

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 46, No. 7

JULY 1981

INFLATION, CIVIL WAR AND REVOLUTION

Stalin observed a national revolution was impossible without a major crisis affecting both employers and employees. A financial policy, which makes heavy taxation, a Marxist objective, and its consequent inflation mathematically certain, is the major cause of crisis conditions, and for this reason is welcomed by all revolutionaries. The Marxists saw from the beginning of the Social Credit movement that it offered the only policy for resolving "class warfare" and replacing it with a society in which all individuals had the maximum incentive to co-operate instead of engaging in conflict. The Marxists have spared me no effort over the years of opposing and misrepresenting Social Credit in every possible way.

Recent strikes in both Australia and the United Kingdom have highlighted how the introduction of highly sophisticated electronic equipment has made it much easier for a small body to wreck a modern society in the pursuit of higher wages in an endeavour to offset inflation. By closing down computers, British public servants immobilised Britain's missile defences for 24 hours. In spite of all her strong words, Mrs. Margaret Thatcher has had to yield to the threats of disastrous strikes unless wage increases were granted in excess of her own guidelines. The same has happened in Australia, where the Fraser Government not only had to capitulate in the most humiliating manner to the strikers who not only paralysed the communication network, but also played havoc with large sectors of industry. The increases were outside the Government's own guidelines, designed to "control" inflation, and have naturally resulted in large numbers of other workers demanding similar wage increases. Continuing high inflation is inevitable.

A DECLARATION OF CIVIL WAR

During the destructive Australian Telecom strike, Queensland State Secretary of the Australian Telecommunications Employees Union, made some brutally frank comments concerning what was involved. Mr. Ian McLean believes that he is probably the man Queensland businessmen love to hate. But he is not concerned. He said, "Our aim is not to solve the problems of business, but to pursue the aims of our members. The bans are affecting the tools of business - telephone and STD links - but that's our objective, to hurt them. Our tactic is obviously to get them to go to the government whose ear they have and to put the pressure on".

Here is an open declaration of what can only be described as civil war. Mr. McLean is saying that his members can only obtain wage increases to offset inflation by declaring war on another section of society. But they are not only hurting employers; they are indirectly hurting fellow trade unionists. Then in the not-too-distant future, some of these

fellow trade unionists will be hurting them as they use the strike weapon to gain higher wages.

The accelerating process of centralisation in all industrialised nations, with greater reliance upon sophisticated equipment and continuing inflation must result in major industrial convulsions threatening the very foundations of orderly society. Attempts by "strong" governments, like those of Malcolm Fraser or Margaret Thatcher, to suppress the convulsions can only act like petrol upon a fire already raging. The Marxists and their many associates warmly welcome the immediate prospects. Developments appear to confirm the Marxist predictions of a capitalist society destroying itself through its own internal convulsions. But the destruction is the result of a financial policy, which could be easily reversed. Such a type of policy was successfully demonstrated throughout the English-speaking world during World War II, when a system of subsidies was used to lower basic prices in the economy without interfering with the legitimate profits of producers. The result was general price stability.

THE ABOLITION OF SUBSIDIES

Immediately after the war every effort was made to get rid of the subsidy system. The first Menzies Government came to office in 1949 on a promise to restore the consumer subsidy system, but failed to implement it in the face of bitter opposition from the bureaucracy. The British partly retained consumer subsidies, with relatively beneficial results until forced to drop them as part of the Common Market

THREAT OF THE WORLD STATE

"...my opinion is that until the protection of the individual is much more firmly secured than it is now, the creation of a world state would probably be a greater danger to the future of civilisation than even war".

—Professor Hayek, author of the famous "Road to Serfdom"

betrayal. Food prices rocketed. It was the Muldoon Nationalist Government, which eventually destroyed the subsidy system in New Zealand, which helped ensure that New Zealanders could obtain their own dairy products at reasonable prices. Inflation accelerated when the subsidies were removed.

C.H. Douglas stressed that only a falling price level, reflecting the lower real cost of production made possible by technology, and made possible by a system of consumer credits, would eliminate social friction and produce harmony with respect for traditional institutions. The credit monopolists have so persistently rejected this sane policy that the only logical conclusion is that they are prepared to foster convulsion and to join hands with the Marxist revolutionaries to exploit a deepening crisis in furthering the dream of some type of World State with one World Government. Events in the Polish crisis confirm this view. Accord-

ing to an article in the New York *New Leader* of November 3, 1980, it was a meeting in Warsaw between Western bankers and Polish Communist officials which triggered off the Polish crisis.

CONSUMER CREDITS OR REVOLUTION?

The nexus between International Finance and International Communism becomes clearer every day. That nexus can only be broken by Western nations challenging the credit monopolists inside their own countries. A lead could be given by a nation like Australia, which could be completely independent of the rest of the world. But it would need the sympathetic understanding of other Western nations to ensure that it was not subjected to an international campaign of destruction. The issue is clear: either constructive reversal of inflation through the use of consumer credits, or growing civil war and revolution as a prelude to an attempt to establish the New World Order.

WORLD GOVERNMENT IS ANTI-CHRISTIAN

By John Mitchell

With the strategy for creating a World Government, vis the "United" Nations, and the New International Economic Order, now emerging more openly, the following article by Mr. John Mitchell, founder in England of The Christian Campaign for Freedom, which we first published over twenty years ago, has greater relevance than when it was first published.

Here is one of the strangest and most tragic paradoxes of our times: Christianity is first and last concerned with winning men and women to a recognition of and a loyalty to the sovereignty of the King of kings. Yet the vast majority of the clergy are by their advocacy or their silence encouraging men and women to surrender power to decide how they shall live and think, not to God, but to the Prince of this world.

One of the most striking passages in the Gospels is concerned with this matter. The devil took Jesus on to a high mountain, and, in the words of the bible:

"shewed unto him all the kingdoms of the world in a moment of time.

"And the devil said unto him. All this power will I give thee, and the glory of them: for that is delivered unto me; and to whomsoever I will give it.

"If thou therefore wilt worship me, all shall be thine."

And Christ's answer was emphatically unequivocal: "Get thee behind me, Satan: for it is written. Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve."

It is being made to appear by propaganda, which is so universal and so pervasive that most people absorb it without being aware of it that there are only two alternatives before the world. Either war with weapons so frightful that all mankind will be annihilated, or submission of all nations and all peoples to a single world government.

There are large numbers of people so naive, of course, that they really believe that world government brought about by the United Nations will be benevolent; there are others so frightened by the alternative which is presented to them that they will not listen to the arguments against it.

Well, there is no man, and no group of men, who approach Christ injustice or goodness; and certainly those who aspire to political, economic or financial power over

mankind are many leagues from Christ.

I put it to you:

God, in the person of Christ, the fountainhead of goodness, unequivocally rejected world government.

Many bishops, clergy and churchmen, claiming to be Christians and in the name of Christianity, are supporting and working for world government.

CHRIST REJECTED WORLDLY POWER

Christ knew the temptations of worldly power, and Christ rejected that power. And not only rejected it; He preached a gospel whose very essence is the antithesis of world government. The true nature of sovereignty is the heart and substance of Christianity.

And it is about the true nature of sovereignty that I want to talk to you now. There is a little catechism, which I will quote:

"Is God Omnipotent?" "Of course." "Then why does not God control human purpose?" "Because that would interfere with free will."

Individual purpose; individual will; individual freedom of choice- are part of God's purpose. Yet the world is full of people who want to control other people's purpose; who think they know what is best for other people. From the Puritans, many of who are to be found among the clergy, to the Communists and crypto-communists.

All these people want to chain free will and impose their own ideas on other people. They deny personal sovereignty. And they are free to air their views in the daily press and on the wireless. They are encouraged to do so. For this purpose they can always find money. Undoubtedly the great majority of them are sincere. But that makes them all the

more dangerous. It is their sincerity, which leads many simple people who do not think very clearly into supporting them. And when they occupy high places, what they say, although false, has an air of authority. And their rise to these positions is subtly aided. For instance the archbishops of the Church of England are appointed by the State. And although they pay lip service to freedom, not one of them has been found to lead the Church, or themselves to oppose, either in principle or in fact, the multifarious laws, the crippling and discriminating taxation or the machinations of High Finance which have destroyed-or very nearly so - independence during the last forty or fifty years. It was the late Dr. Garbett, who, when Archbishop of York, declared that it is possible to be both Communist and Christian. It was the late Dr. Temple, who, when Archbishop of Canterbury, declared: "We need supremely the control of human purpose." Were they sincere men? Of course they were. But they were advanced to high position because they were both able and mistaken in their ideas, and had been judged to be incorrigible. They were first class public relations officers, because they were recognised widely as able and sincere, for the philosophy which at one and the same time gives birth to and accepts the policies, which have brought the Western world to the very brink of world tyranny.

In a recent book in which he describes his conversion to Christianity, Mr. C.S. Lewis refers to his phobia for insects. He says "Their angular limbs, their jerky movements, their dry, metallic noises, all suggest either machines that have come to life or life degenerating into mechanism."

CHRISTIAN CONCEPTION OF SOVEREIGNTY

Note the phrase 'life degenerating into mechanism! Now you may think that this is a long way from the question of sovereignty and world government. But it isn't. I am convinced that it is a futile waste of time to argue for or against the surrender of national sovereignty and world government without going to the very roots of this question of sovereignty. I am trying to bring to your attention the Christian conception of sovereignty, because the Christian conception of sovereignty and these other sovereignties are inextricably mixed up. By which I mean the one intimately affects the other.

Does anyone want to live the life of a bee or an ant? Does anyone suppose that a bee or an ant could become a Christian and remain a bee or an ant? Of course they don't. And the reason is that the bee and the ant are dominated by the collective. Life to them is quite impersonal. It has degenerated into mechanism. And it is because it has become dominated by the collective.

Consider this statement from the Prayer Book: "As in Adam all men die, so in Christ all men are made alive." I remember when living in Palestine and having to learn Arabic being struck by the close resemblance in pronunciation of a word in that language meaning men (as a collectivity) with Adam; and again when in India learning Urdu I found 'adme' meaning 'mankind!

Men are made alive by individual consciousness and responsibility. "I came that ye might have life, and have it more abundantly." That is the Christian purpose and it

cannot be found through the collectivity, the imposition from outside of a purpose on men and women; because in Adam (the collectivity) all men die.

The Christian conception of sovereignty was expressed in the words: "For behold, the kingdom of God is within you." This is the basic sovereignty, personal sovereignty, to which all other sovereignties are subservient in the reality of the Universe. And must be made so in the actual world, otherwise the kingdom of God cannot be sought, and the kingdom of Satan, the Prince of the world, will dominate us.

FREEDOM OF CHOICE AND GOD-GIVEN RIGHT

The right to personal freedom of choice is God given. It is the gateway to the kingdom of God. And our first and fundamental duty is to defend this right. And I emphasise this because one frequently hears ecclesiastics in this age of warning freedoms, this age when the rights of man are so frequently negated by governments, I say, one frequently hears bishops and clergy taunt people to paying too much regard to rights and not enough to duties.

Be not mistaken. There is no more important duty than to defend personal freedom because it is the means to that life more abundant for which Christ came. It is through the exercise of freedom, and only through the exercise of freedom that men and women acquire that experience through which they may learn responsibility and develop their consciousness. Deny freedom. Allow it to be taken away from yourself or others; then you are allowing, are agreeing that the key of knowledge should be taken away from yourself and others.

"Woe unto you, lawyers!" said Christ, "for ye laden men with great burdens.

"Woe unto you, lawyers; for ye have taken away the key of knowledge. Ye entered not in yourselves, and them that were entering ye hindered."

It is the scribes and Pharisees whom Christ accused of shutting up the kingdom of heaven against men. Why? Because by their actions, their teachings and their laws they

HISTORIC NATIONAL WEEKEND

This year's National weekend of the League of Rights, October 3-October 5, will coincide with the most important international conference ever held in Melbourne, the Commonwealth Prime Ministers' Conference, also to be held in Melbourne. The major item at this conference will be the treacherous New International Economic Order. The League plans a massive campaign to counter this conference. All supporters are urged to make a special effort to be in Melbourne for this year's National weekend, starting with "The New Times" Dinner on Friday, October 2. Bookings must be made in advance with a donation of \$12 per person. Every effort will be made to provide private hospitality for interstate and country supporters requiring it. Write to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

denied personal sovereignty to ordinary men and women. You will find them today, these scribes and Pharisees, in their puritanical garbs filling the Parliamentary benches, the civil service offices and the schools of economics. And you will find large numbers of them too, borrowing the cloak of Christ, standing in the pulpits of ostensibly Christian churches. Well, may be it asked in their case: "How can ye escape the damnation of hell?"

Everywhere during the greater part of this century these lawyers in the parliaments, in the civil service offices and in the schools of economics, as well as their fifth column in the Churches, have been forwarding social legislation which has submerged the individual in the mass, the collective, has made the individual serve the institution. Property has been taxed out of the hands of individuals into the control, if not the possession, of banks and giant corporations, monopolies and nationalised undertakings. The value of the individual person's money has been halved and then halved again by controlled and deliberate inflation of the currency. Local government powers have been usurped by central governments. Information, publicity, education, entertainment, all forms of amenities and services, have become more and more standardised and centralised into the hands of a few. And behind it all is the giant worldwide Monopoly of Credit, able by its exclusive power to grant or refuse, to expand or contract credit supplies, able by its power over business man, politician and newspaper owner to have the final and determining voice over what is published and what is done. And at its apex this Credit Monopoly is dominated by the Jewish banking families. It is the continuance through the centuries down into our own times of the same wealthy families who composed the hierarchy of the Temple, the Sanhedrin, the home of the scribes and Pharisees against whom Christ let loose His most telling invective, and who were his determined enemies.

Why did they so revile and hate Jesus Christ? Why were the Jewish Sanhedrin, and not the military and civil power of imperial Caesar, His chief and deadly enemy?

JUDAISM OR CHRISTIANITY?

The reason centres on two vital words: Sovereignty and loyalty. The Judaic religion, like Calvinism and Puritanism, which are derived from it, denies sovereignty to individual men and women who are regarded as so sinful that they cannot be allowed to exercise free will. So sovereignty is reposed in an elect, a chosen few, to who is imputed the merits of divinity. The Elect lay down the rules of living for the sinful people. It is an imposed religion, giving to those who impose it power. It does not need much imagination to see that when a people like the Jews who for many centuries have been impregnated with this religion, are dispersed over the face of the globe, acquire an unrivalled aptitude for monetary matters which in every nation gives them immense power, that the Elect who rule this people, particularly if they are able to disguise their role by using and working behind others, have a power in the world which is quite unique. It was the power of the Elect of Jewry which Christ's teaching threatened. It was the consequences of the long-term policy of those Elect, which has been pursued down through the centuries, which He foresaw.

It is that long-term policy from which we suffer now, and it has nearly consummated its aims of Absolute World Tyranny.

It has always been the case, and is so now, and always will be that only Christianity can avert this disaster. I have bracketed the two words 'Sovereignty' and 'Loyalty! There are some people who think that an appeal to people's love of freedom can save us. There are others who think that it is only necessary to appeal to loyalty to the Queen, to love of country, to save us. I am sure that they are not enough. I am sure that only by awaking people's deepest religious sense can disaster be averted. And to do that we must open their eyes to the Christian conception of sovereignty and challenge them to abandon compromise, and stand fast in their loyalty to God.

How are people to be loyal to God? On this matter of sovereignty nothing could be clearer. Turn to chapter 23 of the Gospel according to St. Mathew and start reading from verse 8.

"Call no man your father upon the earth; for one is your Father, which is in heaven.

"Neither be ye called masters; for one is your Master, even Christ.

"But he that is greatest among you shall be your servant.

Here is a call for loyalty. This is the starting point for practical political action (in its widest sense). And I cannot emphasise too strongly that it is useless to start from any other point. Until people accept loyalty, and act on it with absolute integrity all action will fall to the ground and come to nothing.

"Well, when people accept this loyalty, what do they do then?"

It is quite clear that you cannot be loyal to God in the terms given by Christ which I have just quoted and support in any way legislation, whether financial, economic or social which interferes with freedom of choice and makes masters of people who should be your servants. In other words the whole of the socialistic legislation, which interferes with people's rights and property, has to be rejected.

REALISTIC POLITICAL ACTION

The first thing then is to withdraw your political support.

The second thing to do is to make clear and state what conditions you will give your political support to anyone. Two or three years ago I launched a Christian Campaign For Freedom and I will quote from one of the Pledges, which were circulated:

"I pledge my loyalty to Him "Whose Service is Perfect Freedom."

"I acknowledge that it is disloyalty to Him to support or condone any policy which is destructive of freedom.

"I hereby declare that I will not vote for any candidate for Parliament unless and until he gives a written pledge, backed by suitable recognisances, that he will not support any government until that government declare:

(1) That under no circumstances will it surrender any part of the sovereignty of Her Majesty's Realm of Great Britain.

(2) That it will establish the right of the minority to

contract out, without penalty for so doing, of the following:

I will not read the whole pledge. But what I have quoted will serve to show the sort of thing it is necessary to do.

And the principle of it is to establish in every town and village a body of people who will be a standard and a guide to of integrity and loyalty to God, withdraws complete integrity and without compromise. And yielding their support only in return for a legal contract, containing penal clauses if breached. What kind of Christian is he or she who goes to church and there prays:

"Our Father, which art in heaven, Hallowed be thy name. Thy kingdom come, Thy will be done, in earth as it is in heaven."

and then comes out and supports by his words and actions the establishment of Satan's kingdom on earth through the usurpation of personal sovereignties everywhere by a master race of bureaucrats and lawyers under the name of national socialism or the welfare state; and, as if that were not bad enough, goes even further - supports the surrender of the power to decide - the sovereignty - of his own nation to a World Central Government?

I ask you, what sort of a Christian is a man or a woman who does that? He is clearly a hypocrite and a traitor to God.

"Call no man your father upon the earth..."

"Neither be ye called masters..."

What could be plainer than that? Yet socialism, whether under its own name, or under the name of Planning, Welfare, compulsory social services or communism, sets up, in the persons of the creatures who control at the top all its centralised agencies, to be the father of every man from his cradle to his grave. And because it deprives the individual of freedom to choose, makes it impossible for him to contract out, socialism subordinates the individual to the state, which in the person of its officials becomes his master.

The first need is a rallying ground in every district for those who would exercise integrity and be, in their actions, loyal to God. Do not make the mistake of supposing that this is a power group. It does not seek power. It deliberately, in the name is to influence others morally by a demonstration of integrity and loyalty in action. The crowd political mind is corrupted; it has become the perfect tool for unscrupulous politicians. What these loyalist and integrity groups can do is to bring into being what Dr. Albert Schweitzer called a new tone of mind independent of the one prevalent among the crowd and in opposition to it, a tone of mind which will gradually win influence over the collective one, and in the end determine its character." But once you start compromising with the truth or with politicians you will destroy the whole spiritual power of your action.

The second need is to approach every clergyman individually and challenge him to give his support. And pillory him and the lying hypocrites who claim to be Christians and in Christ's name support and mislead others to support socialism in any of its guises and world government.

WHEN ENGLAND WAS MERRIE

Now, in conclusion I ask you to confront the astonishing fact. Centuries ago in England during the period when the

whole nation accepted Christianity as a real policy, and when all Jews had been excluded from the land, the people enjoyed no less than a hundred and fifty public holidays. Modern sources of power had not been tapped; there were no machines, no labour saving gadgets. There was no police force. Yet this was the period when England was called Merrie England, people enjoyed leisure and craftsmanship flourished. Men and women knew what it was to be independent.

Today we have enormous sources of power at our disposal, and every sort of labour saving device, so that our entire essential needs and many of our comforts could be provided by a small fraction of the population working short hours. Yet the independent man has been eliminated by the taxation system, we have far less leisure, and Full Employment is everywhere erected as the yardstick of prosperity.

Why?

The answer is simple; it is one of the most important facts of the plot against the individual. The only way a ruler can control others is by directing how their time shall be spent; and that means employing them in some sense. The right to live is then subject to permission, because by your financial and economic measures you have made it virtually impossible for people to be independent. Instead it is intended, and in fact men and women do become functions of a machine or apparatus, which has already been largely centralised nationally; and will before long, if not checked, become merged into international agencies controlled from one world centre. The Plan calls for a policy of production for production's sake, work for work's sake, materialism ad lib.

Keep people employed. Thereby keep them controlled. Central control of education, central control of news, central control of entertainment and central control of propaganda. Do this and you have people bodily and mentally controlled from birth to death.

LEISURE OR "FULL EMPLOYMENT"

The only justification for modern labour-saving methods is that they release more and more people to lead leisured independent lives devoted to pursuits of their own choosing. But instead of that we have education more and more narrowed to technical materialism, the end product being a technical barbarian fitted only to live in a tenement block and labouring as a cog in a monster super production machine. From his mind everything, which would help him to think as an independent sovereign person, has been

LEISURE

by John Fitzgerald, David Purcell and Eric D. Butler.

How can "full employment" be sustained as automated equipment controlled by computers replaces human labour? Why not accept with gratitude the leisure age? How should the Christian regard leisure? Why the fear of paid leisure? Three outstanding Papers given at a 1958 Social Credit Seminar, explore these and associated questions in depth. Subsequent events since these papers were first presented, only serve to highlight what was said. The future of Civilisation will be governed by how the leisure issue is resolved. Price \$1.15.

excluded from childhood; while as an adult his ears and his eyes are continually assailed by a propaganda and publicity which cultivates a taste and concentrates his attention on materialistic things. He is the mass man, the collectivised man, fit only to be controlled as a slave in a satanic order. The personal sovereignty, which would enable him to seek the kingdom of God, has been stolen from him from childhood. As Christ said, the scribes and Pharisees have shut up the kingdom of heaven against men.

The policy is Full Employment, based on ever increasing industrialisation and expanding production. The purpose is power.

And the philosophy on which this policy is based is the power philosophy of the Judaic religion - that man shall only live by the sweat of his brow.

It is not what Christ taught. He said: "Consider the lilies, how they grow. They toil not, neither do they spin."

The bishops and clergy often complain of the materialism of our times. But when do they ever criticise the governmental policies, which produce the materialism? More often than not if you ask them or the clergy to express authoritatively and publicly Christian teaching in regard to the policies, which are being pursued, you are told that their primary responsibility is "to save souls." Now I hope that I have made it clear that the policy which makes it progressively more difficult, and in time will make it impossible to save souls, for the simple reason that personal sovereignty will have completely disappeared; and those who want to save souls, if they still survive will have to apply to the office of Satan for permission to proceed.

CHRISTIAN AUTHORITY

In other words it is clearly the first duty of those who would save souls to attack the policy that is designed to make it impossible, and to give positive expression to Christian Authority on policy.

Nearly three years ago I started an action to encourage this. It has made some progress and is being carried on in several countries. One result was a statement to his flock by the Bishop of Oxford. His statement contained this: "Work for work's sake is not a Christian maxim; We work in order to live. To reverse this would be to suggest that man is a mere producing or organising machine...Man's life, on any Christian view is something far greater and more profound than his capacity to produce goods or organise their production.

After a good deal of correspondence and discussion twenty-three bishops of the Church of England, somewhat reluctantly, agreed that this was indeed Christian truth.

You see yourself subjectively as having a body and a soul; your soul being on a different plane to your body, which belongs wholly to this world. But Christ prayed "Thy kingdom come in earth, as in heaven." and said, "My kingdom is not of this world." But He enjoined us "Seek ye FIRST the kingdom of God, and...." As the prototype of man, his soul is on another plane, so the prototype of society - the nature of its structure - is on another plane. It exists there as objective truth. It has to be sought, and when found and obeyed it makes us free.

It is the special mission of the Church to give authoritative guidance to society on the nature of the Law of Truth. At present the great majority of bishops and clergy- there are a few valiant exceptions - and all the Church newspapers are supporting by their advocacy or their silence, the materialistic super production work State.

Finally, do not deceive yourself; campaigns for sixpence off the income tax, or ten per cent reduction in government expenditure are just childish playing with a grave problem, if indeed they are not purposely designed to mislead you.

Make no mistake, the power of Satan, the Jewish Sanhedrin, is in the Monopoly of Credit. There is only one way to break this, and give people factual personal sovereignty, and that is to arrange that as of right they receive private financial incomes outside and quite independent of what they earn in employment. Any talk of sovereignty without this provision is just monstrous hypocrisy.

I now appeal to those clergy who are listening to me to come out and oppose it, and give clear guidance publicly on the Truth.

I appeal to all who listen to me to join and support any group which is working to these ends in their district; and if there is no group to help to form one.

JEWISH GROUP MADNESS

In one of the most important books of this century, *The Controversy of Zion*, Douglas Reed examines the origin of the explosive Jewish "chosen race" concept and then traces its destructive impact on human affairs down through the centuries. The elevation of the group over the individual invariably produces the same disastrous consequences for the individual for all people, but no other group in history has sustained itself with the fanatical zeal, psychological and other techniques, as have the Jews. C.H. Douglas described the philosophy of the Jews as that of the one-way street. Rational discussion is impossible, as even the courageous Jews who have sought to oppose the Zionists, have discovered. For example, no attempt is made to dispute the carefully documented facts in Dr. Alfred Lilienthal's classic on the Middle East, *The Zionist Connection*. Facts, which contradict what the Zionists claim to be reality, are of no importance. But people whose minds are divorced from reality suffer from some form of madness.

A distinguished American Jew, Dr. Howard F. Stein, Associate Professor Medical-Psychiatric Anthropology at the University of Oklahoma, U.S.A., makes a valuable contribution towards understanding what might be described as Jewish group madness, in an article in the Winter, 1980, issue of *The Journal of Historical Review*. Writing under the title, "The Holocaust and the Myth of the past in History" Dr. Stein says that "the myth of the Holocaust has for forty years been more compelling - not only for Jews - than reality. It is this resistance to resting and accepting reality that we must also explain."

Dr. Stein quotes a retired Israeli industrialist as observing that hatred of Jews is welcomed as "an indispensable prop for the maintenance of Jewish cohesion and identity, for whenever the cold eye of ostracism was mellowed by a kind-

ly glint, whenever humanism and liberalism reared their ugly heads, Jewish identity melted away in the warm bath of assimilation." Provoking hatred of Israel is listed as a success for Menachem Begin's Government: "We revel in our ostracism and, instead of advancing arguments to justify our actions, we reply to criticism with even more provocative and oppressive actions."

As pointed out by Douglas Reed, the ghetto was imposed upon the Jewish people by their leaders as essential for effective control. Dr. Stein states that Israeli actions are designed to "make Jews into an isolated, emotionally ghettoized people and which will once again occasion the very (next) Holocaust that is as much expected as it is dreaded. We are thus face to face with the terrible psychological truth that *Jews must survive in order that they be persecuted.*" Italics in original.

Dr. Stein's explanation does much to explain the attitude of Israeli leaders like Begin, who have demonstrated by their naked policies of aggression that they are even prepared to risk a world holocaust. Dr. Stein's thesis suggests that they could welcome the prospect of such a global disaster.

Briefly commenting on the "Jewish Problem" in *Social Credit* (1924), C.H. Douglas said that the problem could only be resolved by breaking up Jewish group activity and freeing the individual Jew from a collectivism, which placed him at the mercy of a Jewish elite. The famous Jewish writer, Dr. Oscar Levy, maintained that the Jewish question went right to the core of Civilisation's problems, and should be discussed by every honest thinker. It is encouraging that distinguished Jews like Dr. Stein are amongst the growing number of honest thinkers.

LEAGUE CONCEPT PRAISED IN NEW ZEALAND PAPER

The following editorial appeared in "The Bay Sun," New Zealand, April 4.

Just where does democracy stand in our political life in this country?

In days of continued strife-of union unrest, of rising prices, of foreign investment in this country, of unemployment-the person in the street must be wondering just what role they can play in moulding New Zealand society.

Already people are saying, "What does my vote mean in the coming elections?"

And that feeling of frustration boiled to the surface with

"WAKE UP OR PERISH"

By General Sir Walter Walker

This hard-hitting book by the former Commander of NATO forces, Northern Division, complete with maps, is one of the most powerful works highlighting the desperate plight of the Western World in the face of the Communist challenge. Highly recommended for altering and informing people.

the Tania Harris March in Auckland. Many of the New Zealand public are now openly frustrated they have no way of influencing events. They vented that frustration on the streets of Auckland.

Does that mean the role of party politics in New Zealand is over?

Is it time for our politicians to recognise the needs and desires of the electorate they serve rather than pandering to the party line-little more than puppets in the party machinery.

The right wing group League of Rights has come in for a fair share of flak in this country but the former Tauranga-based group has espoused an interesting theory this week.

The group is calling for national elections to be carried out like local body elections.

In other words the candidates are treated like applicants for a job. The electorate decides issues and then the successful candidate must put the electorate first ahead of the party line. If that means crossing the floor, so be it.

And the group says just as importantly will be the support for the member from the electorate.

It would develop into a two-way system in which the needs of the electorate are met directly.

The group claims it is time the people took part in politics and that the politics of this election should be New Zealand for New Zealanders.

Without doubt there does seem too much executive decision being made in New Zealand at all levels-at government and union level especially.

We are one people facing many problems getting in to the next century.

Maybe the League of Rights has a concept worth closer consideration.

THE VEIL OF FINANCE

by Arthur Brenton.

A new edition of a famous work by a brilliant master of English and a pioneer writer of the Social Credit Movement. Price \$1.80.

WALL STREET AND THE RISE OF HITLER, by Dr. Antony Sutton

The sensational book which makes all other works on the Second World War completely obsolete. Finally, a distinguished scholar has penetrated the cloak of falsehood, deception and duplicity that for more than thirty years has protected one of the most incredible secrets of World War II; the support from key Wall Street financiers, including the Zionist firm of Kuhn, Loeb and Co., in bringing Hitler to power. Sutton documents how International Finance helped sustain the Nazi war machine until 1944. Why were I G Farben and other international organisations spared from Allied bombing? This book has sparked angry debate. But it helps to explain the critical state of the world. \$4.00 Three copies for \$10.00.

VIOLENCE AND COLLAPSE

"Marxists have never forgotten that violence will be an inevitable accompaniment of the collapse of capitalism on its full scale and of the birth of a socialist society. And this violence will cover a historical period; a whole era of wars of the most varied kinds-imperialist wars, civil wars within the country, the interweaving of the former with the latter, national wars, the emancipation of the nationalities crushed by the imperialist powers which will inevitably form various alliances with each other in the era of vast state-capitalist and military trusts and syndicates. This is an era of tremendous collapses, of wholesale military decisions of a violent nature, of crises. It has already begun, we see it clearly - it is only the beginning."

—Lenin in his "Selected Works."

In more than half a century since Lenin wrote, the crises and violence have increased. As inflation continues, every industrialised nation is wracked with internal convulsions stemming from a type of civil war. The near-collapse of Australia's communication system has only been halted by increased wages to telecom technicians, which under present financial policies can only fuel further inflation. More devastating strikes are inevitable. There is increasing stress on violence, with television playing a major role. It was not surprising to learn that some American students cheered when their classes were interrupted to announce that there had been an assassination attempt on President Ronald Reagan. Even the Pope has not been immune from growing violence.

No move towards stability is possible without a constructive policy of ending inflation.

RON GOSTICK TOUR

Mr. Ron Gostick, editor of Canadian Intelligence Publications, and National Director of The Canadian League of Rights, is one of the most outstanding leaders of the freedom campaign throughout the Western World. He has over the past 18 months been directing the major campaign in Canada against Prime Minister Trudeau's plan to impose unilaterally a new constitution upon the Canadian people, this designed quite blatantly to centralise control of all Canada's resources as a necessary step towards fitting Canada into the New International Economic Order. Canada is threatened with disintegration as a result of the Trudeau programme.

Following his attendance at the 1981 World Anti-Communist League Conference, to be held in Taiwan, Mr. Gostick has agreed to a short Australian tour before a special two-week tour of New Zealand, during which he will represent the Canadian League of Rights at the second Crown Commonwealth League of Rights Conference, the first being held in Melbourne in 1979.

In order that as many Australians as possible can plan to hear Mr. Gostick, we are publishing his complete programme. His Queensland visit will cover Nambour, Wednesday, August 12 (Red Cross Hall), Chinchilla, Thursday, August 13 (Civic Centre), Toowoomba Conservative Club,

Friday, August 14, while on Saturday, August 15, Mr. Gostick will participate in the Brisbane Regional Seminar in the afternoon (theme: New International Economic Order). He will be the guest of honour at the Brisbane Regional Dinner in the evening.

In Victoria, Mr. Gostick will be guest speaker at the Indi Regional Dinner in Wangaratta on Monday, August 17, guest speaker at the Murray Regional Dinner in Kyabram on Tuesday, August 18, and will address a public meeting (venue yet to be finalised) in Melbourne on Wednesday, August 19.

Australian National Director Mr. Eric Butler, who hopes also to attend the Taiwan Conference (time permitting) will be accompanying Mr. Gostick on his Australian tour.

BASIC FUND FILLED

As the result of some magnificent last-minute contributions, and the provision of \$8,000 in interest-free loans, the League of Rights has been able to carry through its planned programme for 1980-81.

Once again a large number of readers of League journals did not subscribe to the Basic Fund, making it that much more difficult for those who did.

During the 1980-81 year the League found itself facing extra demands in order to support activities, which were felt to be most vital. Some of these activities have not resulted in any increased financial support for the League. For example, the League contributed an estimated \$10,000 towards the Christian Alternative Movement before the last Federal Elections.

The sale and distribution of League literature reached a new record level. Past investment in League printing equipment continues to bear fruit, enabling the League not only to produce a growing volume of literature, but to ensure that it is provided at the lowest possible cost.

Past investment in fast tape recording equipment is now producing a tremendous expansion in League influence, with a wide variety of tapes going out into the community in increasing numbers. It is planned to further increase the scope of the cassette tape service.

League lecturers maintained the usual heavy national programme of meetings, schools and Seminars. As the national and international crisis deepens, there is a renewed greater interest in League activities.

In spite of rising costs, the League anticipates that its expanding activities will ensure that the Basic Fund for 1981-82 can be dropped back to \$45,000. But a further announcement will be made concerning this later in the year. Some pledges to the 1980-81 fund have yet to be fulfilled, and it is essential that these be met as soon as possible.