

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 33, No. 2

FEBRUARY 1967

EDITORIAL

THE GUERRILLA WAR AGAINST MONOPOLY

When a modern government obtains a complete monopoly of power, as, for example, in the Soviet Union, it is undoubtedly true that with the use of modern weapons, and centralised control of propaganda and "education," it can effectively prevent any real revolt. Remembering what happened in Hungary in 1956, Communist Governments do not take any chances. However, while the position inside the Communist Empire may be rather hopeless for the individual, in what remains of the free world, individual initiative and resourcefulness are still possible in the face of the constant drive towards the Monopoly State. There are many encouraging developments, which demonstrate that small groups of dedicated men and women can wage effectively what might be described as guerrilla warfare.

Centralised control of the Press is a fact of life, which cannot be disputed. With few exceptions, the big Press of the world is in the main the enemy of the genuinely free society. It carries enormous quantities of poison into the social bloodstream. But it is vulnerable. Rather than passively wail about the evil power of the big Press, those who really believe in freedom should constantly be searching for ways and means of by-passing it. Or of using it where possible. Constant skilful letter writing does result in many good letters being published. And there is evidence of a growing crop of small journals, some of them little more than roneoed newsletters, which have been developed so that truth can be circulated. As suspicion of the big Press grows, so do these small journals find an increasing demand. Their very smallness makes them much easier to pass from hand to hand. Not a week passes but that we receive news of some new small publication, which has been started. The fact that many of these publications are surviving is a most encouraging sign.

Associated with the crop of new small journals is the growing sale of reasonably cheap books of importance, which are enjoying an expanding sale. These sales are being achieved in the main outside the orthodox book selling facilities. A classic example is John Stormer's *None Dare Call it Treason*, which sheds valuable light on most aspects of the threat to civilization. An individual publishing effort, this book has sold in millions all over the English-speaking world. When Mr. Eric Butler wrote his article, "Rhodesia in the Context of World Revolution," for Mr. Ron Gostick's *Canadian Intelligence Service* after his visit to Rhodesia in December, 1965, no one could have foreseen that this article would eventually reach an audience of over a million readers in all parts of the world, and that it would be translated into several

languages. It is upon the contacts made through a constant stream of literature produced on his own Press that Mr. Ron Gostick has erected the most significant organization in Canada today.

Then there was the miracle of the Rhodesian stand, the end results of which no one can foresee at present. Here was a small group of people not only defying "world opinion," but supremely confident that they can master any problem confronting them. Out of this miracle was born the Candour League of Rhodesia, and the launching of the Monthly publication, *Rhodesia and World Report*. This is another small journal which already enjoys a

Continued on Page 8

THE COMMON MARKET

Because of its length, we are holding over until next issue an examination in depth of the Common Market question by Mr. T. V. Holmes. We had hoped to publish it in this issue. Ever since the Wilson Government borrowed heavily from the International Monetary Fund, he has completely reversed himself on the Common Market issue. The move to force Britain into the European Economic Community is the final phase of the long-term programme to destroy the British Commonwealth, one of the major barriers to the erection of the World State.

From London Mr. John Paul reports that his Anti-Common Market League is gearing up for a major campaign. He observes "Britain would be committing industrial hara-kiri if she joined EEC on Treaty of Rome terms." But this, of course, is the big idea.

WHAT IS SOCIAL CREDIT?

Douglas answered that question beyond any peradventure when in 1951, the year before his death, he issued a formula, which read: "Social Credit assumes that Society is primarily metaphysical, and must have regard to the organic relationships of its prototype." Social Credit, he declared, was the Policy of a Philosophy, and that policy, in the sphere of economics, is "Consumer Control of Production" and "Integral Accounting," and in the sphere of administration, "Hierarchy" and "Contracting Out Mechanisms." The OBJECTIVE of Social Credit is "Social Stability by the integration of means and ends." Why should a government, any more than a bank, have the "right to *dictate*" economic policy? The consumer should "dictate" economic policy by his money-vote. The "battle" is not between government and bank. It is between government and bank in alliance, the agents of "dictation," and the community considered as individuals. It is not the "duty of government" to "govern," but to see that the nation's accounts are "integrally accounted," the "nation's credit" properly assessed, the "just price" properly determined and the "national dividend" correctly declared.

Every man must be placed in possession of HIS OWN CREDIT, to the end that every man in the role of consumer, shall control production, and in the role of citizen, shall control State policy, by forcing the State to approach him and his representative for its "ways and means advances." It is the "duty of government" to see that the individual is protected in his *political and cultural independence*.

" . . . that the primary requisite is to obtain in the readjustment of the economic and political structure such control of initiative that by its exercise every individual can avail himself of the benefits of science and mechanism; that by their aid he is placed in such a position of advantage, that in common with his fellows he can choose, with increasing freedom and complete independence, whether he will or will not assist in any project which may be placed before him." *Economic Democracy*, 1920.

Douglas never trusted government, or any other centre of power, and least of all the government known as "party government." Hence his stipulation of the RIGHT TO CONTRACT OUT of "government" of a functional nature. It was to bring "party government" under proper "individual control" that he devised the open, recorded, responsible vote—a very different form of "democracy" from that which is generally known as "democratically elected government."

-T. V. Holmes in *Credit Note*, England.

HOW SAFE IS FLUORIDE?

In the *Australian Women's Weekly* of November 30, 1966, is an account of an interview with Sir Derrick Dunlop, Chairman of the U.K.'s Safety of Drugs Committee, enhanced by a picture of Sir Derrick. The picture

and the pronouncements exude benignity as the saga of drugs unfolds. The review of the truly remarkable progress in the war against disease is a soothing picture of the good fortune of this happy breed of pill takers; it also sheds light on modern therapy for, as Sir Derrick says in discussing the pressure of the drug salesman upon the practising doctor, "Mind you, as members of a learned profession, we should be able to resist pressure a bit better than a housewife with the latest detergent. But it's difficult at times." Speaking of the modern practitioner, Sir Derrick is reported as saying, "If he read all day every day, he couldn't keep up with the amazing advances that are being made. He couldn't even cover a small corner, and what he knows now may not even be relevant to the medical situation in ten years time."

But this benevolent doubt vanishes when the subject of fluoride crops us. Well, perhaps it didn't just crop up: it was most likely there all the time. Sir Derrick proceeds, "As to fluoride, I'd state quite categorically that 20 years experience in Canada and the United States has proved both its safety and its positive value." All the easy forgiveness and the difficulties of practitioners have no place here. We become categorical in every sense of the word attributable to philosophy from Aristotle to Kant. Which is very odd in view of the pervasive doubt in medical literature and the persistent demand for public inquiries.

What the article adds up to is a recommendation to take a risk in circumstances, which prevent the individual from avoiding it.

Concerning the experience, which has "proved both its (fluoride) safety and positive value," a correspondent quotes a recent news release from Canada as under:

"Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, October 27, 1966, broadcast over radio station CFCW in Camrose, Canada.

"The American Government has put a ban on a number of prenatal drugs containing fluoride. The ban applies to fluoride-containing prescription drugs used by expectant mothers to prevent tooth decay in their children. The Food and Drug Administrator says there isn't enough evidence that the drugs are beneficial to justify their use."

Our correspondent continues: "This information was also carried in the *New York Times*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Boston Herald* and other American news-

USE ENWITE SPECIALITIES

TEX IT waterproofing compound. SOLVIT paint remover. AQUALAC wood putty. BRYNAC enamel for resisting water, acids and alkalis. FERROSOL, the rust killing paint. RUSTEX for removing rust from motor bodies and metal work. THERM EX, the silver paint.

ENWITE PTY. LTD.

84-86 Cromwell Street, Collingwood, Vic.

Phone: JA 5967.

papers. These reports state that the manufacturers of these fluoride-containing drugs have sixty days to drop the claims for these products or remove them from the market.

"Following this two-month period those drugs still remaining on the market will be SEIZED by the F.D.A."

If it is only a matter of these drugs not proving beneficial as claimed, and they are still harmless, why the sudden panic to remove them from the market? Generally drugs are banned because there is convincing evidence that they are harmful to the users.

Our correspondent concludes: "We have also been informed that the American Food and Drug Administration has recently banned the export of certain fish products because of their fluoride content, and the reason given is that these products may endanger the health of the people in the countries receiving them."

TREATING POULTRY

The following is an extract from a journal devoted to the care of livestock. "Sodium fluoride is now considered one of the most effective substances for getting rid of lice in poultry. The sodium fluoride powder may be distributed into the feathers and over the body of the fowls by means of a small peppershaker. With the fingers, rub the powder well into the feathers. It is well for the operator to use a wet cloth over the mouth and nose to filter out the sodium fluoride, which is very irritating, from the nose and mouth.

"Fowls as soon as treated should be turned out into the air. Hens with young chicks and chicks less than a fortnight old should not be treated with sodium fluoride and even with older chicks the powder must not be used too freely. Care must be taken not to contaminate the fowls' food or water, and the operator should wash his hands thoroughly after using the powder."

A further report in the *Townsville Daily Bulletin*, January 3, 1967, states, "a group of Boston physicians have conducted research showing 'a strikingly low incidence' of aortic calcification (a phase of hardening of the arteries) among older persons who drink fluoridated water, the A.D.A. Journal reported."

Before our elder citizens get excited about this, they might like to contemplate the figures quoted by Harvey Petrabor, M.D., in the *Independent Age*, Aitkin, Minnesota, September 29, 1965. This is part of the report of the Select Committee on Water Fluoridation, House of Representatives, Michigan, 72nd Legislature, April 24, 1964. After detailed statistical quotations the report ended as follows:

"The committee members are unanimous and emphatic in their thinking that, in the interests of the health, safety and welfare of the people of this State, there should be legislation which would prohibit additional systems being ingested with fluoride until the subject can be further explored through the appointment of a successor committee to continue this study. Concerning heart disease, the following figures were released by the government:

Newburgh, fluoridated since 1945 showed 78.8% more heart deaths than the U.S. average in 1954. The same publication states, "Grand Rapids with 2½% of the population of Michigan had 30% of all the deaths whose causes could not be determined. Something strange and frightening is going on in Grand Rapids. Could this be due to 17 years of drinking fluoridated water?"

Up to date and right at home is this quotation from *The Sunday Mail* (Brisbane), January 15, 1967. "London. The first known case in Britain of a man suffering serious effects from too much fluoride in his diet is reported by a doctor." This may be the first officially admitted case in Britain (our comment) but it is but one of many instancing ill effects and even death from fluoride quoted in medical literature. To continue: "He drank from a private well in the garden. But the doctor who discovered the case said, 'I do not believe this constitutes a case against fluoridation of water supplies'." Apparently, in the doctor's opinion, exceptions are not to be tolerated, and the sooner a person grasps the idea that he is merely a statistic of more or less significance, the sooner will robotry be able to march on to its mechanical doom.

An interesting feature of the case was contained in the statement of the investigating doctor that "we were refused permission to test the water as the well had been blocked up." Just in case there should be a case against fluoridation?

THE REAL ISSUE

Whether additional fluoride is needed for any person and how far it is good or bad is a matter for the individual's personal doctor to advise on. It is for the individual to decide whether or not to accept such advice. But whether it is right to COMPEL people to take fluoride or any other substance (via the water supplies or otherwise) is a moral and political question for the layman to decide, and on that question the opinion of doctors or dentists is of no more value than that of the layman.—No. 36, *Newsletter of Anti-Fluoridation Association*, Rockhampton.

"THE SECRET LIFE OF ERIC BUTLER"

The above is the title of the first major smear article on Mr. Eric Butler, first published in *Nation* in 1959. Mr. Butler subsequently wrote a full reply to this smear, in which he dealt thoroughly with the many charges made against him, and outlined his philosophy of life and what he is attempting to do. In view of the mounting nationwide attacks on Mr. Butler, it is essential that every supporter has at least one copy of his book in his possession. It makes a vital contribution to Australian history, and exposes the type of tactics, which have been used against him for a quarter of a century. Order from The Heritage Bookshop, 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne. Price 5/8, post-free.

HAROLD WILSON'S DOUBLE STANDARDS

BY PAT WALSH FROM CANADA

Rhodesian Prime Minister Ian Smith completely turned the tables on a smart-alec B.B.C. commentator (over the controversial This Was The Week That Was programme—the counterpart of the defunct This Hour Has Seven Days and the present "Sunday" C.B.C. bilge water programmes) on Sunday, December 11, 1966.

Prime Minister Smith was asked a typical C.B.C.-B.B.C. loaded question concerning the "striking similarity of the restrictive methods the Rhodesian Security uses against its opponents with the Hitler regime that you (Ian Smith) fought against in World War II."

Deftly and swiftly Prime Minister Smith shot back: "I will give you the same reply that I gave Harold Wilson during our recent talks aboard H.M.S. Tiger. When Prime Minister Wilson asked me this very identical question I replied by asking him WHY his government had deemed it necessary to INTERN and RESTRICT terrorists in Aden as well as to round up and WITHOUT ANY TRIAL to imprison in an internment camp Arab native people whom the British SUSPECTED of being against H.M. Government. These Arabs were a MAJORITY in Aden and the British were a MINORITY. Why then not turn over to the MAJORITY this Arab-populated territory which the British were holding illegally according to P.M. Wilson's thinking about Rhodesia and WHY were restrictive measures being taken against THE NATIVE POPULATION?"

Then Prime Minister Smith added (after a long pause) "I see that you give me the same eloquent answer that Prime Minister Wilson gave me and by your refusal to give an answer you illustrate better than if you had tried to justify this double-standard and by evading to give the real answer you evade to give the exact answer which is simply that it would be foolhardy NOT to arrest and intern terrorists as well as to adopt restrictive measures in a state of emergency to protect the well being of the people as a whole. You cannot repudiate reason, as Prime Minister Wilson does, without repudiating truth, and insincerity is merely one of the many forms of falsehood. This contrast in HOW Mr. Wilson himself treats the terrorists in Aden and then criticizes us for doing exactly the same thing in Rhodesia is very significant and clearly demonstrates Mr. Wilson's insincerity."

COMPLETE SANCTIONS, INCLUDING OIL EMBARGO, WILL FAIL

The boorish B.B.C. commentator then tried to pin down Prime Minister Smith with what would happen IF chaos resulted from a U.N. blockade of all the South African coastlines and if NO OIL was permitted to enter South Africa, Mozambique, Angola and Rhodesia. Again

Prime Minister Smith was able to make the B.B.C. commentator look ridiculous. He said: "How do I know what would happen IF I went to the moon? I have no worry or any doubt that we will survive any U.N. blockade, even if this meant a complete embargo of petrol supplies."

At this point the B.B.C. commentator interjected: "Then you must have alternative plans to cope with this contingency and may I ask what they are?"

And Ian Smith replied: "Well this is our most carefully-guarded secret and I would be very foolish indeed to give you the details of this alternative plan. However, I have every confidence that sanctions will fail and we are very encouraged by the signs that even people like Mr. Paget and the former Labour Defence Minister have publicly repudiated Harold Wilson on the question of having the U.N. carry out mandatory sanctions against us."

Note. —Paget resigned from the Labour Party over the question of sanctions against Rhodesia and publicly denounced any attempt to bring down the Smith government by use of sanctions or force.

FALSITY ABOUT OVERSEAS CAPITAL

The following letter by C. A. Haythorpe appeared in "The Age," Melbourne, of February 2.

Economist Maxwell Newton in *The Age*, January 30, — Slow Motion Money Squeeze—again highlights the importance of money in economic affairs.

Whilst seeing evidence of improvement in some sectors of the economy, Mr. Newton fears, nevertheless, this may be short lived.

The Government, he thinks, will be forced to take cognisance of the declining rate of "capital inflow," and hence may "impose some restraint on the pace of feasible growth of our domestic economy."

This alleged dependence on "overseas capital" reveals not only a falsity in monetary operations, but also a prevailing ignorance on this matter, which allows this falsity to continue.

With the exception of a very limited extent of industrial equipment entering Australia as capital, the "capital inflow" so often referred to, constitutes nothing more than the transfer of book entries from a British or USA bank to its agent bank in Australia.

The British or American investor does no more than instruct his own bank to arrange a "credit" for him in Australia.

Not one unit of money as British or U.S.A. currency leaves either country.

Those aware of banking practices see nothing unusual in this, and they know also that vast proportion of the

money in Australia, as in other countries, is nothing more than book entries.

The Reserve Bank, in a recent "Statistical Bulletin" confirms this. Under section: The Volume of Money, it states that in October last the sum of money in Australia was \$11,356 million made up as follows:

Notes and coin—\$832 million.

Bank deposits—\$10,524 million.

From these figures it is clear that about only 7 per cent of our "money" is in notes and coin, the balance being merely book entries as "deposits."

Why, then, should the creation of any money needed be dependent on "capital inflow," rather than our manpower and resources?

Why should we be subject to a "slow motion money squeeze" leading to less and less consumption, when, on the other hand, industry wants to increase sales to absorb its bigger and bigger output? Certainly there is something wrong somewhere.

BIG LEAGUE OF RIGHTS ADVANCE

In a recent report on its activities for 1966, the Australian League of Rights listed the many steps taken last year in its advancing programme. Highlights were the Ron Gostick tour of New Zealand and Australia, the best annual seminar ever, a big expansion in the League's organizational structure, a larger number of new subscribers to League publications, particularly the weekly commentary, *On Target*, and the establishment of a firm League base in southern Queensland and northern N.S.W. under the direction of Mr. Don Martin.

The League has planned a massive programme for 1967, based upon a realistic assessment of what it is felt can be accomplished. Following its hard-line policy of not creating new voters policy action groups until it is certain that these groups will work regularly and effectively, the League has set a target of 25 new groups for the year. The League does, however, make allowances for the many individual actionists who, for geographical or other reasons, cannot belong to a group. All readers who wish to participate in the League's action campaigns should contact the League and become regular subscribers to *On Target*.

The League has set a minimum target of 1000 new *On Target* subscribers during 1967.

An intense programme of personally canvassing small businesses has produced most encouraging results, confirming Douglas's view of the great importance of the proud craftsman type who puts his own name above his door.

More schools and meetings are planned for 1967, with a number of competent speakers now able to address all types of audiences. The League's National Director, Mr. Eric Butler, has already plunged into a non-stop programme, which will be taking him to every English-speaking country, and to all States of Australia. This

programme will include not only lectures, but advice on the establishment of effective organization similar to that being operated by the League.

What has been accomplished has been only made possible because of the steady, dedicated financial giving of a minority of supporters. The increased programme for 1967 has been undertaken in the hope that the increased finance necessary will be provided by supporters inspired by what has been demonstrated. Another \$6,000 would make certain that the League's programme is carried through, with a much enlarged base from which to move forward again in 1968.

In response to a recent letter from Mr. Butler concerning finance, in which he stressed the point that the \$6,000 required could easily be provided by 600 supporters who have not yet supported the 1967 programme pledging only an average of \$10, a Western Australian reader writes as one who has "not be able to contribute towards this work as I would have liked "-

"I would like to appeal through your columns for these many others (who have not contributed) to raise within the next three months, as I will try to do, the amount of \$10 dollars each, to help you make this year 1967 one of great forward effort."

We warmly commend the sentiments of our reader, and anticipate that the League of Rights will benefit from this appeal.

"LADIES' LINE"

From Mr. Don Martin in Brisbane comes inspiring news of yet another League of Rights project, one which grew out of the encouraging response amongst various women's meetings last year. A special, attractive, fortnightly for women, *Ladies' Line*, has been produced. The first edition is a credit to Mr. Don Martin and his assistant, Mrs. Jean Luscombe. The League visualises this publication as the first step towards the creation of a nation-wide women's organization. Those interested in obtaining specimen copies or of subscribing should write to Mr. Don Martin, P.O. Box 3, Paddington, Brisbane, Queensland. As Kipling said, "the female is more deadly than the male!"

LEAGUE OF RIGHTS HANDBOOK

The League of Rights has published a handbook, which will answer most of the questions asked about the League. The handbook lists the League's Objectives, together with an explanation of these Objectives, provides a brief history of the League, its structures and journals, describes its journals and the various services it provides. Those interested in joining the League, or of expanding its work, should obtain a copy of the handbook. Available from The League of Rights, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, price 2/-, post free.

THE HIDDEN HAND BEHIND NAZISM AND COMMUNISM

An amazing 33-year-old document has "turned up" to haunt every American with a red-rimmed Warburg quarter in his pocket.

It's a routine appointment of H. H. G. Schacht as administrator of Germany's money, but the signatures on it show who were the real rulers of Germany in 1933. There, side-by-side, are the signatures of Max Warburg and Adolf Hitler. Other signatures include additional international bankers of the Rothschild Bloc, such as Wasserman.

Many Americans — unaware of the hidden hand, which stretches across oceans to play grab bag with public treasuries — think that the Warburgs are an "American" family.

A copy of this document was presented at the Nuremberg trials after World War II but was quickly hushed by communists handling the prosecution for the Soviet Union and the U.S., lest it be too widely discussed among gullible U.S. taxpayers and/or war veterans. Here are other facts:

(1) Congressman McFadden, a Pennsylvania banker in private life, tried to warn Americans that same year (1933) that James P. Warburg and his father, Paul M. Warburg, had plans to "undo" America. Paul Warburg, he said, came here from Hamburg, Germany, for one purpose: to take over the Treasury of the U.S.A. as the International Bankers had done the treasuries of Europe. (See Congressional Record, 4-4-33).

(2) Paul Warburg was the organizer and first chairman of the Federal Reserve System although he could hardly speak English.

(3) Max Warburg joined Olaf Aschberg of Stockholm to underwrite the Bolsheviks in their 1917 takeover of Russia. Aschberg's daughter married Trotsky.

(4) Trotsky's real name was Bronstein. He trained his commissars for the takeover of Russia on vacant land in New Jersey, opposite the city of New York. This is land now occupied by the Rockefeller Esso refinery.

(5) In addition to European financing, from Max Warburg and Olaf Aschberg, Trotsky-Bronstein received funds in the U.S. from Jacob Schiff, Felix Warburg, and Jerome H. Hanauer. (See Vennar, Conquest or Consent).

(6) Hanauer was the father-in-law of Lewis Lishtenstein Strauss, one of the men entrusted by Eisenhower

with the U.S. nuclear secrets. (See Who's Who in America.)

(7) Strauss ramrodded the nomination of Eisenhower and directed such dramatic fizzles as the Goldwater campaign and several rah-rah for America drives that never quite got started.

(8) During World War I, Paul Warburg was unofficially head of the U.S. espionage system and top financial adviser to President Wilson. During the same war, Max Warburg was unofficially head of the German espionage system and top financial adviser to Kaiser Wilhelm. The two men were also brothers and business partners.

(9) Jacob Schiff, mentioned above, was a direct descendant of David Tevele Schiff and owned the house in Judenstrasse, Frankfurt, where the Rothschilds got their start in the 1700's. David didn't need the house because he was moving to London to advise and direct the take over of British finance.

(10) Mrs. Felix M. Warburg was born in 1876, daughter of Jacob Schiff and Therese Loeb.

(11) A study of the House Committee on Un-American Activities (made in 1944), listed the following names in connection with communist propaganda groups and/or activities: Edward N. M. Warburg, Pauline C. Schiff, Philip Schiff, Dan Bronstein, Herbert Lehman and Mrs. Herbert H. Lehman. This report, Appendix IX, has been hidden from the public and is virtually impossible to obtain from the present chairman, Rep. Ed. Willis, who has taken the committee out of the fight against communism.

(12) The Rothschild-Warburg bank in New York is Kuhn, Loeb & Co., which supports Leftwing Republicans as well as Leftwing Democrats. Among the vice-presidents of this Red finance institution is Thomas E. Dewey, Jr. *News Digest — International*.

KEEP FIT WITH ORGANIC HEALTH PRODUCTS

Modern denatured foods are not sufficient to maintain complete health. Deficiencies can best be overcome with organic vitamins and minerals taken regularly. Health can be protected by the expenditure of only a small financial outlay per week.

Dietary Health Products can meet your basic requirements. Price lists available upon request. Support for Dietary Health Products is financial support for the work activities endorsed by this journal.

Dietary Health Products, 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne. Telephone: 639749.

BRITISH DECEIT ON RHODESIA

Mr. Duncan Sandys has been in the news as the result of a pro-Rhodesian rally he addressed in London. Rhodesians are unlikely to be impressed by what appears to be the change of heart by Mr. Sandys. Clearly he is attempting to exploit the rising tide of pro-Rhodesian sympathy in Britain. But those with long political memories will not trust Mr. Sandys, a typical example of modern deceitful British politicians.

Mr. Duncan Sandys, leading advocate in the British Conservative Government under Harold MacMillan for the surrender of British sovereignty in the European Common Market, has had the audacity to visit Rhodesia and to give his opinions. Sir Roy Welensky reports Sandys in his book dealing with the betrayal of the Central African Federation, as saying that "we have lost the will to govern."

Rhodesians have every reason to distrust Duncan Sandys, as mentioned in the following comment by Mr. John Paul in his *Political Intelligence Weekly* of July 22:

"The origins of ill-feeling towards Sandys go back to the double-dealing in 1961 over the new Rhodesian constitution, to follow the demise of the Central African Federation. As Commonwealth Relations Secretary, Sandys by both temperament and political inclination seemed to many a well-chosen hatchet man for the Harold MacMillan retreat from greatness; long a fervent supporter of British membership of a United States of Europe in some form or other, Sandys took easily to the task of withdrawal from Africa.

The British government's deceit was this: the Rhodesian people in 1961 voted at a referendum in favour of a new constitution as specifically outlined in White Papers Command 1399 Part 1, and Command 1400 Part 2. The latter included the principle of eliminating all powers reserved to the United Kingdom, except in two limited respects detailed in the White Papers. Yet, when Her Majesty the Queen by Order in Council enacted a Constitution, on the advice of Sandys, supposedly to "follow the White Paper in every detail," the constitution included a Section III, which reserved to the UK powers to intervene almost ad lib in the affairs of Rhodesia!

As one former Rhodesian MP and moderate, Mr. A. J. A. Peck, writes in his book *Rhodesia Accuses*, the conclusion is inescapable that the British government of the day was guilty of deliberate collusion in leading the Rhodesian electorate to believe, and to go on believing, that Rhodesia would be given her Independence in all but a few trifling details."

NEW TIMES—FEBRUARY 1967

TWO IMPORTANT BOOKLETS

Every student of Communism and every Christian Actionist should read these books.

BY E. D. BUTLER
"Dialectics"

"Dialectical materialism enables the Communist to murder, lie, betray, to claim that which was black yesterday is white today. But the Communist does not believe that he is murdering or lying or being treacherous. So long as he is advancing Communism he is in fact acting morally because to the Communist the only morality is that which advances Communism."

Price 4/5 posted

THE EQUALITY DOGMA LEADS TO COMMUNISM

By D. Watts

In this brilliant essay the author exposes the equality dogma as one of the most dangerous of the twentieth-century myths, and one, which is paving the way for International Communism. This essay is a closely reasoned reply to the claim that "all men are equal" and a demonstration of the truth that the organisation of human beings into masses destroys the individual.

Order from The Heritage Bookshop, Box 1052J, G P O., Melbourne. Price 2/-, post-free.

ROOSEVELT'S ROAD TO RUSSIA, by George N. Crocker.

This work by a trained and experienced lawyer, a profound student of history, removes any lingering doubts concerning the role of President Roosevelt in betraying Western Civilization to International Communism. Based upon the documents now available, this book traces Roosevelt's role in assisting Communist strategy at every vital stage before and during the war. Here is a piece of real history told so graphically that the reader cannot put it aside until he has finished. Undoubtedly the most important book on Roosevelt and his policies yet published. 312 pages. Comprehensive notes and index. Price 50/-.

THE TRUTH ABOUT RHODESIA

Actionists can help spread the truth about Rhodesia and the world revolution by obtaining copies of the League of Rights special eight-page brochure, in which photos are published of the frightful results of black nationalism in Africa. This publication is the quickest selling one ever published by the League.

Prices for bulk supplies are: \$1.00 per dozen; \$3.00 per 50, \$5.00 per 100; \$9.00 per 200. Order from The Australian League of Rights, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Page 7

worldwide circulation, not only in the English-speaking world, but also in most Western European countries. This very well produced journal is carrying articles of the greatest importance. It is acting as yet another carrier for truth.

But behind the various projects in the sphere of the printed word there are developing organisations in which freedom-loving individuals are coming together to act against all those policies, which are designed to further the general policy of monopoly. These organizations are learning how to co-operate, how to be more effective. Their very structure enables them to take the initiative quickly. Those controlling the big battalions find all this very disturbing. For example, reports to hand from Canada reveal that Mr. Ron Gostick's delivery of a Canadian gift of oil to the Rhodesians has enraged the Canadian Liberal-Socialist Government led by Mr. Lester Pearson. There has been a wild smearing campaign, with an attempt to also involve Mr. Eric Butler. Mr. Gott's little hate booklet is even being used.

All these developments, we have but barely touched upon, provide striking evidence that there is still considerable moral health in the non-Communist world. They are demonstrating what can be done against the highly organised forces of Monopoly. Monopoly of all descriptions suffers from basic weaknesses. But these weaknesses must be effectively exploited while there is still time for effective action. There is still time, but not too much of it, left in Western nations like Australia. How it is used will decide whether the small, decentralised guerrilla forces can strike a deathblow against the forces of monopoly. The situation is pregnant with tremendous possibilities.

RHODESIA'S REAL ENEMY

"International Communism is our enemy, and all this talk of political advancement and majority rule is no more than a smoke-screen in the early skirmishes of an assault upon the whole of Africa. This is International Communism—swallowed like a sugarcoated pill—sometimes by well-meaning people of all classes and all races, and with few exceptions, by the mass media.

"It is even more difficult to see this enemy because it is not merely attacking us, but on a broad front attacking the whole world order its standards, its law and order, its moralities, its churches, its patriotisms, its philosophies, and even much of its learning; and it is doing all this behind a mask of reason and humanity." — Lord Graham, Rhodesian Minister for External Affairs and Defence.

TREACHERY

Comrade Liu Ning-1, Vice-Chairman of the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress, in an address at Peking on July 30 (reported in the *Peking Review* of August 19) said:

"We the 700 million Chinese people provide powerful backing for the Vietnamese people. The vast expanse of our territory is the reliable rear area of the Vietnamese people."

Here is an open admission that Red China is the base from which the Communists are fighting Americans, Australians and New Zealanders in Vietnam. But instead of attempting to destroy the enemy's base, the Australian Government supports an economic policy of strengthening the base with growing exports to Red China. This policy is justified by references to financial and economic policies, which are regarded as sacrosanct. But the reality is that the policy is one of treachery and should be termed such.

FREEDOM WEARS A CROWN, by John Farthing.

This is beyond doubt the most significant constitutional work produced within the British Commonwealth for a long time. A Canadian lecturer in political science, the late John Farthing left behind him a brilliant exposition of the case for the Crown as an institution essential for the free society. Easily read, this book should be given to every young student starting to study political science. And it is a work, which can be taken down time and time again to refresh an understanding of the great traditions of the British world. One of the really great books of our times. Price 35/-.

"RACE AND REASON"

By Carleton Putman

This book is a "must" for anyone who genuinely desires to understand the race question. The facts are dealt with in a most objective and thorough manner. An Introduction is provided by a number of eminent scientists who write, "There is logic and commonsense in these pages; there is also inescapable scientific validity."

The author thoroughly exposes the hoax upon which the attempted forced integration in the U.S.A. is primarily based. A work of great scholarship, this book is becoming a textbook, not only in the U.S.A., but also in all parts of the English-speaking world.