survival against a hostile world in which propaganda is the first and perhaps the most potent weapon, it indicates again the hypocrisy of many of the charges made against Rhodesia.

That the Wilson Government in Britain is quite cynical about controlling and using the Press for any purpose if it can obtain the necessary lever, is indicated by reports that a gag on comment on the Aberfan disaster has been imposed by the British Labour Government. The ban was imposed on the grounds that such comment or the interviewing of witnesses by either Press or television ran "the risk of contempt of court" ("Australian," 31/10/1966).

Continued from Page 3

bring order out of chaos in coping with a mob. Certainly that force necessary to maintain law and order cannot honestly be construed as police brutality. (By the way, when was the last time you saw a picture of a *police-man* being attacked?).

In an interview published in the *Columbus* (Ohio) *Dispatch* on February 20, 1966, F.B.I. Director J. Edgar Hoover declared:

It is time for the honest citizens who look to law enforcement for protection to start providing more support and co-operation. It is time for them to call a halt to the baseless maligning of law enforcement by selfish individuals who fail to realize or simply do not care that the destruction of law enforcement will mean also the destruction of law and order.

It is time for good men to support their local police.

Continued from Page 4

Surely this is a warning! You are losing this valuable and long-held market to foreigners; and no effort on the part of the Government by making things tough at home, by freezing wages and prices or increasing taxation can remedy your loss of markets.

4. Britain froze Rhodesian sterling balances in London amounting to £11,000,000 and thereby released Rhodesia her debts of £26,000,000—YOU had to pay for it!

5. Rhodesia is asking for no loans, no handouts and has never cost the British taxpayer a single penny. Isn't it time the British taxpayer asked himself and his Government whether countries like Tanzania which is openly pro-Communist; countries like Uganda and Nigeria, which murder their political opponents, and live in a state of terror and chaos; these and other countries which insult Britain at every opportunity—deserve all this aid? Aid which they continue to demand as their right even after they have broken off diplomatic relations with Britain and threaten to leave the Commonwealth?

THIS IS ONLY PART OF THE PICTURE. THE COST IS MOUNTING.

YOU ARE NOW ON THE VERGE OF POLITICAL BANKRUPTCY. HOW LONG WILL YOU—THE ELECTORATE—ALLOW THIS SQUANDERMANIA TO CONTINUE?

from "Rhodesia and World Report,"

(Continued from page 7)

won't listen to you. Give me a logical reply!" Kaplan did not survive. He is presumed to have been exterminated in early 1943.

Leon Wells, who did survive, writes that after the war the need for vengeance slowly died within him. "How can one live despising the world?" Eli Wiesel, another survivor of the holocaust, the enormously talented author of *Night*, returned to Germany recently and found, to his regret, that he was unable to sustain his hatred for Germans. He wrote in *Commentary*: "Yet today, even having been deserted by my hate during that fleeting visit to Germany, I cry out with all my heart against forgiveness, against forgetting, against silence. Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate—healthy, virile hate—for what the German personifies and for what persists in the German. To do otherwise would be a betrayal of the dead."