THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 46, No. 4

THE GLOBAL GRAND DESIGN EMERGING

When C.H. Douglas wrote in "Social Credit" (1924) that if conventional financial and economic policies were persisted with, Christian Civilisation was doomed to disintegrate within the lifetime of those then living, many of those who read this warning thought it rather extravagant. Which was not surprising. When the famous Roman philosopher and statesman, Cicero, was warning his fellow Romans that their Civilisation was doomed unless there were major changes in policy, comparatively few believed him. Throughout history prophets who warn of disaster unless there are changes, have never been very popular. No-one likes to hear unpleasant truths, as the famous Russian exile, Solzhenitsyn discovered when he came to the West. Dr. Henry Kissinger, messenger boy for the Rockefellers and their associates, said that Solzhenitsyn was so dangerous that he must not meet the American President.

Douglas's warning in *Social Credit*, and subsequently expanded in his many comments on the state of the world, was based upon his analysis of the present finance-economic system. He demonstrated that the conventional method of issuing financial credit made it mathematically certain that there would be escalating debt, crushing taxation and progressive inflation, with increasing centralisation of power crushing individual initiative. Social disintegration was inevitable. Douglas was a true prophet, events confirming his predictions and warnings. He urged that disaster could only be avoided by individuals associating to force basic changes in policy, making decentralisation of power a genuine individual freedom and security possible.

But there have been others who have prophesied in order to create a feeling in inevitability. The declared Marxists have been prominent amongst these prophets. Marx talked about the "will of history" forcing increased centralisation. The controllers of "world opinion" have widely publicised Marx while ignoring Douglas, it is now obvious that those who have a monopoly of financial credit creation are making every effort to ensure that the Soviet Empire does not collapse. As we predicted, the international bankers are making new credits available to sustain the hard-pressed Polish Communist Government.

A LONG TERM CONSPIRACY

Running parallel with the Marxists are those who accept the cyclical theory of history: civilisations are like the individual, being born, developing, reaching a peak and then declining, eventually dying. Prominent amongst these historians has been Arnold J. Toynbee. However, Toynbee's background and statements suggest that he was one of those engaged in promoting a long-term conspiracy. In a lecture in 1932, dealing with the threat of internationalism, Douglas referred to an article by Toynbee, which had appeared the previous year in *International Affairs*, journal of the Royal Institute of

International Affairs, in which Toynbee spoke of the "economic unification of the world". The Marxist strategist, Lenin, said that the World Communist State could not emerge until there was an international economic order. The most significant statement by Toynbee, quoted by Douglas, concerned the necessity to abolish the separate nations of the world as a prelude to the establishment of a New World Order. Toynbee said: "...In plain terms, we have to re-transfer the prestige and the prerogatives of sovereignty from the fifty or sixty fragments of contemporary society to the whole of contemporary society — from the local national states by which sovereignty has been usurped, with disastrous consequences, for half a millennium, to some institution embodying our society as a whole.

"In the world as it is today, this institution can hardly be a universal Church. It is more likely to be something like a League of Nations. I will not prophesy. I will merely repeat that we are at present working, discreetly but with all our might, to wrest this mysterious political force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local national states of our world. And all the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands, because to impugn the sovereignty of the local national states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or a publicist can be perhaps not quite burnt at the stake, but certainly ostracised and discredited. The dragon of local sovereignty can still use its teeth and claws when it is brought to bay. Nevertheless, I believe that the monster is doomed to perish by our sword. The fifty or sixty local states of the world will no doubt survive as administrative conveniences. But sooner or later sovereignty will depart from them. Sovereignty will cease, in fact if not in name, to be a local affair.

"To pious nationalists this prophecy will seem either ridiculous or blasphemous. Whether or not it is ridiculous, only time can show. As for its being blasphemous from the nationalistic point of view, I should like to make this observation: if the fifty or sixty now sovereign States of the world reconcile themselves to the surrender of their sovereignty in good time, they can look forward to preserving their existence as non-sovereign institutions for an indefinite time to come, perhaps even in perpetuity. And this is a thought in which the votaries of these idols — the pious nationalists — may find some consolation. For a local state may lose its sovereignty without losing those familiar features, which endear it to the local patriot — such features, I mean, as the local vernacular language and folklore and costume, and the local monuments of the historic past. So long as the local state is not stripped of these harmless trappings, it will remain almost as effective an idol as ever, and its worshippers are likely to find almost as much satisfaction in carrying on their cult with bloodless sacrifices as they find today when their idol demands from them the sacrifice of their children's lives in the ritual of war. Here, then, is some consolation for local patriots in the event of sovereignty being transferred, by a voluntary and peaceable process, from local states to some organ representing human society as a whole. And there is also satisfaction here for those of us who — without sharing the local patriot's passion for local sovereignty appreciate, at least as deeply as he does, the value for mankind of an abiding diversity of national cultures. "But supposing that this does not happen? Supposing that the present generation of mankind is defeated in the end, after all, in the strenuous effort, which we are making to centralise the force of sovereignty and to reduce our international anarchy to order — in that event, what is the outlook, which confronts us? Will the defeat of internationalism — if our cause is to be defeated — enable a rampant nationalism to go on running riot in the world forever? If our fanatical nationalists believe that, they are tragically mistaken. Their mistake is written large, for those who have eyes to see, in the histories of other civilisations than ours — civilisations which have already run their course and passed out of existence so that the whole of their story, from beginning to end, lies unfolded for us to read and take to heart".

THE INTERNATIONAL ADVANCE

Since Toynbee made his prophecy, the Second World War, predicted by Douglas, prepared the way for further major advances of internationalism. There has been plenty of confusion, but as the great Lord Action said about the confusion and chaos of the French Revolution, there was design. It was the Fabian-Marxist organisation, Political and Economic Planning (P.E.P.) which said on the eve of the Second World War that it was only in war, or "under the threat of war" that the British would ever surrender to large-scale central planning. The Second World War paved the way for the destruction of the old British World, and the submergence of the British, along with the other Western European nation States, in the European Economic Community. The United Nations has succeeded the League of Nations. It was the United Nations, which formerly endorsed the New International Economic Order, an extension of the programme suggested by Toynbee.

The philosophy underlying the New International Economic Order is collectivism, but

collectivism on a global scale. The Socialist claim that the rich are rich at the expense of the poor is being internationalised. Constant propaganda has claimed that the rich nations have become rich at the expense of the poorer nations, and that the rich 'nations are "morally" obliged to reduce their standards of living to uplift the poorer nations. Thus the moralising about the "Third World" and its alleged importance. But how can the "rich" nations, with less than 20 percent of the total population of the world, lift the 80 percent of the world's population to their standard of living? Africa alone is like a bottomless pit. Famine has become progressively worse as a result of the "wicked colonialists" being driven out. The West is urged to feed the starving Africans. The West has been playing a major role in feeding the Soviet. It is now being urged to industrialise Communist China.

TIME LEFT FOR REVERSAL

The grand design of the New World Order must reduce the whole world to similar conditions to those inside the Communist world. But those who plan to rule this world do not envisage that they will be suffering with the great majority. They are, of course, ignoring history, which teaches that every revolution devours its own promoters. But before this development of the grand design takes place, there may be just sufficient time for informed people to shift the course of events off their present disastrous course. Those who saw the recent massive protest marches in New Zealand and Australia, triggered off by the sheer frustrations of societies battered by strikes themselves an effect, not a cause — can take some heart that there are large numbers of decent people who are concerned, who do care about the future of their children. If the politician marches can be replaced by constructive politician action, there can be a turning away from the great disaster now threatening man king. But time is short.

LEAGUE BASIC FUND PASSES \$40,000

Although only a minority of Australian League of Rights supporters has contributed, the 1980-81 Basic Fund of \$55,000 has now passed \$40,000. As usual there will be the last-minute surge of support. But a really big effort will be required this year to reach the target. Those not contributing are letting down those who have made the effort. With events unfolding exactly as we have warned over many years, and with the next few years of crisis upon us, no effort must he spared to ensure that the tide of disintegration is turned back.

All Northern N.S.W. and Queensland contributions should be sent to Mr. Jeremy Lee, Ravensbourne, Queensland. Western Australian contributions to P.O. Box 16, Ingle wood, 6052, and the balance to Box 1052J, G.P.O. Melbourne.

NEW ZEALAND ELECTORS BEWARE OF SOCIAL CREDIT PERVERSION

By L. Denis Byrne, O.B.E., F.S.C.S.

The following article is of the greatest importance at this critical moment in history, when frustrated people everywhere are being offered false answers to their problems. The frustration in New Zealand has given a tremendous boost to support for a party which calls itself Social Credit, and which possibly could hold the balance of power after the coming New Zealand elections, to be held sometime this year.

I write as a Fellow of the Social Credit Secretariat, as a one-time associate and friend of Major C.H. Douglas, author of Social Credit and as a previous adviser to the Canadian Alberta Social Credit Government under Premier William Aberhart.

My attention has been drawn to the misleading propaganda which is being fed to the people of New Zealand by a political party which has taken to itself the name "Social Credit" without a shred of evidence that it has any right to do so. If that political party's real purpose was to discredit the body of knowledge known as Social Credit, as enunciated by C.H. Douglas, they could not have gone about it more effectively. It is all too evident from the various newspaper articles outlining their intentions and from their own publications, that not only are the so-called Social Credit party's leaders ignorant of the nature of Social Credit, let alone the technical knowledge to implement it, but they are hopelessly deficient in their knowledge of economics and finance.

DOUGLAS OPPOSED PARTY POLITICS

Over the years there has been no greater obstacle to the progress of genuine Social Credit than the so-called Social Credit political parties with which we have been cursed in Canada and which, in a particularly insidious form, has been surfacing in New Zealand.

Let me state emphatically and categorically that party politics and Social Credit are completely incompatible. This is what Douglas had to say on the subject:

"...I may say that I regard the election of a Social Credit party...as one of greatest catastrophes that could happen...to elect a Social Credit party in this country would be to elect a set of amateurs to direct a set of very competent professionals. The professionals, I may tell you, would see that the amateurs got the blame for everything that was done".

The fact that the New Zealand Social Credit Political League and the party they sponsor flout Douglas by embarking on party politics is consistent with their complete disregard for his enunciation of Social Credit, which alone is authoritative, and their distortion of his works. By no stretch of the imagination can the curious and dangerous financial measures being advanced by the New Zealand pseudo-Social Credit political party be related to the economic and financial proposals of genuine Social Credit.

The core of these proposals is the mathematically precise analysis of the existing financial system which Douglas provided in his several major works and in the evidence he THE NEW TIMES—APRIL 1981

gave before various government bodies. This examination of the monetary system common to the nations of what are humorously referred to as "the free world", demonstrates beyond all doubt that there is a fundamental fault in its operation. This results in a chronic and progressive shortage of purchasing power, i.e., money available to purchase, in relation to the prices of goods coming on to the retail market.

BASIC CAUSE OF DEFICIENCY

The basic cause of this is not the interest charged by banks and other financial corporations, but that in any period of time the population is charged for the cost of its entire production, whereas the true cost of production in such period is that of the goods consumed in that period. The public pays once for its capital goods production factories, machinery, equipment, etc., — by investments derived directly or indirectly from savings. However, they are required to pay a second time for such capital goods through depreciation charges added to the other production costs of consumer goods. As the public does not possess the purchasing power to meet such costs, the goods can be distributed only by continually increasing its debt burden — which in turn aggravates the impasse. This results not only in pyramiding debt, but in turn results in progressive inflation of prices, increasing taxation and accelerating centralisation of economic power in a financial oligarchy.

The Social Credit proposals for financial reform stem directly from this analysis. They provide for the issue of money, as purchasing power, direct to consumers to make good the deficit, which exists at present. It is the policy of Social Credit to confer upon the individual maximum freedom by transferring to him both effective economic and political power. The transfer of economic power would be achieved by making good the deficiency of purchasing power distributed through wages, salaries, profits, i.e., earned income, in three ways: (1) By providing every person with an independent income in addition to earned income if one. This would represent a dividend on his or her share in the common cultural heritage of potential abundance made possible by the inventions of past generations, (2) By reducing retail prices, according to a precise formula, to bring them into balance with available purchasing power, (3) By the progressive reduction of taxation.

It should be noted that the policy involved is the transfer of economic power from the centralised financial monopoly to the individual. It would also result in, in the process, the elimination of the inflation of prices, the stabilisation of property values and the progressive increase of the real value of money and, by means of genuine political democracy, to bring government under the effective control of the electorate.

(Within the scope of a short article it is not possible, of course, to do more than provide a broad outline of the Social Credit financial measures. There is a library of books on the subject for those interested in its technical details.)

When we examine the half-baked financial proposals being put forward by the New Zealand pseudo-Social Credit political party it is all too plain that they bear as much relation to genuine Social Credit as devil worship bears to Christianity.

Instead of decentralising financial power, it is proposed to vest absolute control of the monetary system, and thereby the economic life of New Zealand, in the Reserve Bank, the agency responsible at the present time for New Zealand's disastrous monetary policy. No doubt the party "experts" will protest that the Reserve Bank will be answerable to the Government — the usual socialist myth. In theory the central banks of Britain, the United States, Canada and other Western countries are answerable to their respective governments, but in practice those governments are subservient to their central banks.

To underline Douglas's reference to amateurs dictating to professionals, an excellent example is provided by Mr. Beetham's "New Zealand Credit and Currency" bill. This states that equation shall be the monetary policy — whatever that means (Section 11). Further, that this shall be achieved and maintained by the Reserve Board in accordance with the formula: Money Supply multiplied by the Velocity of Circulation equals Incomes equals Value of Current Output of Goods and Services.

A MAJOR FALLACY

This, of course, apart from being unadulterated non-sense, is a flagrant repudiation of Social Credit finance as expounded by Douglas. It ignores the fact that while all purchasing power is money, not all money is purchasing power - all forms of savings being immobilised purchasing power which is not available for purchasing goods. Further, it supports the orthodox concept that velocity of circulation of money increases purchasing power — shown by Douglas to be a fallacy. Finally, it repudiates the Douglas analysis of the present monetary stem showing that it generates a progressive shortage of purchasing power — and that the incomes distributed in the process of their production are totally inadequate to buy the goods on the retail market.

In short this so-called Social Credit party in New Zealand is a dangerous sham, for it is pursuing a non-Social Credit policy, and it is promoting anti-Social Credit measures, which, if implemented can only bring economic disaster to New Zealand and discredit to genuine Social Credit.

CANADIAN FACTS

The New Zealand pseudo-Social Credit party's claim that the Canadian so-called Social Credit political party in British Columbia provides a shining example of Social Credit rule is indeed a great big laugh. These are the facts:

- 1. It is questionable whether a single member of that government has any knowledge of genuine Social Credit and certainly not the Premier or his cabinet.
- 2. The policy of this British Columbia government has consistently supported big finance and big business for none of its spokesmen has ever uttered a word of criticism of the present financial system.
- 3. It has continued the anti-Social Credit policies of the proceeding socialist government with compulsory government car insurance and every form of taxation income tax, sales tax, outrageous liquor and tobacco taxes (because they are morally good) etc., etc.
- 4. It has done nothing to expose the inflation racket, the debt racket, the usury racket, the real estate racket and so forth.

In short, the sham Social Credit government of British Columbia is like every other Canadian Provincial government and the Federal government in Canada — all of whom are the all too willing supporters and pawns of the existing financial system and of the hegemony that controls and operates it.

In the Canadian province of Alberta, when the "Social Credit" government there, after Aberhart's death, progressively abandoned all pretence of supporting Social Credit policy, relying on its revenue from the booming oil industry with which to buy its popularity, its electoral support also progressively diminished. Finally the electorate voted them out of office in favour of an orthodox conservative government, which has been returned with increased majorities. The sham Social Credit political party has been practically wiped out.

The pseudo-Social Credit parties in other provinces have likewise been rejected by the electorate — as they deserved to be — and have for all practical purposes ceased to exist. The experience in Canada certainly provides proof of the soundness of Douglas's condemnation of so-called Social Credit political parties.

NON-PARTY ACTION ESSENTIAL

If the people of New Zealand desire to be emancipated from the shackles of progressive inflation, mounting debt, harsh taxation, threatening economic collapse and political chaos, they should reject the overtures of pseudo-Social Credit political party-ism in favour of organising themselves as non-party electoral action groups to bring irresistible pressure on their elected representatives to gain the results they want. In this the New Zealand League of Rights will provide them with both information and guidance.

That, I am convinced, is the only sure road to advance towards the new civilisation to which Social Credit - genuine Social Credit — Douglas Social Credit, provides the key.

THE NAKED COMMUNIST

by W. Cleon Skousen.

A classic, which has stood the test of time. Examines the real nature of Communism. Strongly recommended. Price \$9.00.

"Prophetic Doom"

The following article appeared in "The Social Crediter" in December, 1971, prior to the British being manipulated into the European Economic Community. We would, however, disagree with our contemporary that the signing of the Treaty of Rome doomed the British people to extinction. Bitter experiences under increasing centralisation are producing strong reactions in all parts of the world. These reactions, given correct advice and guidance, offer real hope for the future. It is regrettable, of course that millions of people have to suffer before starting to learn.

Relations (CFR), wrote in the *New York Times* of May 21, 1971, that "Nixon would obviously like to preside over the had achieved." creation of a new world order, and believes he has an opportunity to do so in the last 20 months of his first term".

Mr. Reston would have been more accurate had he written of the birth of a new world order. The creation of a new organic form precedes—often by a very long period of time —its *birth* into the world of already manifested creation. The moment of conception is always a mystery. And after this moment, the fact of conception remains hidden for an indefinite period, until its growing maturation brings forth evidence of its ultimate or imminent birth. And thus it is with "a new world order".

In his *Programme For the Third World War* (Chapter VIII) the late C. H. Douglas pointed out that the existence of the American continent was known in banking circles when it was quite unknown outside them. "If this was so, it is reasonable to assume that when action was taken in regard to this knowledge it was considered action."

Douglas also drew attention to the design of the Great Seal of the United States, the obverse of which bears the Masonic symbol of World Government, and the inscription "Novus Ordo Seclorum"—"A New World Order"—the designation of President Nixon's New Economic Policy as of Lenin's transitional economic policy in Soviet Russia. What was sprung by Lenin on Russia was a system incubated in advance, just as Nixon's "new" policy was preceded by enabling legislation well in advance of its implementation, now in train (see T.S.C., Oct. 2, 1971).

This is the background to Professor Arnold Toynbee's statement (see *International Affairs*, Nov. 1931) that "We Europeans have called a new world into being not to redress but to *upset* the balance of the old" (original emphasis). Professor Toynbee was for many years Director of the (originally) British, now—since 1926—Royal Institute of International Affairs.

On Nov. 10, 1970, a banquet was held at the Mansion House to celebrate the 50th anniversary of the founding of the Institute. The speakers on the occasion were Mr. Edward Heath, Prime Minister of (once-Great) Britain, and J. M. A. Luns, Netherlands Minister of Foreign Affairs. Mr. Heath in common with the Prime Ministers of other Commonwealth countries, is an Honorary President of the Institute; and is also (or was) a Director of Brown Shipley & Co., the London branch of a powerful American financial group and a Corporate Subscriber to the funds of the Institute. In his address, Mr. Heath "recalled how it was decided at a meeting of the British and American delegates to the Peace Conference in Paris in 1919 [Professor 'Toynbee was a Member of the British team, and Walter Lippman of the American to found an independent Anglo-American institute for the study of international affairs.

"Later this body was divided [binary fission] into two institutions, the Council on Foreign Affairs in New York and the British (later Royal) Institute of International Affairs, better known as Chatham House. It was essential, said Mr. Heath, that people should know and understand the issues which confronted the Government [which people?]

"The contribution of Chatham House to this task has been THE NEW TIMES—APRIL 1981

James Reston, a member of the Council on Foreign outstanding and over the years successive British governments have been deeply indebted to the Institute for all it

> —"Successive British governments." The White Paper, "The United Kingdom and the European Communities", says: "A decision not to join would be a reversal.... of the whole direction of British policy under successive governments during the past decade". Mr. Heath's remark makes it clear that the 'direction' given to the successive governments came from Chatham House. How is it done?

> The Annual Report of the Council of the Institute, 1970/71, which reports Mr. Heath, also discloses that "On 7 and 8 May, 1970, a conference on the theme of Europe in 1990, organised by Chatham House and PEP was held at Chatham House to mark the 20th anniversary of the Schumann Plan for a European Coal and Steel Community . . . The Rt. Hon. George Thomson . . . spoke of the main problems likely to arise in the forthcoming negotiations for British membership of the European Communities—both the technical problems . . . and also the greater issues of a more united and effective Europe" (emphasis added).

> Again: "At the beginning of November 1970 four representatives from Chatham House together with the Directors of the Institute for Strategic Studies [which has informed the world that Soviet Russia has achieved strategic superiority in Europe], PEP, and the Committee on Invisible Exports and a representative of the National Institute for Economic and Social Research visited Brussels to meet members of the Commission of the European Communities".

> Under the heading: Chatham House Studies Programme, the Report states: "The study groups and conferences bring together widely differing categories of scholars, officials, businessmen, journalists and others, and the educational character of these groups is to some extent their sufficient justification". But not the whole extent, of course.

> Compare this with the purposes of the CFR: On July 29, 1921, the Council on Foreign Relations was incorporated, to bring together "experts on statecraft, finance, industry, education and science; to create and stimulate international thought among the people of the United States, and to this end, to cooperate with the Government of the United States and with international agencies . . . to create new bodies, and to employ such other and further means, as from time to time may seem wise and proper" (emphasis added). "Further means" include addresses to selected industrialists by such foreign policy experts as Castro, Mikoyan, Hammarsskjold, Nkrumah, etc.

> This is the technique of semi-secret or anonymous 'background briefing'. Participants in these Chatham House, and CFR programmes are informed that they may make use of the information gained at various sessions, but may not attribute their knowledge or opinions formed to Chatham House or the CFR. This procedure inevitably induces a feeling of superiority in the 'insiders' as against the 'outsiders', and accounts for the pontifical attitude of so many professional political commentators: they are "in the know". And it accounts also for the international unanimity of comment on international affairs. They follow the 'Line' agreed in the inner Councils of Chatham House and the CFR.

Following the 'binary fission' of the British Institute,

the Royal Institute has metastasised throughout the Commonwealth, while maintaining an almost symbiotic relation ship with its binary product, the CFR; and of course there is a close association with similar organisations in almost every country—they are listed in the Council's Report, together with much other revealing information relating to such matters as finance and sponsorship. Both Chatham House and the CFR are sustained by massive grants from international banking groups and the great tax-free Foundations, such as the Rockefeller, and the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, which financed the study for the military invasion of Southern Africa. Brown Shipley & Co. Ltd. also subscribes. Both Chatham House and the CFR advocate international socialism, which Brezhnev has assured us is inevitable.

Parallel with, and also supported by financial Foundations and sometimes running joint projects, is the organisation (an offshoot of the Fabian Society) Political and Economic Planning (PEP), frequently mentioned in the Report. Its directorate interlocks with Chatham House, but PEP emphasises economic strategy, and thus is something of a wheel within a wheel—but a highly influential one.

All this is the real background to the attempt to subordinate Britain to 'Europe'—an attempt which has nothing to do with economics *per se*, but a great deal to do with the economics of international cartelisation—the world organised as the province of economic internationalism whose consummation is hindered by local national sovereignties. It is the culmination of what Professor Toynbee described as "a deliberate and sustained and concentrated effort to impose limitations upon the sovereignty and independence of the fifty or sixty local sovereign independent states" (*International Affairs*, Nov. 1931). Moreover, he specified deception as the means to achievement ("All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands")—a deception still manifest today ("There is no question of any erosion of national sovereignty"—White Paper).

Now if there is anything left that can save Britain from subordination to Europe, as a major step to eventual Communisation, it is concentration of all the forces in opposition to 'joining Europe' on the narrow front of the preservation of sovereignty. The economic issues are phonies—distractions deliberately conceived. A straight-out political union with Europe would never have been tolerated by the British public, and its advocacy as such would have been death to any political Party.

The Queen in Parliament embodies the national sovereignty of Britain, the preservation of which is the first duty of any Government. Adhering to the Treaty of Rome abrogates that sovereignty, and the advocacy of that abrogation is an act of treason—a violation of allegiance to the Sovereign. It has been well established that the substantial majority of the British are opposed to 'entry', so that the problem is to make that opposition effective; and the way to do that is to focus it sharply on the only vital issue—sovereignty. Of course Britain can survive economically—if sovereign. After all, Britain led the world in industrialisation. But with loss of sovereignty, Britain's economic interests can and almost certainly will be subordinated to equalising the world's wealth.

That this is the probability is cunningly canvassed in the *Times's* leading article, Nov. 20, 1971, based on the Rutherford lecture given by Dr. Hugh Montefiore, Bishop of Kingston upon Thames. The *Times* comments: "Internationally, there would have to be similar restraints on the exercise of national freedom of action. Although as he sees it the 'great debate' went off the rails by failing to 'lead people to an understanding that there is not much more economic growth possible; or if there is, it will lead to later collapse',

he detects in the EEC the germ of the kind of surrender of sovereignty that the situation requires. It would be a nice irony if the Common Market, advertised as an association for waxing fat, proved its usefulness as an instrument for abating the rapacity of industrial society.

"No such redirection of the collective energies of men would be possible without a drastic revision of political and social attitudes

"Yet before man is broken of his acquisitive attitudes in relation to the material universe, before the new conservationists have better success than the old gospellers have had of imparting a religious frame of mind to man in his political capacity, people will have to be brought to assent to the reality or the prophetic doom awaiting them if they do not mend their ways"

Russia, China, Eastern Europe, North Vietnam, Cuba, Chile . . . are examples of the "drastic revision" which the *Times*, and Chatham House to which the *Times* subscribes, has in mind and in prospect. It will not be averted, as many seem to think, by future obstructive tactics in the House of Commons. Beyond doubt, there is a well-considered strategy to overcome Parliament. Only if public opinion overrides Parliament to prevent the formal adhesion to the Treaty of Rome will there be a viable British future. The stakes, as Douglas observed long ago, which are being played for "are so high that the players, on one side [Chatham House-CFR-PEP-International Finance-Communist Russia] at least, care no more for the immolation of the peoples of a continent than for the death of a sparrow". And that is being demonstrated day by day in Vietnam, the Indian sub-continent, and Ireland. The immolation is being carried out in those areas because there the heavy industry and major resources, which is the stake at issue, are not at risk. If Europe, including Britain, can be taken by stratagem rather than by force, the "prophetic doom" can be administered at leisure, as in Russia under Stalin, at the end of Lenin's transitional New Economic Policy.

The British people have been, and are being conned. If the Treaty of Rome is signed, they are doomed to extinction as a nation, and in many cases, as individuals.

BOOKINGS FOR NATIONAL WEEKEND

The League of Rights' national weekend is the highlight of the League's annual calendar. Those who have been present have experienced the unique atmosphere generated. This year the weekend starts with "The New Times" Annual Dinner on Friday, 2nd October at The Victoria, Little Collins Street, Melbourne. The donation is \$11 per person. Every Dinner sees some new and exciting feature. Overseas guests are expected. The National Seminar on Saturday, 3rd October will almost certainly be on the education issue, now generating widespread concern. On the Sunday, actionists will be inspired by comprehensive reports of all activities. Country and interstate visitors can be provided with private accommodation. But the organisers require firm bookings as early as possible. Book through Box 1052J, G.P.O. Melbourne. Overseas readers are invited to send appropriate messages to be read at the Dinner and published in the special Dinner issue of "The New Times".

TO THE POINT

As the progressive disintegration of Zimbabwe (Rhodesia) takes place, with a growing death role as tribal conflict increases, we have heard nothing from the architects of the Rhodesian betrayal. Having played their cowardly role in the betrayal, the politicians like Malcolm Fraser of Australia and Lord Carrington of the United Kingdom, have washed their hands of any responsibility for what is taking place. A survey of "The People", issued by the Zimbabwean Ministry of Information, reveals the importance Comrade Mugabe and his colleagues attach to visiting and signing treaties with Communist countries. In one issue of "The People", readers learn of how Chinese peasants are "prospering" on State collective farms. Pro-Communist propaganda flows regularly from Mugabe's radio and television stations. Not surprisingly, the whites continue to flee from the country they built.

West German spokesmen now state that the nation's "economic miracle" is over. Much of this "miracle" was based upon the rebuilding originally of a Germany destroyed by the saturation bombing of the Second World War, the writing off of an enormous amount of debt, limited German re-armament, a highly disciplined labor force, and a flood of exports, many of these in recent years going to the Soviet Union. But now the inflation rate is increasing and there is large-scale unemployment. Foreign works, encouraged to go to West Germany during massive economic expansion, are now being abused and told to go home. And now comes the loss of big Chinese contracts for steel and chemical works. It is estimated that Communist China's retreat from the grandiose industrial plans launched in 1978 could result in a loss of up to two billion marks for West Germany companies. This will have a serious impact on the deteriorating West German situation. It is dangerous folly for any nation to base its internal economy upon export markets, which can quickly disappear. And the more the economies of the world are internationalised, the easier it is for those economies to be disrupted.

* * * * * * * * * *

Blindly ignoring the lessons of other nations, New Zealand representatives are insisting that the loss of markets for primary products must be offset by the development of manufactured and processed goods for export. The Achilles heel of the New Zealand economy has allegedly been an energy problem. But with the discovery and development of the huge gas fields at Kapuni and Maui, and other energy initiatives, New Zealanders can within a few short years be almost independent in the energy field. However, much of this energy is scheduled to be used in production for exporting. The only sane purpose of exporting is to import that which cannot be easily produced locally. New Zealand's High much can Caesar take from the individual in oppres-Commissioner to Australia, Mr. Laurie Francis, claimed recently that New Zealand exports to the Soviet Union and Communist China have been astronomical. What do New Zealanders require from those two countries? Growing dependence upon Communist markets makes them increasingly vulnerable to Communist pressures.

A press headline reads, "UK Inflation Rate Falling, But So Is Production". The story starts, "Britain's inflation rate fell sharply to 13 percent in January, but industrial production is falling at a rate unparallelled since the 1930s." And, of course, unemployment continues to grow. It is as certain as

the sunrise that while Mrs. Thatcher and her "mad monetarists" can force the British inflation rate fractionally lower, they must wreck still further the production system and increase the process of social disintegration as unemployment soars to new record levels. The process reminds us of the story of the Irishman who said that he had been training his horse to live without eating, giving him a little less food every day, but had discovered that just when the horse was getting used to the programme, he went and died! Only a few years back an inflation rate of 5 percent was described (correctly) as disastrous. If the Thatcher Government can force the inflation rate under 10 percent, this will be hailed as a tremendous achievement! But even this reduction can only be temporary, with a further surge when some expansion will be essential to try and prevent a complete break down and revolution.

The Christian Church in Communist Poland has given another inspiring lead by supporting Polish farmers in their demand for their own union. The lead came 48 hours after the Polish Supreme Court had rejected the farmers' demand. During the Second World War Stalin, the Soviet dictator, sneeringly asked how many divisions the Pope had when the subject of the Vatican's influence was raised. Stalin ignored spiritual power, a power the Polish Communists cannot ignore. The correct role of the Christian church is not to be directly involved in the use of material force, but to use its spiritual influence to ensure that force is used in accordance with moral objectives. Christians living under Communist Governments have, in spite of persecution, in many ways at least resisted the complete domination by Caesar. In the West the Christian Church generally, with a few outstanding exceptions, does little to call for resistance against the demands of Caesar. How sive taxation before the Church calls a halt?

BOOK PRICES

The inflation, which the League of Rights Ins predicted is inevitable under present financial policies, has forced the League to increase book prices to a level, which ensures that there is no erosion of the value of capital invested in books. But these prices will still be lower than those generally charged by the book trade.

JEREMY LEE'S TOUR

Our Canadian correspondent reports that Mr. Jeremy Lee's tour to Canada has been an outstanding success in every way. Mr. Lee has been revealing how the Trudeau drive to impose a virtual dictatorship upon Canada through a revised Constitution, is linked with Trudeau's support for The New International Economic Order. Following his tour of the United Kingdom and Ireland, Jeremy Lee returns to Australia via Canada. His international tour is a further development of the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights' expanding programme.

There is some doubt about the accuracy of a report by a Swedish consultant firm that the largest oil field ever found has been discovered in Western Siberia. But there is no doubt that the development of most of the Soviet's oil and gas fields has depended upon Western technology and expertise.

* * * * * * * * * *

Commonsense would suggest that the answer to the evils of excessive centralisation is decentralisation. But effective decentralisation requires decentralisation of credit creation and control. The executive director of the Cattle Council of Australia, Mr. Andrew Robb, told a recent convention of visiting American ranchers in Sydney, that Australia and the United States should join in a Pacific basin free-trade zone as a counter force to the European Economic Community, whose highly-subsidised beef exports would soon exclude Australia from markets in Eastern Europe, USSR, Africa and some Middle East countries.

The concept of a Pacific Common Market has been promoted for some time, although earlier enthusiasm appears to have been dampened by the realisation that the basic problems of the countries of the EEC have not been overcome by centralisation. The confidence men of the United Kingdom "sold" many British conservatives the story that the EEC was essential to half the advance of the Soviet. But the EEC has not only been providing subsidised beef, butter and other foods to the Communists; its members have been engaged in sending vast industrial production to the Communists on credit.

The establishment of any type of a Pacific Common Market, designed to keep EEC production out and presumably to compete with the EEC in subsidising exports, can only further contribute to a growing international trade war. Trade wars lead to other disastrous consequences, with the ultimate threat of a New International Economic Order and a World State, which would plan "rationalised" production internationally.

* * * * * * * * * *

A London report comments on the similarity between Prime Minister Malcolm Fraser of Australia and Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher of the United Kingdom, in the manner in which they use the media.

The report suggests that Mrs. Thatcher learned from Mr. Fraser the technique of using a question to deliver a long lecture on the alleged virtues of his policies. This helps to ensure that few further questions can be asked, and to confuse the issue raised. But Mr. Fraser and Mrs. Thatcher also share a common philosophy: They know what is good for the people, even if its hurting them. The type of economic quackery they are imposing is compared with a type of severe laxative, which while producing considerable discomfort is also "cleansing" the system. Both are shallow moralists. Mr. Malcolm Fraser should be at home when he is a guest speaker at this year's British Conservative Party Convention. He will not, of course, tell the Conservative Convention of how close to defeat he came at last year's Federal Elections, and how he is now heading towards political oblivion, his only hope of survival being similar to that of Mrs. Thatcher: a divided and disorganised Labor Party Opposition.

* * * * * * * * * *

Modern economies are influenced enormously by the automobile industry. A complete collapse of a major automobile manufacturing industry is a prospect, which the politicians, irrespective of their political labels, shudder to contemplate. And so in the United Kingdom Mrs. Thatcher puts her freeenterprise philosophy aside and agrees that £9000 million must be pumped into British Leyland and British Steel Corporation over the next two years. The "Big Three" manufacturers in the U.S.A., GMH, Ford and Chrysler have revealed the extent of their 1980 bloodbath - a fountain of red ink totalling approximately \$US4 billion, easily the biggest loss in the history of the American car industry. It is estimated that in spite of improved sales, both Ford and Chrysler will have deficits of approximately \$US500 million for 1981.

Massive debt finance is now essential to prevent these mammoth industries from collapsing, drawing attention to the truth of the Douglas analysis concerning the operations of modern industry. The worldwide debt system can only be sustained by creating still further debt. The appalling economic and social results are manifesting themselves in a manner, which becomes increasingly more difficult.

MR. RUPERT MURDOCH

The Australian Jewish News of March 6 informs its readers that Mr. Rupert Murdoch, the new owner of *The Times*, London, is a strong Zionist supporter. Mr. Murdoch could never have become the world's most influential newspaper magnate if he were an anti-Zionist. The tens of millions of dollars required to buy newspapers all over the world would never have been made available to other than a pro-Zionist. Mr. Murdoch's chain of newspapers can be relied upon to ensure that Zionist propaganda is turned out regularly.