

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 46, No. 5

MAY 1981

STUPIDITY OR TREASON?

The Polish crisis has brought into the open one of those explosive truths which has been kept from the general public for over half a century: Communism has been sustained by massive economic blood transfusions from the West financed by financial credits from the international banks.

But now that the truth cannot be denied, a number of commentators, including some whose anti-Communism never previously extended to even mentioning, still less criticising, international bankers, would have us believe that there is really nothing sinister about what has been happening. The international bankers have merely been "short-sighted", "too greedy", or simply "foolish".

Anyone who has had even the most simple experience in raising a loan from a bank knows there are certain realities. To take a concrete example: If one approaches a bank for a modest loan of say \$20,000, to subsidise a business which one has to admit is on the rapid decline, with every prospect that a bunch of criminals might move in at any moment, one would hardly expect any bank manager to consider that a very encouraging proposition. Unless taken leave of his senses, he would automatically reject it.

But in the case of the Polish Communist Government, for example, having extended more than \$20,000 million, and fully aware that the prospects of the Polish Government meeting even interest payments with a declining economy, are nil and that there is always the threat of the Soviet moving in to take direct control, is it reasonable to believe that those responsible for the original debts, have now agreed to re-negotiate the Polish debt merely because they are now even more "foolish" than when they extended the original loans? We find this straining human credulity just a little too far.

CREDIT OUT OF POTTING

Those who understand the "art" of banking know that it basically consists of creating financial credits out of nothing, and that banks part with nothing when they extend loans, nationally or internationally. Some of the more gullible commentators, who have only just discovered the realities of the link between International Finance and International Communism, are raising the spectre of shareholders of the banks being threatened with huge losses if the Communists wither cannot pay their debts, or may even default. But in every Western nation today large numbers of business organisations, some of them deeply in debt to the banking system, are going bankrupt with the results that millions of dollars of debt is being written off without any effect on the operations of the banking system or any drop in shareholders' dividends.

In commenting on the activities of the big bankers,

C. H. Douglas pointed out that most of them are great idealists they have their ideal of how the world should be run and use their irresponsible credit creating power to work towards imposing their ideal. The International Bankers clearly favour the centrally planned society and ultimately the centrally planned world. The International Bankers have promoted every programme for creating the World State, and have played a major role in backing the New International Economic Order.

As the financing of the Soviet Union and its satellites, and now the financing of Communist China, has been consistent, it is only common sense to accept this as part of a long-term policy. If the International Bankers had been genuinely anti-Communist, and feared the Soviet Union, they would have been in the forefront of an international campaign to bring the Soviet to its knees by the simple process of denying the Soviet access to Western blood transfusions. The Polish crisis, along with the serious internal economic problems of the Soviet itself, has demonstrated how vulnerable the Soviet would be to genuine economic sanctions. There is no need to threaten the Soviet in any way, merely to observe that as the Communists claim that their system is superior to the "decadent capitalist system", it is essential that the Communists be given every opportunity to demonstrate their claims!

However genuine Ronald Reagan may have been when he told frustrated Americans how, if elected to the Presidency, he would "stand up" to the Soviet Union, he is now demonstrating that he is prepared to bend to the unholy alliance between the International Bankers and the Soviet Union. His Secretary of State, Mr. Haig, who was most vociferous in his comments on the Polish crisis, suddenly became tame and apologetic about the Soviet. This coincided with the news that the International Bankers were making arrangements to "re-negotiate" the Polish debt and that President Reagan was clearing the way for big grain exports to the Soviet. But early in 1980,

the so-called grain embargo, which did nothing to upset the Soviet strategists, was proclaimed as essential to help force the Soviet to retreat from Afghanistan. Now that the embargo has been lifted, the Polish debt "renegotiated", and further credits in the pipeline for financing more Western and Japanese technology to the Soviet, Western Governments have in fact accepted the legitimacy of the Communist regimes in both Afghanistan and Poland.

Western politicians will continue to pay lip service to anti-Communism, simply because they know this is essential for election purposes. But they demonstrate, along with their support for the policies of the International Bankers, that they are prepared to acquiesce in a programme, not of stupidity — although there is plenty of that about — but of treachery. The future of Civilisation depends upon this treachery being effectively challenged.

ANTI-SOLZHENITSYNISM

We are hearing less and less these days about Aleksandr Isaakii Solzhenitsyn and what we hear is likely to be more con than pro. The strident American left is accusing him of everything from anti-Semitism to Czarism because in his most recent offering, *The Oak and the Calf*, he wrote that a Jew named Silberberg betrayed the whereabouts of many of his writings to the KGB. An entire article appeared in the *Nation* or *New Republic* (the two mags are so similar that it's hard to tell them apart) absolving Silberberg and making Solzhenitsyn the culprit for daring to mention the incident.

It appears that Solzhenitsyn has now served his purpose. He became the great literary hero of modern times, not for his artistry, but for his courageous fight against the Soviet government. His writings, as long as they attacked the Communist regime in Moscow, were considered great. When they didn't, as in the magnificent novel *August 1914*, the applause was more muted. Eventually Solzhenitsyn turned some of his wrath away from the Kremlin and struck hard at liberalism, at democracy and, horror of horrors, at the Jewish dissidents streaming out of Russia. It was his considered opinion that anyone who left Russia voluntarily was a traitor to the Russian cause. From the time he emitted these sentiments he was a marked man. At the height of his fame he could be partially forgiven for revealing that Jews were in charge of the worst Soviet death camps. After being progressively de-sanctified by American intellectual mind-twisters, he could not be forgiven for the attack on Silberberg.

The Oak and the Calf is an interesting account of Solzhenitsyn's travels and travails after he was released from the Gulag Archipelago. His standing in Russia's literary establishment was nervously erratic during the much-publicized thaw that followed Stalin's death. For a brief moment, after Khrushchev had put his imprimatur on *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich*, the first Soviet-approved lifting of the curtain on the Gulags, Solzhenitsyn was a prophet honored in his own country. He was even feted by Politburo members. In December 1962, at a meeting in the Kremlin, he writes, "a tall, lean man with an elongated, by no means stupid face came up . . . took me firmly by the hand and shook it vigorously as he told me how very much he had enjoyed *Ivan Denisovich*, shook it as though from now on I would never have a closer friend." It was Mikhail Andreyevich Suslov, the mysterious, almost unapproachable Party ideologue.

Ironically, just before Solzhenitsyn was run out of the Soviet Union, Kremlin apparatchiks in their campaign against him for winning and accepting the Nobel prize (in a private ceremony in Moscow, not in Sweden) began to spread rumors that his name was not Solzhenitser but Solzhenitsyn.

As Solzhenitsyn tells it, "A special major of state security . . . rushed off to check the personal files of all the 'Isaakii's' in the archives of Moscow University for 1914 in the hope of proving that I was Jewish." When nothing damaging turned up (was Lincoln Jewish because he was named Abraham?), Kremlin eager beavers switched from racial to class defamation by insin-

COMING MAJOR LEAGUE EVENTS

Monday, May 25—Mr. Eric D. Butler addresses Sydney Conservative Speakers Club.

Friday, May 29—Annual Queensland League Dinner, in Toowoomba. Mr. Eric Butler, Mr. Jeremy Lee, and Mr. Edward Rock, former Assistant National Director, will be present. No Queenslanders should miss. Details from Queensland State Director Mr. Chas Pinwill, Town Hall P.O. Box 3185, Toowoomba, Qld. Phone: (076) 35 4669.

Saturday, May 30—Annual Queensland State Seminar. Theme: "The Christian Alternative".

Sunday, May 31—Full day action programme, with a "Back to Basics" session.

Immediately following the Queensland State weekend, Mr. Eric Butler and Mr. Jeremy Lee will separately conduct tours covering the whole of Queensland.

Friday, July 10—Wimmera (Victoria) Regional Dinner.

Mr. Ron Gostick, National Director, Canadian League of Rights, will be in Southern Queensland from August 11 to August 15; in Sydney on August 16; and in Melbourne from August 17-20. Details later.

Saturday, August 22—Annual South Australian Seminar and Dinner.

Friday, October 2-October 4—Annual National League weekend in Melbourne, starting with New Times Dinner. Because of the pressure on private accommodation for interstate visitors, it is imperative that bookings be made as early as possible.

Readers in the capital cities and Toowoomba, Queensland, should note that Conservative Speakers* Club meetings take place every month. All welcome, along with their friends.

ating that Solzhenitsyn was the descendant of landowners. The truth was that both his grandfathers had been peasants, though his maternal grandfather had accumulated a small fortune before he was impoverished by the Revolution. Solzhenitsyn's mother, a widow, had to bring up her only child in rented, "dilapidated" shacks and finally in a state-owned "converted stable." A typist and a linguist, Mrs. Solzhenitsyn often had to moonlight to provide the two of them with food.

As Solzhenitsyn himself admits, forty books about the Gulags had been published since the beginning of the 1920s, but they left "no echoes." How was it then that he was able to break through the icy blanket of indifference that had effectively smothered the earlier revelations about Lenin's and Stalin's murder factories? For Instaurationists the answer is simple, Jews started turning against the Soviet Union during Stalin's purge of the Jewish intellectuals before World War II, a disenchantment heightened by the Russian-German Non-aggression Pact of 1939. Jews then swarmed back to Stalin after Hitler's invasion of Russia. They were elated when the Soviet Union voted in the United Nations for the establishment of Israel. When Moscow began to warm up to Arabs, they were unelated and reacted as they had in Czarist days. Casting about for a martyr, the media, now released from direct and indirect Jewish pressure to "take it easy" on communism and Communists, lit upon Solzhenitsyn. In no time he was a world hero, the St. George who would slay the Red Dragon with words instead of a sword. But the liberal-minority mediocrats got more than they bargained for. Solzhenitsyn really was a hero. He really was a great writer. Most important and most distressing for Jews, he was not about to become an ADL puppet.

If Solzhenitsyn had been a literary hack, he would have been swiftly relegated to the ash heap reserved for all "public figures" who have been propelled into the limelight to serve various minority racist causes. But Solzhenitsyn has produced a magnificent oeuvre that may stand for thousands of years. So now all the anti-Russian Jews and their retinue can do is to make a humiliating ad hoc alliance with the Kremlin Arabists in an effort to denigrate the man and put the lid on his work. This they are now accomplishing. Solzhenitsyn is being reduced to the status of a Kipling. Nothing good can be said about the author, but his writings are reluctantly recognized as great literature.

Before he was put on a plane to the West, Solzhenitsyn committed several severe breaches of modern literary etiquette. He turned down an invitation for a tête-à-tête with Sartre, who was making a triumphant visit to Moscow. Worse, he criticized the Soviet leaders, not only for their crimes against civilization, but said, "no one had done more to ruin the Russian language than the socialists with their slovenly pamphlets, and Lenin in particular."

Solzhenitsyn knew his brief moments of popular acclaim in Russia were numbered when he started receiving telegrams from KGB agent Victor Louis, born Vitaly Levin, who got his start in life by informing on fellow inmates in Soviet work-to-death camps. Louis later became a sort of Kremlin ambassador plenipotentiary, married the daughter of a wealthy Englishman, and was allowed to roam about the West. When in Russia he lived it up in a huge estate in the Red Army's "General's Village" at Bakovka. An unwelcome visitor, Louis dropped in on Solzhenitsyn with a gang of KGB agents who photographed

the meeting from a distance.

Since his arrival on these shores, one of the biggest bones the New York intelligentsia has had to pick with Solzhenitsyn is his fierce independence. He does not bow and scrape to the "Free World." He chastises it for its decadence and cowardice.

I put no hopes in the West -- indeed, no Russian ever should. If we ever become free it will only be by our own efforts. If the twentieth century has any lesson for mankind, it is we who will teach the West, not the West us. Excessive ease and prosperity have weekend their will and their reason.

PAGk8~INSTAURATION- (U.S.A) -DECEMBER 1980

COMMUNISM UNMASKED

by Rev. Fr. P. J. Gearon, O.Carm.

Revolution in Russia — the role of International Finance—the new Morality—folly of dialogue with the Communists. Is there a Conspiracy greater than the Communist Conspiracy? Price \$1.95.

ISRAEL REALITIES

Making his first visit to the Zionist State of Israel on April 6, American Secretary of State Mr. Haig immediately eulogised Israel as a "bastion" against Soviet encroachment. That statement must have made the Soviet strategists smile, as they are well aware that it was only the establishment of the State of Israel and the creation of the festering, Palestinian refugee problem, which enabled them to gain an entry to the Arab world.

So far from wishing to destroy Israel, the Soviet is continuing to make its contribution towards its survival with large numbers of migrants. The *Australian Jewish News* of April 24 reports, that from 1971 to 1980 a quarter of a million Jews left the Soviet Union, which is 13 per cent of the Jewish population. About two-thirds of these went to Israel, where it is claimed they have considerably bettered themselves.

Presumably The Law of Return applies to Soviet Jews, as the Law defines a Jew as one born of a Jewish mother or as an individual who converts. A recent attempt to change this law failed. Did we hear someone say something about discrimination?

SOCIAL CREDIT TRAINING COURSE

As part of its planned expansion programme for 1981, the League of Rights urges that as many "New Times" readers as possible enrol themselves for the Basic Social Credit Training Course. More and better-equipped Social Engineers are required. The Basic Course consists of eight lectures, which are provided to students in note form as they proceed. Written answers are required. Highly qualified tutors conduct the course, correcting answers making comments and answering queries. The charge of \$10 covers the cost of notes and posting. Write to The Social Credit Training Course, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne 3001, enclosing the \$10.

THE ANGLO AMERICAN — MOSCOW CONNECTION

The official policy of the South African Nationalist Government has been anti-Communist. There is no diplomatic link between Pretoria and Moscow. But there is a link between the powerful Harry Oppenheimer and his vast Empire and the Soviet Union. Mr. Oppenheimer boasts that he is one of South Africa's leading opponents of "apartheid", separate development. The realities of the South African situation are brilliantly analysed by the South African journalists, Mr. Ivor Benson, in the following article which appeared in the December, 1980, issue of his "Behind The News", published from P.O. Box 1584, Krugersdorp, 1740, South Africa.

There is no need to make a great mystery of the fact that Mr. Gordon Waddell, one of the top men in the giant Anglo American mining-financial conglomerate, was seen in Moscow about the middle of November in the company of another Anglo American executive and two unknown Soviet officials.

Reports the London *Financial Times*: "They were spotted during a performance of *Boris Gudonov* in the Bolshoi Theatre . . . Asked for the reason for the visit, Mr. Waddell diplomatically replied: 'Just passing through'."

The paper says that "collusion between the Soviet Union and South Africa" over the marketing of gold, diamonds, platinum and other precious metals had long been suspected but never proved.

But why should the Kremlin bosses be playing "discreet host" to Mr. Gordon Waddell, and why precisely at a time when Samora Machel, the Marxist boss of Mozambique, happens to be on a red-carpet visit to Moscow?

Before we try to answer that question, a few words of explanation are required for the benefit of overseas readers who may not be entirely familiar with the Southern African scene.

First of all, who is this Mr. Gordon Waddell? He is a former son-in-law* (since divorced) of Mr. Harry Oppenheimer, chairman and biggest single personal shareholder in the Anglo American Corporation which, with all its subsidiaries, produces 27% of the world's newly mined gold, outside the Soviet Union, and of De Beers which, with its huge marketing operation, controls the production and sale of diamonds worldwide.

Even more important is the fact that Mr. Gordon Waddell is a member of the tiny committee of four, which, as the *Financial Times* points out, "scrutinises even small decisions made in the group".

It should also be remembered that Anglo American dominates the economic existence of all Southern Africa to a degree possibly unparalleled anywhere else in the world. In 1968 Professor Hoek, who had investigated the Oppenheimer empire at the request of the late Dr. H. F. Verwoerd, found that Anglo American exercised complete control over close on 1000 of the country's biggest mining, industrial and financial undertakings, a total that may well have doubled since then, with the swallowing up of other big groups like the Schesinger chain of companies.

*As father and legal guardian of the only grandchildren Mr. Harry Oppenheimer is likely to have, Mr. Gordon Waddell is in effect, a "regent" for the Oppenheimer succession.

'THE GRAND DESIGN'

Equally relevant to questions about Mr. Waddell's visit to Moscow and his hobnobbing with the Communist bosses are few other facts, which may not be known and fully understood abroad.

Anglo American, through its subsidiaries - - mainly Consolidated Mines - - owns and exploits South West Africa's measureless diamond treasure and work in partnership with the governments of Angola and Tanzania, both Marxist-socialist, in the mining of diamonds in those countries.

Even more important from a *political* point of view is the fact that the two major newspaper groups in South Africa, the Argus Company and South African Associated Newspapers (SAAN) are the property of mining finance therefore, also, for all intents and purposes under the control of Mr. Harry Oppenheimer who, all down the years, has played a major role in the financing and promotion of leftist politics, the opposition Progressive Reform Party being his own personal creation."

And the newspapers in these groups have been campaigning incessantly for an UN-approved "settlement" in South West Africa — as hitherto they had campaigned for "Black majority" rule in Rhodesia.

The presence of Mr. Gordon Waddell and his colleague in Moscow would, therefore, seem to indicate that Anglo American is satisfied that all the obstacles that have stood in the way of the "liberation" of South West Africa are about to be removed, that the South West African People's Organisation (SWAPO), which has had massive Soviet Military backing, will be installed -- probably before the end of next year -- and that the time has come for the bosses of Western giant finance and of Soviet Communism to get together to discuss and plan the future convergence of their interests in Africa's latest Marxist state.

Of this we may be sure: Without massive participation in the struggle by Anglo American and its Press and politicians, a hand-over to Marxism in South West Africa would have been unthinkable; from which it follows that Anglo American's own political objective in South West Africa will have been achieved when a Marxist regime is finally installed at Windhoek, the capital.

What makes Anglo American so sure that victory is in sight is the scenario for the future development of the territory drawn up by the Secretary-General of the United Nations, Dr. Kurt Waldheim, summarised below by the *Johannesburg Star*:

"The South African Government and Swapo have in-

dependently agreed that the years-long bush war between them could end within four months, setting SWA/Namibia on course for sovereign independence by the end of next year. The two sides will meet . . . round a conference table early in January, with other political parties from the territory to create a 'climate of confidence and understanding' prior to an election programme".

'DISGRACE ABOUNDING'

If this meeting — which is also to be attended by the so-called "contact group" of five Western powers (USA, Canada, United Kingdom, West Germany and France) and the so-called "front-line" states of Botswana, Angola, Zimbabwe, Zambia, Mozambique and Tanzania — due to begin at Maputo (formerly Lourenco Marques), Mozambique on January 7, is successful, it will be followed by "seven months of UN stewardship" supported by 7500 international troops and hundreds of civilian UN administrators.

We do not believe that the South African Prime Minister, Mr. P. W. Botha, and his Foreign Minister, Mr. R. F. (Pik) Botha, are so ill informed that they do not know that the only possible result of all these "discussions" and "negotiations", if it is to have UN and "World" acceptance, is the total betrayal of the existing political parties and the setting up of a Marxist regime under the terrorist leader Sam Nujoma.

The motives at work behind the UN move being what they are it cannot be otherwise.*** As in the case of the Lancaster House conference which sealed the fate of Rhodesia, "creating a climate of confidence" must be interpreted to mean perpetrating on the representatives of South West Africa's existing parties a confidence trick designed to loosen their grip on the existing regime, permitting the admission of 7500 international troops and hundreds of UN civilian administrators — with results that cannot possibly be different from those of the UN-supervised, "fair" elections that put Robert Mugabe in power in Rhodesia.

A significant fact, understandably not being given much publicity today, is that South Africa's leaders have decided to wash their hands of all their responsibility for South West Africa and its people, thereby discarding a trusteeship which the South African Government has exercised since shortly after World War I, when granted the mandate by the League of Nations.

Still both *de jure* and *de facto* trustee, South Africa has announced that it will be present at the talks "only as an observer" - which is one way of making it clear that the political parties which have been set up in South West Africa and have already achieved a measure of orderly and efficient self-rule, will be on their own against a massive array of participants who have been nominated by the UN as "parties" to the dispute.

And notice will have been served on them that they must not expect South African support for further resistance.

These developments came as no surprise to us for we have been saying for years, both in regard to Rhodesia and South West Africa, that there never was anything to

negotiate except surrender timing and procedure.

We were never in doubt, because we always knew that an invading imperialism of money, or economic colonialism, must rule completely wherever it goes, and that its minimum requirements, therefore, exclude any possibility of genuine local self-rule and self-determination, the two being irreconcilable. Thus, the "freedom" which Big Money bleats about incessantly is *its own freedom* and most certainly not that of the people it sets out to "liberate".

What millions of others have been brainwashed and bullied into seeing as "winds of change" blowing "liberation" and "democracy" into Africa, we (like the little child in Hans Christian Andersen's story of *The Emperor's New Clothes*) have seen for what it is: a ruthless and grasping aggrandisement of giant business and finance, fraudulently represented as a realisation of the political ideals of the indigenous peoples.

Nor were we alone in seeing and fully understanding what was going on in Southern Africa.

Lubor Zink writing nearly five years ago in the *Toronto Sun*, summed up as follows with prophetic insight: "It isn't going to happen overnight or in the next few weeks but there can be little doubt that Southern Africa is sliding down the Marxist hole Indochina fell into barely 18 months ago".

At about the same time Eliot Janeway writing in the *Washington Star*, declared that the South African Government had already "set the broad lines of retreat" and that the Afrikaner establishment was yielding to the requirements of what he called "the moneyed mine-owners, estate developers and press magnates".

Arnaud de Borchgrave went further when, in an article in *Newsweek*, he quoted an unnamed senior official of the South African Bureau of State Security as saying: "A Marxist victory in Rhodesia will not jeopardise South Africa's security position. A Marxist-dominated South West African People's Organisation will emerge as the dominant force in Namibia if only because it is the best

BASIC FUND MOVES TO \$50,000

With a growing stream of support from the late-starters, the 1980-81 Basic Fund is now standing at just over \$50,000. As we wish to close the fund by the end of May, as usual, all that is required is a final good pull together by those who have not yet contributed, and the target of \$55,000 will have been reached. Remember: The battles of tomorrow can only be fought with the equipment and training provided NOW. Get YOUR contribution, however small, away TODAY. Queensland and Northern N.S.W. contributions to Mr. Jeremy Lee, Ravensbourne, Queensland; West Australian contributions to The League of Rights, P.O. Box 16 Inglewood, W.A., 6052; all others to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne. 3001.

organised political-military group. SWAPCO has 3000 Soviet-trained guerillas with another 5000 in training. Rather than fight them. South Africa should facilitate their coming to power to preserve its mineral interest in the territory".

'INSANITY FAIR'

If that was the attitude of powerful placed people in the South African Government, then why the delay in yielding to United Nations pressure and demands?

We have no doubt that the long-drawn-out no-win war of words, the endless coming and going of representatives of the external powers and of South Africa's own politicians and senior bureaucrats were necessary and unavoidable, both sides fully understanding that time was needed for the slow process of overcoming the massive obstacle of what the majority of the people of South West Africa believe and instinctively feel about their own political future - plus, of course, the possibly even greater obstacle of *what South African Whites believe and feel about their future.*

The *Star* came near to admitting as much when it suggested in a leading article recently that the South African Prime Minister, Mr. P. W. Botha, had been dragging his feet over the implementation of UN

Resolution 435 demanding independence for South West Africa, "until he has got his restive right wing properly neutralised in South Africa" - the "right wing", in South Africa as in most other parts of the world today, being that portion of the population which is most resistant to leftist propoganda aimed at revolutionary change.

In conclusion, then, we would say that the Anglo American mission to Moscow means that the super-capitalist/Marxist revolutionary encirclement of South West Africa is nearing its final stage and that nothing can now prevent that territory from "sliding down the Marxist hole" except a blow-up in South Africa's internal politics — a possibility still not to be excluded.

****See 'Behind Communism in Africa', Ivor Benson (50c).**

*****See 'Far and Wide, Douglas Reed (R6).**

For further Reading: "Somewhere South of Suez" by Douglas Reed (R10); 'The Battle for South Africa' by Ivor Benson (R3).

"I think I can claim the main credit for this exciting vision of the new Africa, yet all that I have done, really, is allow myself to be guided by the interest of Anglo American . . . How can what is good for Anglo American be bad for South Africa?"

Harry Oppenheimer.

TO THE POINT

Marxist Mugabe of Zimbabwe continues to strive to maintain a moderate image while he asks Western nations for even great financial handouts than they have already provided. And he needs to keep as many whites as possible in the country so that he does not suffer the fate of Mozambique and other African States from which the whites left. Two more whites were found murdered on the weekend of the celebrations of the first anniversary of "independence", renewing the fears of many who felt that perhaps they could survive under black rule. Reports to hand confirm a slow deterioration, with large numbers of whites only staying on because they can take nothing with them. Some of the elderly are in the worst position, as they feel they could not possibly start a new life elsewhere at their time of life.

As Jews often claim that they have much more intelligence than ordinary people (i.e. those who have not been "chosen") it would be instructive to know why the Zionist State of Israel, living as it does upon international handouts, cannot solve the inflation question. The Israeli inflation rate is running at over 150 percent per annum.

* * *

Remember all that panic about the world's oil supplies about to run out? Some of the more realistic commentators

suggested that when oil prices reached a certain price, with the international oil companies and governments sharing in the looting of the oil consumers, prices would start to "level off and it would be discovered that the "crisis" had passed. Reports now appear almost daily informing us that there is a glut of oil and that wholesale prices may even drop. But consumers will get no benefits from any reductions; governments will see to that!

* * *

His Holiness the Pope continues to surprise many. The Israeli Foreign Ministry has deplored a recent meeting in Rome of a representative of the Palestine Liberation Organisation with a Papal representative. Pope John has frequently said that a Middle East solution must not ignore the rights of the Palestinians. The Pope has condemned Israel's "annexation of Jerusalem". A report in the *Australian Jewish News* of April 10 says "Pope John Paul surprised the Israelis last October when he said that the Palestinians were excluded from their homeland with the 1948 creation of the State of Israel. Were the Israelis surprised because a Christian spokesman dared to speak the truth?"

* * *

As the worldwide economic crisis deepens, nations like

BEHIND THE SCENE

The money-power and the revolutionary-power have been set up and given sham but symbolic shapes ('Capitalism' and 'Communism') and sharply defined citadels ('America' and "Russia"). Suitably to alarm the mass mind, the picture offered is that of bleak and hopeless enmity and confrontation.... But what if similar men, with a common aim, secretly rule in both camps and propose to achieve their ambition through the clash between these masses? I believe that any diligent student of our times will discover that this is the case.

Douglas Reed, Far and Wide.

the U.S.A. are increasingly resorting to increased tariffs and other controls to save local industries against foreign competition. *China Post* of April 13 expresses concern that the Reagan Administration could agree to a recommendation to rubber shoe imports from Taiwan, stating editorially: "This is a bad recommendation, because it will put President Reagan and the United States in a dilemma: To build trade barriers while advocating free trade and calling on other countries to eliminate trade barriers. President Reagan is a wise national political leader as well as an able leader of the free world. We hope that President Reagan will not accept the recommendation, but try to help solve the problems of the U.S. footwear industry by other ways."

But what "other ways" would Taiwan suggest? That is the rub. The "fight" for foreign markets means that if the exporter wins the "fight", domestic industries are bankrupted.

* * *

Unnoticed by relatively few Americans, President Jimmy Carter, himself a member of the Trilateral Commission advanced the Trilateralists' strategy of centralising all power, by signing into law in March of last year the Monetary Control Act. In spite of the fact that the Great Depression resulted in hundreds of the smaller banks in the U.S.A. being forced to close their doors, the American banking system has remained relatively decentralised, with some independence of action possible for independent commercial and State banks. As a result of the Monetary Control Act all banks must now join the Federal Reserve System. American critics of the Trilateral Commission believe that the new Monetary Control Act is a major step towards achieving the Commission's objective of gaining effective centralised control over the whole American economy under the Federal Reserve central bank as a preliminary to establishing an international currency.

* * *

The continuing pettiness and pseudo-morality of the Fraser Government concerning South Africa, continues to manifest an almost pathological state of mind. Not content with expressing opposition to South African rugby players even just changing planes on their way to New Zealand, and joining in the attempted international blackmail of New Zealand for agreeing to let the Springboks tour, the Fraser Government has asked Australian sportsman not to compete against South Africans in any other part of the world.

The latest example of this vindictiveness is the preventing of Australian surfers from competing against South African surfers in California, U.S.A. With Qantas keen to get back on the lucrative Indian Ocean route to South Africa, the Government has tried to use Qantas as an arm of its foreign policy, insisting that Qantas can only fly as far as Mauritius. Last November, in the wake of the announcement that Qantas had lost more than \$21 million last financial year, Qantas announced that it planned to fly a weekly Sydney to Johannesburg flight. Qantas has

resisted the suggestion that it should fly through Mauritius into some black African State, stating it would be economically unviable.

Prime Minister Fraser foolishly believes that he can establish himself as a leader of the "Third World" by doing everything possible to attempt to isolate South Africa. In the meantime most black African States are surreptitiously increasing their economic links with South Africa.

* * *

The U.S.A. is becoming increasingly cautious about Vietnamese boat people applying to go to America from camps in South-East Asia. The Americans doubt that they are genuine refugees. The UN High Commissioner for Refugees is concerned because the new American attitude raises the question of what is to happen to the Vietnamese leaving Vietnam by boat. An estimated 75,000 left last year, which means that most left with at least the tacit approval of the Saigon Communist Government. Observers also say that there has been a marked change in the type of people leaving Vietnam by boat over the past twelve months. Many are leaving to obtain better economic conditions in the West. The Communist nations have deliberately created or exploited refugee problems. A UN resolution last December seeking international co-operation to avert new movements of refugees across borders, had 16 nations of the Communist bloc voting against it. One of these was Vietnam. Listed amongst those who showed lack of concern by not voting, was Mugabe's Zimbabwe.

ANOTHER CHRISTIAN ALTERNATIVE SEMINAR

Mr. Horton Davies, Mr. Jeremy Lee, Mr. Edward Rock and Mr. Eric Butler will be the main speakers at the first Christian Alternative Seminar to be held in N.S.W. Conducted under the auspices of The Christian Institute of Individual Freedom and the Church of Christ, Austral, the one-day Seminar will be held in The Church of Christ, Edmondson Avenue, Austral, N.S.W. on Saturday, May 23, starting with a Service of worship, praise and committal at 1.30 p.m.

Afternoon tea will be available, and there will be a break between 5 p.m. and 6 p.m. for the evening meal.

Charge for Seminar: \$5 per person. \$8 per family. Pensioners and students half price. Payment can be made upon arrival.

Those attending may bring their own evening meal. But this can be provided for \$3.50 per head. But catering demands that all those requiring an evening meal book IMMEDIATELY, forwarding their \$3.50 to Mr. A. T. Maurice, Yeola Road, Robertson, N.S.W., 2577. Phone: (048) 85 1376.

SOUTH AFRICA AND HER NEIGHBOURS

The hypocrisy and double standards of the African black States concerning South Africa, is brought out clearly in the following information provided by the "South African Foundation News". We are publishing from this source because the South African Foundation, representing in the main Big Business and having a long record of attempting to erode South Africa's traditional policy of separate development ("apartheid").

The economic relations between South Africa and black Africa are yet another illustration of the gap between rhetoric and reality on the international scene, writes Paris Director Desmond Colbourne. (Pic)

As regards rhetoric, criticism of South Africa, and the call for boycotts and economic sanctions are among the few matters on which the Organisation of African Unity (OAU) can seem to agree. And this, despite the fact that the wines and other food-stuffs served at the OAU conferences were South African — at the Lusaka summit, even the red carpet was an import from South Africa.

In reality, therefore, trade between South Africa and the OAU countries increases every year. In 1980, South Africa's exports to black Africa even increased by over 2/3 as compared to 1979, and it is estimated that 2/3 of the African countries traded with South Africa during that period.

One has simply to glance at a map to realize the importance of the South African railway and road network to the countries of the sub-continent as a whole. In Zambia, for example, 40 per cent of the population is grouped in the areas close to the railway lines, as are virtually all the mining and industries, including the commercial side of agriculture. The South African railways play a vital role in the sub-continent's railroad infrastructure. At one point, in 1980, 1300 South African railway trucks were in Zambia and Zaire, far from the South African borders, and 3500 were in Zimbabwe. Approximately 90 percent of Zimbabwe's imports and exports pass through South African railways and ports. As a Zimbabwean civil servant remarked, "The Southern African railway network is like the human circulatory system: one could cut off one of the members, and the body would continue to function, but you can't do without the heart. At the moment, black Africa feels that the heart is badly situated: in South Africa". But what applies to the railway network is also true in other fields: road transport, electrical installations, energy, techniques, investment, and labour. Indeed, thousands of black workers immigrate to South Africa, often illegally. (In Lesotho, for example, out of eight workers, only one will find work on the spot, and the other seven will go to South Africa).

South Africa is also increasing its air links with the other African countries. In 1980, the Lusaka-Johannesburg link was inaugurated, with four passenger flights a week, and unofficial links have been es-

tablished with Zaire. According to the *New York Times*, almost every night, South African planes take off discreetly from Kinshasa with cargoes of cobalt, diamonds and coffee.

In the opposite direction, South Africa exports all types of machinery, medicines, clothing and a variety of products ranging from meat for Sekou Toure's Guinea, to helmets for the Ugandan police force. But in the present context, it is food, which is the vital export — in the literal sense of the word! Seventeen African countries are facing famine, and it is estimated that a million Africans could die of hunger this year. This is a catastrophe, particularly for a continent of predominantly rural economy. South Africa is one of the few African countries, which exports food, and at present she is exporting tons of maize, a staple food in many parts of black Africa. It is therefore not surprising that President Nyerere of Tanzania once said that if South Africa were the only source of shoes, he'd do without them, but if she were the sole source of supply of maize, he'd go and get it.

Zambia and Zimbabwe import South African maize in great quantity. Kenya recently imported 11 million dollars worth, and, embarrassed by its origin, announced that the maize came from Mozambique ... which in turn had imported 150,000 tonnes of maize from South Africa.

Indeed, it is probably with Mozambique, a country with Marxist affiliations, that the increase in links with South Africa has been most noticeable. 40 percent of Maputo's foreign exchange income comes from South Africa, who buys energy from the Cabora Bassa dam, and employs 42,000 Mozambican workers in her mines. South African technicians maintain Maputo's port facilities, 60 per cent of whose activity is for South Africa, and it is South African technicians also, who supervise the railway lines linking Maputo to Johannesburg. These regional realities were recently confirmed by a journalist from *Le Monde*, who was sent to report on Mozambique: "If there is interdependence, as President Machel of Mozambique claims, it is very unbalanced. For Maputo a break-off would be suicide..." Although President Machel declares "I'm not losing any sleep over our relations with Pretoria", it is obvious that this dependence is often seen as a hindrance, and even a humiliation by those who want to bring about what they see as the economic liberation of Africa. Last December, a conference was held in Maputo, by nine countries, which want to disengage themselves

from South Africa. The participants in themselves have even more relations this conference appealed to the Euro- with her? During this latest conference, an influential British journalist addressed the Europeans in words to that of South Africa in the long run. In this effect: "The drama of southern Africa today is that it houses two economic systems, black and white, which could not survive without each other, and two political systems which refuse to exist side by side. It is the duty of the West towards Africa to work at attenuating these differences. We must encourage the political and social changes that every reasonable citizen in South Africa recognizes as essential for the peaceful survival of the continent. We must also convince our black friends that the rhythm and efficacy of these changes would increase more rapidly, and that the advantages would be greater for all the Africans, if the white South African community could be included rather than excluded from African affairs."

In the name of what morality are the African countries asking Europe to boycott South Africa, when they

'SOMEWHERE SOUTH OF SUEZ'

Africa is now a king piece in the game and a great hand hovers over it: the hand which built Johannesburg on feet of gold ... which reaches down for mining labour into the loneliest kraal, which spread the Communist area, by arms and not by conviction, from the centre of Europe to the Pacific, which set up the Political Zionists in Arabia.

The future, for Africa, will be absorbing to watch. In the final throws of the great twentieth-century game, it has been added to the stakes.

Douglas Reed.

OUR SHAM DEMOCRACY

by James Guthrie, B.Sc.

In an Introduction to this work, Mr. Eric Butler writes, "All Truth is eternal. Although first published over thirty years ago, James Guthrie's book on the truths concerning the relationship of the individual to government is more appropriate than ever." A devastating exposure of "the majority vote racket" and constructive suggestions for making democracy a reality. Price \$1.35.

FAITH, POWER AND ACTION

by L. D. Byrne, O.B.E.

This can be described as a handbook for those who wish to translate Christian Truths into Realistic Action — to make the Word Flesh. One sample of the many gems in this work: "To the extent human thought reflects the power of Faith, it will be in harmony with the Universal Mind and have access to the One Source of All Power; its potential powers of creation will be unlimited." Price \$1.00.