

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by post as a newspaper.

\$6.00 per annum post-free. Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 39, No. 9

SEPTEMBER 1974

RUNNING ON THE WRONG ROAD

It is foolish to run faster if already on the wrong road, observed the famous Chinese sage Confucius. Clearly mankind is on the wrong road, being driven at an accelerating rate by false finance-economic policies. But amidst the growing economic and social dislocation the cry goes up for an intensification of the very policies, which are hastening what remains of Civilisation towards the abyss. Centralised control of financial credit, this enabling centralised control of a community's real credit, its productive capacity, has made possible the terrible disasters which have turned the twentieth century into a progressive nightmare. And now the International Monetary Fund calls for even more centralised credit control. Such control would result in a World Hell.

Looking back down the disaster road which mankind has followed over the last sixty years, the significance of what C. H. Douglas had to reveal in his first article, "The Delusion of Super-Production", at the end of the First World War, stands out like a brilliant signpost warning that mankind was already on the wrong road and that the cry for accelerating production could only lead to the complete collapse of Civilisation. Douglas enunciated the fundamental truth that the true purpose of production is consumption, and that production for the sake of production, or for keeping individuals under centralised control, was a manifestation of a totalitarian philosophy. The Marxists are the most thoroughgoing exponents of that philosophy at present. But as shown so conclusively by Antony Sutton in his *National Suicide*, the Marxists' power base, the Soviet Union, is only kept afloat by the massive economic and technological blood transfusions provided by the credit monopolists in the United States.

A CORRECT PROPHECY

Correct prophecy is the test of the true scientist. In his analysis of the operations of the finance-economic system, Douglas showed conclusively that under present financial rules, industry creates financial costs at a greater rate than it distributes purchasing power to individuals to meet those costs. Attempts to overcome a basic defect by financing still more production. Government production and activities taking progressive precedence over private production, hire-purchase schemes and "favourable balances of trade" would, predicted Douglas, merely accelerate the growth of financial debt, generate increasing inflation and create conditions which could then be exploited to centralise all power still further. Events have unfolded exactly as Douglas predicted they would. A Civilisation already seriously undermined now stands in danger of final collapse. On all sides can be heard the cries of dismay as inflation and social disintegration

develop ruthlessly and relentlessly. The European Common Market was presented as a haven in which a desperate United Kingdom could seek refuge and share in a great "economic miracle". But the miracle has become a storm of mounting inflation with the threat of an economic and political collapse next year. West Germany alone of the major Western nations has been able to contain the inflation rate at less than 10 percent. But the price has been massive unemployment and serious economic disorder. Several banks have failed and there is the possibility of other Western European banks closing in the immediate future. Centralisation has not produced a harmonious Western Europe. It has paved the way for a Communist takeover.

Douglas also predicted that a most dangerous situation would develop when centralised credit policies resulted in such dislocation and perversion of the free-enterprise economic system that genuine shortages were created. Douglas warned that in such a situation the effects of an anti-social financial policy would be used to attempt to shackle even tighter the individual in a totalitarian structure. Inflation is now seriously hampering all forms of production throughout the non-Communist world. All attempts to deal with inflation along the lines already tried with disastrous results, is but further evidence of running harder on the wrong road. Higher interest rates, heavy taxation, "credit squeezes", price controls, wage controls, profit controls have all been tried and found failures. That is, if the genuine objective is to reverse inflation. But all policies allegedly designed to control inflation are in fact designed to increase centralised control over the individual.

ANTI-INFLATION KNOWLEDGE

The knowledge of how to prevent inflation completely has been known for over fifty years since Douglas first demonstrated scientifically that the real cost of production

is consumption and that it has been progressively falling with every improvement in production techniques. The Social Credit of a community belongs to the individual members of that community and they are entitled to get access to it as a right. Instead of talking about the type of policies which they proved failures when they were in office, Opposition Members of the Australian Federal Government could make a genuine contribution to the implementation of a constructive anti-inflation policy by supporting a limited objective policy of reducing financial costs by, as a start, abolishing Sales Tax, and by using new financial credits, not to inflate costs still further by ever-increasing wages, but by reducing prices through price discounts. Any other suggestions for dealing with the crisis are but more attempts to run harder on a road already proved to be the wrong road. But rather than face the necessity of challenging the totalitarian philosophy of centralised credit control, Opposition spokesmen now appear to be preparing the Australian people for a continuation of disaster if they are elected to take over from

the Whitlam Government. The theme is that the Opposition will inherit such a disaster from the Whitlam Government that it will "take years" to rectify the situation. The damage done by the Whitlam Government has been enormous, but the recuperative capacity of the free enterprise system is such that realistic financial policies would result in a rapid improvement.

In spite of the long years of moving at an accelerating rate down the wrong road, we hold firm to the faith that as the end of that road comes into clearer view, the growing number who have warned that Australia, along with other nations, were moving in a disaster direction, will find that the majority will then want to turn back. But they will need leadership and encouragement. That is the special responsibility of all those who understand the truth about mankind now stands in such deadly peril. They can still halt the retreat down the wrong road before it reaches the point of no return. Time is fast running out.

MR. JOSEPH JOHNSON OF THE BILDERBERGERS

In our May issue we quoted a report from "Washington Observer", U.S.A., giving a list of those who attended the last Bilderberg meeting, held at Megeve, France. "Washington Observer" of August 1 published an exchange of letters between Mr. Peter C. Reynolds, a free-lance reporter who secured the secret-list of those attending the Bilderberg meeting, and Mr. Joseph E. Johnson, Honorary Secretary General for the United States of the Bilderberg Meetings. In a letter dated May 30, to Mr. Reynolds, Mr. Johnson charged that Mr. Reynolds had written a story "full of errors". He suggested that Mr. Reynolds was a despicable scoundrel. Mr. Reynolds replied as follows:

Dear Mr. Johnson:

I had no intention of replying to the distorted diatribe you sent me until I learned that you were distributing it to try and make the secret Bilderberg meeting at Megeve appear as if it was not secret at all—merely some sort of a tea party or "think tank" of no consequence. I hate to waste my time answering such an unabashed hypocrite as yourself, and am not at all surprised at your language or your lies as lying has been your chosen profession for longer than I have been alive.

Since the wire services and newspapers, which you and your confederates control are careful not to tell of your sinister background, I will review it. You began your deadly assignment as an assistant to the convicted perjurer, Alger Hiss, in 1942 aiding him and your other friends to organize the banker-controlled United Nations as a front for the manipulations of the super-rich international plutocrats you so willingly serve. You attended the Dumbarton Oaks Conference and then the meeting at San Francisco in 1945 where the secretly prepared plans for the UN were obediently rubber-stamped. During your life you have played a quiet part in nearly every internationalist organization, helping to bring war, poverty and money-enslavement to the people of the world. Your record includes involvement with the notorious Council on Foreign Relations, the World Peace Foundation, Foreign Policy Association, American Institute of Pacific Relations and you finally replaced your imprisoned com-

rade, Alger Hiss, as president of the super-rich, tax-exempt Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

In this position you rose to the height of hypocrisy and displayed your arrogant contempt for humanity and for national and international law by devising a detailed battle plan for the invasion and destruction of the sovereign nation of South Africa and the takeover of its enormously valuable natural resources—of course under the usual propaganda cover of bringing "equal rights" and "liberation" to the people.

This criminal plan, which was hatched in the twisted minds of the international banksters who manipulate your foundation and the other tax-free foundations, contemplated casualties of 20000 to 40000 plus the infinite suffering of untold thousands of children and mothers by

"THE CONQUEST OF NATURE"

"Man's conquest of Nature, if the dreams of some scientific planners are realised, means the rule of a few hundreds of men over billions upon billions of men. There neither is nor can be any simple increase of power on Man's side. Each new power won by man is a power over man as well. Each advance leaves him weaker as well as stronger. In every victory, besides being the general who triumphs, he is also the prisoner who follows the triumphal car."

—C. S. Lewis in *The Abolition of Man* (1943)

starvation, rape and torture at the hands of bestial UN troops whose tender concern for the rights of humanity was shown in the Congo in 1961 where you and your criminal friends, such as George Ball, murdered thousands to steal the copper mines of Katanga. Of course, your battle plan for the destruction of South Africa had the usual advantage of using the money of taxpayers (\$94 million) to accomplish your aim of the capture of the rich diamond and gold mines of that area.

You and your confederates are directly responsible for the war in Vietnam and the deaths of 63 000 Americans and countless Vietnamese, the gold crisis, devaluation of the dollar, inflation, a constantly lower standard of living in America, growing poverty and starvation in the rest of the world and the rapid loss of human rights enjoyed for centuries by our forefathers. You and your evil cohorts have wrought havoc on this globe for decades. You fight war for "peace," spend billions to reduce inflation, pass more laws to make us free and all you have done is make the world unfit for life. I do not know why you want to rule this world. That sort of greed that sick desire for power is beyond my comprehension.

You insult the intelligence of the readers of *Washington Observer* by asking them to believe that the Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Wallenbergs and others of that ilk—the world's richest and most powerful men or their agents—would attend a meeting with heads of state and heads of state-to-be, senior government officials, owners and publishers of the world's opinion-making media and top military men . . . to have meaningless discussions. You expect intelligent men and women to believe that host governments would spend hundreds of thousands of the dollars collected from their taxpayers to provide top-level security for what you want us to think is a social reunion of some sort. Do you really expect anyone to believe that these international capitalists, whose entire lives have been spent in the single-minded pursuit of wealth, would travel thousands of kilometers and absent themselves from their businesses and board rooms and take three days of their valuable time to participate in discussions that will not affect government policy and is not intended to line their pockets still more? What kind of fools do you think we are, Mr. Johnson?

As the obedient creature of the international banksters, you and Prince Bernhard (married to the richest woman in the world) set up the first Bilderberg Conference in 1954—20 years ago. There has been a meeting every year and until a few days ago during all that time there have not been more than 15 articles that ever appeared in the press of the entire world. But thanks entirely to the joint efforts of the *Washington Observer Newsletter* and Liberty Lobby you and your criminal cabal are now being flushed out to the light of day. The Associated Press (which is a cooperative owned by the newspapers which subscribe to it, not a corporation such as United Press International which is owned by the same international parasites who own you) was forced to put a story on its wire two months after the Megeve meeting, and two columnists, Bill Ander-

son and Richard Starnes have also been "persuaded" by our friends to run a column on the meeting.

And whereas Anderson and Starnes (who are well aware of who butters their bread) attempt to downgrade the importance of the meetings, calling them "dull" and smearing as an "extremist" anyone who notices them, the Associated Press story is a real breakthrough. Yes, because we have convinced dozens of newspapers that they are being cheated and misled by the wire services, they have demanded that the AP report the Bilderberg story, and many secret facts have now been revealed. I am afraid, Mr. Johnson, that you will never again be able to claim that the meetings are not so secret because the AP story admits that they are "almost hermetically sealed from the press," and states that "Bernhard makes it clear that participants who deal with the press won't be invited back." So you see, Mr. Johnson, even the statements of your immediate superior, Prince Bernhard, prove that you are a shameless and unmitigated liar!

Incidentally, your own letter proves that you are deep in a conspiracy to keep the truth from the people. You say that, "Prince Bernhard held a press conference on Thursday afternoon, April 18, and gave to the more than 25 journalists present at that conference copies of the (guest list)." Since even the AP now reports that all efforts are made to "hermetically seal" your meetings from the press, it is evident that your "25 journalists" were carefully selected dummies who were under strict orders not to report anything but who served as window dressing to give the appearance that the meetings were not "hermetically sealed" from exposure.

I can understand very well why you are terrified that your evil plot will be exposed. You call me despicable, and coming from you it is a compliment. There are no words to describe you and your kind. You are the most malicious men ever to have lived. You live off this planet like leeches and attempt to hide from your host through deception, lies and manipulation of your puppet press.

No, your letter comes as no surprise. Nothing you could say or do would shock me. Stating boldface lies in print is nothing new to you it is your profession. I do intend to set the record straight. I was in danger during my

THE FEDERAL BUDGET

We go to press before the Australian Federal Budget has been announced, but there have been sufficient "leaks" to make it clear that the Whitlam Government has no intention of practising the "restraint" which it urges upon others. The overall result can be predicted with certainty: more disastrous inflation and its many consequences. There is little doubt that the policy-makers for the Whitlam Government are determined to push Australia down the Socialist road as far as possible in the shortest possible time, relying upon a weak Snedden Government to do nothing effective to reverse the Socialist programme.

visit to France, and you know it. Twice I was held and scrutinized by your "security people." You did not give me the guest list of names, only a meaningless press release lacking even an agenda. The guest list I got through a completely different source. You did grant me an interview, during which you told me drivel.

Mr. Johnson, you who profess to believe in "democracy," "civil rights" and "freedom" really believe in tyranny, deception and lies. Like worms underneath a rock, now that you and your friends have been exposed to a little sunlight, you will shrivel up and die. Your entire conspiracy has depended on secrecy—so that the honest people of the world would never catch on that the daily disasters reported in your controlled press are not unconnected and unpredictable events over which no one has any control, but deliberately planned and carried out for the profit of the international gangsters who control the world and who have stolen uncounted billions in

interest from the taxpayers through their ownership of the Federal Reserve System, the Bank of England and all so-called "central banks" as well as additional billions under the guise of "foreign aid," taxpayer-guaranteed east-west trade, exploitation of the natural resources of the world, international cartels and, of course, war.

I hope that you will live long enough to see the people rise up in righteous anger and destroy what you and your cohorts have so deliberately built. Your materialistic world is dead, Mr. Johnson—the satanic reign of money is destroying itself in inflation and anarchy and shortly the people will make a permanent end to the political, cultural and social poisons which your masters have injected into the world of free men. The days of your materialistic system are numbered, and we are going to take back what you have stolen from us.

Yours for Truth,
PETER C. REYNOLDS Reporter

"THESE LATTER HOURS"

By C. H. DOUGLAS

The following article was originally published in the Social Credit Quarterly, "The Fig Tree", in 1936. We feel that because of the present situation, all readers should make a careful study of an analysis even more important today than it was when first written.

The nascent science of Social Dynamics presents many urgent problems for solution to its students, and perhaps one of the less understood is that of the distinction between Social Momentum and Applied Force.

There is an idea in the minds of many people, I think, that the world and the rulers of it are susceptible to some description of death-bed repentance, and that, in consequence the penalty of their past policy can be averted. It is very doubtful indeed whether such an idea has any sound foundation. The prevention of a great war, for instance, in order to be effective, requires the removal or shutting off of forces, which lead to a great war, 15 or 20 years before the war will otherwise take place. It has always seemed to me that 1923 was the critical year in regard to the situation in the world today, and approximately the latest date at which the disasters which threaten us could have been avoided, although that is by no means to say that they cannot be mitigated. In 1923 it became obvious that bankers had learnt nothing and forgotten nothing, and, as Mr. Otto Kahn said at Ottawa, "They had been a little anxious, but now had the situation in hand."

The traditional success of British Governments in dealing with various situations that may confront them (which from one point of view has provoked the criticism, so universal on the Continent, that we have no policy other than expediency) is due, I think, to our concentration upon problems of momentum, rather than upon problems of original forces. When such momentum is comparatively small—as is the case where communications are slow, agriculture and small industry are primitive; the dissemination of news and propaganda is compara-

lively restricted, and in general the conditions are those which existed up to the beginning of the present century—the brake is a more effective and simpler mechanism than are the engine controls. When it is necessary to affect the judgment of only a small number of comparatively well-educated people, constantly in touch with each other and familiar with the practice and technique of governmental action, a change of policy is easy and can be comparatively rapid. But such is not the case today. Political propaganda has reached dimensions previously unknown, by means of syndicated newspapers, broadcasting, motion pictures, and so forth, while the submission of large populations to a uniform economic system based upon finance, and producing parallel problems everywhere, has generated mass emotion on a scale which is reflected in the wars and revolutions contemporaneous with it.

If the situation is looked at in this light, it must evoke even some sympathy for the unfortunate statesmen who are supposedly responsible. If we regard them as free agents with the best intentions, which is most cases much to assume, they are faced with the necessity for action along two distinct lines, both of them full of difficulty. In the first place there is the reduction of the momentum towards disaster which has assumed such formidable proportions; and the difficulties which surround effective action of this nature—even the dangers of a directly opposite result to that which is desired—are exemplified by the breakdown of efforts at disarmament. But with the magnitude of modern social forces, it is not much use applying the brake if the vehicle is still hell-bent to destruction on full throttle. The forces, which make for

destruction in the world today, which have produced the situation which is now so menacing, are more powerful than they were 25 years ago, and there seems to be little more prospect that their direction will be diverted. Without pressing material analogies too far, it may be observed that the stored energy of matter in motion is proportional to mv^2 . If we have a flywheel one ton in weight turning 100 revolutions per minute, it takes a great deal more to stop it if it is all in one piece, than if it is split up into 20 flywheels weighing 1 cwt., and of correspondingly less diameter. The analogy is crude, but it is suggestive of what I am convinced is the truth, that dictatorships representing the power of many millions of people must be disastrous if the dictators are in control of policy. It is quite possible to have all the power of a unified dictatorship and yet to have control over it in such a manner that its policy can be rapidly changed, if it is recognised that the dictatorship is merely functional, and not one of initiative. Freedom is a real thing. It is the most important thing, which is at stake in the world today, and it is beyond all other things necessary that its nature should be understood. It is the power to choose or refuse one thing at a time. It is the power to choose whether you will play cricket or whether you will play golf, or whether you will play neither. Quite emphatically

it is not the power on the part of the non-player to change the rules of cricket or golf; that is not freedom, it is oppression. As the freemen of Arbroath said to the Pope when he opposed the enthronement of Bruce: "It is not glory, it is not riches, neither is it honour, but it is liberty alone that we fight and contend for, which no honest man will lose but with his life."

The dictatorship of the world at the present time are slaveries, not because they dictate that their industries shall be carried on to certain programmes, but because they dictate that everyone shall take part in them under either economic or administrative pressure. And this is just as true of Fascism as it is of so-called Communism as practised in Russia today. The fact that such dictatorships may be for a time functionally efficient is quite irrelevant. The more efficient they are under conditions which remove the power of initiative from the individual, the more certain it is that they must come into conflict with each other and produce a world catastrophe.

How much time is required both to apply the brake and reverse the engine, and whether there is sufficient time, I do not know; but only individual initiative submitting itself to functional discipline for the purpose of reaching that objective can have any success.

MALE AND FEMALE VALUES *By D. WATTS*

Most women belonging to the Women's Liberation Movement are not psychologically liberated. They accept it that male values are superior to female values and that therefore men's world is better and more important than women's world. They want women to have what men have and to be what men are. To the extent that they realise those desires they serve to enhance the maleness of a society already suffering from too great a dominance of the male values.

Undoubtedly many women holding positions hitherto regarded as being properly filled by men enjoy considerable ego-satisfaction and not a few enjoy their work. Others measure their advantages by the money they earn. Were they content to regard themselves as a particular group one could not begrudge them their gratification, but the unhappy thing is that they strive to make all other women over, compulsorily, into their own image.

A number of things has worked together to create a situation in which even the more independently minded women are being compelled to serve in men's particular sphere, but one very noticeable strand in our boggled social weave is the fact that while men, as a whole, are well able to appreciate the male values, only the more perspicacious among them really understand the female values, with the consequence that the fair-minded among the more influential of them are apt to believe that women who want a place in the male world are asking for the better kind of life and, in justice, should be permitted to have it. On this account, nearly all men in the position to do so give much more publicity to the man-emulating women than to the serious woman thinker. One result of this is that the organisers of economy have shaped man's world to suck in as many women as possible.

The human world, far from perfect at its best, is worse

than it might have been had women been free to modify it by impressing on political and social theorists the importance of their own characteristic values—those of the private world and personal relations. Instead, these values are being subordinated beyond reason to the male values as expressed in public organisation.

MALE AND FEMALE VALUES COMPLEMENTARY

Male and female values are complementary. Human experience is flawed unless the balance between complements is adjusted to the needs of whatever situation in which people find themselves. We may use as a background to a graph of civilisation a symbolic shaded wash of some secondary colour—say purple—with the red representing the male element and blue the female. At one end of the wash the colour is nearly red, but there is enough blue in it to make it still purple. At the opposite end the purple is nearly blue. A society, when at the red, or male, extreme is a barbarous one, while at the blue, or female, extreme it is almost certain to be decadent. At places between the extreme it is at different stages of civilisation. However, no society is ever quite stationary at any point between the complementary dominances, for there are always situations in which the male values must be the ruling ones and others in which the female values should be. Besides that, in healthy development, when it

is apparent that a civilised society is moving perilously close to one of the extremes, there is normally a reaction bringing it back into balance.

That corrective reaction against a movement towards the barbarous extreme resulting from the physical lapse into major wars and the mental tyranny of the Materialist philosophy has been sorely needed and dangerously absent in the present decades. If the Women's Liberation Movement has not greatly accelerated progress towards the male extreme, at least it is a formidable impediment to a civilised reversal of the trend. It is not a matter of women presiding over kitchen sinks and rocking cradles; it is one of a vital balance of values, as important in men's experience as in women's. A further analysis of society in its sex aspects shows why and how this is so.

The male action is primarily organisational while the female action is individualist. That is not to say that women are more individualistic than are men. Often the opposite is the case, especially when women enter the organisational sphere. However, to woman was given the private individual, the child. From that, on the whole, they become more quickly and more acutely aware of personal, individual relations.

The male values, being organisational give character to public behaviour while the female values are more emphatically expressed in the private behaviour of individuals. It is said that there is something of the man in nearly every woman and something of the woman in practically every man. Physiologically this is so; but more importantly and more actively it is psychologically so. The psychological existence of sexual oppositeness in human beings makes possible communication between the males and females in wider areas than those of common physical needs. Further, it enables a barbaric society to develop into a civilised one.

The world, which our senses first discover, is the dominantly physical, or material, one. The primary needs of creatures in this world are to stabilise, to preserve and to nourish a physical instrument of experience. Necessarily there must be for individuals an instrument of private experience—a physical body which is *en rapport* with the immediate physical environment—and for society a form of physical organisation through which individuals are related to other individuals in a common environment.

To conquer and use the physical environment, whether natural or human, the law that physical might is right must be the initial governing principle. Consequently, in practice the physically stronger sex must, in the beginning of organisational stabilising, be the ruling one. There is a lack of historical sense in those women who bemoan the male dominance, which is present in elementary, organisational forms, tyrannous and sexually prejudiced though this usually is. At that stage the majority of women are glad of the protection afforded them by their men. It seems to them just and right that the warriors and hunters should organise the tribe to serve the purpose of physical survival. That they also organise it to serve themselves as a sex is, at that stage, a matter-of-course

to both women and men. Though women are not, themselves, the heroes, they usually derive immense satisfaction from admiring the heroic ones.

BALANCE OF MALE AND FEMALE VALUES ESSENTIAL FOR HIGHER CULTURE

From the very beginning there are, in men and women, diverse psychical responses to physical objects and situations. These responses stimulate aesthetic and mental development in the privately experiencing individuals and these persons of superior inspiration influence public taste and behaviour. Naturally the first codes recognised are those that serve the organisation. To the values of physical strength and courage are added loyalty by the individual to the chief and tribe—the communist value. With that there is often an embryonic form of individual love. The comrade-in-arms and the boon companion evoke a personal affection, which lies in a private relation sustained in public organisation and action.

As organisational stability increases, higher male values are discovered. A sense of justice, more civilised than that which shows might's due, makes a somewhat uncertain appearance. There is a dim understanding that justice is due from, as well as to, the chief and community and from one individual to another. Also, a public code of morality, which gives stability to order, converts, in men's minds, organisationally convenient behaviour into behaviour, which is principled. In those psychically governed developments is the beginning of an appreciation of the female values. It is made possible by the presence of the female, psychological element in the male psyche.

At first and usually for a long time, there is a stiff resistance by the more primitive males to this development. Consideration of an individual's need to be treated justly and of individual tenderness is scorned as being womanish and the mark of weakness. Behind this barbarous conservatism there is, besides the instinctive self-defence, an actual inability to grasp the more highly developed ideas. However, in spite of this strong, male opposition, the individualist values come to be generally appreciated until a well-ordered, well-served society increases in civilisation and produces an adult culture.

Culture is an individually created product, which is accepted by society. Whether it and the civilised ideas evolve from the psychological female element in males or, less likely, under the influence of the females, it is a fact that it is men who first create things of great cultural value and establish civilised standards. That this should be so is not surprising in view of women's position in society in its primary stages. Yet, with advanced civilisation women, as women, come to be more highly regarded by men. If, in their improved position, they are to be of any use to the community, it would be by preserving and perhaps strengthening the expression of female values in total organisational action.

Such superior development was taking place before the First World War. The movement towards confining the bar-

barous war-action to standing armies was accompanied by efforts to make warfare less ferocious through formulating laws of war which were intended to protect the civilian population as far as possible and to ameliorate the sufferings of the wounded and prisoners of war. Those rules were really rules of civilisation, not of war.

DISTURBANCE OF BALANCE BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE VALUES DEGRADES A CULTURE

The First World War was a partial return to barbarism and the Second World War a complete return. This recession of civilisation turned out to be more than a temporary reversal of organisational evolution. The female value of privacy was swept away when as many women as could be were virtually conscripted to help in the war effort. Thus the values of public community became ruthlessly supreme. So stupendous was the triumph of maleness that women were again reduced to being chattels, certainly not of individual men, but of a male society. Some women submissively gloried in this, particularly as they had no longer to admire the heroes, but each could be her own hero. The chains were not noticed.

A serious consequence, from the point of view of civilisation, was the attraction felt by many women as well as by men to the starkly male ideology of communism. In communist theory and practice the female values of privacy and resultant individual freedom are stamped out with bitter thoroughness. Individuals are regarded as only units of the public organisation. Women's private world of home and family and intimate personal relations is destroyed as completely as natural law permits. Community living reduces the private relationship between husband and wife almost to the physical minimum. Infants are separated from their mothers as far as is practicable and reared, not privately, but publicly in groups. Women are driven into the public field to work, just as they nearly always are in primitive communities. This compelling of women to expend their energies almost entirely on community activities is not establishing sex equality; it is sex elimination—the elimination of the female values from social and political organisation and, more monstrously, from individual living.

A purely male society, to the extent that it can be purely male, might seem ideal to male organisers. It should not appear to be so to women. To men who realise the importance of the private, individualist values, it is the reverse of ideal, or else the imprisonment or the segregation in labour camps of dissident intellectuals would not be such conspicuous features of a politically communist country. Even where, to the delight of visiting observers, the communes seem to be working smoothly, human nature being what it is, beneath the surface there is probably a fair amount of private resentment and rebellious feeling carefully concealed for safety's sake. At all events, the ostensible equality of the sexes in communist communities is merely a simulacrum of sex equality that glosses over communism's inexorable maleness.

MALE AND FEMALE VALUES NON-COMPETITIVE: COMPLEMENTARY

Men and women are neither, as sexes, equal nor unequal to each other. Though opposites, they are not rivals, but complements of the human race. When necessity demands, the male or female values may be the dominant ones; but over-dominance by one or the other of the sex values results in organisational deformity. By the Law of Complements, in man's characteristic world women must be inferior to men, for there they are imitators, not originators. So far, even though they have produced captains of industry and business executives and heads of State, they have demonstrated their equal ability only on the lower and middle levels. They will not prove that, in man's world, their abilities and mental capacities are equal to men's until they have produced the female Plato and Beethoven and Michaelangelo and St. Thomas Aquinas and Praxiteles and, moreover, until they have given the world geniuses in the same abundance as have men. If ever they do, their genius will be expressed in the female mode. The more self-assertive members of the Women's Liberation Movement are hindering the emergence of female geniuses by insisting that women must accept the male values.

Consideration of two most important male and female values must here be brief. Justice is a male value that many women also can appreciate. The corresponding female value is Love. Cultured men do not underestimate the importance to society of that value; but women, on the whole, know more about it. Perhaps it has been on account of chasing the will-o-the-wisp, sex equality that they have failed to present a scientific exposition of the attractive force. The love of child is not the same as love of country; love of virtue is not quite the same as love of beauty and so forth. Sexual love, in its most highly evolved manifestation, is a complexity of physical, emotional and spiritual love. Sensitive women know this before the majority of men do.

Even as men are apt to confuse justice with what is advantageous to themselves, so far too many women mistake emotional self-indulgence for love. To be sure, men often do the same. For instance, they are being emotionally self-indulgent when trying to give a heroic character to such as sport or drinking beer or being hemen. Yet men have the practical experience that should enable them to stiffen their emotion with realism without becoming cynical. Unfortunately, what so many men have regarded as realism has been no more than sentimentality about barbarism or degradation.

That return by men to crude civilisational beginnings is, of course, an attempt to reject the female values; but there can be no return from civilisation to primitive innocence. Retreat can be only into degeneration. That is a Law of Creation. It is an Absolute Law. Absolute Laws are those of the Creator, but when dealing with the changing, perishing Creation and creatures, rules and patterns are never universally valid. They are necessary

Continued on Page 8

TO THE POINT

Subsidising consumption in the non-Communist world is regarded with horror by those who control financial policies. But subsidising the Communists is another subject. The European Economic Community solved the problem of its butter surplus by financing cheap butter to the Soviet Union. Now the "beef mountain" in the EEC has been reduced by selling one-third of it to the Soviet at cut prices. The British Conservatives have tried to argue that the EEC is a barrier against Communism. The Soviet verbally attacks the EEC, but is delighted to have a centralised Europe to deal with in obtaining subsidised production.

The International Monetary Fund warns that the rising inflation rate and consequent economic dislocation "calls for international co-operation rarely achieved in the past". "International co-operation" means IMF control of national currency and credit policies. After pointing out that the present finance-economic crisis is the worst since the end of the Second World War, the IMF says, "Since the inflation problem was worldwide, all countries should be expected to contribute to its solution." The essence of the IMF solution is that the industrialised nations should slow down their rate of growth. It is admitted that this would create serious unemployment but "these policies would lay the foundation for more employment without rampant inflation in the future. The programme admits the IMF would be difficult, "requiring strength and continuity of political will over a period of years." This means that the feelings of individual sufferers must be ignored.

* * *

The National Institute of Social and Economic Affairs in the United Kingdom paints a depressing picture for the immediate future of the British people. Unemployment will continue to rise along with continuing inflation. The "social compact" with the Unions will break down with the eventual inevitable demands for higher wages to attempt to keep pace with inflation. The British will more easily come to grips with their basic problem when they insist on freeing themselves from the entanglements of the Common Market.

* * *

Australian public opinion polls indicate that the overwhelming majority of Australians are dissatisfied with the Whitlam Government's inflation policies. This is why Australians should be introduced to the Bjelke-Petersen anti-inflation policy as quickly as possible.

* * *

We have on occasions been critical of economist Dr. Colin Clark. But we give him "full marks" for his recent proposal to "sack" 25 percent of Commonwealth public servants. A major blow for decentralisation would be struck if Mr. Tom Uren's Department on Urban Affairs were abolished completely. Dr. Clark does not say what he would do with the 25 percent "sacked" bureaucrats. We would suggest that they might be engaged in the production system to help overcome some of the shortages their policies have helped to produce!

* * *

Not only Australian farmers are in a state of deep unrest. British and European farmers are also protesting against finance-economic policies, which are driving large numbers to ruin and reduced production. British and European farmers are finding that increased financial

costs of production are ruining them. Australian farmers who tend to blame the Whitlam Government for all their problems might note that the European farmers have exactly the same type of problems. The basic cause is the finance-economic policy, which keeps generating increasing debt and inflation. This is the policy, which farmers must attack if they are to strike a real blow for freedom and economic security. Violent action is no solution.

ANTI-INFLATION PROGRAMME ACCELERATES

The League of Rights supporter entered the taxi and got into conversation with the driver on inflation. The driver immediately produced a brochure and said it "was the answer". The brochure was the League's "Down The Inflation Road To National Socialism"! The driver said a woman had given it to him. This incident is typical of what is happening all over Australia as the League's anti-inflation programme accelerates.

League speakers are now struggling to try to meet all demands for anti-inflation meetings. Local papers are giving adequate publicity to League addresses. Resolutions supporting the Petersen anti-inflation policy are being carried at all League meetings. Farmers' organisations have also carried similar resolutions. A number of Country Party branches have also carried the same type of resolution. A growing volume of letters is reaching the Queensland Premier and other politicians.

The League of Rights is experimenting with a brochure directed towards housewives. The initial response indicates that a new and most profitable line of activity is being opened up. We will report further on this in our next issue.

Adequate supplies of "Down The Inflation Road To National Socialism" available. \$5 per thousand. The faster these go out, the greater the saturation, the bigger will be the response as the inflation situation worsens. Remember that the brochure not distributed influences no one!

Continued from Page 7
but never release the thinker or organiser from the obligation to use judgment. The rule and rote method of ordering affairs is one of the male weaknesses. Women are not helping women by adopting the same method when seeking for justice for women. They had better start from fundamentals. The supreme opposites of human values are male justice and female love. A proper complementation of these two creates that perfect, metaphysical whole—Wisdom.