

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by post as a newspaper.

\$7.00 per annum post-free.

Box 1052J G.P.O., Melbourne

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 43. No. 5

MAY 1978

THE GRAND DESIGN UNFOLDS BEHIND THE TRILATERAL BANNER

By Eric D. Butler

Mr. Eric Butler wrote the following article during a lecturing tour of Canada and just prior to attending the 1978 World Anti-Communist League Conference in Washington, D.C., U.S.A.:

C. H. Douglas observed that real history is not a series of disconnected episodes, but "crystallised politics". And behind politics are philosophies. A study of the history mentioned by Douglas reveals a clear pattern of political activity promoted by power maniacs who offer a distressed and confused mankind plans for salvation from conditions, which their own policies have directly or indirectly produced. This type of history is avoided in schools and universities, where students are brainwashed with the story, for example, that Communism is a movement for the uplift of the downtrodden, that it came to power in Russia because Lenin and Trotsky led an uprising of Russian people in revolt against the Czar. Lenin and Trotsky had not, of course, lived in Russia for years when the Czar resigned during the First World War. The fairy stories termed history do not reveal that the Bolsheviks were financed into Russia by closely linked international banking organisations operating in all the countries engaged in the First World War.

According to the episodic view of history, sometimes called the village idiot theory, the German Kaiser was responsible for the First World War, while the Second World War would have never taken place if the man originally known as Schickelgruber, but later as Hitler, had not been born. Hitler and his National Socialist movement was the product of the Great Economic Depression resulting from the deliberate policy of the International Financiers who, as documented by Dr. Antony Sutton in his book, *Wall Street and The Rise of Hitler*, then financed Hitler to power. There are some sincere people who allege that Sutton and others must be wrong because Hitler strongly denounced the international financiers. Large numbers of people, not only in the U.S.A., but in other countries, also accepted at face value what Franklin Delano Roosevelt said about bankers before he was elected in 1932. Having exploited the desperate Great Depression conditions to become President, Roosevelt then proceeded to implement the long-term strategy of the Wall Street International Financiers, including the recognition of the Soviet Union.

South American critics of Sutton's book on Hitler do have a point when they observe that not only Wall Street,

but the Bank of England was also a party to helping to finance Hitler's Germany. But, as demonstrated in my Second World War book, *The Enemy Within The Empire*, as a result of the First World War the Bank of England become little more than a branch office for Wall Street, Montagu Norman being Wall Street's appointee. Montagu Norman was responsible for the treacherous policy of making it financially impossible for the British to arm themselves at a time when there was massive unemployment and a production system operating at only a fraction of its capacity. Montagu Norman's close friend, Schacht, appointed by Hitler as his Minister for Finance on the advice of the Warburgs and other representatives of International Finance, pursued a different policy in Germany.

WAR AND THE "NEW WORLD ORDER"

Whether or not Hitler subsequently attempted to revolt against his role as a tool of those who promoted him is irrelevant. The forces responsible for Hitler's coming to power — and it should be noted that Stalin instructed the German Communists to permit the Hitler victory — assisted by Hitler's orthodox finance-economic policies geared to "full employment", had made the Second World War certain. No sooner had the conflict got under way than a spate of statements announced that a "New World Order" was the objective of the war. During the First World War, the International Financiers and their numerous dupes promoted the first open plan for World Government — the League of Nations. The International Financiers' man, President Wilson, advised by the Dr. Kissinger or Brzezinski of the day, the shadowy "Colonel" House, supported the League of Nations at the Versailles Peace Conference and elsewhere. But the World Government advocates received a major setback with the American rejection of the League of Nations. It took the Great Depression and another World War for the will-to-power maniacs to make the next major attempt at creating World Government.

Early in the Second World War there suddenly emerged what was known as the Federal Union movement. There were many useful innocents, but the promoters were the same individuals and their associates who had promoted

the League of Nations. The concept was that the threat of Hitler should be used to stampede all the English-speaking people, including the Americans, into a Union, which could be expanded into World Government. No sooner had the Soviet Union brought into the Second World War than the groundwork was being prepared for the establishment of The United Nations and its associated organisations. Even before the military conflict had ended, the framework of the structure was in place. The Bretton Woods Agreement of 1944 saw the emergence of the World Bank and The International Monetary Fund. The General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs (GATT) was directed at breaking up the old pattern of preferential trading between British nations and to help establish the New World Order through complete Free Trade. A major barrier to the grand design of a world government, the British nations, linked together by a common heritage and completely self-sufficient economically, was shattered by forcing the United Kingdom into the European Economic Community. The massive funds required to confuse the British people were provided by the Warburgs and other representatives of international Finance. Propagandists for the British surrender frankly stated that the United Kingdom had to join the E.E.C. as the first step towards being merged into World Government. A classic example of the hypnotic influence of propaganda was the claim that the British had to join the E.E.C. to strengthen Western European resistance to Communist expansion. This propaganda campaign was conducted at a time when Western European countries, including the United Kingdom, were being used to finance increasing economic blood transfusions into the Soviet Union!

THE BASIC STRATEGY

The central strategy, as distinct from tactics, of the "New World Order" power maniacs can be readily grasped: Promote and support policies which prevent the individual everywhere from minding his own business and enjoying stability, but which produce increasing friction and crises, and then offer "salvation" through central planning. A defective education system has produced enough immature and intellectually arrogant individuals to enthusiastically agree that central planning is the one hope for the Brave New World. But it must be really Big Planning, the Bigger the Better. To achieve their ultimate aim, the International Plotters require sufficient planners who can be depended upon to support all schemes for centralising power — particularly if, as is the case everywhere, they can be grossly overpaid for their activities. The most effective and subtle form of bribery is to control all avenues for advancement that politicians, planners and petty officials all soon learn to avoid those areas, which made advancement impossible. Through their monopoly of credit control the International Financiers are able to confine themselves to strategy without having to worry too much about tactics.

Once the above strategy is grasped, it can easily be perceived why the Soviet Union has been built up and its expansionary programme not halted. It explains why the Japanese and other industrialised nations, now faced with a mounting trade war, are readily accepting the necessity to

develop Communist China. The simple-minded supporter of "pure" Communism in China, as opposed to the "revisionists" of the Soviet Union, can be left to shout against the "wicked imperialist capitalists", and to march up and down, while those who wield real power in the world, the International Credit Monopolists, can get on with advancing their Grand Design. The latest contribution towards this advancement is the Trilateral Commission, allegedly coming into existence because of the growing trade crisis between three major trading blocs: The European Economic Community, Japan, and the United States. The Trilateral Commission is of the same philosophic parent as every other movement designed to advance World Government. It is vital that the peoples of what is left of the Free World grasp that the Carter Administration in the U.S.A. is the active tool of the Trilateral Commission's promoters, who now make no secret of their intention to merge the Communist and non-Communist worlds into One World. With this understanding it is easy to see why, what to many is incredible, President Carter is pursuing even more blatantly pro-Communist policies than his predecessors.

THE JIMMY CARTER STORY

The very promotion of Jimmy Carter to the American Presidency was a classic of its kind by those with a long history of how to defraud people by presenting them with a "Saviour". As is now known, as far back as 1972, if not before, prominent members of the Trilateral Commission, at that time unknown but to a small handful of people, decided that the relatively unknown Jimmy Carter from Georgia would make the ideal President for the next phase of the Grand Design. Prominent in the establishment of the Trilateral Commission was Z. Brzezinski, who wrote in his book, *Between Two Ages -- America's Role in the Technetronic Era* (1970) stated that "A community of the developed nations must eventually be formed if the world is to respond effectively to the increasingly serious crisis that in different ways now threatens both the advanced and the Third World". Brzezinski makes no reference to the basic cause of the deepening crisis. But the crisis must be used to centralise still further power on a global scale, with a progressive merging with the Communist nations.

Brzezinski was the organiser of the first meeting of the Trilateral Commission and is credited with suggesting that Jimmy Carter be selected as the next American President. Mr. David Rockefeller, Chairman of the Chase Manhattan Bank, and one of the driving forces for years behind the Grand Design, agreed with the proposal. Needless to say, Jimmy Carter agreed. But all this was kept from the American people. Carter presented himself as the simple guy from Plains, Georgia, the peanut farmer. He was tackling the Establishment Goliath like a David. And for good measure, he was a "born-again Christian", which appealed to large numbers of Americans looking for some moral "Saviour" following the Watergate affair. It was all splendid theatre, and with Andrew Young working to deliver the Negro vote, Carter just won by persuading enough of the American people that he was attacking the very groups who were in fact financing and backing him.

Upon election Mr. Carter naturally appointed Brzezinski, the man who had selected him, as the most powerful man in his Cabinet, to the position of adviser on National Security, Mr. Andrew Young, who sees little to fear from Communism, and who says that the Soviet's client troops in Africa are a "stabilising influence", is Mr. Carter's Ambassador at the United Nations. Andrew Young is a member of the Trilateral Commission. An examination of the published list of members of the Trilateral Commission reveals the same international financiers prominent in the Bilderberger group, which for years has been meeting secretly in different parts of the world to discuss the creation of the New World Order. The exposure of prominent Bilderberger Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands as a man who engaged in criminal activities behind the facade of conservation causes, was a blow to the image of the Bilderbergers. But the Plotters are now preparing for an attempted new advance under the Trilateral Banner. They are discussing the necessity for a "renovated world monetary system", an "international strategy" on energy, and international control of grain. Dr. Henry Kissinger discussed these matters when he was American Secretary of State and, quite naturally, he is now also a member of the Trilateral Commission. There is an on-going programme for centralising power.

EFFECTIVE EXPOSURE ESSENTIAL

A person is described as insane when he is divorced from reality. This means that the international power men are insane. They are attempting to use their financial power to plan for the future of peoples and nations. Their efforts can only produce greater friction and growing opposition to centralised power. As the power lusts move closer towards their ultimate objective, their strategy becomes easier for more people to see and understand. In one sense there is no longer a conspiracy; the power maniacs are now almost brazenly proclaiming their intentions. This makes them more vulnerable to counter-offensives against increasing centralisation. As the Trilateralists correctly point out, there is a rapid deepening of the world crisis. They see this as the opportunity to stampede people into surrendering more control over their own affairs. But the crisis also provides the opportunity for those who grasp the realities, to encourage every move towards decentralisation.

The darkest hour is before the dawn. It could prove that the very crisis, which the Trilateralists believe will carry the Grand Design in a major move forward, will in fact see a series of major revolts. The most complete exposure of the power maniacs and their plans is at present an urgent necessity as a prelude to constructive action.

THE CONFUSION OF THE ECONOMIC "EXPERTS"

The distinguished Australian essayist and Professor of English, Walter Murdoch, once described economic experts as a group of people who make their living explaining one another's mistakes. Events since the end of the First World War have demonstrated the correctness of Professor Murdoch's observation. The alleged expertise of the economists has been progressively shattered by harsh realities.

Occasionally a few of the economists do admit that events have confounded their theories, but then proceed to make suggestions which indicate that they are as far removed from reality as ever. Two well-known American economists, George Katona and Bukhard Strumpel of the University of Michigan, U.S.A., have recently published a work, *A New Economic Era*, causing commentators in the U.S.A. to launch into yet another wave of speculation concerning the progressive deterioration of the finance-economic systems of the world.

The authors of *A New Economic Era*, men with "international reputations", concede that they and their fellow-economists do not understand what is happening. The result is that the world has entered a "period of confusion and rapid fluctuations", attended by "optimism or even elation that is shortly replaced by pessimism or even dejection".

Rather plaintively these two "experts" observe that "There must be something wrong with the law of supply and demand when prices keep going up both in good times and bad times, both when demand is high and when it is low". We are told "we are in urgent need of post-Keynesian paradigm in order to understand the contemporary economy". John Maynard Keynes is described

as the man who allegedly identified "failure of demand" as a cause of the Great Depression. Keynes was, in fact, the great perverter of the basic truth first revealed by C. H. Douglas who, with the precision one would expect from a trained engineer, demonstrated before the end of the First World War that the modern finance-economic system cannot over any given period of time distribute sufficient purchasing power to individuals to meet the total prices of the production for that period. As Douglas warned, the only way in which the system could continue to be operated was by the progressive expansion of new financial credit by the banking system, the new credit to be used either for further capital production or to facilitate exports in excess of imports, this latter policy known as "the favourable balance of trade". One of the results of this policy was mathematically certain: progressive inflation.

HOW THE CREDIT MONOPOLY OPERATES

The Great Depression, also predicted by Douglas, was set in motion with the action of the Federal Reserve Bank in the U.S.A. drastically reducing the rate of credit expansion coupled with the calling up of overdrafts. The overall result of reducing, for a period, prices was mass unemployment and business bankruptcies. As credit was expanded before and during the Second World War, the price level

started to rise again. During the post-Second World War years the inflation rate has generally moved upwards, as it must under present finance-economic policies. One does not need to be an economic genius to grasp that, if the economic system can only be operated by creating more debt-finance, subjected to interest charges, for still more capital expansion, then total prices must be sufficient to recover at least total financial costs.

Increased wages, demanded by wage-earners in an attempt to keep pace with rising prices, merely result in the further expansion of debt-finance which employers must attempt to recover through still further price increases.

Unlike Douglas, who enunciated the fundamental principle that the true purpose of production was consumption, that the finance-economic system existed to serve the individual, and that the monopoly of credit should be broken by issues of credit direct to individuals as a right, Keynes said that if insufficient private capital expansion was taking place to prevent a depression, then the Government should intervene with the injection of new credits — as an interest-bearing debt - - through deficit budgets. The Keynesian programme is inevitably inflationary. But a world which still vividly recalled the Great Depression was prepared to be blackmailed with the threat: "The only alternative to depressions is a deficit budget policy." It was admitted that this meant inflation, but it was argued that this could be "controlled" under a "managed economy". Irrespective of their labels, Governments now justify holding office by claiming that they can "manage" the economy better than their opponents. The Australian Fraser Government, which claims to be anti-Socialist, makes the same claim, but results continue to confirm the truth that no matter how expertly present finance policies may be managed, the inevitable result is inflation, unemployment and social disintegration.

During the immediate post-Second World War years unemployment was at a relatively low level while the destruction of the war years was overcome. Germany and Japan had to be rebuilt. The Korean and Vietnam wars enabled enormous quantities of production to be destroyed. Vast quantities of production have been poured into the Soviet Union and its satellites. While expansionary policies enabled unemployment to be kept low, the relatively low inflation rate, compared with today, appeared to be tolerable. However, the end result was inevitable. As the burden of debt expanded to finance increased expansion, the inflation rate also increased. At the same time the technological revolution escalated, with a torrent of increased production. This revolution, accompanied by restrictive financial policies to reduce inflation, resulted in unemployment reaching the highest levels since the end of the Second World War. Events have confounded the "experts". They could not predict the recession, which started in the U.S.A. in 1973. Like their predecessors of the Great Depression years, they have periodically suggested that there was "light at the end of the tunnel", or that another corner was about to be turned. But, as admitted by Katona and Strumpel in their *New Economic Order*, the outlook

ARE WE READY FOR THE NEXT CRISIS?

As made clear by Mr. Eric Butler in his front-page article, those power maniacs striving to create the World State are preparing for a major advance in their strategy by exploiting a crisis which is now deepening daily. We have consistently warned of the inevitability of greater convulsions under prevailing finance-economic policies. We feel that the only hope of salvaging sufficient from the progressive break-up of Civilisation is for movements like The League of Rights to be adequately equipped to offer the type of advice and guidance which the majority only seek when the situation is desperate enough.

Over the last ten years the directors of the League have worked through an in-depth programme designed to ensure that the League was adequately equipped for what was ahead. Step by step that programme, including the establishment of adequate printing facilities, has been built up, with the League's annual Basic Fund being filled. Now some final steps are urgently necessary, but will not be taken unless the current Basic Fund is filled. Failure to fill the Fund would not halt the League going forward. But it would endanger the League's capacity to meet the major crisis now looming ahead. Mr. Eric Butler has recently stated that if the League had been better equipped in the early seventies, when the rural crisis first broke, and when subversive influences inside the Country Party were desperately smearing the League to head off a possible breakthrough for the League's policies, the end result could have been different. But the League lacked sufficient resources at the critical moment. This must not happen again.

Not a large amount is required to fill the 1977-78 Fund. The end of the League's financial year is fast approaching. We ask all supporters who have not yet contributed to have a hard look at their own financial situation in terms of whether they can rearrange priorities to give now what they might have given in the future. The future will be determined by what is done at present.

We do not apologise for putting the situation frankly. We are making every effort to discharge our responsibility at this momentous time in history. Part of our responsibility is to challenge our readers. Get your contribution away to the League immediately. Approximately \$6,000 is still required.

now is extremely gloomy.

"FULL EMPLOYMENT" OF SELF-EMPLOYMENT?

Clearly Katona and Strumpel are typical of the economists who, like the Bourbons, appear to have learned nothing and forgotten nothing. The best they can do is call for "a new economic insight". That type of insight was provided sixty years ago when C. H. Douglas analysed the basic flaw in the modern industrial system, one in which human energy has been progressively replaced by solar energy activating semi-automatic and automatic machinery. This development makes nonsense of the policy of "full employment".

If "full employment" is to be the overriding policy of a society, then obviously the only alternative to breaking up all the labour saving machinery is for Governments

to make work, even if only the equivalent of digging holes and filling them up again. The logical end of this is the Soviet-type totalitarian State. There is no unemployment in the Soviet. The slave labour camps, so graphically described by Solzhenitsyn, keep millions fully employed.

The real question about employment is not "full employment" versus "unemployment", but whether the individual is to engage voluntarily in activities, which he can see will be of benefit, material and psychological, to him, or whether he is to be forced to participate in unnecessary employment under central direction. The future of Civilisation depends upon how this question is answered. There is not the slightest doubt that if, as a first step towards reversing present disastrous developments, new credits were made available to enable all who so desired to retire from industry at 55 years of age with an adequate retirement allowance, the great majority would take up activities then described as hobbies. But they would all be "fully employed", even if catching fish or growing vegetables in their

back gardens. The first result would be to enable the young, now forming the biggest percentage of the unemployed in industrial nations, to enter industry instead of joining the ranks of the revolutionaries or the drug dropouts.

The realistic approach to finance-economics indicated by Douglas is brushed aside by the economic "experts" as "too simplistic". What they are really saying is that if the necessary adjustments are made to enable the individual to gain access to the heritage of potential freedom and security available to him, what is to become of those, as Professor Murdoch said, use their own jargonese to make a living explaining one another's mistakes? Instead of writing more books to explain how difficult it all is, they could be freed to take up gardening! They will find that the principles for successful gardening much easier to understand than their unrealistic and confusing economic theories.

TO THE POINT

We notice a Queensland journal quoting Mahatma Gandhi's statement that "Bread obtained without labour is stolen bread". The statement reflects the Marxist view that labour produces all wealth. Gandhi himself was one of the greatest humbugs of this century, presenting himself as an apostle of non-violence while his actions were producing mass violence. Incidentally he was financed by Indian monopolists in the textile industry who no doubt considered it a good joke to see Gandhi being presented as an advocate of the home spinning wheel. Gandhi's statement about "stolen bread" is, apart from being absurd, anti-Christian. The major element in production today is not labour, but inheritance in the form of the industrial arts. These are God's gifts. Christ taught his disciples to say, "Give us this day our daily bread".

Under tremendous pressure from the U.S.A., and the European Economic Community, the Japanese Government is claiming that it is making every effort to reduce its large trade surplus. Special imports are being financed with some of the surplus. According to orthodox finance-economic wisdom, a nation's prosperity depends upon a "favourable balance of trade". In simple English, this means that a nation allegedly becomes wealthier if it sends more production out of the country than it imports. During a Melbourne meeting during the Great Depression, Australia's most prominent economic "expert", Professor Copland, enunciated the "favourable balance of trade" dogma, but was temporarily nonplussed when asked would not Australia reach the millenium if it exported 100 percent of its production and imported nothing. When he recovered his composure, the Professor said that the answer was "a lemon".

The "experts" have learned little or nothing since the Great Depression. Instead of the Japanese being congratulated for having achieved an enormous trade surplus, they are being warned that they could trigger a major international trade war if they don't mend their ways. But like all highly industrialised nations, Japan is desperately seeking to make its internal economy work by sending surplus production to other nations. Not surprisingly, the Japanese have eagerly accepted the concept of using Communist China as a vast new market for their surplus production, even extending the Communists the necessary credits to obtain their production. The Japanese could, of

course, extend the same credits to themselves, either consuming all their own production, or alternatively, enjoying greater freedom with less work. Unfortunately the Japanese are mesmerised by the same madness convulsing the Western nations. And, of course, they have been included in the plans of Mr. David Rockefeller and the Trilateral Commission. Countries like Australia and Canada, striving to solve their internal finance-economic problems by sending more of their natural resources to Japan, should now be carefully considering the direction in which Japan's policies are leading.

* * * *

The recent signing of a five-year trade agreement between Communist China and the European Economic Community is of considerable significance in relationship to the drive to create the World State. One of the fairy stories used to persuade the British people that they should divorce themselves from their kith and kin around the Crown Commonwealth, and pin their hopes for the future on the E.E.C., was that this was the best way to resist Communist expansion. Having progressively been used to finance economic blood transfusions to the same Soviet Union they are allegedly resisting, the E.E.C. countries are now going to be provided a greater flow of economic blood transfusions for Communist China. In signing the trade agreement, China's Foreign Trade Minister said, "We support Western Europe in its union for strength and its struggle against hegemony. We want to see a united and powerful Europe." The British might well ask how do

they more effectively resist Communism by accepting the Communist concept of unity in preference to the traditional British concept: that true unity is only possible with diversity and decentralised power.

* * * *

Before the last Canadian Federal elections Prime Minister Trudeau promised that he was going to "wrestle" inflation — right down to the ground! If Mr. Trudeau was successful — no Canadians noticed this! — inflation must have got up again, because it is now increasing. This is happening in spite of the highest Canadian unemployment since the end of the Second World War. At the same time, President Carter of the United States, who, like Prime Minister Fraser of Australia, prefers "fighting" inflation to "wrestling", is now also concerned about increasing inflation. Food price rises in the first months of the year indicated that on an annual basis they were rising at 12 percent. Steel companies have also substantially increased prices, a reaction to the high prices made inevitable by the end result of the disastrous coal strike when coalminers won a big wage increase. With every industrialised nation now suffering from continuing high inflation in spite of restrictive financial policies which have added to the number of unemployed, it should be obvious to all still possessed of any commonsense, that all are pursuing the same type of finance-economic policies which make inflation inevitable. But, like the alcoholic who will do everything to overcome his complaint except give up drinking, they refuse even to consider changing their financial policies. However, coming events in the immediate future are going to force either changes or even greater disasters than those already suffered. William Aberhart, the Albertan Premier who led his Province's fight against the Money Power before the Second World War, is credited with the statement, "If you have not suffered enough, it is your God-given right to suffer some more". We are unable to improve on that observation.

The basic cause of the Middle East crisis continues to be obscured by the sickening hypocrisy of Zionist Prime Minister Begin, and the double standards adopted by many Zionist spokesmen. No civilised person would attempt to defend the Arab terrorist attack in Israel, which preceded the massive Israel invasion of Southern Lebanon. In view of the fact that some Zionist spokesmen have always advocated that Southern Lebanon should be incorporated into the Zionist State of Israel, and the rapidity with which the comprehensive Israeli invasion of Lebanon took place, it is legitimate to ask why did the Arab terrorists provide the Zionists with an excuse to act as they did. It is also proper to ask why did the PLO terrorist attack receive maximum front-page publicity around the world while in 1975 there was comparatively little publicity given to the Israeli air strike against a Palestinian refuge camp in Lebanon, which killed 120 people and injured many more. Needless to say, the murderous record of Zionist Prime Minister Begin is rarely mentioned. Begin gloried in the destruction of the King David Hotel where 91, including British women and children, died. Begin's masterstroke was the Deir Yassin butchery where 240 Palestinians, old men, women and children were killed and the bodies thrown down a well. Begin is on record as saying, "But for Deir Yassin there would have been no state of Israel".

Reliable reports indicate that the Zionist attack in Southern Lebanon resulted in 1,000 civilian deaths, while 200,000 Lebanese and 65,000 Palestinians have been rendered homeless. What would happen if the British responded to IRA terrorist attacks by laying waste to the counties of Northern Ireland being used by the IRA as neutral territory from which to operate? The truth is that the Political Zionists have been to date exempt from the restraints accepted by others. The basic cause of the Middle East crisis will not be removed until justice is given to the Palestinians who lost their country when Israel was created by Begin and his fellow terrorists.

HORSE AND BUGGY ECONOMICS WON'T WORK TODAY

By Les Hollins

Mr. Les Hollins, an automotive engineer by profession, prominent in the Social Credit Movement in Victoria, Australia, later elected as an Independent Member in the Victorian Legislative Assembly, and for a short period a Minister for Education and Labour, has in spite of his advancing years maintained a keen interest in financial and economic realities. Under the above title Mr. Hollins has recently issued the following brochure. While we would query several points made, we republish the brochure as evidence that age does not prevent one from making vital contributions to the basic problem facing mankind.

We fully endorse an article from London published in *The Age*, Melbourne on 24/3/77. One paragraph from this challenging declaration reads: "It is no exaggeration to say that the world's present drift towards an economic disaster of the most devastating kind will be halted only if there is a wholesale restructuring of its economic system in the years immediately ahead. Beyond that, it will almost certainly be too late."

As an ex-MP and Cabinet Minister with three published works on the subject of economics (170,000 words) we say without fear of contradiction that orthodox economists

have put forward all manner of theories without solving the problem. For example, one school advocates a balanced budget. Another keeps the economy buoyant by loan credits. Clearly, the first leads to stagnation while the second leads to inflation, as at present.

It must be obvious to all that if industry could employ all available labour, and pay out enough money to buy its products at retail prices, there would be no unemployment. Because of the many charges that must go into price, this is an impossibility. To make matters worse, investment of savings is encouraged. In this way a second lot of goods is

produced with the same lot of money. This income could have bought the first lot of goods, but it cannot buy both. In spite of these indisputable facts, industry has managed to carry on with varying degrees of success. The question arises: where does the money come from (apart from wages and salaries) to meet the charges that must go into price? The fact is, that bank loans make it possible for all goods to be sold at a profit through the various stages of production (primary, secondary, etc.). However, the final customer is not in that fortunate position. He must rely on his own income.

This problem has been overcome through the years, by building roads, railways, bridges, high-rise buildings, munitions of war, etc., etc. As these, generally speaking, are not for sale, the wages paid out supplemented the consumer's purchasing power, and the retailer recovered his costs and made a profit.

Now rates and taxes, due to vast increases in the nation's debt burden are becoming intolerable. Year Books do not show total debt figures today. Why? However, they were shown up to 1945. In 1901 our Commonwealth debt was 203½ million pounds. By 1945 this debt had increased to nearly 2630 million pounds, and our inability to pay all the compounded interest charges was increasing the debt by one and a half million pounds each week. And this without taking into account compounded charges on the old debt, and, on new debts incurred in the last 30 odd years, plus charges.

This compounding of interest on debt may seem of little consequence unless we know the facts. In 1933, an American mathematician of note, gave the following example: He said, "If an investor had \$100,000 to invest, it would be equivalent in value to a ball of gold, nine inches in diameter. If this was invested for 600 years at 5 percent, the ball of gold would need to increase in volume and be equal to the size of the earth and four times as heavy to fulfill the contract."

Because we have failed to understand the implications of a machine age, and that our out-dated economic system cannot cope with conditions today, we face a situation of extreme gravity. As early as 1933 we wrote: "Human energy is no longer a material factor in production, because man with his machine has harnessed the inexhaustible power of the sun . . . This is truly a machine age. Who is master, man or his machine?"

A summary of information supplied by the S.E.C. of Victoria (Engineering Department, 1977), not only endorses the foregoing, but it supplied the following facts: "The total power output from Eildon, Hazelwood and Yallourn is 4,188,306 H.P." As a H.P. (Horse Power) is equal to that of ten strong men, this power (solar energy stored in fossil fuels) is, on a 24-hour basis, equal to that of 123 million men, i.e., nine tireless slaves for every man, woman and child in Australia. And this leaves out of account vast sources of power available in the other States.

Prior to the Industrial Revolution of the 18th Century, the only aids to production were a few crude water wheels and windmills. In spite of this, history records that life in

"WALL STREET AND THE RISE OF HITLER"

By Dr. Antony Sutton

Reviewed in the March 1977, issue of "The New Times", this explosive work was originally only available in hardback and sold for \$10. Described as the book which makes all previous books on the Second World War "obsolete", it documents how the Wall Street international financiers supported Hitler's rise to power, and helped to build the Nazi war machine and sustain it, even during the conflict. Shatters many of the myths of both "Left" and "Right".

Through a Crown Commonwealth publishing venture, "Wall Street And The Rise of Hitler" has now been produced as a paperback.

As The League of Rights has exclusive distribution rights, and will be offering the book to the general book trade, readers are invited to order in advance, making certain they receive an early copy. Australian supplies are expected to arrive within eight weeks. Those making advance orders will receive their books immediately they arrive. Price \$3.60 posted. From all League addresses.

those days was leisurely with many high days and holidays. Many notable authorities could be cited in support of this claim. For the sake of brevity we quote but three.

Professor Thorold Rogers wrote: "At that time (the middle ages) a labourer could provide all the necessities for his family for a year by working 14 weeks." Lord Leverhulme a prominent figure in the "Industrial Partnership Movement" of the 18th Century, wrote: "The men of the 15th Century were very well paid," while Sombart in his study of agricultural conditions in Central Europe in the 14th Century "found hundreds of communities which averaged from 160 to 180 holidays a year".

Compare these conditions with the findings of Mr. and Mrs. Lynd, who, studying Middletown, in the United States, 1925, "found a working-class population which, between hard physical labour and worrying about unemployment, were pressed reasonably close to the limit of which the human organism can stand."

And again, in 1844 the editor of *Potters Examiner* (England) wrote: "Machinery has done the work. Machinery has left them in rags without any wages at all. Machinery has crowded them into cellars, has forced them from their country to seek in other lands the bread denied them here."

In 1933 we wrote: "For a hundred years the whole issue has been clouded with tremendous industrial development, but we have come to the point at last where we must answer the question, and that quickly: Do machines save labour or merely enable workmen to do more work?" The real answer here is, they save labourers!

Although the Industrial Revolution of the 18th Century

dramatically changed our industrial system, we have not changed a financial system which seemed to work reasonably well in the days of the horse and buggy. That some changes are urgent and necessary is obvious to all. But what changes can be made? One of the hurdles that John Citizen must overcome is the false idea that Banks can only lend the money deposited with them. This, of course, is a myth!

A banker of note, the late Rt. Hon. Reginald McKenna, Chairman of the Midland Bank, England, wrote: "I am afraid the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks . . . can create and destroy money." Again, William Patterson, the buccaneer who founded the Bank of England in 1674 declared: "The bank hath benefit of interest on all money it creates out of nothing." This is but a tiny fraction of the evidence available to prove our point.

As orthodox economists would deny that the apparent success of private enterprise was due to the enormous increases in public debt, but rather was it due to efficiency and good management, it is reasonable to suggest, therefore, that these men would not object if all loans for public works were financed by our publicly owned Reserve Bank. This bank operates under a Charter, which enables it to provide money "for the economic prosperity and welfare of the people of Australia".

Now the cost of a \$10,000,000 loan would be negligible. An accountant would enter this figure in a Reserve Bank ledger in a few minutes. As this loan was drawn upon for public works the money expended should be recovered from the community by a sales or similar tax and repaid.

Writing on this subject several years ago we declared: "In the years that lie ahead all public works would be financed by the Reserve Bank; and the cost, as outlined above, would be recovered from the community at the time for cancellation. In this way public works, schools, hospitals, nursing homes for the aged, etc., etc., as and when required, would be built without adding one cent to the public debt, and without causing inflation.

"The truth is: if a thing is physically possible, it should be financially possible. That is to say: if we have to know-how, the manpower, the machinery, and the materials to undertake some project, the money must always be made available to accomplish our purpose. Unemployment (idle men), idle machines, and idle factories, are a reflection on our intelligence."

As indicated earlier, man has harnessed solar energy stored in fossil fuels, and this power is coupled to automatic or semi-automatic machinery of amazing complexity. This makes it impossible to retain today's average age of retirement. The next generation would, after sound training, take their rightful place in industry only to be replaced in turn by the next generation. Those who face retirement 10 or 15 years earlier than that expected today will naturally ask: How could we provide adequately for our years of retirement?

Pensions for retired, and invalid persons would be the

WHAT IS THE INSTITUTE OF ECONOMIC DEMOCRACY?

The Institute is one of the specialist divisions of the Australian League of Rights. Enterprise — the main journal — is produced quarterly. Regular Information bulletins on Fiscal, Monetary and Economic problems are available to Associate Members. A range of booklets on similar subjects is also available. Associate Membership is available at a cost of \$10.00 annually.

responsibility of the nation. This would not be a paltry pension — a handout — but it should not be less than the average wage. It would be paid by the Reserve Bank, and recovered by a just tax imposed on all workers. (The wealthy would be taxed for life more heavily than they are today.) While they control the means of production their wealth is assured. In time this too will be changed.

In no sense must such a payment be regarded as charity. Every man, woman and child is a co-heir to all the culture, learning, discoveries and inventions which have come down to us from past ages. If this cultural inheritance was taken from us overnight, we would be less able to supply our needs than the most primitive savage. Indeed, such a savage would have more chance of survival than anyone in this age. How then do we dare call such a dividend charity?

Edward Bellamy, in 1888, wrote: "How happened it that your workers were able to produce more than so many savages would have done? Was it not wholly on account of the heritage of the past knowledge, and achievements of the race, the machinery of society, thousands of years of contriving, found by you ready made to your hand. You inherited it, did you not? . . . What did you do with their share? Did you not rob them when you put them off with crusts, who were entitled to sit with the heirs?"

Unemployment, for the reasons stated will grow progressively worse. *The Age* leader (10/10/77) stated: "Unemployment remains a hideously unjust social problem. The number of jobless in September was 64,000 more than last September." There is reason to believe that when the 200,000 school-leavers hit the job market the number of unemployed could reach the 400,000 mark by the end of 1978."

Later, January 1978 official figures are now 404,000. Further it is stated that 28 percent of the jobless are not registered. This means that 505,000 are now unemployed.

Because of the enormous influence of Money Power Parliaments, which are made up of Parties, are but talking shops. They cannot solve the greatest of all economic problems — unemployment. The simple answer is for the electors in each district to mobilize public opinion on this matter, and bring pressure to bear on the local Member. Once he is assured of majority support his demands for action will be heard. Control the Members, and victory is assured. Nothing short of this approach will get the results we want.