

# THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by post as a newspaper

\$7.00 P<sup>er</sup> annum post-free

Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

*"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"*

Vol. 43, No. 4

APRIL 1978

## ON THE EVE OF ANOTHER INTERNATIONAL DISASTER

*By Eric D. Butler*

**An old supporter of this journal and its policies recently related how his sons expressed disbelief in his warnings of a collapse of Civilisation. "You have been predicting major disasters for years, but still we keep going". But students of history know that the great Roman Civilisation did not collapse overnight; that the decline continued over a long period of time. And one of the features of the decline was that large numbers flatly refused to believe that the decline was taking place, even though the evidence was there in abundance. The famous statesman Cicero was described as an alarmist. "How absurd", said the overwhelming majority, "to suggest that our Civilisation is doomed". And so it is today.**

The laws governing human associations are absolute. The law concerning the abuse and corruption of power is as true today as it was thousands of years ago. Crushing taxation and escalating inflation, the inevitable results of debt so astronomical that the mind cannot comprehend the figures necessary to describe it, are producing the same economic and social disasters, which were ruining Rome. Yes, we still "keep going". But what of the cost in terms of economic sabotage, the countless millions who during the comparatively short period since 1914, the start of the First World War have either died in military conflict, been murdered for political reasons, have died of famine, or have committed suicide in sheer despair? The enormous expansion of productive capacity as a result of the technological revolution has convinced many that irrespective of what happens, there will always be adequate food supplies and that the flood of production flowing from technology will be inexhaustible.

But the very technological system, misdirected by financial policies, which force the individual to engage in suicidal activities, contains the seeds of its own destruction. And with it the further destruction of the social order. In one of C. H. Douglas's prophetic speeches of the Great Depression years, the BBC address on the causes of war, the author of Social Credit stressed that the basic seeds of modern war are to be found in every village where business organisations are forced to compete in the most destructive manner for insufficient purchasing power. The extension of this struggle is trade war between nations, a struggle based on the insane viewpoint that a nation becomes wealthier through exporting more production than it imports i.e. the "favourable balance of trade". Note carefully that the underlying idea is that a nation is "successful" when it can force other nations to take production they do not really want. The only time when all can export freely is during military war. Enormous productive capacity is geared to producing bombs, shells and other military materials which

are "exported" to the enemy. It is easy to understand why one certified economist recently suggested, even perhaps with tongue in cheek, that another major military war was essential to end the rapidly mounting international trade conflict.

One of the major villains of the trade conflict at present is Japan. This is strange for those who lack the higher learning provided for those called economic "experts", because if the "favourable balance of trade" policy is the road to national salvation, then the Japanese have been outstandingly successful. But now we are told that they have been too successful: have in fact sent so much production to the European Economic Community and to the United States, that they are threatening the economies of these two markets. The Germans are also being described as villains because they also have been more successful than other nations in exporting more than they import.

*The Economist*, London, of October 8 last year carried an article, which strains the imagination to accept as true. But this eminent journal reported that Finance Ministers of the major countries with deficits - - Britain, Canada, France, Italy and the United States, "met secretly in Washington last week and decided to drop leaflets from aircraft over Germany and Japan addressed to the workers, warning them of the consequences of their surpluses continuing". *The Economist* claimed to have obtained a draft of the proposed leaflet, which in essence had it that the workers of Japan and Germany were urged to use their political influence to force their Governments to expand their internal economies so that they could buy more of their own production instead of exporting it.

Now under present financial rules all expansion programmes, now described as "reflation", must intensify inflation. Along with other Governments, the German and the Japanese Governments have been attempting to deflate with the result that there is mass unemployment and

business collapses. The proposed leaflet concluded, "Tell them (your Governments) that you've had enough of unemployment or the threat of it. Tell them you don't want to put the world's trading system at risk. Tell them to get moving fast". The end result of this type of thinking is predictable. Already the Japanese are responding by looking for a new, comparatively underdeveloped market. It is close by: Red China. Japan has now entered into an agreement with Peking, which means that Japan will provide the technological equipment, and industrial know-how, which Red China requires, while China can provide Japan with the type of raw materials needed to keep stoking the Japanese economy. The Japanese are extending to the Communists the necessary credits to obtain Japanese production, in the same way that the West, dominated by the international financial power groups, has provided the massive credits without which the Soviet Union could never have obtained the essential economic blood transfusions from the "decadent capitalists". The reality of the situation makes the efforts of Australian politicians to insist that Japan takes more Australian production, look pathetic. Even the "spirit of Anzac" has become rather

dampened by growing trade friction between New Zealand and Australia.

The stage has been rapidly set for a fierce trade conflict, which must end either in another major military conflict, or a series of collapses inside the industrialised nations. All attempts to avoid disaster while adhering to present financial policies can only intensify the process of disintegration.

The course of tragic events can only be changed by one nation emulating the one small pig, which during the stampede of the Gadarene swine, pulled out at the last moment, deciding that there was no future in the policy of the majority. One of the smaller and newer nations, Canada, Australia or New Zealand, is best equipped to give the necessary lead. It should be remembered that even when the Roman Civilisation finally disintegrated, there were still pockets of that Civilisation left. It was from these pockets, fanned by the spirit of Christianity, that a new Civilisation was created. Perhaps man is determined once again to go through the same process. Only time — now in short — will tell.

---

## "STOREHOUSE ECONOMICS"

Ours is a finite world. Population is increasing at a faster rate than our food resources are being developed. We should therefore have an economic system, which conserves rather than wastes; in which quality is of greater importance than quantity. What we have is a system, which demands that everyone must work, because work is the only ticket to obtain the means to live, develop, attain health and contact with others.

While in Nature, there has evolved a system whereby alien forms of life, plants and animals, learn to live together (ecology); yet Man, the highest form of life on this earth, has lost the way towards "human ecology", whereby different races learn to tolerate each other.

"Modern industrialised economies do not make the satisfaction of human needs a number-one priority. They are instead designed to stimulate business and promote commerce. Industrialised economies are based on throughput. They prosper only so long as production and consumption remain high. Income is derived from wages and salaries and, as a result, every effort is made to assure that there is never any shortage of work to be done. Products must wear out or be consumed so that they may be replaced. Styles must be changed so that whatever does not wear out is discarded anyway. People must be dissatisfied so that they want more. Resources must be exploited. Growth is essential.

"On the 'spaceship earth' where we live today, resources are limited, and pollution a serious threat. Under such

conditions an appropriate economic system would be one which concentrated on the satisfaction of human needs rather than on the rate of production and consumption. We should be attempting to maximise the accumulated supply of utilitarian goods, not simply the level of industrial output.

The earth is a spaceship and, if we are to live on it in peace and prosperity, we must shift from throughput economics to storehouse economics before it is too late.

The key to making such a basic shift is the elimination of the virtually exclusive role of wages and salaries in the income distribution system. So long as job employment is a prerequisite to obtaining income, any significant shift from throughput to storehouse economics would create chaos. If products were made more durable, if mass advertising of trivia were eliminated, and if all unnecessary jobs were discontinued, unemployment would soar, recession would occur, and millions would be without income altogether.

Throughput economics depends on continuous growth to create enough work to keep everyone employed. Storehouse economics would eliminate unnecessary work and seek to satisfy human needs with as little effort and expenditure of energy and resources as possible. Storehouse economics is based on the principle that, if things don't wear out, they don't need to be replaced."

—Dr. J. S. Albus in *People's Capitalism*.

## ZIONIST INTRIGUE AT EVIAN

In 1938, President of the U.S.A. Franklin D. Roosevelt, no doubt acting on behalf of International Zionism, convened an International Conference at Evian-les-Bains, France. The following article taken from the November 1977 issue of "Instauration" foreshadows the flow of events with respect to Jewish migration, and the emergence of the State of Israel which occurred ten years later.

"Instauration" is published in Florida, U.S.A. each month. The address is P.O. Box 76, Cape Canaveral, Florida, 32920, U.S.A. The article follows:

The problem of relocating refugees is as old as the Caesars. At various times in world history those who are unwanted, who are hunted or persecuted for one reason or another in one place are tirelessly seeking to resettle somewhere else. One of the great historic dumping grounds and hiding places for refugees has been the Americas, and in particular the United States. With a tradition of centuries of casual concern toward whoever might want to come here — except for an interlude during the first half of this century — there are really no grounds for wonder that the problem continues to grow with vast Malthusian leaps.

Currently there is much agitation about millions of Mexicans who have invaded the four U.S. border states. The scope of this mass influx staggers the imagination of citizens of foreign countries (One wonders what would be the reaction of the Soviet regime to several million Asian wetbacks pouring into European Russia). Nevertheless, political and other refugees continue to stream to the U.S. from all over the planet, aided by policies which favor this group and frown on that one. Formidable centers of Cubans and Southeast Asians have been established in the U.S. in the last decade and a half, while other groups have been somewhat less conspicuous — i.e., half a million immigrants from Israel.

All of which calls to mind a famous effort almost forty years ago to deal with the refugee problem of that era, a spectacular promotional stunt which for one of the few times in history did not lead to the imposition upon one place of the undesirables of several other places.

The occasion was the calling together of the representatives of thirty-two countries at an international conference held in the posh French resort town of Evian-les-Bains, on Lake Geneva, July 6-15, 1938. The main instigator of the conference was President Franklin D. Roosevelt, though he himself did not attend and acted as a sort of absentee political overlord.

The world press gave the Evian conference an immense send-off, and encouraged people everywhere to entertain various hallucinations about what was going to take place. The immediate stimulus was the *Anschluss* of Germany and Austria (March 12-14 1938) followed by a plebiscite on April 10 in which 99.75% of Austrians voted in favor of the union. When Austria was incorporated into the Third Reich as a new state, many of the policies, which prevailed in the latter became promptly operative in the former. Among these were the enforcement of anti-Jewish laws and the wipe-up of various kinds of Marxists regardless of whatever communist, socialist, democratic or liberal camouflage they happened to be using at the time.

As the conference got underway, the press emphasized the general feeling that it was mainly concerned with the fate of German Jews. But according to the Jewish Joint Distribution Committee report (July 1938) about 130,000 of Germany's 1933 Jewish population of 500,000 had already departed the Reich, leaving behind some 370,000 who were described as

mostly middle-aged or elderly. These post-exodus Jews were less than 1% of Germany's total population. Many of them were not of German origin, but from Eastern Europe. In Austria's case its 200,000 Jews represented 3% of that country's population.

As the Evian conference soon discovered, the Jewish question transcended the expanded frontiers of Hitler's Germany. The conferees were not permitted to forget the problem of the Polish Jews, who constituted 3,000,000 of Poland's 34,000,000 people, and of the Jews of Hungary and Rumania.

It was also learned in the course of the discussions, which were masterpieces of foot-dragging and evasive baffle-gab, that the Jews actually numbered less than half of the refugees. The majority were non-Jewish political undesirables from all over the European map, largely Marxists of many exportable flavors and colors. Only Stalin had no problem with his "unwanted" and took no part in the Evian proceedings. The show trials in Russia were reaching their peak at the time and the Stalinist machine was cheerfully shooting and bundling off millions to the slave labor camps, a gargantuan purge that was evident to nearly everyone except the West's liberal intelligentsia. It was Walter Duranty, the Moscow correspondent of the *New York Times* and certainly no adversary of Soviet Russia, who wrote (Oct. 10, 1938) that Stalin, as of that moment, had shot or otherwise killed far more Jews than Hitler, though they had obviously not been identified as such, but as "wreckers," "saboteurs," "counterrevolutionaries," "enemies of the state," and other standard Bolshevik pejoratives.

All in all, Roosevelt's grandstand stunt at Evian was a vainglorious fizzle, which staggered to its conclusion on July 15, 1938, with nill results. Representing F.D.R. was Myron Taylor, better known as the U.S. ambassador to the Vatican. The British representative was Lord Winterton, who rambled on vaguely about a plan to resettle refugees in "certain African territories," then part of Britain's colonial empire. But no country's spokesman offered to take more than a token handful. The French reaction German frontier.

Zionist functionaries took a leading part in the scuttling of the Evian conference. The last thing they were interested in was the dispersal of Jews to any other part of the world than Palestine. A big change had taken place in the previous ten years. The idea of a joint Arab-Jewish Palestine had been scrapped by Zionist "revisionists" led by Vladimir Jabotinsky, \* known to some as the "Jewish Hitler," who vigorously advocated an underground war against both the British, who were ruling Palestine under a League of Nations "mandate," and the native Arabs.

On June 28, 1926, the Zionist Organization of America had repudiated Jabotinsky and his program. But Jabotinsky's ideas have sent down deep roots. In 1931 there came into existence the Irgun Zwa'i Leumi, a force of desperado irregulars, who in later years was to share a baleful limelight with the Haganah and the Stern Gang,

## Evian Conference -----

engaging in such barbaric acts of terrorism that they were once formally 'denounced by the Jewish Agency itself'. (Menahem Begin, the most ferocious of the Irgun leaders, was elected Premier of Israel in 1977.)

While the Irgun fought the British army and the Arabs in Palestine, it engaged in no such tactics in Europe, least of all in Germany. Irgun had established offices in Germany, Poland, Rumania and Hungary some time before 1938 and had the active support of the governments of these countries to help them smuggle out Jews, specifically destined for the Holy land. These regimes supplied papers and emigration facilities, and Nazi Germany even allowed the Irgunists to overlook the rigid restrictions on currency importation so they could pay for the train and boat fare of the Jews they had selected. A onetime contributing editor to *Time*, Allen Michie, described this in detail in the *New Republic* (Aug. 9, 1939).

It is obvious that Irgun did not want *all* Jews. Most Jews still remaining in Germany in the summer of 1938 were not considered proper blood transfusions for the illegal Zionist occupation taking place in Palestine. Consequently, no Zionist favored the bumbling solutions proposed at the Evian conference.

A crack in the wall of silence surrounding pre-World War II Zionist immigration policies appeared recently when the branch of Orthodox Jewry known as Neturei Karta, which is very hostile to Zionism, revealed in the publication *Palestine and Israel* (Feb. 1977) than when the Germans offered to allow emigration of Jews to other countries at \$250 a head, the Jewish Agency delegation at Evian, led by Golda Meirson (as the grande dame of Israel was known in those days), ignored the entire proposition. German officials were also reported to have made another interesting offer on July 8, 1938, to wit, Austrian Jews could emigrate to any country that would accept them and take with them 20% of their goods and possessions. This proposal also ran into a blank wall.

It was quite evident to the conferees after a few days that they might as well pack up and go home because of obdurate Zionist opposition to any scheme to send Europe undesirable Jews anywhere except Palestine — the one place the British did not want them. An especially bloody phase of the vicious war that had been going on for some time between Arabs and Jews (with the British Army in the middle) took place during the Evian

meetings and the news was given more space in American papers than efforts to solve the refugee question.

It did not bother Zionist activists that Jews were in distress in Europe. It was openly admitted by Haganah officials that persecution of Jews was a good thing for Zionist objectives. Not only did it encourage emigration of Jews to Palestine, it was a propaganda windfall that allowed the media to flood the world with alligator tears and build up world opinion to accept any measure Zionist strategists might decide to adopt.

Two other incidents emphasized by Neturei Karta illustrate this. Beginning in June 1939, the British colonial office formally forbade further immigration of Jews into Palestine beyond a tightly administered quota. Illegal smuggling nevertheless continued at an accelerated clip. And in various European countries the ingathering of Jews for shipment to Palestine went on with the usual cooperation between Zionist underground organizations and government officials. But occasionally there were problems. During an unusually hard freeze on the Danube in January 1940, some 2,000 Jews were stranded on ships at various points along the river. But Zionist bigwigs showed little concern and made no effort to ease the refugees passage to Palestine. They were either too old or in poor physical shape. In declining to act in their behalf, Henry Montor, executive vice-chairman of United Jewish Appeal, was quoted as saying (Feb. 1, 1940), "Palestine cannot be flooded with old people or with undesirables."

The most dramatic evidence of Zionist insouciance toward the lives of their "unqualified" compatriots was the fate of those aboard the French steamer *Patria*. Taken over by the British, the vessel was "packed to the gunwales with 1,771 wandering, homeless Jews," as the Associated Press described it on November 25, 1940. That was the day the ship capsized following an explosion in Haifa, causing the death of nearly 300 and serious injury to perhaps that many more. Those on board had been rounded up in a series of British army dragnet operations in Palestine and designated as illegal entrants. After some three weeks on the *Patria*, they had been scheduled for transportation to the British colony of Mauritius. Neturei Karta states unequivocally that the sinking of the ship was the act of Haganah saboteurs. (Another 200 Jews drowned in the sinking of the steamer *Salvador* in the Sea of Marmara on Dec. 13, 1940.)

All during World War II the Zionist leadership stressed the priority of filling Palestine with vigorous and fanatic young Jews instead of rescuing Jewry from the Nazis. It may be assumed that the wartime reduction of the Jewish population in Europe was looked upon as a Darwinian godsend, which eliminated the sick, the unfit and the aged, while leaving healthy and youthful Jews for the Zionist colonial experiment. And while this was going on, the Zionists were blaming Jewish deaths and casualties on non-Jews in atrocity stories that dominated the front pages of Western newspapers.

The Evian conference is an important place to start a careful examination of the whole Jewish refugee affair, and the internal conflicts among various Jewish groups as to the correct line to follow. It is evident that we have no adequate exposition of the hostility between Zionist and anti- or non-Zionist Jews concerning the problem. Research into the machinations that came to a head at Evian might reveal the circumstances which led to capture of the initiative by the leftist Zionists and the eventual invention of the six million myth, which became the basic device used to cover over the entire subject and create the illusion of a unified stand that really did not and still does not exist.

---

\*Jabotinsky (ne Vladimir Evgenevich Zhabotinskii) died in 1940. He was the author of a book published posthumously in 1942 by Dial Press, which is exceedingly hard to find today titled *The War and the Jew*, it bore a foreword by the notorious Dutch Marxist and Zionist Pierre van Paassen. In it, Jabotinsky declared, "evacuation of the Jews of Central and Eastern Europe to Palestine was the only way to prevent their extermination." Even *Foreign Affairs* (October, 1943, p 165) thought it important to quote this declaration. If the Jews from these areas had already been whisked away by the Irgun and other organizations, then their simultaneous extermination as claimed by others, especially by the Polish Jew jurist, Raphael Lemkin, in his book *Axis Rule in Occupied Europe* (Lemkin was the inventor of the word 'genocide'), published on the heels of Jabotinsky's work, would have been quite a feat. But the fawning Stalinist, Lion Feuchtwanger, had already charged as early as 1936 in a book published in Paris *The Yellow Spot* that all of Germany's 500,000 Jews had already been exterminated. Jabotinsky is an interesting contradiction to these "authorities"

THE NEW TIMES—APRIL 1978

# THE MAD DOG OF THE MAN-WORSHIPPERS

By D. Watts

**The saying, "The Voice of the People is the Voice of God" (their God), is a true one. Throughout the ages people have worshipped many strange and terrible Gods; and in the voice of the multitudes is to be heard the voice of their God, whoever or whatever he may be. There have been many calling themselves Christians who have worshipped Beelzebub under the name of God and who, when they have spoken, it has been with the voice of Beelzebub. Whatever the God with whose voice the people speak, he has for them the absoluteness denoted by the capital letter.**

In modern times there has been a widespread rejection of the notion of a spiritual God; but the Voice of the People has remained sacred. This is because people must worship something, so that if they have no God to worship, they worship themselves. Thus, today, a tenet of the popular creed is — (be deaf, Pope) — "The proper worship of mankind is man." They may call their God Humanism or Humanity or Human Equality or Multiracialism or Majority Rule or something of the kind, but whatever sacred name is given him, the God is Man.

What horrible animal noises this God often makes — the howl of the wolf pack, the hiss of venomous snakes, the jabbering of apes, the screeching of parrots, the squeal of pigs and the roar of carnivorous beasts. The scream of the victim as the cruel claws of the People fasten upon the prey is music in the ears of their God. But is there not something queer about People, the God, preying upon Man, the God? It is, in their religion, many preying upon God to the joy of God. The explanation of that is metaphysical; but the People explain it intuitively, though they do not formulate the peculiar paradox in a way comprehensible to themselves.

Their belief is that those of the People who do not speak with the voice of those who hear only their own voice are not speaking with the Voice of God and therefore are not people. The voice of the Majority is the Voice of God, so that the minority, speaking with a different voice, are not people. Members of the coloured races, being in the majority or, better still, being at present fashion's favourites, speak with the Voice of God, but dissident white people, not speaking with the voices of coloured people, are not speaking with the Voice of God and therefore are not people. The voice of the working classes or proletariat is the Voice of God, so that members of the other classes do not speak with the Voice of God and are not people. Leftists, of course, speak with the Voice of God, but rightists have not a divine Voice and are not people.

Thus, when the People who speak with the Voice of God torture and massacre those who do not speak with the Voice of the People, the executioners are not turning upon their own God; they are giving battle to anti-People infidels. Some confusion here. There are upper class coloured human beings who, on account of their social status, are not people yet are People on account of their colour; and there are whites who, on account of their whiteness are not people but who, in some lands or localities are in the majority and therefore speak with the Voice of God. That problem is solved by allowing that, whatever their colour or numbers, those who politically or socially identify themselves with backward peoples and

intellectually inferior majorities speak with the Voice of God. That boils down to a worship of human inferiority.

The effect of this idiotic creed on foreign and domestic and international policies has been infernal. The creed has spread over moral standards, cultural creation, advanced civilisation and international behaviour. This movement towards inferiority began as a reaction against faulty social codes and political wrongs and has ended in producing something that is often a great deal worse. A few examples are here given.

## AMERICAN NEGROES

The slavery that was once practised in the U.S.A. is a boon to present-day Negroes. Not one of them has ever been a slave, but they behave as though they, themselves, are enduring the miseries suffered by those long gone; and white people sob over them. No white citizens of the U.S.A. are slave-owners or slave-traders, but Negroes heap upon them the reproach that would be justly theirs if they were. Unjust though the Negroes insulting condemnation be, the American whites are forever trying to make amends for wrongs never inflicted by themselves and never suffered by any living American Negroes.

More than a hundred years ago the white Americans abolished slavery at terrible cost to themselves; but I have never come across in anything said or written by the Negroes one generous word of gratitude for the sacrifices made for them. For Negroes to do that would spoil the show that they are putting on. They are an ebullient people

## BIG SURGE IN BASIC FUND

As the result of some really inspiring contributions to the League of Rights' 1977-78 Basic Fund, there has been a major surge forward in the Fund since last month, with the total as we go to press of \$34,000. There are still those who have been "waiting to see what happens" before contributing. With only \$11,000 to go, what is required is a big all-together effort to ensure that the objective is reached before the end of the League's financial year.

All planned equipment has now been purchased, but adequate paper supplies must be assured. The League's full-time campaigners are now operating in "top gear" and the groundwork is being laid for launching at the appropriate time two of the biggest League programmes ever attempted.

All Northern N.S.W. and Queensland supporters are requested to send their contributions to Mr. Jeremy Lee, Kingstown, via Armidale, N.S.W. The balance to Box I052J, G.P.O. Melbourne.

and on that account good entertainers. They have the successful actor's gift of thoroughly enjoying their own performance. People love to be entertained, so that even white people have reveled in the theatrical agonies of modern Negroes. If it were only some melodrama it might be amusing, but when the play-acting is turned into real rioting, vandalism and uncontrollable crime all sugared over with unwarranted Negro self-pity and white self-abasement, it exerts an unrealistic, deleterious influence on social quality and political policies.

The whole business is more than a passing folly. It is part of a worldwide movement into the past. It is not a nostalgic looking back into a romanticised period, but a practical retreat towards social and political inferior conditions. It need not have been that way. There were, in America, many Negroes who, in their own characteristic mode of expression, had achieved greater heights of civilisation. These were ridiculed as "Uncle Toms" and soon relegated to limbo.

Had the Americans kept their sentimental unrealism at home the world would have been a better place. Their belief in their own political virtues has led them into using their power in an attempt to impose their domestic policies and practices on world affairs, regardless of whatever are the varying conditions and histories of the victims of their good intentions. If any country into whose affairs America has put her Negroid finger is no worse off than it was before, it is among the lucky ones.

### **THE ULSTER BEDLAM**

The Irish Republican Army has become the criminal body that it is though living enjoyably amid old wrongs that were sensibly and fairly redressed by the division of Ireland into a self-governing section and a northern section under British protection. However, to have the wrongs of old Ireland wrenched away from them would have left many of the Irish emotionally bereft. At home and the world over, a fraction of the Irish are determined that Ireland should always have her precious wrongs. The terrorists use adherence to something that they call a Cause to intensify the excitement of destruction, maiming and killing and to enhance that pleasant feeling of power, which is no different from that of marauding savages descending upon some helpless village.

The Plantation of Ulster happened more than three hundred years ago. The descendants of the English and Scots whom Cromwell settled there are by now as Irish as are those of the redheaded Danes who invaded Ireland earlier. To go on fighting a battle that was lost nearly three centuries ago is just about as sensible as would be the eliminating of all red-headed Irishmen. The IRA terrorism is nevertheless in keeping with the present mania of trying to unmake history and return to the conditions of a time that no longer exists.

### **ISRAEL**

The setting up of the State of Israel was in thought, a sentimental return to a past somewhere about two

thousand years old. It happened during the pro-semitic fever, which was a reaction against the brutal anti-Semitism of the Germans. It could have had consequences as appalling as has the mindless pro-Negro spree. Before the Second World War orthodox Jews were quite frank about their belief that God had chosen the Jewish people to rule eventually over the entire world. After the war when the Nazi Germans were being heaped with scorn and condemnation over their like belief that the Nordic people were destined similarly to be the Overmen of the human race, many Jews did their best to disclaim any super-race beliefs or ambitions. Yet, at the time, the advent of a world government by Jews was not altogether an impossibility. In all Western countries there were wealthy and influential Jews powerful enough to bend policies, and large Jewish groups that could support them in their bid for supremacy. Their weakness was that they had no unifying political centre. Israel might have provided that centre. It did not turn out to be that. For one thing, the attempt to resurrect the Biblical past led to the placing of the new Jewish State in awkward territory. More important, in the Western world the goal of political world supremacy was abandoned by the more powerful nations in favour of economic world supremacy.

Israel, today, is in much the same position as is Rhodesia, with enemies on all sides, more vulnerable to the charge of ruthless colonisation, guilty of non-communist policies and abandoned by many of her erstwhile supporters. She is more fortunate than is Rhodesia in still having powerful friends at court; but she need not look for much protection from international Jewish financiers should she prove to be an impediment to the setting up of an economo-communist World Government.

That is the present situation, which an attempted anti-revolutionary return to the past has created for Israelis. All the same, the Israeli State is now an established reality. To wipe it out would no more bring the Middle East back to what it was before modern Israel was created than that State's establishment was the Biblical entry of the Children of Israel into the land of Canaan. The justification given by Jews for their adventure is that they created a better State than existed before. That is an argument for organisational evolution. They might made themselves safer by trying to get the intellectuals of the world and their stupid political leaders to exchange their present anti-revolutionary philosophy for a pro-evolutionary one.

### **AFRICA**

In much of Africa the extreme racist egalitarianism preached by politically sanctimonious whites has resulted in a return to Negro savagery no less abominable for being racial and national instead of tribal. Before the coming of the white colonists the majority of African Negroes lived in primitive conditions and had primitive customs, some of them beastly. Anything better that they have today they owe to the colonists. Now they revile the colonists and demand that they hand over supreme political governing powers to black rulers. But they want to keep all the good

material things that the wicket colonists brought into the country and which the Negroes would never have created for themselves. The moral logic of that eludes me.

### AUSTRALIAN ABORIGINES

Nearly as woolly as the thinking of African Negroes and their white preceptors is that of white Australians who lavish yearning affection on Aborigines. For good measure, there is an element of the ridiculous in it. There is an attempt to keep the Aborigines in a cultural past that is some 30,000 years old while demanding for them a twentieth century standard of housing, education, health care, etc. Their pre-colonial customs, some of them good at their stage of social development and some of them nasty, are often represented as having acquired a lovely patina through having remained unchanged through the long centuries. The cultures of all advanced peoples are just as old as those of the Aborigines: but instead of remaining static or retarded they have been developed by succeeding generations to their present level.

It should not be beyond the mental capacity of politicians and sociologists to recognize that there cannot be economic and social integration without cultural assimilation. The practical choice is between eventual assimilation of Aborigines into the white society and separate development (apartheid). We cannot have it both ways. Yet our trendies may find another solution in the sinking by the white population to a primitive cultural level — primitive music, primitive dances, primitive painting and carving, primitive illiteracy, primitive violence and vandalism, primitive polygamy and wife-beating and primitive cargo cults. If the white avant-garde is not there yet, they are well on the way to the blessed cultural past where white people and Aborigines may happily meet as cultural equals in the dreamtime of 30,000 years ago.

The ancients invented the symbolic figure of a creature that was half man and half goat; but they thought of the goat-half as being mostly below, not above, the waist.

### A DIFFERENT ANGLE

If Universal Suffrage with Majority Rule were the whole of Democracy, then surely there must be better forms of government as yet undiscovered. People are not just numbers. Their quality is more important than is their number. The majority or the minority may be of either good or poor quality. Actually, government, whether political, economic or cultural, is always by a minority. The governing minority, drawn from or representing either a poor quality minority or a poor quality majority will be a poor quality government. Government of a majority of inferior quality by a good quality minority is likely to be beneficial for both the minority and the majority of the People; but government of a good quality minority by representatives of a majority of generally poor quality is an atrocity. Those who are not intelligent enough to work out why that is so are of the kind responsible for the horrors and abominations that have accompanied decolonisation in Africa and who are setting out to create a similar situation

for their children and grandchildren in Australia.

If the People are, on the whole, of superior quality, there is something, then, to be said for majority rule upon appropriate occasions. If they were culturally backward or at a low stage of mental and moral evolution, majority rule is likely to be a tragic farce. The making of majority rule a political absolute has taken the creators of public opinion to a cult of human inferiority. That is bad but is possible of correction. The cult of inferiority has, in turn, led to efforts by certain people unnaturally to reverse the course of political and cultural evolution and to bring fairly well civilised peoples back to acceptance of primitive values and thus, inevitable, to a primitive social state. That is evil in intention and impossible of accomplishment.

In the natural course, the physical body of a human being is in the elementary form of a life-cell. Similarly, an organised society begins as a simple tribe or clan. The human life-cell develops into a mature human body. The simple organisation develops into a complex, civilised nation. After reaching the peak of development a human body and an organised civilisation alike begin to degenerate until the forms are no longer usable and the spirit abandons them, perhaps for some new embodiment. In brief, the natural process is from immaturity to maturity and then to degeneration. The deterioration may set in before maturity is reached, but what cannot happen is a reversal of development taking the form from some advanced stage of development back to immaturity. When, through age or disease or ill usage a man's body becomes as weak as a baby's, his is not a baby's body. When, through old age or disease or injury, a man's brain becomes as feeble as that of an infant, his is not an infant's brain; it is that of an imbecile. A reduction of a complexly organised and civilised society to the simple, ruler-mass form is not a return to an early stage of development; it is deterioration. My mother sometimes sang a song that began, "Backward, turn backward swift years in thy flight, make me a child again just for tonight." However, unlike some moderns, she was not fool enough to believe that it could be done.

The general social and political degeneration taking place in the world today has reached a stage where dissolution may be close at hand. If the disease is still curable, it can only be by ceasing to make backward majorities supreme over highly civilised minorities and by cultivating an ability to discover when majority rule is wise and useful and when it is idiotic and disastrous. Also, there must be discrimination between minorities that are criminal and minorities that are in the vanguard of human evolution. Had such a revolution been undertaken twenty, or even ten years ago, it would not have been such a well-nigh impossible accomplishment as romantic folly has since made it. Who are they who took care that such a natural reaction did not occur? Who are the guilty men?

## The International Conspiracy

In the Review *Zimunism* (T.S.C. May/June 1977) we referred to the 1946 Report of the Canadian Royal Commission. In view of the barrage of 'revelations' concerning the CIA, ASIO, FBI and even the KGB (all designed, no doubt, to equating the USSR with the U.S.A. as a major step towards One World Government), the Summary of Findings of the Commission may be of interest to our readers.

### SECTION XII SUMMARY

#### OF FINDINGS

I. We report that the following public officials and other persons in positions of trust or otherwise have communicated, directly or indirectly, secret and confidential information, the disclosure of which might be inimical to the safety and interests of Canada, to the agents of a foreign power: -

1. Eric Adams
2. J. Scotland Benning
3. Raymond Boyer
4. H.S. Gerson
5. Israel Halperin
6. David Gordon Lunan
7. Allan Nunn May
8. Edward W. Mazerall
9. Matt. S. Nightingale
10. F.W. Poland
11. David Shugar
12. Durnford P. Smith
13. Kathleen Mary Willsher
14. Emma Woikin

II. We report that we have been unable to identify the following persons named under "cover-names" in the documents and there definitely stated, to have been members of Zabotin's ring:-

"Gaiya"  
"Gini"  
"Golia"  
"Green"  
"Surense"

III. We also report the following facts relating to and the circumstances surrounding such communication:-

1. There exists in Canada a Fifth Column organized and directed by Russian agents in Canada and in Russia.
2. Within the Fifth Column there are several spy rings.
3. We have been able to identify many of the members of one of these rings, namely, that of which Colonel Zabotin was the head in Canada.
4. Membership in Communist organizations or sympathy towards Communist ideologies was the primary force, which caused these agents to agree to do the acts referred to in their individual cases.
5. The persons named in paragraph I were members of Colonel Zabotin's organization.
6. Without documents such as Gouzenko placed before us we cannot identify any non-Russian members of the other rings.
7. There was an organization whose duty it was to procure false Canadian passports and other citizenship documents for the use of agents engaged in Fifth Column activities, in Canada or elsewhere.

8. Zabotin and his assistants were helping to supervise and finance the work of an organization of agents operating in certain European countries. At least one person temporarily in Canada as an employee of the International Labour Office was a member of this organization, namely, Germina (Hermina) Rabinovitch.
9. Members of the staff of the Russian Embassy at Ottawa who were actively engaged in inadmissible espionage activities are named in Section II. 7.

IV. The following persons who may not come within the category of "public officials and other persons in positions of trust or otherwise" were members of Zabotin's organization and took an active part in recruiting agents, acting as contacts and securing and transmitting such secret and confidential information:-

Sam Carr  
Fred Rose

V. Many of the persons named in paragraph I hereof were also actively engaged in the organization of "cells" from which agents were recruited, and in addition the following persons were organizers of such cells, or media of communication between espionage agents, or both:-

Agatha Chapman  
Freda Linton  
S.S. Burman Henry  
Harris

VI. The following were active in procuring a false Canadian passport for a Russian agent who was operating in the United States: -

Sam Carr  
Henry Harris  
John Soboleff, M.D.  
W.M. Pappin

VII. The following persons named in the documents did not so far as the evidence discloses take any active part in the subversive activities but would have done so if required: -

Norman Veall  
Fred Chubb  
Jack Isidor Gottheil

VIII. The names of certain other persons are mentioned in the documents merely because Moscow desired the names of all members of certain government staffs. Outside of those specifically named elsewhere in this Report, there is no necessity for these names to be mentioned.

IX. The names of certain other persons were mentioned in such a context that it was considered advisable to examine them and to investigate their activities. In each case we were satisfied that their conduct has been entirely proper and that while the Russians designed to draw some of them *into the net* in future, having in anticipation of doing so actually given them cover-names, such hopes were in our opinion completely without foundation and the objects of those hopes were unaware that they were being considered. Among these we refer to Col. Jenkins by name, because he has been mentioned by name in the public press.

X. The names of a number of persons, in Government service and otherwise, who were members of secret Communist cells have been disclosed by this Inquiry. These names appear in the volume of evidence. As there is no evidence that these persons were implicated in, or aware of, the espionage networks, we do not consider it necessary to mention these names in this Report.