

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by post as a newspaper.

\$6.00 per annum post-free. Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 39, No. 12

DECEMBER 1974

"THY KINGDOM COME"

The following are the notes of Mr. Eric Butler's address to the Adelaide Conservative Speaker's Club on November 29, when a record audience heard what was later described as "a brilliant exposition of Christianity with some relevance to the problems of the material world":

A few days ago several Members of the Australian Federal Parliament argued that the opening of each day's parliamentary proceedings with the Lord's Prayer was offensive to them, and that the prayer should be abolished. I find that attitude much more honest and less hypocritical than that of those who recite the great Christian prayer as a meaningless ritual, and who then proceed to support policies which ensure that God's will shall not be done, or distort the truth to serve what they are pleased to call party loyalty. My criticism not only applies to politicians, but to all those who pay no more than lip service to Christianity, who make no effort to ensure that the word becomes flesh. Many of them can readily quote scripture, but studiously ignore the warning that faith without works is death.

It is not mere coincidence that the disintegration of Civilisation has taken place at a time when the influence of the Christian Church has seriously declined. To a large number of people the Christian Church is today irrelevant. Many argue that Christianity is of no practical value in a world where science has been elevated to the position of a new God. Professing Christians must accept responsibility for permitting the foundations of the greatest Civilisation yet produced, to be eroded. Their greatest failure has been surrender to the carefully propagated view that the Christian Church and Christianity have no business with the affairs of politics. As politics concern the use of power, the divorcement of the Christian Church from politics means that increasingly centralised power is being exercised in a moral vacuum. The overall result is the spread of corruption on a scale, which now threatens to destroy what remains of the Free Society.

FREEDOM GIVEN A NEW MEANING

What is generally described as Western Christian Civilisation did not just happen. It was the organic growth stemming from the tireless efforts of dedicated men and women who worked to ensure that the metaphysical values, which Christianity brought, were incarnated into man's social as well as private life. Christianity gave the concept of freedom a new meaning. Every individual counted and must be provided with social and economic conditions in which he had inalienable rights and was free to make personal choices for which he must

accept personal responsibility. Government was legitimate and essential, but must be curbed by constitutional limitations, which prevented Caesar from taking that which belongs to God. The traditional Christian view was that the State existed to serve the individual, not to oppress him. This was the view, which the great Archbishop Stephen Langton insisted upon upholding when the English Caesar, King John, was forced to sign Magna Carta. Archbishop Langton claimed to speak with the authority of Truth as revealed by Christ and His followers. He said in essence that there is a higher law, God's laws, which Caesar (Government) must obey along with individuals. Where are the voices of Christian authority today? Unfortunately many who claim to speak as Christians, some from the pulpits, are today supporting policies, which diminish the power of the individual and increase the power of the State. The soul-destroying and degrading Welfare State, is often hailed as "Christian Socialism". "By their fruits ye shall know them." Where is the evidence that increasing welfarism is resulting in the flowering of creative initiative? Is it producing a new group of human beings willing to accept the personal responsibilities of real freedom? Can we truthfully say that our young people generally are animated by a spirit of high adventure, a vision of an expanding freedom and personal achievement? Increasing drug addiction and suicides amongst the young are hardly manifestations of a faith in the life more abundant. There is a rebellion against proper authority.

A RELIGION OF CHALLENGE

Pantomimes in the Christian Churches, irrespective of how they are described, are like offering stones to those who crave for the bread of life. Christianity is a religion of both hope and challenge. The challenge is that if the

CHRISTMAS GREETINGS

In this our last issue for 1974 we take the opportunity of wishing all readers a Happy and Holy Christmas. The Light of the World is going to be needed to show the way forward for mankind during the deepening gloom, which now is certain during 1975.

individual will with proper humility search for the truth and obey it, he can enjoy greater freedom and security. We not only pray that God's will be done on earth, but also ask that we be given our daily bread. It is true that we were told that man does not live by bread alone. But if we do not have sufficient bread, bread being the symbol of all food, then we cannot live at all. Christ was not mocking us when He urged that we pray for our daily bread. Neither was it suggested that the bread would fall into our laps following prayer. The truth is that God's Universe is one of material abundance, and that if we apply correct principles, then we can gain access to that abundance. The problem of how to provide the equivalent of enough bread was solved years ago. Real freedom for the individual is impossible without economic freedom, real security. But the very economic truths, through which the individual could be obtaining a tremendous expansion of true freedom, have been perverted to enslave him.

Christ taught that man cannot worship both God and Mammon. He whipped the moneychangers out the Temple. Today Mammon dominates. A man-made financial symbol system, one that should be used to enable man to free himself through his economic activities, is being manipulated against him. The deliberate policy of inflation is one of the most destructive, subversive and immoral policies ever imposed upon the individual. It is forcing economic activities, which are a waste of God's abundance and polluting the environment. It is having a disastrous effect upon human personality. It is blatant robbery of all those who have savings of any kind. But where is the voice of Christian Authority? Here and there a few brave voices can be heard. But generally there is a deafening silence. Or the clergy are busy raising funds to finance misnamed African freedom fighters who are murdering their fellow Africans in South Africa. And as the monetary inflation gets progressively worse, so does it become increasingly difficult for those Christian schools still open, to survive for more than a few more short years. Caesar will then take over completely the moulding of all our children's minds, conditioning them to accept without question their role as but a cog in a vast machine. The Antichrist gloats over his success.

A SEDUCTIVE MYTH

Those who believe that there is no reality beyond the purely material are with few exceptions vulnerable to the seductive myth that if only all will worship the new God Science, and place their implicit faith in Reason and Logic, then the Brave New World will emerge after all. But logic is but a complex type of slide-rule. It is but a tool which man can use to gain a greater understanding of Truth, and how to use it. If one accepts the Marxist premises concerning human beings, one must then accept the logical demonstration by the Marxist that millions of human beings must be liquidated for the "common good". But if one does not accept the Marxist premises, and believes that individuals are more than "matter in motion",

that they have an inalienable right to life, then a completely new factor, a metaphysical value, is introduced and so logic then produces a different result. Logic can only provide the sum total of all the factors used; no more, no less.

The much-publicised Age of Reason was linked with the development of science. The subject as presented by so many of our self-styled intellectuals, has encouraged young and immature minds to believe that faith in God can be replaced by faith in Science. But what is science? Science can discover, reveal and uncover, but it does not create. What the scientific method does is lead to an enormous increase in man's knowledge about reality, Truth. But the knowledge is derived from reality and not from science as such. Using the scientific method, man has increased enormously his knowledge of Truth and how to use it. The tremendous achievements in the physical sciences are surely a dramatic confirmation of the promise that the discovery and application of Truth can make the individual free. One of the greatest thinkers of this century, C. H. Douglas, observed that the rules of the universe "transcend human thinking", and that those wishing to live harmonious lives should make every effort to discover those rules and then make every effort to obey them. It is the incarnation of a system of good—i.e. true—values, which produces a society in which associations enable the individual to develop to the maximum his creative initiative, the result being a diversity based upon an organic unity. It is not too boastful to say that the English, with their stress on limited constitutional government, decentralised power, and the development of the unique Common Law, were at one time evolving closer to a true Christian society than any other people. But now the worshippers of power seek to turn the clock back a thousand years by forcing the British to submit to the bigger Caesar known as the European Common Market.

THIS BOOK COULD CHANGE HISTORY

When Antony Sutton, British-born research fellow at Stanford University, documented the staggering truth of how the Soviet industrial "miracle" was a hoax; that in fact Western firms, backed by international financial groups like the Rockefellers, have built the Soviet, significant developments took place. Sutton's "National Suicide", one of the most explosive works of this century, cost him his position at Stanford University. Every effort has been made to boycott "National Suicide". But through the combined efforts of the Leagues of Rights of the British Commonwealth, this work is now available in a paperback edition. Australian supplies will be available shortly. Those ordering in advance will get their copies immediately the book arrives. Price \$3.75 posted from Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne 3001.

THE NECESSITY FOR "PRACTICAL CHRISTIANITY"

Those who accept that there is good must also accept that there is evil. Evil is a system of false values and when these values are applied the results are disastrous. Belief in centralised power, as the road to a better world is a false belief. Wherever this false value is incarnated, the results are disastrous. Christ rejected this power concept in the famous incident on the Mountain. I have often asked those clergy calling themselves Socialists, and believers in bigger and stronger Governments, ending with World Government, to explain how if He, whom professing Christians believe was the Son of God, rejected complete world power, how can we

trust ordinary mortal human beings with it, irrespective of whether they are politicians, financiers or planners. The truth is that every policy centralising power is anti-Christian, and true Christians must reject those policies, not applaud and support them.

What is wanted urgently in the world today is what I call "practical Christianity". The regeneration of a sick Civilisation must start with the regeneration of individuals, learning how to work together in correct associations in order that the force of Truth shall have an impact in all spheres of society. The challenge to those who wish to make Christianity practical is tremendous. But what could be a more meaningful life than to take the great Christian prayer seriously and work to ensure that God's will shall be done?

GEMS FROM DOUGLAS

Now it is my own belief . . . that there is running through the nature of the Universe something that we call a "canon". It is the thing, which is referred to in the Gospel of St. John as the "logos", the "word" . . . The engineer and the artist refer to it when they say that they have got something "right". Other people mean the same thing when they talk about absolute truth, or reality. Genuine success only accompanies a consistent attempt to discover and to conform to this canon in no matter what sphere our activities may lie. —"The Pursuit of Truth", New Age Dinner, March 18. 1933.

"To those who have had no practical experience of large organisations, which is in essence the position of bankers, there is an attractive logic about a world planned and controlled like a machine. But, in fact, society does not work like a machine, but like a living organism. Any works manager will testify that the surest and shortest method of bringing about what is called labour trouble is to endeavour to organise his factory as if the difference between the tools and the men in it were merely a difference of degree". —*The Monopoly of Credit*.

* * * *

"The so-called psychological causes of war are the response of human nature to irritations which can be traced to this (economic) cause either directly or indirectly. To say that all men will fight if sufficiently irritated seems to me to be an argument against irritating them, rather than against human nature. It is not the irritation, which causes the economic war; it is the economic war, which causes the irritation. Military war is an intensification of economic war, and differs only in method and not in principle". —*The Monopoly of Credit*.

* * * *

"It is a curious illumination of the vanity of the human mind that materialism and Marxism are felt by their exponents to be 'scientific', 'progressive', 'modern', Their 'science' is of the nature of what which, observing that an electric power system consists of steel towers, wires, cables and machines, would insist that power systems

consist in what you could see, and what you can't see is superstition.

The answer is, of course, 'Climb up a steel tower, touch those wires, and let us see which is right'. —*"Whose Service Is Perfect Freedom"*.

* * * *

". . . Nearly all of what we call progress is amoral. Or to put the matter another way, there is no *moral* progress except moral progress, and the use of better tools in no way ensures better objectives. We have cut down the time required to travel from Europe to North America from three weeks to ten hours. What do we do with the time we have saved? Our houses (some of them) are lit by the pressing of a button. Do we find them more pleasant than the houses of the sixteenth century lit by candles? We call this a labour-saving age. In the fourteenth century there were ninety statutory holidays *per annum*, and the idea of 'work' was completely alien to a large part of the population. Six hundred years ago, there were no police, and no police would have been tolerated. Was there more crime than at the present time? There is no evidence of it". — *"Whose Service is Perfect Freedom"*.

* * * *

Production and wealth and consumption can only properly be measured in rates. If we attempt to look at the matter from a static point of view we are sure to make the mistake which formed the point of the story regarding the committee of "scientists" who, it is said, were asked to report upon the nature of the hum in a

humming top. Their report was that the whole subject was nonsense, as they had taken the top carefully to pieces and were able to report that there was absolutely no sign of the existence of any hum! —*The Evening Times*, Glasgow, May 13, 1932.

* * * *

The first thing to realise is the true meaning of inflation. Inflation is not an increase of purchasing power, it is an increase in the number or amount of money tokens, whether paper or otherwise, accompanied by an increase in price, so that both the money to spend side is, in figures, raised and the price side is also, in figures, raised. That is true inflation. It is simply a multiplication of figures without altering the relation between money to spend and price, and, of course, is a tax on savings.

An increase of money to spend is not inflation unless it raises prices. On the other hand, with a given amount of money to spend, a given amount of money tokens and a fall in prices there is an increase in purchasing power. You can get an increase of purchasing power by one of two methods. You can either keep prices constant and raise the quantity of money tokens, assuming that it is possible to do so, or you can keep the money tokens constant and lower prices; or, of course, you can do both of them at the same time. —*Money and the Price System*.

* * * *

Your ancestors and mine fought a series of bloody battles in various parts of this island for the purpose of obtaining political democracy. They set in motion a mechanism. Now, I am perfectly confident that the working of that mechanism at the present time is perverted, but I am also perfectly confident that it is not necessarily ineffective for our purpose, and it seems to me that to abandon without endeavouring to rectify the working of the mechanism which has been obtained by us amid blood and tears, is a very dangerous thing to do. I am also perfectly certain, incidentally, that it is the set purpose of the financial powers to discredit Parliamentary Government in order that they may say, "See what comes of the interference of Governments in business," and so on. — *The Approach to Reality*.

* * * *

The denial of the right of the individual to carry arms is a fundamental infringement of liberty. Just as the bootlegger was the most enthusiastic supporter of prohibition, the gangster, both national and international, is a convinced adherent of disarmament by law. He knows what to do about law, and what every policeman wants. And when, the smaller gangsters having disarmed the individual, the larger gangsters disarm the smaller gangsters, then, of course, the gangsters who are left will be transformed into ministering angels, and their international police will spend all their time helping international nursemaids to cross the international traffic. Anyone can see that. —*Programme for the Third World War*.

SOLZHENITSYN'S "A CANDLE IN THE WIND" IN LONDON

By Janusz Kwiatkowski, FCI's Polish Correspondent, London.

In September 1974 the Polish theatre in London became the scene of an important event: no less an event than the world premiere of Solzhenitsyn's full-length play. "A Candle in the Wind". Although it was published some time ago in Frankfurt, in a Russian edition of his Collected Works, the play has so far not been produced in the West, or, for that matter, in the USSR.

BANNED: Approved in the Sixties and earmarked for production at the "Vakhtangov" and the "Komsomolski" theatres in Moscow, the play nevertheless failed to appear on their stages. Soviet authorities changed their mind and withdrew permission for the play's performance. And this despite the fact that by transferring the moral problems in his play to an imaginary country and to an unspecified age, Solzhenitsyn tried to improve the chances of the plays being passed by the censor.

"A Candle in the Wind" was written in 1960 and thus belongs to Solzhenitsyn's earlier creative period, the same as that of this "First Circle". However, its subject is different. Unlike most of his works, its setting is not a Soviet forced labour camp. He deals with a problem which he considers to be one of the most important and decisive in our times, namely the problem of the unprecedented progress achieved in the sphere of science and technology in the second half of the 20th century of its impact on humanity, as well as the responsibility of scientists for the destiny of mankind and of individual human beings.

CRITICAL OF EVERYBODY'S MATERIALISM: Does not our system, based on the ideal of the greatest possible production of material goods and of a constant increase in their consumption, obscure the more important aims to be pursued by the human race? This raises the problem of the freedom of scientific research. Should not some restraints and obstacles be put in its way? Generally speaking, does our world and our age warrant complete, unlimited freedom, and is this compatible with the ideals of humanism and the moral and ethical values which should, after all, be our primary aims.

"A Candle in the Wind" is a deeply philosophical work, typical of the great writer's spirit and courage in fighting against oppression in the cause of freedom and justice. It is in a way also an autobiographical work; probably the most autobiographical of all his writings, particularly in view of the fact that two of its main characters are survivors of a Soviet forced labour camp. At the same time, it is highly critical of our own times so obsessed with the pursuit of material gains at the cost of loftier ideals of a spiritual and moral nature.

THE NEW TIMES—DECEMBER 1974

A WARNING TO THE ARABS

Late in November, Mr. Pierre-Paul Schweitzer, formerly of the International Monetary Fund, now Director of the Bank of America International, was lecturing 220 bankers at a meeting in Luxembourg. He said, "In the end, it is always the owners of capital who impose their will". Mr. Schweitzer was referring to the power being exercised by the oil producing nations through the massive financial credits they are obtaining in exchange for their oil.

There is, of course, a basic difference between real capital, of which oil is an example, and financial capital, which is but figures in bank ledgers. Those who create and manipulate financial credit dictate how real capital is to be used.

There has been much loose and unrealistic talk about the massive financial credits, which have come into the possession of the Arab nations as a result of vastly increased sales of oil at substantially higher prices. The Arabs have exchanged their real capital oil, which is not unlimited, for figures in bank ledgers. There is a limit to the volume of production, which the Arab nations can take and use in exchange for their oil. The Arabs believe that the best thing they can do is to invest their credits in Western industry. Or in real estate. Dr. Kissinger argues that the credits should be "re-cycled" to help the development of the "under-developed" nations. But all this will not serve the best purposes of the Arabs if their investments are lost in the finance-economic collapse of the non-Communist nations, this followed by a Communist take-over. For example, should large-scale military conflict break out again between Israel and the Arabs, and should the Arabs react by a policy of oil sanctions against Western nations, the economies of Western Europe could collapse overnight. The Communists would almost certainly come to power and call upon the Soviet to protect them against any threat of a "counter-revolution". The Arabs would be left minus their Western European investments, and the oil they used to obtain those investments.

Investments in "under-developed" nations will likewise prove futile if these nations also collapse into chaos and are taken over, either by the Soviet Union or the Peking Communists. The Arabs should also carefully consider that the Kissinger strategy, outlined by Mr. Eric Butler in his *Censored History*, is designed to bring all basic raw materials like oil under "international" control. Given certain circumstances, the Arabs could find themselves squeezed in the power play between Moscow and Washington.

The Arabs might also ponder on the desperate plight of the British who, in a quarter of a century, have been forced to abdicate as an international power, not by force of superior arms, but by the manipulation of financial credit.

British coal was the equivalent of Arab oil, although

unlike the Arabs the British were able to use it to develop an industrial society. But the British were persuaded that their domestic prosperity depended upon using their own source of energy to manufacture industrial equipment and goods, which were exported to the "under-developed" nations. They invested heavily, for example, in India, providing railways, water systems, electric plants, roads and much else. India gained physically, and yet when the British left India they were told that they were heavily in debt to India. The British used their coal and manufacturing plant to provide thousands of millions of dollars of production for which they were never realistically paid. They received figures in books, which became progressively more useless to the individual. And they eroded the very social fabric, which made them such a distinctive nation.

Wise Arab leaders should at this stage be considering how they can invest their enormous credits constructively to aid those movements in the West which seek to resist the Communist-Zionist strategy for the establishment of the World State, and which to seek to regenerate the culture of a Christian society while at the same time respecting other distinctive cultures like that of the Moslem world. The genuine Christian and the genuine Moslem have much in common. Events have created a situation in which they should seek to make a common front against their common enemy. The credit power now in the possession of the Arabs could be a decisive factor.

QUEENSLAND ELECTIONS PROVIDE REAL RAY OF LIGHT

The Queensland election result confirmed our view that if a political leader is prepared to take a firm, uncompromising stand against the policies of centralism, is not afraid to stress that the Communist threat is greater than ever, and, even more important, is prepared to put forward a constructive financial policy for reversing inflation, he will rally the electors behind him. It is not too much to say that Premier J. Bjelke-Petersen is not only Australia's most significant political leader at present; he is emerging as a leader with a message for the whole Free World.

The political massacre of the Labor Party in Queensland on December 7 was in reality a judgment of the Whitlam Government at Canberra. From the moment that Mr. Bjelke-Petersen came out early in June with his three point anti-inflation policy—a tax "freeze", abolition of Sales Tax, and the use of Consumer Price Discounts—the Australian League of Rights put almost its complete resources, both inside Queensland and throughout Australia, behind the "Petersen Plan". We know that the flood of letters and resolutions, which the Queensland Premier received from all over Australia, strengthened his position enormously. The mass media was determined that the "Petersen Plan" should be given the silent

treatment. The League and its supporters, in a few short months of intensive campaigning, demonstrated that with the grass-roots techniques evolved by the League, the mass media can be by-passed.

The Queensland Liberal Party leader, Sir Gordon Chalk, made no secret of his ambition to replace Mr. Bjelke-Petersen as Premier. Even during the election campaign he was critical of his Coalition partner, stressing that he would take a softer line with Canberra. The Whitlam strategy was designed to ensure that Queensland Liberal Party finished with more numbers than the Country (National) Party, thus getting rid of Mr. Bjelke-Petersen as Premier. But this strategy failed miserably. Mr. Bjelke-Petersen emerged as the undisputed leader in Queensland. We have little doubt that he will remove Sir Gordon Chalk from the position of Treasurer in the new Coalition Government.

In spite of the attitude of the media, Mr. Bjelke-Petersen insisted from the beginning to the end of his campaign to publicise his anti-inflation policy. One of his final efforts was a personal message to Queenslanders, stressing the importance of his policy. Generally overlooked in Mr. Bjelke-Petersen's policy speech, was a reference to the reconstruction of the Queensland Rural Bank.

It would appear that the Queensland Premier and his supporters are looking ahead in the battle against the centralists.

A major, although unpublicised feature of the massive grass-roots campaign in Queensland was the effective, regular distribution of a series of striking brochures prepared by the Queensland Save our State Movement. All those League supporters who worked so hard in this grass roots campaigning, can now see the fruits of their work. And they have demonstrated to League supporters right throughout Australia what can be achieved with

the right approach. We are pleased to see that a number of League sympathisers have been elected. These will play a vital part in providing Mr. Bjelke-Petersen with the support he will need for the next stage of the battle.

The annihilation of the Labor Party in Queensland, the worst Labor defeat in Australia for over forty years, was a direct rebuff to Prime Minister Whitlam, who entered the campaign, deliberately challenging Mr. Bjelke-Petersen and his policies. The result was the beginning of the end for the Whitlam Government. But it also sends a message to the Federal Liberal and Country parties. If they are unwilling to follow the Queensland Premier's lead on anti-inflation, they will preside over the greatest disaster in Australian history, greater than the one now being suffered under the Whitlam Government. If this situation develops, later next year, then the work done by the League of Rights around the "Petersen Plan" could be decisive. A ray of light has been shed in the deepening gloom. It is one of the best Christmas presents League supporters could receive.

But what of Christmas, 1975? What is done over the next twelve months will provide the answer.

MR. ERIC BUTLER'S PROGRAMME

Mr. Eric Butler, National Director of The Australian League of Rights, regrets that his correspondence is far in arrears, the result of almost constant interstate travelling. He deeply regrets that he has not been able to reply to the many letters received from those supporting his special letter of appeal to the League's 1974-75 Basic Fund. Since returning from overseas in May, Mr. Butler has visited all States, Queensland twice. He also did a two weeks lecturing and fact-finding tour of New Zealand late in November before returning for a week in Victoria, and then over a week in South Australia.

Mr. Butler hopes to be able to answer more urgent letters between now and Christmas.

THE GREAT ROCKEFELLER ENERGY HOAX

By C. B. BAKER in "The American Opinion", Winter, 1974

Youth action has discovered a mass of evidence to prove that the October 1973 Mid-East war was planned by the Rockefeller-Kissinger branch of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). The "Yom Kippur" War was one of the most cleverly contrived Rockefeller manoeuvres in history. The war gave the Rockefeller oil gang the perfect excuse to skyrocket fuel prices to add to the CFR banker's profits (already bulging from the harvest of the 10-year no-win war in Vietnam).

In order to understand properly the strange conduct of the 1973 war, one must understand that *Rockefeller and Kissinger put in the fix on both sides of the Israeli-Egyptian conflict.*

When the Egyptian Army had overrun the Israeli Bar-Lev Defense Line, it advanced approximately seven to ten miles east of the Suez Canal and *there it stopped.* The controlled American press put out the cover story that the Egyptians were afraid to advance beyond the cover of their anti-aircraft defense rocket batteries which were on the west side of the canal. However, the Egyptian

Army was heavily equipped with *portable* SAM-7 Russian Strella missiles which proved to be very effective against Israeli jets. The Egyptians had *portable* SAM-2 and SAM-3 missiles as well as the more effective SAM-6 missile *on tracked vehicles* (which had been moved across the Suez Canal with the Egyptian troops).

Despite the fact that Egypt was supposed to be engaged in "full scale" war with her worst enemy, President Sadat never bothered to call up the hundreds of thousands of army reserves or mobilize the Egyptian economy on a war footing. Egyptian economic and reserve mobilization

would have been absolutely necessary in any genuine effort to destroy the Israeli Army. But wiping out the Israeli Army was not part of the Rockefeller-Kissinger war plan, which had been personally given to Sadat by David Rockefeller a few weeks before the war started in late September 1973. David Rockefeller's pre-war visit to Cairo was generally kept out of the establishment press until the March 25, 1974 issue of *Time* magazine

The Rockefeller-Kissinger war plan worked like this:

- (1) Veto the July, 1973 U.N. Peace Plan and force the Arabs into actual war to regain their conquered territory.
- (2) Once the war started, ship arms to Israel (to appease the hysterical Zionist mobs roaming streets in the the U.S.)
- (3) This in turn would automatically bring an oil cut-off by the Arab states.
- (4) Loudly proclaim oil "shortage" and begin to carefully jack up domestic prices a few cents a week.
- (5) Pressure the oil producing nations to double and triple crude oil prices, which in turn would vastly skyrocket profits of the giant American oil firms, owned and controlled by the CFR international bankers.

The WASHINGTON OBSERVER NEWSLETTER of March 15, 1974 carried further proof that Kissinger had advance knowledge of the Mid-East war. The newsletter quoted statements made to it by a CIA informant *three weeks before* the October 1973 Mid-East war. "Kissinger has also made a deal with the Kremlin about the Middle East, and there will soon be an outbreak of hostilities there". Kissinger promised the Soviets to reopen the Suez Canal so that Russian war vessels can have easy access to the Persian Gulf and their ally, India. Between September 30 and October 6 (the day that the war started), Kissinger held a number of lengthy meetings with Soviet Ambassador Anatoly Dobrynin on the subject of the impending Mid-East war. During these conferences the Soviets gave Kissinger additional intelligence about the Arab battle plans. Kissinger, in turn, relayed the Soviet intelligence to his close buddy and co-racialist, Israeli Defense Minister Moshe Dayan.

MOSHE DAYAN—AN INSIDE PARTY TO THE ROCKEFELLER WAR FIX

The above-mentioned facts give ample proof that the Israeli military was fully aware of the impending Arab attack. Despite this awareness, nothing was done to warn or mobilize Israel's armed forces. In fact, *the front line Israeli soldiers at Israel's Bar-Lev Defense Line on the Suez Canal were given no warning whatsoever*. None of the intelligence that had been gathered by Israel's worldwide spy network was ever fed to the Jews on the front line who would face the Egyptian onslaught. The February 10, 1974 *Washington Post* stated that the soldiers in one Israeli position on the Bar-Lev Line were "shower-

ing and hanging out their laundry when the Egyptians began swarming across the canal".

Evidence that Israeli Defense Minister Moshe Dayan was *an inside party* to the Rockefeller-Kissinger war plan emerged from the April 2, 1974 report of the Agranat Commission. Israel set up the Agranat Commission to investigate why the Jews got kicked in the pants in the 1973 war. The Commission's report revealed that *as early as May 1973 Dayan tipped off his officers on the general staff about the coming war with Syria and Egypt scheduled for late summer of 1973*. It is interesting to note that Dayan passed this information *down* to his officers (including his intelligence staff). Usually such intelligence is passed *up* from the intelligence service to the Defense Minister. Unless of course, the Defense Minister has his own *personal intelligence sources* (Henry Kissinger, U.S.A. and Victor Louis, U.S.S.R.—two fellow international Jews who specialize in *secret inside deals*).

The single most damaging piece of evidence against Defense Minister Dayan, personally, also came from the Agranat Commission Report. On the morning of October 6, 1973, just a few hours before the war started, a massive buildup of Egyptian troops (including artillery, barges, and other water crossing equipment) at the edge of the Suez Canal was reported to the Israeli Cabinet. Even at that late date, despite all the evidence that the outbreak of war would take place in a few hours, *Dayan opposed calling up Israeli reserves*. Prime Minister Golda Meir herself overruled Dayan and sent out the order to mobilize the reserves. However, by this time it was far too late to get enough troops up onto the Bar-Lev Line to prevent the Egyptians from immediately overrunning the strategic Zionist position and occupying the entire east bank of the Suez Canal, as arranged in the Rockefeller-Kissinger Mid-East war plan.

SPECIAL BASIC FUND MOVES PAST \$30,000

With the most dedicated giving in the history of the League of Rights, the special Basic Fund for 1974-75 has now moved past \$30,000. The minimum objective is \$40,000. As only a minority of supporters have as yet donated or pledged, the great majority will have no difficulty in making good the balance. As one contributor said, "The march of events suggests that by this time next year we may not be in the position to do much. Therefore I am giving every dollar I can now, in the hope that our growing programme may avert complete disaster."

All Queensland and northern N.S.W. contributions to Mr. Jeremy Lee, Kingstown, via Armidale, N.S.W. All others to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne 3001.

It would be a most inspiring Christmas present if the balance of the Basic Fund were donated or pledged before Christmas.

The evidence makes it obvious that *Rockefeller bought off Israeli Defense Minister Dayan months before the war started.*

THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS' REWARD

Nowhere has the old adage of "to the victors go the spoils" proven truer than in the October 1973 Mid-East war.

One of the biggest Rockefeller-CFR moves to capture Arab money was the establishment of the First Arabian Corporation, incorporated in Luxembourg with a \$10 million initial capitalization by Arabs. This project was developed by New York's Kidder, Peabody & Co. (headed by Albert H. Gordon, CFR member), The First Arabian Corporation aims to be *the number one focal point for Arab investment.* The corporation was organized by Roger Tamraz, Mid-East representative of Kidder, Peabody & Co. The March 11, 1974 issue of *Time* magazine reported that "First Arabian will soon open offices on Park Avenue *expressly to channel Arab funds into the U.S.* Tamraz says that he plans to take over an American bank, one just below the big ten (probably a Rockefeller competitor) on behalf of his clients" and then bid for control of a nationally known industrial firm. *Time* reports that Tamraz will "*clear every step (in advance) with Secretary of State Kissinger.*"

In addition to the gigantic new profits that the Rockefeller allied oil firms are making from the Rockefeller-planned skyrocketing of oil prices, *the Rockefeller-CFR bankers will make even more money from the profits they earn "managing" the billions of Arab dollars flowing into CFR investment schemes.*

The huge amount of Arab capital, recently doubled and tripled by the Rockefeller-planned oil price hikes, will be used by Rockefeller and his allies to take control of the little remaining independent "private enterprise" in the United States. The vast new sums of Arab capital will allow Rockefeller to set an absolute totalitarian economic monopoly. *The CFR bankers' control of hundreds of billions of Arab dollars (an estimated \$800 billion by 1984) will allow Rockefeller and Kissinger to destroy the last vestiges of competition, while the American people are systematically impoverished.*

In 1969, the small independent oil firms were urging President Nixon to lift oil import quotas. Such a move would have allowed the import of lower-priced foreign oil while at the same time reducing the drain on our domestic oil reserves. Nixon kept the oil-import restrictions on through the winter heating oil shortage of 1972-73. The President refused to lift oil import quotas until just before the Rockefeller-planned October 1973 Mid-East war started—which guaranteed that the foreign oil imports would have a much higher price tag. In other words, *Nixon forbade the importation of (previously cheap) foreign oil until the price was greatly increased.*

The new high price on imported oil means that most of the small independent oil companies cannot afford to handle or deal with the imports—thus further adding to

the Rockefeller-CFR gang's oil monopoly. *The Rockefeller-created oil-import system cost Americans between \$4 and \$7 billion a year in excessive prices.*

The vastness of the long-range Rockefeller-CFR oil profits can be realized in the statement of Roger Foulkes, Chief Oil Analyst of Argus Research Corporation: "A penny increase per gallon at the pumps will mean a 15% to 20% in net earnings for the average oil company". *So for every 10 cents a gallon increase in gas pump prices, the Rockefeller oil gangsters make a 150% to 200% increase in net earnings.*

SIMON THE DISCORDIAN

A severe gasoline shortage was required to keep people's minds off of the rising prices at the pumps. After waiting in line two hours or more for gas, a person will gladly shell out 75 cents a gallon just to get his tank filled (if he could buy that much gas). Once the prices were doubled there was no way that they could be rolled back. Too many Rockefeller flunkies are in the Federal Government to allow an oil price rollback.

The main trouble for the Rockefeller gang was that by mid-December, 1973, there was still no real shortage at the pumps. Too many small independent suppliers were keeping the gasoline flowing. Something had to be done fast. Rockefeller had Nixon appoint William E. Simon as "Energy Czar". Simon had previously made millions of dollars as an investment banker at Salomon Brothers—CFR international bankers, allied to the Rockefellers.

One wonders if Simon is a member of the infamous LEGION OF DYNAMIC DISCORD, *dedicated to the creation of chaos, havoc and disruption.* Because, within a few weeks of Simon's appointment as energy boss—chaos, havoc, and disruption spread to every gas station in America. The new energy chief set up a Federal gasoline program that sharply reduced gasoline supplies in areas with the highest demand. *Simon successfully managed to allocate gasoline away from high demand areas and into sections of the country needing less gasoline.* By February 1974, Simon had succeeded in creating panic and long lines at the few stations receiving gasoline.

While acting as czar of all the energy, *Simon made a decision, which allowed the big Rockefeller-allied oil moguls to cut back their refineries to 76% capacity right smack in the middle of the "crisis".*

Simon also managed to issue contradictory and confusing statements, almost daily, about the gasoline supply situation. The energy czar caused so much confusion and disruption amongst the gasoline-panicked public that the Rockefeller oil mobsters were easily able to slip through a continuous series of gasoline price hikes. *Simon stirred up so much discord that few protested the price rises.*

(The above article is a condensation of Mr. Baker's book. The revelations provide further confirmation of the theme presented by Mr. Eric Butler in his *Censored History*).