

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by post as a newspaper.

\$6.00 per annum post-free. Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 40, No. 9

SEPTEMBER 1975

THE WAITING RED VULTURES

Like vultures waiting for their victims to become so weak that they can be safely attacked, the Communist conspirators are watching with keen anticipation a Civilisation, which appears to be in its death throes. They are confident that the economic and social convulsions of increasing intensity signal an early end to the "capitalist" system. The desperate policies of attempting to halt inflation through restrictive financial policies merely intensify the convulsions. Only historians of the future will be able to record whether or not Civilisation reached the point of complete collapse in the nineteen-seventies, or whether the convulsions heralded a regeneration which at last saw the economic system truly serving the individual.

It is cowardly folly to attempt to deny the sickness of the non-Communist world. The mouthing of the politicians can have no bearing upon realities. Prime Minister Whitlam of Australia arrogantly states that his political opponents have no right to call an early election because his 1975 "Budget strategy" will take time to work. The Budget will merely further the disasters being suffered by the Australian people. Prime Minister Harold Wilson of the United Kingdom feels hopeful that "The Plan to 1980" will ease the inflation destruction. Events will demonstrate the great difference between theories, wishful thinking, and realities. Inflation cannot be halted while present financial policies are pursued. The founder of the Social Credit movement, C. H. Douglas, proved this over half a century ago. He showed mathematically that under present financial policies, designed to implement a policy of "full employment", progressive inflation and the break up of Civilisation was inevitable. The Communist leader Molotov told the "Red Dean" of Canterbury that Social Credit was the only thing the Soviet leaders feared. This is why the Communists have made every endeavour to smear Social Credit. And they have managed to manipulate large numbers of dupes to help them with their smearing.

As the finance-economic situation in the non-Communist world deteriorates, there is growing pressure to export increasing production to the Communist tyrannies. Adequate credits are made available. And every type of arrogant demand by the Communists is met. The British people still recall how the Heath Government was forced to send large numbers of Soviet spies, masquerading as trade representatives, back to Russia. Now Prime Minister Wilson accedes to the Soviet request to increase its "trade representatives" in the United Kingdom. His security advisers warn against his policy. But earlier this year Wilson visited the Soviet Union and entered into a major trade agreement. The Soviet criminals

are now insisting that part of the agreement should be the right to increase their "trade representatives" in the United Kingdom.

President Giscard d'Estaing of France is so concerned about the manner in which the Communists are exploiting unemployment and discontent that he has decided to follow the American lead and introduce a major deficit budget. As in the U.S.A., where the inflation rate has soared back to 14 percent following what is now known as "reflation", France will shortly also have a soaring inflation rate and increasing economic and social dislocation. The Communists will make further progress, as they have in Italy. Not surprisingly, Dr. Henry Kissinger, international messenger for the international bankers, has expressed the opinion that the whole of Western Europe will go Marxist within ten years. And many naive people thought that the European Economic Community was created for the purpose of resisting Communism! We have consistently warned that this was a trap, and that those who masterminded it knew that the final blow to the decentralised British Commonwealth was planned to bring the United Kingdom into that trap. Visiting British lecturer, Mr. Don Martin, National Director of The British League of Rights, has pointed out how it is now impossible to

"NEW TIMES" DINNER

A few cancellations may permit latecomers to get a seat at the "New Times" Annual Dinner on Friday, September 19. But we can make no promises. Late Dinner messages can be wired to The Chairman, "New Times" Annual Dinner, The Victoria, 215 Lt. Collins Street, Melbourne.

The October issue of "The New Times" will be the special Annual Dinner issue.

buy Australian beef in the United Kingdom. Common Market rules prevent this.

Australians were told that they should not worry too much about losing the British market for some of their primary production. They should seek more markets in Asia. And what have been the results of the Australian beef industry gearing itself to the Japanese market? The answer has been provided by visiting Japanese businessmen at the recent Australia-Japan Business Co-operation Conference in Perth. They stressed that the desperate plight of the Japanese economy would result in only moderate purchases of Australian wool for the immediate future. No hope was held out for increased Australian beef sales to Japan. One Japanese businessman stressed that the 80 percent drop in Australian beef sales to Japan was not the result of the Japanese suddenly losing their taste for beef. The state of Japan is similar

to that of Australia. The biggest Japanese bankruptcy since the end of the Second World War has just taken place. Now the Japanese are also going to "reflate". The further disasters are predictable. The Communist vultures are not overlooking Japan.

Regular readers of this journal cannot complain that we have not warned consistently of the end results of finance-economic policies based upon falsehood. History provides many examples of how debt, taxation and inflation have ruined Civilisations. But for the first time in recorded history there is an international conspiracy, which fosters subversive activities by exploiting the effects of unrealistic finance-economic policies. The conspirators wait patiently for the inevitable end of the victim of those policies. The red vultures are now swarming throughout the whole world, attacking and devouring where they can see that the life of Civilisation has nearly ebbed.

VORTEX OF VIOLENCE

By D. WATTS

Though the Devil were known to be organising a welcoming committee to meet a hell-bound society, there would be tolerated by its members no interference with the conscienceless moneymaking and ugly pleasure that are carrying it to its destined journey's end. The money-hungry and the hedonists usually hold that the moment's satisfaction is worth an eternity of misery for them and their fellowmen. Many of them comfort themselves with the belief that, at death, annihilation will absolve them of their sins and spare them from retribution. It is a rash assumption based on the discredited proposition that Matter is the ultimate reality and that therefore when no longer embodied in physical matter, they will no longer exist.

Confining interest to what is occurring in this world, one asks a question: what is to be thought of people who, at the same time, love Peace and love Violence?

By genuine peace-lovers, men or nations, the physical violence which they must use to defend themselves from a violent physical attack is not loved; but those who love violence for the excitement that it gives them are hypocritical if they profess to love peace. This hypocrisy they often seek to deny by presenting noble excuses for enjoying at first hand or vicariously pain-inflicting violence. They advocate and practise violence in what they declare to be good causes such as revolution, liberation or dubious forms of what they decide, on tenuous grounds, is justice. They set up a United Nations Organisation to preserve world peace and then, in its General Assembly, create a ruling majority composed of backward nations, the people of which have been so far educated to appreciate the beauty of peace that they now demand that others give them all they want without making them fight for it. These belligerent lovers of peace could hardly have been taught better than they know by "civilised" people in whose countries violence can always find a moral or psychological apology — that a good end justifies bad means or that violent exhibitions are cathartic.

The hi-jacking, kidnapping and bombing exploits of the International Red Army, the ideology-motivated terrorism that occurs in Russia and China, the terrorism, which has been encouraged in Africa, the seemingly endless night of terrorism in Northern Ireland, the violent

riots that break out in law-abiding societies and that increasing crime rate which criminologists and dedicated social workers have been unable to stem, when taken all together, more than counterbalance the physical improvements in living conditions that have been made in many countries. Moreover, all that violence is mainly the gift of peace-lovers. They have been cheated by their own basic philosophy of Materialism.

From Materialism were drawn the materialistic political ideologies of communism and socialism in which, it was assumed, a specified physical organisation would automatically produce civilisation. From Materialism were derived the sociological theories that good material conditions produce, unaided, good citizens and that psychological tensions are caused always by material deprivation or friction. Whether the clergy realises it or not, at the bottom of the failure of the Churches to give people what they most need and for which many desperately search, is an acceptance, in most cases unconscious, of the materialistic dogma of the supreme importance of man's material conditions. This has led to the secularising of the interests and alms of most clergymen.

Our earth was created under a dominance of physical forces. Mankind arrived on earth by nature and of necessity adapted to that physical dominance. However, he seems to be meant to be the spearhead of a psychical thrust towards establishing a psychical dominance. When he formulates laws and sets up codes, observance of which dispenses with the necessity to settle disputes in physical combat, when chivalry induces him, contrarily to the

physical law of the right of might, to spare and protect the weak, when mental power gains respect, when man is able to perceive beauty in things and ways of behaviour, when he realises the value of moral restraint and his actions are directed by psychical instead of crude physical impulses, then he has evolved spiritually and his spiritual evolution is expressed in civilisation. Unevolved man, the primitive, the barbarian, the savage, is still under the dominance of the physical complement so that, in him, physical violence is merely the mark of a backwardness, which, in time, he may overcome. He is not damned for his spiritual immaturity. What it was that led men of previous centuries to the conviction that the Devil roams over the world seeking whom he may devour was observation of the fact that even in civilised communities the uncivilised instincts are, for the most part, only thinly covered with a skin of civilisation and that large numbers of people quickly fall back under the dominance of the physical complement. When that happens there is reversion to a love of violence. This falling into what may figuratively be called the Devil's power by men with considerable psychical power, through their using that power to tear the skin of civilisation off society, thus exposing in all its ugliness the underlying love of violence, is not, as some think, a return to innocence or even to a kind of sophisticated naturalness. It is psychical and physical disintegration, which cheats men of their anticipated delight by presenting them, not with the tempting apple that, grows in the Garden of Eden, but with the apple of Sodom, the ash-filled Dead Sea fruit.

MATERIALISM LINKED WITH PHYSICAL FORCE

The Materialist philosophy is an affirmation of physical dominance. The political and sociological ideologies founded on it have led, not to the beautiful and just society, but to the triumph of the complement of which the good values are physical power over the physically weak and physical power over the action of its psychical complement. Then physical persecution, physical torture and large-scale physical murder are good, not evil, and the subjugation of the psychical power seems to be the supreme virtue. Why is there a return to that Unholy Land? Is it because though idealists sought to serve such a civilised aim as the promotion of harmony and justice, they tried to do so under the government of the physical complement? The de-colonising of backward countries which "liberators" thought would be followed by enlightened self-government has produced savage government by savage means. Why was that? It was because the ideologists taught the backward about physical political forms but neglected to teach them to understand the values, which originally inspired the building up of civilised forms of government. Social reformers thought that by breaking down outgrown conventions they would set free human gaiety and justice in a just and humane community. Instead we have a society from which

beauty is departing, in which love is unsatisfied and over which the ruthlessness of criminals is sweeping like a malignant tide which corrupts judgment and aspiration and steadily drowns virtue. Why is that? It is because that psychical government only under which a civilised society can be created is weak and nearly helpless. A very expensive educational organisation has left many pupils with poor reading ability, poorer spelling ability and unsatisfactory powers of verbal self-expression. How, with all the experimenting with educational methods, has it come about that the results are so poor even in providing the pupils with the fundamental tools of cultural creation and appreciation? Defective or deficient academic education is only partly responsible for the lack of mental independence and self-sufficiency, which impels teenagers to depend for their pleasure and satisfaction to such an extent upon entertainment spoon-feeding, and for the self-inflicted injuries caused by physical and psychical drug taking. However, education should have at least moderated such anti-psychical trends; but too many educationists go along with the modern trends that begin in psychical laziness and end in calling for increasingly violent stimuli to arouse the sluggish psyche.

CHALLENGING LEAGUE OF RIGHTS NATIONAL SEMINAR

Readers unable to attend the "New Times" Annual Dinner can, however, attend the League of Rights Annual National Seminar on Saturday afternoon and evening, September 20, starting at 2 p.m. Three outstanding speakers will deal with "Christianity and The Social Order," showing that Christian truths and values are the only firm basis for harmonious societies in which all institutions, political, economic, financial, social and cultural serve the individual.

The Seminar will start on a dynamic note with an address by Rev. Rowland Croucher, Pastor of Blackburn Baptist Church. Recently returned from an international tour, the Rev. Croucher will "pull no punches" as he deals with The World Council of Churches and other "modern heresies", which will be contrasted with the "Vital Christian Faith."

Dr. Ann Warr, M.D., a mother of six, will share her experiences, personal and professional, concerning "Marriage, Family and the Biblical Order."

The Rev. Canon A. G. Fellows, Secretary of the Province of Queensland Australian Board of Missions will deliver an appropriate final Paper on the practical significance of the Christian concept of freedom.

Bring all your friends. Entrance fee: \$2.00 per person. \$3 double. \$1.00 per Session.

Everywhere, in the international, national, social and personal spheres, results have contradicted the promises of political and cultural ideologists. Among barbarians violence continues to be the favoured means of settling disputes and among the slightly more civilised it is taking the place of more peaceful methods. That money is to be made by offering exhibitions of physical and emotional violence explains a great deal. What is disconcerting is the ability of well-meaning people to blot out from their conception of human realities the evils that have been created by applying materialistic theories to human affairs and to retain their belief in devilish ideologies. Malcolm Muggeridge, in his essay "The Loved One", relates that when he was a newspaper correspondent in Moscow, a man in the Soviet Foreign Office observed to him that the U.S.S.R. owed an immense debt of gratitude to those Fabian Socialists, the Webbs, Muggeridge asked why, expecting some such reply as that they had served communism's cause, etc. He was surprised by the answer, "Because they've convinced us that whatever we may be impelled to do in the way of dictatorial and terrorist practices, we need never fear their disapprobation."

FALSE IDEOLOGY FOSTERS DEVOLUTION

Upon that assumption of non-disapproval of terrorism the Soviet still safely relies when chiseling advantages for itself at the conference table. Upon it, with well-placed trust, African States confidently depend when demanding supremacy over the whites for their protégés. Upon it Trades Union organisers rest in certainty when promoting violent action to break down non-communist societies. Not in the universities nor through the media nor, generally speaking, in the organised Churches is to be found any evidence that terrorism horrifies the ideologists enough to persuade them to make a reassessment of their political theories. Whence can these generations expect salvation to come?

There are two sources from which could have come a strong psychical direction to counter the evolutionary downward trend: the one from religion and the other from profound thought, that is, philosophy. It has come from neither, a circumstance the more significant for the reason that the two should have worked in conjunction. This is not the place to analyse the failure of the Christian Church to maintain its one-time intellectual leadership; but it is to be noted that, prior to the conquest of thought by the materialist philosophers and scientists, there were, inside as well as outside the Church organisations, profound thinkers who discovered the spiritual values of religion and who, in giving what they found, assisted the Churches to be channels of spiritual strength to those who required a rational element in their creed.

The chance of serious thinkers obtaining a hearing today was minimised by the success of investigators into physical phenomena, a success that seemed to support the contentions of materialists. The former would, no doubt, have overcome the obstacle had not the amazing

developments in the field of communication with the masses, together with widespread literacy, been used to aid the acceptance of Materialism. The soon perceived mediocrity of cultural leadership resulting from the dissemination of philosophical and scientific theories biased by Materialism is fast being revealed as being, not the end of the downward journey, but only half-way to an abysmally low moral and mental guidance. In former days those intellectuals who form the nexus between the intellectual leaders and the masses looked to the most enlightened for their ideas; now they look to the most superficial and even the debased.

This anti-psychical pattern of behaviour is believed to be broad-minded, sympathetic and democratic. Actually, it betrays narrow-mindedness about civilised values, intolerance of profound thinking and a debasing of democracy in that in it is upheld a tyranny of inferiority, which equally characterises powerful, barbaric kins and powerful, barbaric masses.

SOCIAL REGENERATION IMPOSSIBLE WITHOUT MORAL REGENERATION

The most civilised people have advanced such a little way beyond barbarism that a return to the recent state is easy and the easier because, in certain circumstances, physical considerations really do come first. A difference between the natural barbarian and the relapsed product of civilisation is that the former feels no need to excuse his savage conduct, while the latter does. Taught by ideologists that he is taking part in a revolution, which will usher in a splendid new order, the violent, but moral, revolutionary indulges his lust for the killing, which gives him an exhilarating sense of physical might. Again, the comforting assurance of sociologists that it is society, which wrongs him, and not the society, gives an added zest to the enjoyment by the residual barbarian in a civilised community as he viciously assaults the defenceless, in-offensive citizen. Always, his natural feeling strengthened by propaganda, it seems to him that police action against him is far worse than the callous crimes, which he, himself, has committed.

Yet, in the face of the social and political havoc that has been wrought by the new morality, the ideologists

NATIONAL ACTION SEMINAR

Open only to eligible actionists, the Annual National Action Seminar will be held at the home of Mr. and Mrs. Eric Butler, on Sunday, September 21. This will start sharp at 10 a.m. A short Divine Service will be conducted by the Rev. Canon Fellows, starting at 9.30 a.m.

A charge of \$1 is required to cover the lunch. Those intending to attend MUST book in advance. The organisers will not be amused by those who turn up without prior notice.

still believe that in glorifying revolutionary violence they are being idealistic, while influential sociologists believe that in confirming the barbarian in his belief in the rightfulness of his violence they are being humane.

Having released violence from the chains of civilisation, the work of centuries in placing psychical restraints upon physical behaviour has been largely undone. To illustrate that: in Northern Ireland it is mainly the love of violence that prevents a peaceful settlement of the trouble. The ideological and religious fanaticism merely provides the emotional violence, which usually accompanies physical violence. Indeed, were peace established in the land, many and many an Irishman there would feel that life had become "flat, unprofitable and stale".

That is what life would be like to most present-day leaders of thought and their betrayed charges who have been trained, to the enrichment of morally obtuse pro-

viders of entertainment, to revel in spectacles of violence on the screen and sports grounds, were their regular doses of vicarious violence to be denied them. A person can develop an addiction to anything. I did, myself; to crossword puzzles and it required an effort to break it. How much more difficult it would be to break an addiction to that hard drug, violence.

What can the intellectuals or the Christian Churches give as exciting as violence to wean people away from violence? Perhaps those caught in the net of materialism are helpless to give anything, but are still able to prevent those who may have something from offering it. Moral platitudes are worthless. What is needed is a new and grander conception of Reality. In such a conception might lie the saving Truth which can prevent mankind from being drawn into a vortex of violence which would carry it down into the bottomless depths of evil.

RUSSIA LOOKS FORWARD TO REVOLUTION IN U.K. AND U.S.A.

The following article, which appeared in the June issue of the British journal, "Intelligence Digest", should be read in conjunction with our front-page article:

It is not only in Britain that there is once again talk of the possibility of a coalition as perhaps the only means of steering the country through the economic and political quicksand which are rapidly beginning to appear bottomless. Russian commentators are also talking about it as the only solution, and not only for Britain but for every country in the West threatened by the rising tide of economic crisis. And let it be understood that there is no such thing in Moscow as an independent commentator speaking his own mind. They are all, whether speaking on Moscow Radio or writing in the party organs, official spokesmen of the Kremlin. There is therefore nothing remarkable in the fact that they normally speak with one voice, all saying the same thing and using the same phraseology.

However, so far as Britain is concerned the prediction (or perhaps hope) is not a coalition of the more sane members of the Labour Party with like-minded Conservatives and Liberals. Moscow is talking of the growing need and perhaps early inevitability of a socio-political transformation as the only means out of the mess. The speculation and prediction is therefore of a coalition in Britain of the "Socialists" within the Labour Party and avowed Communists—the long-awaited unity of true left-wing forces and the final ejection of pseudo-left wingers together with all "reactionary forces".

Moscow is in fact confident that political life in Britain has already moved a long distance in that direction and that a "progressive coalition" will soon be "consolidated". The use of the word "consolidated" should be noted because it implies that such a coalition of forces already exists and now needs only to be cemented and publicly acknowledged.

Vitaly Korionov, one of Moscow's heavyweight

"political observers", has referred to the last remaining obstacles to the final consolidation of a "progressive" coalition of one wing of the Labour Party and the self-confessed Marxists within or outside the Communist Party. "All kinds of intrigues", he claims, "are now being launched for the purpose of sowing mistrust between Socialists and Communists and of preventing the consolidation of a coalition between them. Right-wing Socialists from other countries, particularly western European countries, are being used to put pressure on the Socialists who are embarking on the path of cooperation with the Communists."

The statement made in the last sentence of the paragraph quoted above is, of course, quite true. **The Social Democrats of West Germany in particular are greatly concerned about what they see happening in Britain and are trying to use their influence to awaken the Labour Party to the danger.**

Korionov goes on: "Nevertheless, the objective trends towards the strengthening of cooperation among the working class parties, of the unity of left-wing forces and of the working masses' cohesion on the basis of a common platform of anti-capitalist actions are continuing to develop."

Even more significantly, Korionov relates what is happening directly to what he calls "the conditions of the antagonism between the two systems in the world arena"—Soviet Communism and the West's "capitalism". He also relates it to a process, gathering momentum, that will transform the "class struggle" into a "revolutionary movement" almost any day now and make it impossible, certainly in Britain, for the "reactionary forces" to regain their "lost positions".

PENDING REVOLUTION

How, it may be asked, will the completion of the "socio-political" revolution pending in Britain solve the crisis that is becoming so frightening? Korionov does not explain, but he does explain what is going to happen once the "progressive" coalition has been consolidated. All energy sources, including oil, will be put into state ownership. Then, "in order to overcome the financial crisis", the new coalition will take the banks and "main financial establishments" into its hands.

Next, "the crisis in the sphere of capital investment" will be tackled and the first step will be nationalise all "the leading machine-building and other firms". It is not clear what is meant in this case by "nationalisation" because he speaks of "a new type of nationalisation—one which accords with the people's interests".

What matters is not so much the programme outlined. The British Communist Party has had some such programme for years and some Labour Party members, even high up in the Cabinet, have been making loud moves in the same direction. But what Moscow is saying is that it is no longer a speculative programme. According to Moscow it IS going to happen, and quite soon, once the polarisation, which is now blowing up in British political life and in Britain's economy has been completed in the form of a new consolidated coalition of "progressive" forces.

Indeed, Moscow does not consider it likely that there is much left that the "reactionary" forces, whether in the Conservative, Labour or Liberal parties, can still do to prevent it happening. Mr. Wilson may say that he has the situation under control, but his claim is dismissed out of hand by the Soviet analysts.

The Russians are equally candid about the fact that "industrial action" is now a political weapon manipulated by the "progressive" forces in preparing for the "socio-political" revolution. "Thus they are struggling" to quote Korionov again, **"to make the working people's strike actions, which are developing in increasingly intensive waves, effective in character. The facts demonstrate that the stoppages are becoming more intractable and that strikers are resorting with increasing frequency to the occupation of enterprises threatened with closure and to other methods of defending working people's rights."**

This, he says, is part of "the struggle for democratic rights and freedom of peoples".

It is, of course, not only about Britain that such predictions are now made in Moscow. It is freely admitted that Britain cannot be considered in isolation. It is only considered that things in Britain are moving faster than in most other Western countries and that the situation in Britain is further advanced along the course of "socio-political" revolution.

The Soviet opinion is that the United States is likely to follow fairly rapidly in Britain's footsteps if the economic crisis there continues, and that this will in due course follow elsewhere, because, in the Russian opinion: "This is not simply the latest cyclical economic crisis, but a crisis of a more long-term nature; an all-round crisis brought about by processes taking place both within the heart of capitalist society itself **and in the international arena**—i.e. as part of the struggle between Soviet Communism and the West." (Our bold.)

Incidentally, according to Moscow one of the first consequences of the "socio-political" transformation will be "a sharp reduction in allocations of money for the arms race".

THE OBJECTIVES OF TOTAL WAR

By C. H. DOUGLAS

This article was originally published in July 1946, by "Sovereignty", an English quarterly devoted to preserving an independent Britain. Like many other independent journals over the past 30 years, "Sovereignty" could not withstand the pressures of the various forms of centralisation, and did not survive. But the penetrating truths presented by Douglas, and his prophetic genius, have stood the test of time and we commend them to our readers, both old and new, at a time when the last stage of the struggle for the world has been reached.

If the general public is not imbued with full consciousness that war is the ultimate problem of civilisation, the responsibility for such lack as there may be does not rest with the organised publicity, which provides our syndicated information. We are told of the horrors of the atomic bomb, of which a demonstration was conveniently available as the final episode of Japanese industrialisation; and concurrently, without discussion, and with every evidence of long preparation, world organisations have come into being and are functioning with the ostensible, and obviously laudable aim of dealing with war by making war so one-sided that it becomes a police, rather than a military, problem.

It would be wickedly perverse to underestimate the arguments, which can be adduced in support of this attitude. Perhaps the fairest material prospect ever opened to human vision, the Promised Land of Plenty and Leisure, appeared, towards the close of the nineteenth century, to be at hand. The Diamond Jubilee of Queen Victoria marked the perihelion of a British Empire superficially invincible, widely, if not universally, respected and far more united than any organisation of comparable size, either before or since the disintegration of mediaeval Europe; and a Continent of Europe which, if it betrayed disquieting signs to the trained observer, yet remained the unquestioned and unquestionable centre of civilisation

and culture. Fifty years later, Europe lies in ruins; its grace and culture rent and torn, the helpless prey of conflicting ideologies and half-crazed fanatics; it and the British Empire, attacked from every quarter and disrupted by internal intrigues, would appear to be mere children of the storm of war, whose only hope of survival is a refuge under the shadow of a World Government which will prevent its repetition. It may be so; but it would be unwise to resign our fate into alien keeping unless we are sure that we know all the facts and that we understand the nature of our policy. And the first fact on which we need clarity is as to the nature and object of war itself, so that we may know what it is we are trying to avoid. A man who regards spots on the skin as the essential evil of smallpox, and keeps out of the sun to avoid freckles in consequence, may easily defeat his own ends.

The material ruin, which is the accompaniment of modern war, as well as its heavy casualties in human life and happiness, may easily mislead us into supposing that mere destruction is the primary objective of war. No professional staff officer would agree; he would probably quote the well known words of Clausewitz, which are as true today as when they were first written: "War is the *pursuit of policy*, by other means". That is to say, war is a culmination, or an expedient, *amongst other expedients*.

The primary object of war is fear, and one of the most noticeable features of contemporary propaganda is the inculcation of the fear of what will happen to us if we do not resign our affairs into other hands. It has always been axiomatic that courage—resistance to fear—is a cardinal military virtue; and we may observe that world-government propaganda is directly aimed at the neutralisation of such courage as remains to us.

Now the essence of fear is the aberration of judgment, and it is desirable to consider this condition in connection with the revealing statement which appeared in the organ or P.E.P. (Political and Economic Planning) of which Mr. Moses Israel Sieff (most probably only the spokesman of much more important people) was at that time the Chairman—a curious organisation which appears to have been in almost unchallenged control of British internal policy since 1931, closely interlocked with the New Fabian Society as well as other national and international forces. Mr. Sieff's organ remarked: "We have proceeded from the assumption that only in war, or under threat of war, will a British Government embark on large scale Planning". It is a fair deduction from these words that the interests of P.E.P. were vitally bound up with the promotion of war as an agency of compulsion and that "Planning" requires an aberration of judgment to be accepted.

We have just seen that the primary object of war is the aberration of judgment, and it is only a short stage from this to the elimination of judgment. This is inherent. It cannot have escaped the notice that unity of military (in the widest sense) command was a feature, and quite a

rational feature of the war which was resumed in 1939. If you have only one desire or objective, judgment of objectives is over for you. Your whole life becomes a mere question of administration and it can be expressed graphically by a triangle with the apex uppermost. At this apex the Commander-in-Chief is told against whom he is to exert pressure. Everyone below the apex relinquishes personal sovereignty over his objective in favour of "discipline".

We know that it is impossible to have war without two opposing sides; and we can say therefore that war is a condition of affairs which both sides are under the strongest possible pressure to subordinate, or discipline each and every individual to an objective which is not even understood, but is accepted from above.

The side that "wins" is the side that remains "disciplined", *i.e.*, *functionalised* for the longest time.

But when hostilities cease we invariably find that "functionalism" persists. The victorious armies are not demobilised; food restrictions, building restrictions, travel restrictions, are only relaxed, if at all, with obvious reluctance. Both sides may, on balance, be heavy losers in modern war; but there is always one winner, "functionalisation". War then is not necessarily between nations; it may be between Government and People.

Now, although the normal man refuses "Planning" or functionalisation "except in war, or under threat of war", there are powerful interests with functional objectives, which are determined to extend functionalisation to every field of activity. Vertical trusts are one form of such interests and the raw material of them is "employment" or "Labour", and there is, although most Labour politicians may not understand it, the closest connection between "Labour-Socialism" vertical trusts or monopolies, and war. August Bebel in 1892 assured Bismarck that "the Imperial Chancellor may rest assured that German Social Democracy (Socialism) is a sort of preparatory school for militarism". The reverse is equally true. Bismarck accepted the argument in full, never in any way interfered with the Socialists (who were busily engaged, *inter alia*, in debauching British trades, unionism) and himself remarked: "We march separately, but we fight together".

Without presuming to define the whole nature of that mysterious creature, man, it is nevertheless possible to say fairly accurately that he "possesses" a number, perhaps an infinite number of functions. Provided that fear can be brought to bear, he can generally be persuaded to surrender any one, and eventually, practically all, of these functions, to external control, so that "he", the independent will, the possessor of initiative, is eliminated. The individual has then lost his sovereignty, and cannot prevent the handing over of his "national" sovereignty any more than he can prevent his material property in land or otherwise being alienated by a Socialist Government. If we imagine the apex of the military hierarchy

which is organised to control the fighting function of man to be represented by a dot and a similar dot to represent the apex of an organisation to control each of the functions of the individual—the Ministry of Food, Housing, Transport Supply, etc.—and place them side by side in a horizontal line, and then draw from each of these dots a line to the individual whose functions are thus taken from his own control, the diagram thus produced is again a triangle, but this time its apex is downward. Perhaps it may be advisable at this point to observe that there is nothing necessarily mystical about this diagram. It is one quite familiar to "Scientific Management" experts, and is used in factory organisation. The link, which converts the dots into a line, is Finance. If on the top of this inverted triangle we superimpose the diagram of the military organisation (which in "peace-time" is represented by the N.V.D.K. or Gestapo), which supplies the fear-motive, we have the Red Star of Russia, the Seal of Solomon, the works diagram of the World Socialist Empire. Fear, the objective of war, is to be permanently with us in "peace".

Fanciful, you think? Not very fanciful, if you will observe events and disabuse yourself of the idea that history is just disconnected episodes, rather than, as it is, long terms policy crystallised. Theoretical? Yes. But unfortunately the world is in the grasp of theorists to whom misery and death of millions is a gram of dust beside the working out of their designs.

DON MARTIN'S AUSTRALIAN TOUR

The Australian tour of Mr. Don Martin, National Director of The British League of Rights, is now well under way. Following a nine-day tour in Southern Queensland, Mr. Martin moved southwards to New England, then on to Sydney where he addressed the Conservative Speakers' Club on Monday, September 15. He will be the guest of honour at *The New Times* Dinner on September 19. Details of Mr. Martin's Victorian tour, which will include at least one Melbourne meeting, will be announced shortly. He will speak at the South Australian Annual Seminar in Adelaide on Saturday, October 13 and be guest of honour at the South Australian Annual Dinner in the evening. Will all South Australians please make every endeavour to be present at the Annual Seminar and Dinner. Mr. Eric Butler will also be present.

Mr. Martin starts his West Australian tour on Monday, October 20. He leaves for South Africa on Sunday, October 26.

"INDEPENDENT" ISRAEL

United States involvement inside Israel is far greater than most people realise. There is a physical and financial investment in Israel's future—good reason for America's unofficial commitment to defend the country from any aggressor. This is also a prime reason for Washington's anti-Arab stance. Currently, America has 63 manufacturing subsidiaries in Israel, of which the avionics/electronics industry with 19 separate companies is the largest component.

Other American manufacturing subsidiaries in Israel include 15 metal and metal processing firms, 10 chemical subsidiaries, six textile firms, five paper firms and six miscellaneous manufacturing subsidiaries. In addition, seven U.S. firms are active in the financial field and six in hotel and related operations.

If Western Civilisation is to be saved, the diabolically evil men like the Rockefellers have got to be exposed and opposed. They are worse than the Communist barbarians. Their evil is so deep that it is hard to find words adequate to describe it.

Propping up the Israel aircraft industries, and subsequently the Israeli Air Force, inside Israel territory are American Electronics Laboratories, Inc.; Astronautics Corp. of America; Control Data Corp., General Telephone and Electronics; Gerber Scientific Instrument Co.; Information Magnetics Corp.; International Business Machines; Intel Corp.; Itek Corp.; KMS Industries, Inc.; Kulicke & Sofia Industries; Mennen-Greatback Electronics; Mica; Microwave Associates; Motorola; Teledyne; Three H; Vishay Intertechnology; and Whittaker Corp. In addition to other achievements, the American industries in Israel have helped to create the Shafrir air-to-air missile, which proved to be the most effective air weapon in the Yom Kippur war.

In co-operation with American scientific technology, the Shafrir missile is being produced by the Rafael missile development facility. In addition to American assistance from subsidiaries in Israel, the IAF is continuing to receive the latest and most secret weapons direct by airlift from the U.S. arsenal (for details, refer to Arms Lift to Israel, *Weekly Review*, 15 January 1975). These include remotely piloted vehicles (RPVs) for a first strike offensive, together with Hughes Maverick AGM-65A air-to-surface missiles, which can be launched from RPVs to knock out SAM sites and radar installations.

—*Weekly Review* (U.K.), July 2 THE

NEW TIMES—SEPTEMBER 1975