

# THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by post as a newspaper.

\$7.00 per annum post-free. Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

*"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"*

Vol. 41, No. 5

MAY 1976

## **A FINANCE-ECONOMIC PROGRAMME FOR HALTING GROWING ECONOMIC BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS TO THE COMMUNISTS**

**CROWN COMMONWEALTH LEAGUE OF RIGHTS REPORT AUTHORISED FOR  
INTERNATIONAL CIRCULATION BY 1976 WORLD ANTI-COMMUNIST LEAGUE  
CONFERENCE**

Many delegates to the 9th World Anti-Communist League Conference held in Seoul, South Korea from April 30 to May 3, felt that the most significant resolution passed concerned the Report on the finance-economic aspects of Communist warfare prepared by the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights under the direction of the President, Mr. Eric D. Butler. This Report was commissioned by the 1975 World Anti-Communist League Conference held in Brazil. Both in the committee considering the report and the final general assembly of the Conference, it was agreed unanimously "that this ninth Anti-Communist League Conference receives this Report and authorises its circulation for study and consideration of action by Member Chapters".

Mr. Eric Butler was approached after the Conference for permission to use selections from the complete Report in several non-English language newspapers. The Crown Commonwealth League of Rights has undertaken to publish the Report in booklet form, together with an Introduction, for all Chapters of WACL throughout the English-speaking world, including South Africa and Rhodesia.

The following is the Summary of the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights Report issued to all delegates and observers at the Seoul Conference (the full Report had been circulated prior to the Conference):

### **INTRODUCTION**

Early in the preparation of this Report it became obvious that there was such a mass of material concerning the financing of economic blood transfusions to the Communists that, even with heavy condensation, a booklet was essential to do justice to the subject. This booklet was rushed into print at the last possible moment before the Conference in Korea, and it is hoped that adequate supplies are available to provide each delegate with a copy.

Additions will undoubtedly be made to the material already assessed. Even as the Report was being sent to the printers, further information was coming to hand concerning flood of economic aid from the non-Communist to the Communist-dominated nations. A striking example of this aid is provided by the February 1976 issue of "The International Monetary Consultant", produced by Mostelle International Consultants Inc. of New York. "The International Consultant" quotes from "China Spotlight", a Bank of America publication, as follows:

"Negotiations for millions of dollars worth of high technology oilfield apparatus are currently underway between Peking and half a dozen specialised Houston-based equipment companies. And over the past year, while debate focussed on China's capacity to become another world 'oil giant', contracts for similar equipment—along with the training to use it—have been quietly signed and fulfilled".

The policy of exchanging American technology for Chinese crude oil will undermine any American policy designed to provide energy independence.

"China Spotlight" also reports "The major trade news of the final month of 1975 was the agreement between the British Roll-Royce Company for China to buy and eventually manufacture the Spey turbofan jet engine. The agreement . . . calls for China to buy complete engines and eventually acquire the technology to build them under license . . . Chinese engineers will undergo training at the Rolls engine division in England, while a number of Rolls engineers will be based in China".

Clearly the famous British Rolls-Royce Company, nationalised by Conservative Government, is being put to work to build up Communist China's military potential.

In preparing this Report we have consulted a wide variety of authorities on the subject of finance-economic warfare as practised by the Marxists, and the consensus of expert opinion, backed by hard facts, is that Alexander Solzhenitsyn is right when he warns, as he did specifically in his 1975 New York address, that the Soviet economy would be in a disastrous state without Western aid. Solzhenitsyn charged in his New York address that the West was helping the Soviet to expand its military machine and to maintain the Police State. He went on to stress that it is not even loans which the United States for example, gives to the Soviet Union: "It's foreign aid. It's given at a level of interest that is lower than what American workingmen can get for their home mortgages. That is direct aid". The same type of direct aid is being given to Communist China.

The direct aid to Communist China is being financed by the same international banking houses, which have financed the Soviet Union. All genuine anti-Communists are appalled by the consistent pro-Communist policies of Dr. Henry Kissinger. But they must face the fact that Dr. Kissinger has been little more than a type of international messenger boy for the Rockefellers and their associates, who have been financing economic blood transfusions to the Soviet Union for over half a century. Over twenty years ago, even with the Korean War still fresh in people's minds, the Rockefellers were advocating trade with Mao Tse-Tung's China, arguing that trade with the Communists would "mellow" them. It was Dr. Kissinger who played a major role in the Rockefeller break-through with Communist China.

Following his visit to Communist China in 1973, to establish the first Western Bank (the Chase Manhattan) in China for 24 years, Mr. David Rockefeller, Chairman of the Chase Manhattan and the notorious American Council on Foreign Relations, gave his impressions of Communist China in a featured article in "The New York Times" of August 10, 1973, which is of the greatest historical significance. Mr. Rockefeller said: "One is impressed immediately by the sense of national harmony . . . Whatever the price of the Chinese Revolution, it has obviously succeeded in producing a more efficient and dedicated administration . . . also in fostering high morale and community of purpose . . . The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao's leadership is one of the most important and successful in human history."

From the comfort of his boardroom, Mr. David Rockefeller describes as "successful" one of the most inhuman and oppressive systems in history, matching only the criminal regime imposed by the Soviet dictators. Mr. Rockefeller, whose Chase Manhattan Bank is also established in Moscow, has been financing economic blood transfusions to the Soviet for years, as witnessed by the

millions of dollars which have been provided for the giant Soviet Kama River project, one of the biggest in the world, and one which is being provided almost exclusively by Western industrial organisations. The Kissinger policy of "detente" emerges as a cover for an expansion of economic blood transfusions to the Communists. It is a policy of treachery and must be denounced and opposed by those who wish to defeat the growing Communist threat.

Our Report concludes that if the non-Communist nations wish to sustain and increase the morale of their most important allies, the peoples of the Captive Nations, they must accept immediately the advice of Solzhenitsyn and stop sending the aid, which enables the Communists to maintain their Police State. The very future of the world depends upon this policy being adopted by the non-Communist world immediately. Financial and economic policies, which appear to make exports to the Communists "inevitable", "good for business", and assist to develop a "favourable balance of trade", must be altered in order that the non-Communist world can use its vastly superior economic strength to bring the Communists to their knees.

We have divided our Report into Five Parts: Evidence showing that the Soviet has been sustained from the beginning by economic blood transfusions from the West; the financing of the Bolsheviks by International Finance; the subversive role of the Fabian Marxists inside the non-Communist nation; suggestions for a realistic anti-Marxist financial policy; and a Proposed Statement of Principles by the World Anti-Communist League.

### **ECONOMIC BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS FOR THE COMMUNISTS**

The massive documentation in this section of the Report confirms Lenin's famous prediction that the "decadent" capitalists would not only compete one with the other to send their surplus production to the Soviet, but would also provide the credits necessary for the Soviet to obtain the production. Khrushchev's dramatic visit to the U.S.A. in 1959 was designed to make arrangements for a much greater flow of economic aid from the U.S.A. Upon returning to Russia Khrushchev said: "The imperialists fear that the liquidation of the cold war, the elimination of international tension may affect their profits . . . We have been telling them and still tell them: "Reshape your economy in a way to serve peaceful purposes. We shall help you in that. We shall place our orders with you'." Khrushchev went on to say that, of course, dollar credits would be necessary.

We have relied heavily upon the studies of the famous British-born research authority, Dr. Antony Sutton who documents beyond all argument that there is no such thing as a Communist technology or "industrial giant"; that the Soviet has been completely dependent on the West. In a chilling testimony before the Republican Party Convention in August 1972, Sutton proved that the war in Vietnam was only continuing because the Soviet was using ships, most of them built by the West, to provide

massive military aid to the North Vietnamese. He showed that the Soviet military programme was dependent on Western technology. He concluded his testimony (published in "National Suicide") by charging that 100,000 Americans had been killed in Asia with American technology, adding, "The only response from Washington and the Nixon Administration is the effort to hush up the scandal". Dr. Kissinger and President Nixon, the authors of the betrayal of South Vietnam through "Peace With Honour", were not amused. Every effort has been made to suppress and ignore the Sutton Studies published by Stanford University California.

Even at the very time that the Soviets were using Cuban troops in their major military project in Angola, Africa, Dr. Kissinger was still insisting upon "detente" and more economic aid to the Soviet in the form of desperately required grain. At least one major Western newspaper felt it necessary to protest. In its editorial of December 5, 1975, "The Telegraph", London, said:

"The West, mainly America, is sustaining Russia's domestic economy in order that Russia may continue to spend an exorbitantly high proportion of her resources on arms. It is not only for food grains that Russia relies upon the West. She is also increasingly dependent on Western technology for production of consumer goods and exploitation of natural resources . . . If the West has to feed Russia, and help out her backward, under-financed domestic economy (through absurdly low-interest rate loans) is it right that it should be done regardless of Russia's great arms build up? This, of course, is exactly what the Soviet leaders mean by 'detente'. You sell us the food and the production lines, so that we can build up the arms with which to clobber you. That is detente for Mr. Brezhnev."

The flood of economic blood transfusions to the Communists is dramatically increasing, as revealed by American Treasury Secretary William Simon in the January, 1976 issue of Treasury Papers. With tremendous relish, Mr. Simon reports that: "In 1971, total U.S. exports to Communist countries amounted to less than \$400 million. In 1974 exports were \$2.3 billion, a more than 475 percent increase in three years. By contrast, in 1971, U.S. imports were \$230 million, and in 1974, they were \$1 billion. Thus, our total trade surplus with these countries grew to \$1.3 billion in 1974, an increase of 665 percent in only three years. The favourable impact of this trade on our balance of payments and on the U.S. economy is obvious."

The essence of what Simon is saying is that the American economy can only operate successfully if Americans send a flood of exports to their Communist enemies and only receive a trickle of imports in exchange. While those providing the credits for this form of national economic bloodletting regard the process with great favour, quite clearly it is a process of national suicide. But Mr. Simon argues "Lost U.S. exports mean lost jobs in our export industries, a lost benefit to our balance of payments, and to our competitive position in world markets".

The Communists are delighted to hear this type of nonsense. But can any sane person, one not brainwashed by Marxist-type financial theories, believe that if the Communist world was to sink beneath the sea tomorrow, the non-Communist nations could not use their vast productive capacity and resources for the benefit of their people? This question must be faced by all anti-Communists.

### **FINANCING THE BOLSHEVIKS**

Oswald Spengler, famous author of the monumental work, "Decline of The West", was one of the first to point out that revolutionary movements are rarely if ever successful without Big Finance. He wrote: "There is no proletarian, not even a Communist movement that has not operated in the interests of money, in the direction indicated by money—and that without the idealists among the leaders having the slightest suspicion of the fact."

"The New York Journal—America" of February 3, 1949, quoted John Schiff, grandson of Jacob Schiff, partner in the famous Wall Street international banking firm of Kuhn, Loeb and Company as saying that his grandfather sank about \$20 million for the final triumph of Bolshevism in Russia. John Schiff was merely confirming what a number of distinguished writers, including Winston Churchill and the famous British historian, Hilaire Belloc, had pointed out: that Bolshevism had been imposed upon the unfortunate Russian people from without.

Lenin and Trotsky, both outside Russia in 1917 when the Czar abdicated, were financed by international financial groups to return to Russia and seize control. Any study of International Communism without reference to the vital role of International Finance is like attempting to study Shakespeare's "Hamlet" without any reference to Hamlet himself. Documentation provided by Dr. Antony Sutton ("Wall Street and The Bolshevik Revolution"), famous British journalist Wickham Steed ("Through Thirty Years"), White Russian General Arsene de Goulevitch ("Czarism and The Revolution") is quoted along with other evidence showing that Bolshevism was financed to power by International Finance and consistently sustained ever since.

### **THE ROLE OF THE FABIAN MARXISTS**

It is strongly recommended that this section of the Report should be given careful study, as it traces the impact of that strain of the Marxist movement, which has subverted the non-Communist nations from within. The Fabian-Marxist movement was established at the heart of the British Empire in 1884, the year after Karl Marx died in London, to advance Marxism by a policy of what has been described as "Sovietisation by Stealth". Lenin was working with the Fabian Marxists long before he established, with the aid of the Fabian Marxists, his first Bolshevik Movement, in London in 1903.

Backed by the same international financiers who financed Lenin and his successors, the Fabians established in 1894

the London School of Economics, a hot bed of Marxism where students from all over the English-speaking world, including the United States, became indoctrinated. Many Central Banks. One of the most influential of the Marxist became advisers to Governments, some Governors of lecturers at the London School of Economics was Dr. Harold Laski. Laski visited Stalin in 1946 as Chairman of the British Labor Party, and made the statement that while the Soviets and the Fabians were marching on separate roads, they were marching to the same objective, and inspired by the same principles, those outlined by Marx in "The Communist Manifesto". Laski stressed how heavy, progressive taxation, heavy death duties, centralised credit control and other Marxist policies would progressively break the back of the free-enterprise, private-ownership system.

One of the Fabian-Marxists greatest achievements was the promotion of the British economist, John Maynard Keynes, as the man with the answer to the problems of depressions. Keynes was the man who promoted the concept of "controlled inflation"; a policy, which the Fabian-Marxists felt would destroy the non-Communist nations from within. Inflation is a deliberate policy of destruction, producing many side effects all adding to the break-up of traditional societies. The Communists hail these developments as clear evidence that the "capitalist" world is in its death convulsions and that it can no longer effectively resist the programme of Communist take-over. No Government can constructively reverse inflation while following the financial policies of Keynes, a feature of these policies being the expansion of public debt to finance "deficit budgets". A genuine anti-Communist programme requires that these policies be opposed with more constructive policies. Money is but a man-made system and can be used either to serve him or to enslave him.

### ESSENTIAL FINANCIAL REFORMS

In preparing this Section we were indebted to a number of reports by authoritative free-enterprise organisations like the London and Southampton Chambers of Commerce, to independent economists who are anti-Marxists, and to one former economic adviser to a Western Government. We are also indebted to the constructive lead given by Australia's best known anti-Communist political leader, the Hon. J. Bjelke-Petersen, Premier of Queensland, who has been urging the necessity for a change of financial policy to reduce price increases, thus offsetting the campaign by the Marxists in the Trade Unions to keep increasing wages, this in turn increasing prices still further. The Queensland Premier's policy of lowering taxation, particularly inflationary taxation like Sales Tax, and of using the new credits created to finance "Deficit Budgets" for the purpose of financing consumer price discounts on basic items in the economy, has alarmed Australian Marxists, who realise that price stability removes from the Marxist revolutionaries their major cause of social

unrest. It is absurd to suggest that financial credits can be created against a nation to create more debt, more interest bills, more taxation and more inflation, but cannot be used to finance credits for lowering taxation and reducing basic prices by consumer discounts. Recent reports suggest that both the Indonesian and Egyptian Governments have had success in reducing inflation rates by the use of consumer subsidies applied mainly to food.

A change in financial policy to avoid inflation would remove the necessity to over-drive economies and to send massive exports to the Communists. Trade between non-Communist nations could be on a more co-operative basis, an exchange of genuine surpluses with benefit to all.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon our study, we recommend that the World Anti-Communist League Conference of 1976 should proclaim:

1. That the Marxist Communists are engaged in a comprehensive war to destroy all non-Communist societies and that finance-economic policies are major instruments of Communist warfare.
2. That there can be no genuine compromise between Marxists and non-Marxists in the war being waged; that in Khrushchev's words, one side must go to the grave; or in General MacArthur's words, "There's no substitute for victory".
3. That the financing of economic blood transfusions to the Communist nations, unless proposed as a means of forcing real concessions from the Communists and lifting the morale and resistance of the Communists' victims—e.g. free elections in exchange for, say, grain—be labelled as treasonable and opposed in every possible way.
4. That international financial groups and others who oppose proposal number 3 be publicly designated as subversive.
5. That the true purpose of producing goods and services is to serve genuine human needs to enable the individual to live in security and dignity, true to his own traditions and culture and not to force the individual into programmes of economic expansion for the mere sake of expansion thus making him but a cog in a vast materialistic machine.
6. That non-Communist Governments be asked to correct financial policies in accordance with the suggestions in Section 4 thus removing the basic cause of inflation, and the industrial and social friction which the Marxists are exploiting to advance their war against the non-Communist world.
7. That the true purpose of international trade is the exchange of genuine surpluses for the mutual advantage

Continued on Page 8

## **AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS REPRESENTED ON WORLD YOUTH ANTI-COMMUNIST LEAGUE**

Mr. Ray White, West Australian Organising Secretary for the League of Rights, was seated as the Chapter representative for Australia at the WYACL Conference held in Seoul in conjunction with the WACL Conference. Following a short tour in the United Kingdom under the auspices of the British League of Rights, Mr. White will be returning to Perth via Rhodesia and South Africa, where he will also be engaged in a number of organisational activities.

## **WORLD ANTI-COMMUNIST REGIONAL CONFERENCE PLANNED FOR RHODESIA**

At the conclusion of a tour of Taiwan, following the highly successful WACL Conference in Korea, where the Candour League of Rhodesia was seated as a new Chapter Member. Mr. Ivor Benson, Director of the South African Chapter, The National Forum, and Dr. Ian Anderson Chairman of Candour League, announced their intention of holding a Southern African Regional Conference of WACL in Rhodesia in 1977. They said that they proposed inviting a number of other WACL Chapters from the Southern Hemisphere, including the Argentine, Brazil, Australia and New Zealand. Several International Chapters have expressed keen interest in the project. Mr. Eric Butler said that the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights would certainly be represented.

The Conference will be held in either July or August, primarily to enable adequate organisation, but also to enable as many visitors as possible for Canada, the U.S.A. and Western Europe to take advantage of their summer holidays to visit Rhodesia. The central theme of the Conference will be the Free World's Front Line Against Communism In Southern Africa. It is proposed that a number of eminent international speakers be invited to present Papers to the Conference. If sufficient New Zealanders and Australians wish to take holidays in Rhodesia at the time of the Conference, a package-deal tour may be arranged.

## **WACL CONFERENCE OPPOSES WESTERN CREDITS FOR SIBERIAN GAS DEVELOPMENT**

**The following hard-hitting resolution, submitted to the World Anti-Communist League Conference in Seoul by the British Chapter, The British League for European Freedom, under the Chairmanship of Mr. Donald A. Martin, was carried unanimously:**

**WHEREAS** it has just been announced that Britain France and West Germany are to be invited to provide trade credits and together with the Americans technological assistance to exploit natural gas reserves in Western Siberia.

**WHEREAS** in 1974 the American Congress blocked United States credits for Russian energy development similar to that now proposed.

**WHEREAS** without western assistance the Communists would have great difficulty developing these resources which they intend to use to help them to try and destroy the free world.

**WHEREAS** such assistance ignores the plight of the enslaved peoples behind the Iron Curtain who are the free world's greatest allies if the governments of the free world would only recognise and use them.

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that this ninth World Anti-Communist League Conference calls upon the governments of Britain, France, the United States of America and West Germany to block this attempt by the Russians to obtain trade credits and technological assistance to exploit the natural gas reserves in Western Siberia.

## **BASIC FUND AT CRISIS POINT**

With the end of the League's financial year (June 30) fast approaching, the League of Rights is struggling to reach its minimum objective of \$40,000 for its Basic 1975-76 Fund. The League has, however, managed to carry through all its major plans, including its international programme. The flow of printed material, including the latest League brochure, defending the Governor-General, Sir John Kerr, has been successfully maintained. And the League is pleased to announce that the first edition of Heritage Society's high-quality quarterly is now well under way, although this has also involved considerable financial outlay.

Booklet publishing and book-buying have also been maintained, with sales steadily increasing. The flow of revenue from book sales and collections from meetings is helping to meet the heavy drain on League finances. But as we go to press the League announces that it is running so close to its planned budget for the current financial year that it is imperative that the Basic Fund be filled. At present approximately \$3000 is required to fill it. All those who have not yet contributed sending in something, however small, immediately, can avert a crisis. Northern N.S.W. and Queensland to Mr. Jeremy Lee, Kingstown, via Armidale, N.S.W. All others to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, 3001. Since the Australian League of Rights was formed in 1960, it has not once failed to achieve its annual Basic Fund objective. As the national and international crisis deepens, making ever-greater demands upon our faith and courage, we appeal to supporters to ensure that the League does not have its first failure at this time.

## KISSINGER RATED AS A FAILURE

By ALEXANDER I. SOLZHENITZYN

The following article was published in "Asian Outlook", January 1976.

I shall never forget, when President Kennedy was assassinated, the pain we felt for America and the bewilderment and disillusionment experienced by the many former soldiers in World War II and former inmates in Soviet camps and prisons.

It was all the worse because of the inability or the lack of desire by the American judicial authorities to uncover the assassins and to clear up the crime.

We had the feeling that powerful open-handed and generous America, so boundlessly partial to freedom, had been smeared in the face with dirt, and the feeling persisted. Something more than respect was shaken - - it was our faith.

Despite the dissimilarity of events, I had a very comparable feeling at the time of the abrupt dismissal of Secretary of Defense James R. Schlesinger a man of steadfast, perceptive and brilliant mind. Once again, the feeling was that America had been insulted.

### WOE

I realize that President Ford acted in full conformity with the Constitution. But woe betide a system in which it is sufficient and expedient to govern guided only by one's personal or party's election interests.

There is something higher than jurisdiction, and that is decency. There is something beyond juridical right, and that is good sense. There should at least be decency toward one's allies. After all, the Secretary of Defense is not merely a member of the U.S. Government. He is in fact also responsible for the defense of the entire free world.

It would have been a friendly act first to receive consent from the allies. As for good sense, this involves the way things are handled. A leapfrog succession of officials in such a post can only impair the defense of the country. (It was noted who was pleased [the Soviet Union] by the dismissal.)

There are rumours that the dismissal was linked to another name. It is an irony of history that the two names almost rhyme.

When I was in the United States last summer, I avoided direct questions from the press on assessing the character of Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger. But his present triumph and the blinding misinformation being spread to this day about his activities compel me to speak out bluntly.

### ARGUMENT REPEATED

Defending his policy of unending concessions, Mr. Kissinger repeats the like one and same argument almost like an incantation: "Let our critics point out the alter-

native to nuclear war!" More than anything, it is this phrase that exposes the nature of Mr. Kissinger; in particular, it exposes that he is *least of all a diplomat*.

"Alter" in Latin means "other (of two)". An alternative is a choice between two possibilities. This is a scientific concept, but even scientific situations often allow a much broader choice. But diplomacy is not a science. It is an art, one of the arts concerning the nature of man. To construct diplomacy on an "alternative" is to put it on the lowest and crudest level.

An art does not recognize alternatives within itself; it would fall apart if it developed only on the basis of two possibilities. No, in every instance art has a thousand choices. Every art has a *spectrum*, a keyboard of possibilities. From ancient times to the present, the art of diplomacy has consisted of playing on this keyboard.

How many great diplomats of the past have won negotiations even with empty hands or backed by inadequate power, in circumstances of military weakness, conceding nothing and paying nothing, defeating the opponent only by intellectual and psychological means. That is diplomacy.

### SAME THREAT

Mr. Kissinger endlessly deafens us with the threat "... but otherwise, nuclear war". He obscures the fact that this same nuclear war hangs *equally* over the head of his opponents (at least as of today, until new successes by Mr. Kissinger).

And in these equal circumstances, under the same threat, his opponents are always *winning* and he is always *yielding*. Let him learn something from his opponents - how is it that they operate so successfully in the nuclear age? The answer would be: They study the psychology of Mr. Kissinger.

What an absurdity: The United States was the first to introduce nuclear weapons to the world. Should it *because of this* have become weaker, and should it *because of this* surrender its positions in the world?

I dispute not only that Mr. Kissinger has the life experience necessary to understand the psychology of Communist leaders, and as a result sits at the negotiation table as if blindfolded. I also dispute that he is on the high diplomatic intellectual level ascribed to him.

It is not diplomacy to negotiate with a preponderance of power behind one's back, with an abundance of material means in one's pocket, to submit to all participants in the negotiations, to pay them all off and thereby to create unbalanced and temporary grounds for transition to further concessions.

## HOUSE OF CARDS

The celebrated Vietnam agreement, the worse diplomatic defeat for the West in 30 years, hypocritically and very conveniently for the aggressor, prepared the way for the quiet surrender of three countries in Indo-China.

Is it possible that the prominent diplomat could not see what a house of cards he was building? (The Soviet press in its rage against Andrei D. Sakharov, damned his Nobel Peace Prize as "the ultimate in political pornography". The press aimed in the wrong direction and was three years too late. This abuse would have been more suitable for the Nobel Prize shared by the aggressor and the capitulator in the Paris agreement.)

A similar alarming feeling of shakiness is aroused by the Middle East agreements of Mr. Kissinger (as far as I know, many Israeli leaders do not regard them any higher), although there has not been the kind of open capitulation to which Vietnam was doomed by the same pen.

Mr. Kissinger does not concede that any concessions whatsoever are being made. Thus, it appears: "The Western countries have not set a goal of ideological detente" (that is, they have not even tried to eradicate the coldest aspect of the cold wars, so what is their goal?). Or as he said on Aug. 15: "It is not we who were on the defensive in Helsinki". Three months have passed and we ask: If it was not you, who was it?

The very process of surrender of world positions has the character of an avalanche. At every successive stage it becomes more difficult to hold out and one must yield more and more. This is evident in the new conditions across entire continents, in the unprecedented encroachments by the Soviet Union in southwestern Africa and in votes in the United Nations.

## EMERGENCY EXIT

Mr. Kissinger always has an emergency exit available to him. He can transfer to a university to lecture to credulous youngsters about the art of diplomacy. But the government of the United States (just as those youngsters) will have no emergency exit.

There is another favorite argument by Mr. Kissinger: In the nuclear age, we shall not forget that peace, too, "is a moral imperative". Yes, that is true and not only in the nuclear age (indeed, this nuclear age is an obsession for Mr. Kissinger but only if one correctly understands *peace as the opposite of violence* and does not consider Cambodian genocide and Vietnamese prison camps as the attainment of peace.

But a peace that tolerates any ferocious forms of violence and any massive doses of it against millions of people - - just so long as this does not affect us for several years yet - - such a peace alas has no moral loftiness even in the nuclear age.

## THE BOLSHEVISATION OF AFRICA

The following article appeared in *The National Message* (Feb. 1976); the monthly journal of the British Israel World Federation (London). It is not published for profit, but for *Christ, Queen, and Commonwealth*.

Russia, through alternating doses of Marxism and substantial 'capitalist' support, has already and with contemptuous ease paved the way for the triumph of the Communists in Angola. To a lesser degree, China has 'put her oar in' and is at least maintaining a foothold against the time when she will be able to compete on more favourable terms with the northern colossus.

The significant feature of the Russian move is that it has disclosed the obvious intention of the United States and the non-Communist European nations to avoid doing anything more than lodge pious protests against this evil-boding development. With Vietnam so recently in mind, the Americans will not again enter the lists. The Western European nations — including Britain — dare not. Writing in the *Sunday Telegraph*, Peregrine Worsthorne says: 'Chaos reigns in the Chancelleries of the Western World. To continue talking about the West as a real entity is a rank deception. It no longer exists: it has fallen apart . . . Today, most politicians on both sides of the Atlantic could not care less . . . That is the true lesson of the Angola affair: and it could not be more ominous'.

There is not the slightest doubt that Marxism is now well on the way to rotting the basic structure of what might ultimately have developed into African stability. That Russia should, with such comparatively trivial effort, have been able to stake so large a claim—albeit by proxy—in the resource-rich, strategic lands in the heartland of the Dark Continent speaks volumes. Our kinsfolk in Africa will, by reason of Russian success, be in a state of rising alarm at this turn of events. The Angola *debacle* re-emphasises the doleful fact that the virus of Marxism does not halt at boundaries: it merely requires 'soft spots' from which to spread its ghastly infection. The Marxist-contrived deterioration in our hitherto solid Israel-Britain provides ultimate proof of the inability of mortal man to stand against this satanic plague in his own power. It is the *Nemesis*, which inevitably stems from secularism.

# CONSUMER SUPPORT TO STOP INFLATION 6/5/1976

BY JOH BJELKE-PETERSEN  
PREMIER OF QUEENSLAND

The ending of inflation has been of immediate concern to myself and to many thousands of Queenslanders fighting to make ends meet in an economic climate that is squeezing people out of productive jobs.

Because of this, I placed before the 1974 Premiers' Conference a well-researched proposal involving the application of subsidies on selected consumer goods to take costs out of prices, thereby reducing inflation.

I now propose that this be implemented in Australia as an urgent economic measure aimed specifically at lowering or stabilising the prices of some foodstuffs components in the Consumer Price Index.

If this had been done in the 1974 economic crisis facing Australians, there is every indication that the rising price of food in 1976 could have been curtailed, and people would not now be feeling the cruel edge of the inflationary squeeze on housekeeping budgets.

Let me speak plainly. Under subsidisation, food supports are not given because an individual consumer is lazy, non-productive, or even inconvenienced by a low income. Such subsidies are given, as in 1943 under Labor, because a consumer or an industry is entitled to stable prices, and also because the government promoting such a scheme may find it more economical to meet increased costs through subsidies rather than through rising prices.

Indeed, this was the reason given by the then Minister of Customs (Senator Keane) for consumer subsidies during the 1943-47 period.

Doubters today largely ignore the relative success of recent and partial price stabilisation schemes in New Zealand, Great Britain, adjoining Indonesia, and other countries.

This concept of stabilising prices through consumer support is amazingly overlooked by some, who, although they may have mechanical skills, show a remarkable lack of creative or original thought.

They dismiss consumer supports as new, revolutionary and inflationary, and catastrophically prophesy that they would not only cause inflation, but would necessitate a war situation combined with increased taxation and price controls.

These arguments are all false!

Firstly, comprehensive price-stabilisation is neither new nor revolutionary, and has been adapted by such industrial nations as Canada, United Kingdom, New Zealand, Australia and the United States of America.

Secondly, rather than necessitating price control, price support was introduced in Australia after price control had failed to halt inflation. Indeed, the late car subsidy, superphosphate and tractor bounties performed remarkably well without price controls. Further proof is presented by Brisbane consulting economist (Mr. Herbert) who has confirmed that about 18 percent of basic consumer purchases could be easily discounted because the prices are already standardised (milk, bread, eggs, sugar, fares, fuel, rates, etc.).

Mr. Herbert has also convincingly scuttled the high tax burden argument by pointing to the massive federal deficit, and declaring that consumer subsidies could be paid for by new credits costing taxpayers nothing!

That point will worry the doubters who persist with the false assumption that a war is essential before people can utilise their own nation's financial resources.

It is not in question that consumer support will reduce basic food prices, such as potatoes, bread and butter. What is questionable is the will of parliamentarians to bring such reforms about.

The only motivation that really counts in a parliamentary democracy is that will which resides with the people, for if the people really desire a specific policy, they can move mountains.

## BOOK NOW FOR NATIONAL WEEKEND

The 1976 National Weekend will be from Friday, September 17 until Sunday 19. The "New Times" Annual Dinner will be held on the Friday. Donations this year will be \$7 a person, another result of inflation. But private hospitality can be arranged for country and interstate visitors. The League's National Seminar will deal with all aspects of Australia's defence—including moral and psychological defence.

This year earlier bookings would be appreciated in order that private accommodation can be adequately organised.

Continued from page 4

of all and that with internal price stability the non-Communist nations would no longer be forced to strive for a "favourable balance of trade" through tariffs, quotas and the manipulation of exchange rates directed against fellow non-Communist nations.

Finally, it is recommended that Chapters of the World Anti-Communist League give this Report and Recommendations the widest possible circulation as a major feature of an international counter-offensive against the International Marxist Conspiracy.