

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol.46a, No.9

SEPTEMBER 1982

Registered By Australia Post—Publication No. VBH 1001

The Break-Up Accelerates

Only the completely blind now fail to see that the break-up of Christian and Western Civilisation is now gathering momentum with every day that passes. The very technological revolution which could have been used to extend the culture of the greatest Civilisation yet created, guaranteeing all individuals with economic security and expanding freedom for self-development, has been used in an attempt to drive the individual down the scale of existence, seeking to make him a cog in a programme of increasing centralisation, ultimately designed to lead the World State. What passes for education is but a conditioning of the individual to fit him into the programme. The further the programme of centralising power is advanced, the greater the social disintegration, this then used to justify calls for even greater centralisation. Two thousand years of Christian endeavour are being rubbed out.

The present break-up was correctly predicted by the British genius, C.H. Douglas, who not only analysed the basic flaw in the present finance-economic system, but presented the only policy, that of Social Credit, described as "practical Christianity", which would ensure that the individual was placed in the position to make full use of his God-given heritage. Douglas brought a blinding new revelation concerning the true purpose of a production system based upon the increasing use of solar energy, pointing out that the policy of "full employment" ran contrary to the development of the industrial arts, and that attempts to maintain "full employment" by the perversion of the financial system would inevitably produce growing disaster, including military conflict.

It is a striking commentary on "modern education" when, in face of the obvious fact that necessary production is easily possible with a small minority using technology, comparatively few as yet can bring themselves to admit that "full employment" is a dangerous absurdity, and that technological developments should be welcomed as a means of providing increasing leisure time for the individual. University academics with a string of letters after their names, seriously argue that "in the long run", technology does not displace labour, but creates more work. Hypnosis concerning the importance of finding work for all is so widespread that as unemployment grows everywhere, there are those now putting forward the proposition that the available work should be divided. One of these in Australia is former Trade Union leader Mr. Bob Hawke, now a Federal Member of Parliament and strong supporter of "democratic socialism".

BREAK-UP PREDICTED

As far back as 1924, in his second book, *Social Credit*, C.H. Douglas predicted that unless there were major changes in financial policy, to enable the individual to control the production system, the break-up of the social order was certain. Douglas said, "the only point at issue in this respect is the length of time which the break-up will take, and the tribulations we have to undergo while the break-up is in progress". Douglas continued, "There will probably come well within the lives of the present generation, a period at which the blind forces of destruction will appear to be in the ascendant. It does not seem to me to be necessary that this be so, but it does seem to be probable.

"There is, at the moment, no party, group, or individual possessing at once the power, the knowledge, and the will, which would transmute the growing social unrest and resent-

ment (now chiefly marshalled under the crudities of Socialism and Communism) into a constructive effort for the regeneration of Society. This being the case, we are merely witnesses to a succession of rear-guard actions on the part of the so-called Conservative elements in Society, elements which themselves seem incapable, or undesirous of genuine initiative; a process which can only result, like all rear-guard actions, in a successive, if not successful, retreat on the part of the forces attacked. While this process is alone active, there seems to be no sound justification for optimism; but it is difficult to believe that the whole world is so bereft of sanity that a pause for reflection is too much to hope for, pending a final resignation to utter catastrophe."

Douglas went on to say that "A comparatively short period will probably serve to decide whether we are to master the mighty economic and social machine that we have created, or whether it is to master us; and during that period a small impetus from a body of men who know what to do and how to do it, may make the difference between yet one more retreat into the Dark Ages, or the emergence into the full light of a day of such splendour as we can at present only envisage dimly."

A LAST CHANCE

The Great Depression, which Douglas also predicted, provided what can now be seen as perhaps the last chance to defeat the Credit Monopoly through a direct attack. The Second World War, and the continuing revolution which followed, progressively reversed the clock of Civilisation. All institutions, including the Christian Church, have been perverted. The breakdown of stable society is being chronicled daily. Growing violence of all types has become the norm. Erosion of the belief in the Authority of God has led to the growing breakdown in respect for all forms of Authority. Mounting economic pressure is contributing to the growing break-up of stable family life. Crushing taxation, with governments demanding more police powers to combat the growing army of tax avoiders, helps to make it mathematically certain that high inflation continues. Irrespective of what is attempted under present financial policies, the accelerating break-up cannot be halted. A Civilisation is in its death throes. And there is, unfortunately, much worse to come.

But honest admission of the reality of the situation is not a reason for negative pessimism. Over the long years of break-up the Social Credit Movement, rooted mainly in the old British world, has successfully preserved knowledge and

understanding of those Truths which make re-generation possible. The story has been one of many rear-guard actions, marked here and there with some successes which have helped slow down the break-up while recruiting support for a sufficient number who alone hold the key to the future. A new generation of Social Crediters, with a much deeper understanding of what Douglas called "practical Christianity", has progressively emerged and we confidently anticipate that their numbers will increase as the darkness deepens. It was the English Christian poet and writer, G.K. Chesterton, who pointed out that the man who violates a natural law like gravity by jumping over a cliff, also demonstrates the truth of the law.

A PROGRAMME OF REGENERATION

Douglas said that the rules of the universe transcend human thinking, and that human happiness is only possible by discovering those laws and then obeying them. The plight of the world is a demonstration that God's laws are the final arbitrators. When enough people have hurt themselves violating those laws, some of them will be humble enough to concede their errors and join with those engaged in a programme of regeneration. Like the early Christians, Social Crediters are a privileged group whose mission it is to point the way out of the new Dark Age.

WEST RETRIEVES WORLD PRESTIGE

British Arms Command Respect, US Benefits from Alliance

Under the above heading, distinguished American writer, Medford Evans, in "The Citizen" (P.O. Box 1675 Jackson, Mississippi, 39205) strongly supports the action taken by the British to regain control of the Falkland Islands. The following are two sections of the article:

The British victory in the Falklands restores Western prestige — which had been called in question since Suez and Hungary in 1956, the Castroisation of Cuba in 1959-1962, and the humiliation of the United States throughout 15 years of bungled horror in Vietnam. France and Germany had earlier suffered all but final collapse in World War II, while Britain's own partly self-inflicted loss of her Empire, and apparent economic decline, provoked widespread cynicism regarding her status as a "Great Power". Now the Argentines apologise for their defeat by saying, "*Well, after all, we are up against one of the best armies in the world*". (Paraphrased from a report of CBS Radio May 28). But who knew about that great army before the astonishingly efficient liberation of the Falklands in June? *Can do* is the test of an army, and the British did.

US support of Britain will not hurt, but help, our relations with Latin America. It always helps to win. With whom have we had better relations since World War II than with those whom we defeated? Argentina, Brazil, and Chile, among others, may come to be among our best friends. What have they to gain by being otherwise? The outcome in the Falklands serves notice on infidel Fidel and his masters in Moscow that the filially related giants of the English-speaking world can still successfully signal V for victory. Let the world symphony sound Beethoven's Fifth!

The bulk of the present article was written in April and May, with due realisation that events might abruptly reverse the thrust of our transparently partisan interpretation. Happily, as of press time we have nothing to retract.

Yet complacency would be dangerously premature. The battle of the Falklands is but a chapter in the history of global war. At stake is not merely a question of sovereignty over a minuscule archipelago (which would-be sophisticates of the media tried briefly to find curious or amusing), but control of the Southern Hemisphere, of which the geographical centre is the Antarctic continent.

Terms for points of the compass have been used so much of late in a non-literal sense as to have lost almost all geographical meaning. New Zealand is "North," Japan is "Western"! In the jargon of world politickers, by the way, "North" and "West" are almost synonymous. Both mean capitalistic and industrialised. "East" means Communist, "South" means poor, like Bangladesh, or up for grabs, like some of the rich oil states. One disservice of such latitude-longitude scrambling is that it diverts attention from geographic reality. If New Zealand is "North", while Bangladesh, thousands of miles to the actual north, is "South", who know where anything is?

Yet the architects of confusion know which way is up. From the South Pole, everything is up. Every direction is north. Once away from the Pole, however, east-west distances exist, but vary according to latitude. On the usual flat wall map

(Mercator projection) the distance between Perth, Australia and Buenos Aires looks about the same as that between Singapore and Quito, Equator. Actually, the latter distance is very much greater. All maps except a globe are either misleading or hard to comprehend. As an aid, however to realising the situation in the Antarctic, there is on Page 17 following an outline map of the Antarctic and adjacent regions, looked at from the point of view of the South Pole. Important to note is the convergence in these latitudes of South America, South Africa, Australia, and New Zealand. Argentina and Chile are the most southerly nations in the world; the Anzac countries and South Africa are next. All these geographically southern nations of the economic "North" are coveted by the Communist "East". Yet their legitimate interests are at one with those of the capitalistic "North" or "West", whichever you prefer to call it, and should be correspondingly defended against an impending attack on the whole Southern Hemisphere by the devotees of Marxism-Leninism. Most prominent of these in this context is Fidel Castro. We have deceived ourselves by thinking the Cuban Communists were going to the "other side of the world" when they went to Angola. We think too exclusively of Eastern and Western Hemispheres. Angola, South Africa, and the greater part of Latin America are all in the Southern Hemisphere, where the Communists have determined to extend their power. That is why it is so important that Britain won the battle of the Falklands, and that we supported Britain. Significantly enough, all of Britain's extensive claims in the Antarctic are under the administrative control of the Governor of the Falkland Islands. So more than the islands themselves was saved by Margaret Thatcher's splendid victory in the South Atlantic.

LATE DINNER BOOKINGS

Bookings for the 1982 Annual "New Times" Dinner, to be held in Melbourne on Friday, October 1st, can still be accepted. \$14 per person must be forwarded with the booking. The line-up of speakers — Mr. Patrick Walsh from Canada, Mr. Phillip Butler, Assistant National Director of The Canadian League of Rights, Mr. Geoff McDonald, the former Communist who dared to write "the book of the moment, RED OVER BLACK", Mr. Bill Daly, assistant to Mr. David Thompson, National Director of The New Zealand League of Rights, Mr. Jeremy Lee and Mr. Eric Butler — indicates the feast in store for those fortunate enough to attend the Dinner, to be held at The Victoria, Little Collins Street, Melbourne. Pre-Dinner refreshments and fellowship start at 6 p.m. Messages for Dinner should be sent to the Chairman, "New Times" Dinner, G.P.O. Box 1052J, Melbourne. Bookings to the same address. Phone: 63 9749.

THE FOUNDATION OF PEACE IS VICTORY IN A JUST WAR

In 1771, Dr. Samuel Johnson, the celebrated English author who was at once a stern moralist and brilliant wit, wrote a political pamphlet on the Falkland Islands for the British government, which then as now was embroiled in a dispute over those islands with the Spanish speaking people in Argentina. England, Spain, and France had all moved tentatively to take power in the Falklands, but none of them seemed able to conclude that the power was worth taking.

John Chamberlain has neatly summarised the early situation: "The 'rights' to ownership were cloudy — the islands had been originally discovered by the English, then settled by the French, then claimed by Spain. When the French moved out and the British moved in, the Spaniards came to life and ejected the British colonising party. In 1771 the islands were restored to the British, who voluntarily abandoned them three years later." (*Human Events*, May 15, 1982, p.7).

It was during this time of tension between the British and the Spanish that Dr. Johnson wrote his pamphlet, "upon materials furnished to him by ministry," Boswell reports; "and upon general topics expanded in his richest style, he successfully endeavours to persuade the nation that it was wise and laudable to suffer the question of right to remain undecided, rather than involve our country in another war." This pacific position regarding English possessions beyond the Atlantic was not one that Dr. Johnson always maintained, for he breathed fire against us Americans, and wrote a tract called *Taxation no Tyranny*. We Americans have nevertheless remained among Dr. Johnson's most loyal "fans", realising that he may have been moved by regret that in losing us the British were losing the best part of their Empire.

Be that as it may, his pouring oil on the troubled waters around the Falklands, together with other factors, did avert war with Spain in the 1770's. Johnson's skill in counselling a strong, not a weak, pacifism is shown in such passages as the following: "There are diseases of animal nature which nothing but amputation can remove; so there may, by the depravation of human passions, be sometimes a gangrene in collective life for which fire and the sword are the necessary remedies; but in what can skill or caution be better shown than preventing such dreadful operations, while there is yet room for gentler methods?" Actually, there is nothing to contradict those sentiments in the present action of Mrs. Thatcher's government.

Interestingly enough, it was American action in the early 19th century, which led to British possession of the Falklands. Many people forget that Britain and the United States have been, objectively speaking, partners in implementation of the Monroe Doctrine. We have often depended on the British Navy to help preserve the status quo in the Western Hemisphere, and regarding occupation of the Falklands they depended on us. Quoting Chamberlain again: "The Argentineans [as heirs of Spain] had a good case for occupying the deserted territory, but they made the mistake in 1831 of seizing three United States sealing vessels [i.e., vessels engaged in hunting seals] in adjacent waters. Monroe Doctrine or no Monroe Doctrine, Washington despatched the warship *Lexington* to lay waste the Argentinean settlement and proclaim the islands 'free of all governance'. It was shortly after this, in 1833, that the British revived their old claims to the islands and moved back in."

While the Argentines never formally consented to this British occupation, they never, until this year, (missing April Fool by one day) did anything about it. It was rather generally presumed that 149 years was adequate for a kind of international statute of limitations to apply to any objections.

Almost endless speculation is possible as to why the Argentine junta decided to go against the Falklands at this time. Oil in the offshore area of the Falklands has been often mentioned; the Falklands as a stepping-stone to the Antarctic Continent have been talked about. All such matters are worth thinking about, but still quite speculative. Perhaps the real

answer can be hit upon only by ever wilder speculation. My own for-what-its-worth suggestion comes in two parts: (1) this battle of the Falklands is part of the global war of Communist revolution; (2) the "dictatorship of the proletariat" intends to exploit the natural affinity of Hispanics as a language group against the English-speaking world, which has heretofore been the dominant group of the great globe itself, but particularly of the Western Hemisphere. Nothing is more surprising, perhaps nothing is more relevant, than that the leading individual Communist of the world at present is Fidel Castro. Brezhnev seems to have had it. If that is worth thinking about, think also, about this; Che Guavara, long second to Castro, and in death a hero of world revolution, was an Argentine. Dr. Johnson was right that the Falklands, considered as a spot on the world map, are not worth warring over. But any key step in the drama of world revolution is worth fighting over, and the tradition represented by Shakespeare, Johnson, and those other masters of English who wrote the Declaration of Independence, the Federalist Papers, and the US Constitution is worth fighting for. Worth fighting for above all, is the loyalty to blood kin shown by Mrs. Thatcher's expressed concern for the English-speaking people of the Falklands.

Socialism

"What is commonly called Socialism, by which is meant the progressive emasculation of individual initiative in favour of a monopoly on which the real controllers are hidden, is passively accepted by numbers of public-spirited, but technically incompetent people who intuitively dislike it, because it has been skilfully surrounded with an atmosphere of pseudo-science and 'efficiency'.

"In regard to its 'science', apart from its studious financial orthodoxy five minutes' examination of almost any orthodox socialist textbook will convince anyone that it is a theory in search of a fact, and that the procedure advocated is the exact opposite of that insisted upon by reputable scientists.

"Always the objective is to stifle reaction and adverse criticism. So far from insisting on demonstration by small-scale experiment, failure to run a collar and tie shop appears to be conclusive evidence of ability to provide blueprints for a new universe.

"As to efficiency, the meaning of which is the ratio of the input of what you have, to the output of what you desire, socialism's proudest boast is that it abolishes 'unemployment'. That is to say, it takes the most precious possessions of the individual, his time and his initiative, and gives him guns instead of butter — plague, pestilence and famine, battle, murder and sudden death.

"Efficiency! Yes, the Devil is very efficient."

— C.H. Douglas in *The Social Creditor*,
December 13, 1941.

Accursed Debt

"I set to work to read the Act of Parliament by which the Bank of England was created, and all the Acts about loans, and funds, and dividends and paying off, and sinking funds . . . and I soon began to perceive that the fate of the Kingdom must finally turn upon what should be done with regard to the accursed thing called the National Debt. I saw the purpose for which it had been founded; I saw how completely it had answered that purpose . . . I saw how it had drawn the wealth of the country into the masses, how it had destroyed the lower middle classes of farmers, how it had added to the list of paupers, how it had beggared and degraded the country." — William Cobbett, quoted in *The Progress of a Ploughboy*

BOOKS THAT SHAKE THE WORLD

The following report, under the above caption, was published in the May 21st issue of the thoroughly researched US biweekly newsletter, "Don Bell Reports, and reprinted in "The Canadian Intelligence Service" in July.

"History", remarked Cicero, himself a historian and Roman patriot, is the witness of the times, the torch of truth, the life of memory, the teacher of life, the messenger of antiquity". He laid down certain rules by which a historian should be judged: "The first law is that the historian shall never dare to set down what is false; the second, that he shall never dare to conceal the truth; the third, that there shall be no suspicion in his work either of favouritism or prejudice". Unfortunately, with the passage of time and the development of more sophisticated methods of conspiracy, Cicero's words have been unread, forgotten, or ignored by those who have been accepted as historians by the agents who censor and control all communications media, including history books. Thus, these so-called historians are not so much historians as opinion molders, writers who are willing to set down what may not be true, who commit sins of omission by concealing much of what they may know to be true. One of the first rules of The Conspirators, as declared by one of the first of our historical era, Adam Weishaupt, is to control all writings and set up a system of censorship so that all avenues of communication are banned if not favourable to The Conspiracy, and that are prejudiced in favour of what has been called "The Society of the Elite".

It is unusual, therefore, to find any such "accepted" historians breaking loose from the bonds of such censorship and writing revisionist histories that really expose certain facets of the overall Conspiracy. As one reviewer observed:

On rare occasions a book is published which must forever alter the way in which we view the world around us. Within a short while it becomes difficult to understand how we could have functioned without the knowledge gained from it.

In less than twenty years, three such books have been published, books dealing with history, books that are quite possibly the most important studies of modern history since de Tocqueville's *Democracy in America*. One of these books was written by James H. Billington: *Fire in the Minds of Men — Origins of the Revolutionary Faith*. The other two are by the late Carroll Quigley. Most of our readers will be familiar with what is contained in Quigley's *Tragedy and Hope, A History of the World of Our Time*. Equally important, there has been published posthumously and quite recently, *The Anglo-American Establishment*. This latter book was written and prepared for publication as early as 1949. But its contents were so sensational, and so very truthful, that no publisher could be found until recently, 1981 to be precise. This is a book, which traces the development of the One World Conspiracy from the time of "The Round Table", "Milner's Kindergarten", Rhodes' Secret Society, and the Royal Institute of International Affairs, through the establishment of the Council on Foreign Relations, the Institute of Pacific Relations, and other organisations of the Anglo-American Establishment. In his introduction to this book, Quigley wrote:

It is not easy for an outsider to write the history of a secret group of this kind, but... it should be done, for this group is... one of the most important historical facts of the twentieth century... I suppose in the long view my attitude would not be far different from that of the society... but agreeing with the group on goals, I cannot agree with them on methods... In this group were persons who must command the admiration and affection of all who know of them. On the other hand, in this group were persons whose lives have been a disaster to our way of life. Unfortunately, the influence of the latter has been stronger. I have been told that the story I relate here would be better left untold... but I

feel the truth, once told, can be of injury to no men of good will.

In his book *Fire in the Minds of Men*, Billington delineates and documents a different phase of the One World Conspiracy. When taken together, the works of Quigley and Billington illustrate the fact that there are two broad highways leading to World Government. One is usually referred to as the Socialist Route, which includes any number of mass movements and political parties that promote "equality" as well as collectivism, such as Communism, Fascism, Fabianism, Social Democracy, Welfare Statism, etc. The other broad highway leading toward the New World Order is in no sense a movement involving masses of people. It is a closely knit secret society whose members are International Bankers, Industrial Monopolists, Media Managers, and their carefully selected agents who usually are found in such exclusive "clubs" as the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, the Committee for Economic Development, the Organisation for Economic and Commercial Development, the Bilderberg Group, the Club of Rome, the rest of the organisations not specifically identified with and supposedly in opposition to the Communist wing of the Socialist Route that Billington deals, and favours. He insists that it is more than just a revolutionary movement as such; it is a kind of new religion, a "faith" which as a secular religion is due to replace Christianity (even as Secular Humanism is about to do in the United States). Billington writes:

This book seeks to trace the origins of a faith — perhaps the faith of our time. Modern revolutionaries are believers, no less committed and intense than were the Christians and Muslims of an earlier era. What is new is the belief that a perfect secular order will emerge from the forcible overthrow of traditional authority. This inherently implausible idea gave dynamism to Europe in the nineteenth century, and has become the most successful ideological export of the West to the world, in the twentieth century.

This "faith" about which Billington writes began, as he documents it, with the Masonic Lodges of the eighteenth century; its ritualism and orders copied from the Jesuits. The "faith" progressed from Germany to France where it inspired the French Revolution, to the other countries of Europe, was especially promoted by Karl Marx who found both Germany and France too hot for his presence, settled in London from where he lived on the bounties of his associate Frederich Engels. Billington notes, "The city is the crucible of modern revolution".

Although the first revolutionary leaders were intellectuals (still are), Marx originally had the idea that the revolution would be accomplished through the proletarian class, the "workers of the world" who "had nothing to lose but their chains". He soon learned, however, that though it still was called a "revolution of the proletariat", the proletarian masses provided a poor army, that he must continue to depend upon the intellectuals and the men with money who would support him (as did his partner, Engels). In writing about it, Billington agrees that if this new "faith" is to overturn the world, it must begin in the cities. He writes, "The revolutionary tradition, seen from below, is a narrative of urban unrest successfully dominated by Paris and St. Petersburg (now Leningrad)". But, most important in his history of this "fire that is a faith", Billington starts at the proper beginning of the "Socialist Route" to the New World Order. He writes:

If freemasonry provided a general milieu and symbolic

vocabulary for revolutionary organisation, it was Illuminism that provided its basic structural model. It may be well to trace in some detail the nature and impact of this baffling movement, because its influence was far from negligible and has been as neglected in recent times as it was exaggerated in an earlier era.

The Order of Illuminism was founded on May 1, 1776, by a professor of canon law at the University of Ingolstadt in Bavaria, Adam Weishaupt, and four associates. The order was secret and hierarchical, modelled on the Jesuits and dedicated to Weishaupt's Rousseauian vision of leading all humanity to a new moral perfection freed from all established religious and political authority. Weishaupt did not so much invite intellectuals to join his new pedagogic elite as taunt them to do so. He radiated contempt for men of the Enlightenment who "go into ecstasies over antiquity, but are themselves unable to do anything", and insisted that "what is missing is the force to put into practice what has long been affirmed by our minds".

In a review of Billington's book by Medford Evans, appearing in the October 1981 issue of *American Opinion*, Evans writes: "The logical link with Marx and with Lenin is obvious. The link with the French Revolution is established, through Mirabeau and others. The point I want to leave with you . . . is simply this: Why have a thousand scholarly experts for two decades treated with a show of silent contempt, as if the Illuminati were all a fairy tale, the well established position . . . that in this order was the central focus of what is now a Master Conspiracy?"

The answer to Evans' question might be considered academic. Any number of qualified and reputable historians and researchers have recognised that the Order of the Illuminati was the beginning of what is now a Master Conspiracy. John Robison in 1797 published the first English-language book exposing the Illuminati and explaining how it had penetrated into certain Masonic Lodges. He titled his book *Proofs of a Conspiracy*. Nesta Webster, in her books on the subject, especially *The Socialist Network*, identified the movement as a conspiracy. In later times there have been many accredited writers who accept "The Conspiracy" as a fact, not a theory.

The same is true of the "second avenue" toward the New World Order, which Quigley has identified as "The Society of the Elect". It too has been thoroughly identified, and the facts documented, proving it to be the other side of the Master Conspiracy. But, following the pattern laid down by Weishaupt, control and censorship of the communications media have been so firmly established that books and articles revealing the truth are never mentioned in such publications as The New York Times Book Review section. Historians and researchers who dare to call it a conspiracy are criticised, condemned, subjected to character assassination, and their works suppressed whenever and wherever possible. As the author of the blurb on the dust jacket of *The Anglo-American Establishment* comments:

While the notion of conspiratorial influence on world events has gained credence with both extremities of the American political spectrum, and to a degree with the general public, the more academically-oriented person has tended to downplay such influence, largely because of the lack of scholarship in the presentation and analysis of the facts by those supporting the conspiracy theories. In addition, many such supporters have made themselves easy to ignore and, in fact, have themselves always assumed that they would be ignored. Professor Quigley's work does not suffer from these defects. The evidence he presents . . . appears irrefutable.

In this denigrating statement concerning us other Conspiracy buffs, the writer (not Quigley) makes our point and also emphasises the real importance of books written by men such as Quigley and Billington. The blurb-writer indi-

cates that we others — John Robison, Nesta Webster, Dan Smoot, Gary Allen, or name your own favourite Conspiracy advocate — can be treated with "silent contempt". Not because what we write is neither factual nor truthful, but because we can be labelled as "extremists". Therefore we are prejudiced and our works deserve to be burned. On the other hand, take a writer who is in favour of the aims of the Conspirators, has examined their files and records with approval, but merely dislikes the methods used by the Conspirators; let such a person write the same message as ours, perhaps even in the same words, and those who won't believe us will probably believe him. That is why Quigley's witness is important. Our evidence is labelled "Questionable", but his (the same evidence) becomes "irrefutable". Of course, Quigley did overstep the limits a bit. When the members of the "Society of the Elect" learned what Quigley had actually written in his *Tragedy and Hope*, there was an attempt to ban the book, and his sudden death did seem a little strange. Billington, on the other hand, is being accepted wholeheartedly by the "elitists". His book is being advertised in *Foreign Affairs* and being promoted in intellectual publications. This probably because he wrote only about the Socialist wing of the Conspiracy, wrote not a word about the more sinister, controlling, closed "Society of the Elect". Furthermore, the affiliations and connections between the Socialist and Super-Capitalist forces of the Conspiracy were spelled out by Quigley, left untouched by Billington. Nevertheless, *Fire in the Minds of Men* is a very important book, because it fills in those times, events and spaces between Weishaupt in 1776 and Lenin in 1917. This information, so thoroughly documented, has been hard to come by previously.

A final word about the Quigley contribution. In his book that was written first (1949) but published last (1981), Quigley wrote of the Conspiracy as plotted by Cecil Rhodes and Nathan Rothschild, Arnold Toynbee and Alfred Milner and, others of the "Society of the Elect" from 1875 to 1945, at which time, according to Quigley, "it would seem that the great idealistic adventure which began in 1875 had slowly ground its way to a finish of bitterness and ashes".

So he wrote in 1949. But apparently he discovered that the Conspiracy had not ended: the power base of the "Society" had just been shifted from London to New York City, from Rothschild to Rockefeller, from Chatham House in London to the Harold Pratt House in New York City where the CFR is headquartered. So Quigley began to write again, this time chronicling the continuing Conspiracy up to 1966, at which time the book, which he wrote last but was published, first, *Tragedy and Hope*, appeared in bookstores for a brief spell.

It was Taylor Caldwell who said: "I have fought these enemies of liberty in every book I have written. But too few have listened to me, as too few have listened to others who have warned of these conspirators. The hour is late. Americans must soon listen and act . . . or endure the black night of slavery that is worse than death".

LEAGUE BASIC FUND

In a short preliminary announcement, the National Director of The Australian League of Rights, Mr. Eric Butler, states that although the League is bracing itself for the "horrendous crunch" of the next twelve months, it is keeping the Basic Fund at \$45,000, confident once again that in spite of rising costs and enormous growing demands on the League, increased revenue will be available to cope, providing the Basic Fund is guaranteed. Early contributions will be welcomed from any who feel that they would like to challenge their fellows by example. They should contact Mr. Eric Butler at G.P.O. Box 1052J, Melbourne.

HISTORIC COURT DECISION AGAINST FLUORIDATION IN U.S.A.

While totalitarians are advocating an extension of the compulsory fluoridation of Australian public water supplies, the rising tide against fluoridation of water supplies in the U.S.A. has received a tremendous impetus with an Illinois ruling that fluoridation is unconstitutional, and a health hazard. The following report is from the March-April issue of "The Australian Fluoridation News".

On February 26, 1982 Judge Niemann, after unusually prolonged study, announced in a 37-page Opinion and Judgment, that the mandatory fluoridation law in Illinois was, on the basis of the preponderance of newly obtained evidence, now unconstitutional, on the grounds of clearly shown danger to human health of public water fluoridation, cessation of which in the State of Illinois should now take place.

On March 4, 1982 fluoridation of the Alton public water supplies was stopped in compliance with Judge Niemann's decision.

The case had been initiated in 1968 by the Illinois Pure Water Committee, against the Illinois Department of Public Health, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, and the Alton Water Company, a private firm, following upon the City of Chicago having passed in 1954 a municipal ordinance directing artificial adjustment of the fluoride level on the public water supply to a level of 1 part per million, ostensibly to reduce incidence of tooth decay. After implementation of the ordinance an injunction suit was brought against the city challenging the constitutionality of the fluoridation ordinance as an abuse of municipal police powers. On the basis of then-available medical and scientific evidence pleaded in court, the constitutionality of the ordinance was sustained in the Circuit Court, and after appeal, also by the Illinois Supreme Court. In due course the Illinois Legislature passed a statute requiring fluoridation of public water supplies throughout the State, and codified as Illinois Revised Statutes, Sections 121a-121n. On April 14, 1980, hearings were commenced joined by a Seventh Amended Complaint and Answers hereto, with critical allegation of fact to the effect that fluoridation of public water supplies, as directed by the statute, causes increased mortality from various types of cancers, as well as chromosomal or genetic damage, in addition to allergic, intolerant and toxic reactions in many sensitive humans.

STAY OF EXECUTION NOT GRANTED

A request for a stay of execution made by the Defendant lawyer was not granted, but "taken under advisement", a

LEAGUE ACTION PROGRAMMES

Because of the special purpose of "The New Times", which is to comment on events from the Social Credit viewpoint, and to extend an understanding of Social Credit, comparatively little space in "The New Times" is devoted to action programmes. Those wishing to be involved in the day-to-day campaigning of the League of Rights throughout the Crown Commonwealth should also subscribe to "On Target", available only by direct subscription. The British "On Target" is available from 26 Meadow Lane, Sudbury, Suffolk, England, CO 10 6TD. 10 pounds per annum, or 8 pounds per annum if paid by banker's standing order. Published fortnightly.

The Canadian "On Target" is available from Box 130, Flesherton, Ontario, NOC 1EO, Canada. \$8 per annum ordinary mail; \$25 airmail. Published weekly.

The New Zealand "On Target" is available from G.P.O. Box 3447, Auckland, New Zealand. \$10 per annum. Published fortnightly.

The Australian "On Target" is available from G.P.O. Box 1052J, Melbourne, Australia. \$12 per annum. Published weekly.

process that might take some time, and until acted on, no further appeal could be made to the Supreme Court of Illinois, to which it would eventually have to be taken. An Appellate court appeal would have to be by-passed since a matter of constitutionality is primarily involved. In any event, it is up to the Attorney-General of Illinois to decide whether to make such an appeal. It would appear that, so long as Judge Niemann's order holds, any Illinois citizen has the right to demand in a court of his residence that the Director of Public Health proceed to implement the decision where it has not been carried out, in principle at least.

The case was heard in the Circuit Court, Third Judicial Circuit, Madison County, Illinois, convened in Alton, East Alton, and Wood River, Judge Ronald Niemann presiding.

Defendants, Director of Public Health, State of Illinois, et al, with Special Assistant Attorney-General Robert Larson.

Plaintiffs, Illinois Pure Water Committee, Inc., et al, with Attorneys G. Edward Moorman, East Alton, and John Remington Graham, Brainerd, Minnesota, under aegis of Ruby Hale, Alton, executive secretary of the I.P.W.C. and George Hiscott, Chicago.

MAJOR IMPACT NATIONWIDE

The decision is expected to have a major impact nationwide, and even in some other countries where fluoridation is being opposed.

In striking down the Illinois law passed in 1967, Judge Niemann said testimony convinced him that "a risk exists of serious health hazards".

He said his decision was made because there is enough evidence to show that fluoridation poses a health danger, and there is not enough evidence to show that the danger is over-ridden by fluoridation's effect on tooth decay.

"There is no showing that the state of Illinois has taken a 'hard look' at the side effects, as shown by the evidence in this case, of artificial fluoridation of public water supplies," Niemann said. He said later in the decision, "A conclusion that fluoride is a safe and effective means of promoting dental health cannot be supported by this record."

He asked, "Is this court required to close its eyes to the risks and wait for someone in state government at some future date to say that new findings have left them concerned and surprised and that at the time some solution has to be devised? The plaintiffs' claim of a threat to public health lifts this controversy out of the political thicket."

Niemann said one of the factors sparking his decision was that the state lacked evidence to show the effect of "fluoridation on the public at large".

"The state must take a 'hard look' and be able to demonstrate to the highest degree that the public is safe," he said.

And on the opposite side of the picture, "it is unnecessary that plaintiffs' evidence show clearly that artificial fluoride in the public drinking water causes cancer. Ongoing cancer research . . . has been one of the prime efforts of medical science in the world".

FIRST TIME STATE FLUORIDATION LAW DECLARED UNCONSTITUTIONAL

In his written opinion, Niemann said the law amounted to "an unreasonable exercise of police power".

He said the Illinois Public Health Department and the state Environmental Protection Agency may no longer enforce the law.

The fluoridation law, Niemann said, violates the spirit and letter of the due process section of the Illinois Constitution.

Edward G. Moorman, attorney for the Pure Water Committee, said that the decision is believed to be the first time a state fluoridation law has been declared unconstitutional. He said there have been some preliminary findings of health dangers in other court challenges, such as one in Pennsylvania in 1978. The attorney in that case, John Graham of Minneapolis, also represented the Alton committee in the trial in Madison County.

Ruby Hale, the Alton resident who began the campaign against fluoridation 13 years ago, was cited numerous times in the decision.

"Although the plaintiff, Ruby Hale, has shown no personal harm to her health or welfare from the use of the defendants' public water supply, she may ask the court for a declaration of her rights under the evidence presented."

She "need not become ill" from fluoride before asking the court for relief, Niemann stated.

"This case is unlike any that research has produced. This court views the issue raised as having potential life-altering impact on society. Everyone has a stake in the outcome of environmental litigation."

"The addition of fluoride to the water supply has no beneficial or adverse effect on the treatment of water. No other comments can be made at this time regarding this matter," he said.

The case was reported in the New York Times on 27 February 1982 and many major newspapers in Illinois and Border States devoted extensive front-page coverage to the decision.

Jews Divided on Israel's Lebanon Policy

C.H. Douglas predicted that the establishment of the Zionist State of Israel would eventually result in a more open discussion concerning the whole "Jewish Question". One of the earliest Jewish opponents of political Zionism, Henry Morgenthau, described political Zionism as a form of madness, which would have catastrophic implications for Jews everywhere.

As "independent" Israel, armed with the most sophisticated weapons of modern war by the USA, and sustained economically with massive credits provided by the international Zionist movement, brazenly smashed West Beirut to rubble, killing large numbers of innocent civilians, not only was there open opposition to the Begin policy inside Israel, but serious cracks developed in the ranks of Jews everywhere. Pro-Zionist political leaders like Prime Minister Fraser of Australia suddenly felt that it would be wise to engage in at least some wrist slapping of the Israelis.

In a recently published new book, *Israel Now: Portrait of a Troubled Land*, by Jewish author Lawrence Meyer, it is pointed out that the Israeli invasion of Lebanon cannot be presented as a war fought for Israeli survival. Meyer writes, "Perhaps nothing distinguishes Israel's invasion of Lebanon more than that it was initiated for political purposes, not for survival". Those "political purposes" threaten to destabilise still further the Middle East, with far-reaching consequences for the whole world.

Two distinguished American Jews, Professor Nathan Glazer of Harvard University and Professor Seymour Martin Lipset of the Hoover Institute at Stanford University, and President of the American Political Science Association, have written a widely discussed article in which they dispute the official Israeli reason for invading Lebanon, claiming that the invasion "was not undertaken to prevent PLO intervention

from Lebanon. The cease-fire was holding . . . The PLO firepower was used only to reply to Israeli retaliation. And some of the terrorist acts for which Israel retaliated, such as the wounding of the Israeli Ambassador in London, Shlomo Avjov, apparently were not committed by the PLO . . . Israel has for the first time fought in heavily settled areas with the awful weapons of modern destruction. The photographs and television pictures are horrifying. And there is little Israel can say or do to balance those pictures of crying babies and women, running from bombs and shells, returning to demolished houses".

The cleavage in Jewish ranks has also spread to the Rabbis, as witnessed by the critical resolution of Israel proposed at the 1982 annual meeting of the Central Conference of American Rabbis. Rabbi Robert Marx of Chicago proposed a resolution which said, "the tragic loss of human life and the tremendous destruction of property in" the Lebanon war, leaves us "concerned not only for the people of Lebanon but for the soul of Israel and the Jewish people..." The resolution said that the current Israeli leadership interpreted American Jewish support for Israel as support for its policies in Lebanon. This is not so."

Although the resolution criticising Israel was finally defeated, it was a new development in American Jewish affairs.

Irrespective of what happens to the Palestinian Liberation guerrillas, most of these the sons of Palestinian refugees, driven from their homes by the terrorist activities of the Zionists, the Palestinian refugee problem has been increased by the Israeli invasion and destruction of much of Lebanon, and will continue to be exploited by the Soviet for its own purposes so long as the West refuses to insist upon some belated justice in the form of a genuine homeland. Zionist spokesmen have made it clear that they feel no obligation whatever towards those whose homes and properties they have taken over. A classical example of Zionist dialectics was provided by Israel's Minister for Communications, Mr. Mordechai Zippori, during his recent visit to Australia. In an interview with Tess Lawrence in *The Herald*, Melbourne, of August 16th, Mr. Zippori said "We have no obligation towards anybody outside of our borders. Those Palestinians who are not in Israel . . . ran out after they tried to destroy us, to kill us . . . We have no obligation towards people that try to kill us and didn't succeed".

The truth is that the great majority of Palestinians who fled their own country did so because of the terror unleashed against them by the invading alien Zionist forces under the direction of murderous thugs like Begin. That truth has been

Macdonough's Song

Whether the State can loose and bind
In Heaven as well as on Earth;
If it be wiser to kill mankind
Before or after the birth—
These are matters of high concern
Where State-kept schoolmen are;
But Holy State (we have lived to learn)
Endeth in Holy War.

Whatsoever, for any cause,
Seeketh to take or give,
Power above or beyond the Laws;
Suffer it not to live!
Holy State or Holy King—
Or Holy People's will—
Have no truck with the senseless thin;
Order the guns and kill!

— Rudyard Kipling
(1912)

documented by distinguished and courageous anti-Zionist Jews like Dr. Alfred Lilienthal of the United States. Dr. Lilienthal correctly predicted that having played a major role in the establishment of the State of Israel, the Soviet strategists have successfully exploited the tragedy they helped to produce. Sneering references to the failure of the Soviet to assist the PLO guerrillas are the result of a failure to understand Communist dialectics. The Soviet has no genuine interest in the PLO or the Arabs generally except so far as they can be exploited to assist long-term Soviet objectives.

Soviet strategists have no sympathy whatever with the fundamentalist Islamic fanaticism of the present leadership of Iran. But if Iran perseveres with its expansionist policies against fellow Moslem States, and creates a Middle East crisis, which results in vital oil supplies to the West being cut or seriously reduced, this has the blessing of Moscow.

No basic stability in the Middle East is possible until genuine justice is assured to the Palestinian refugees. Such a policy would, belatedly, start to remove one of the Soviet Union's main assets for fomenting more unrest and international terrorism. But such a policy requires that the power and influence of International Political Zionism be challenged. It is in the best interests of Jews everywhere that they align themselves with such a policy. If they don't, many of them will become victims of the inevitable growing backlash of Palestinian terrorism around the world, as French Jews recently discovered, even though the PLO disowned the bloody Paris massacres.

Checking the Record

In assessing the state of the world, it is instructive to occasionally check the record of how events have so often flatly eradicated the predictions by those who are allegedly well informed.

As the Second World War proceeded, General Franco of Spain, generally despised by the major media of the world because he had dared to successfully resist the proposed Bolshevik take over of Spain during the 'thirties, became so concerned about the possibility of Communism being the real victor of the war that he wrote expressing his fears to the British Ambassador to Spain, then Sir Samuel Hoare, later Lord Templewood.

In a letter dated February 21, 1943, General Franco said to Sir Samuel:

"Our alarm at Russian advances is common not only to neutral nations, but also to all those people in Europe who have not yet lost their sensibilities and their realisation of the peril.

"Communism is an enormous menace to the whole world and now it is sustained by the victorious armies of a great country, all those not blind must wake up.

"If the war's course continued unaltered it is evident that the Russian army will penetrate deeply into German territory. If this comes to pass, would it not be of the greatest danger for the Continent and for England, a sovietised Germany which would furnish Russia her secrets and war constructions, her engineers and specialists, giving that country the opportunity of building a huge empire extending from the Atlantic to the Pacific coasts?"

"We think the situation extremely serious and we appeal to the British people's good sense to consider the matter; for if Russia occupies Germany nothing and nobody will stop her.

"If Germany did not exist, we Europeans would have to invent it, and it is ridiculous to think she could be replaced by a confederation of Lithuanians, Poles, Czechs and Rumanians, who would rapidly convert to a confederation of Soviet states."

And how did Sir Samuel Hoare, of banking background,

Page 8

respond? He said, "You say the greatest European danger is communism and that a Russian victory would mean the triumph of communism in European countries that would bring the destruction of European civilisation and of Christian culture.

"Our point of view is, on the contrary, entirely different...

"The feasible situation at the end of the war will be thus: French, English and American armies will occupy the European continent. The armies will be supplied with the best war weapons and would include fresh, first order troops, not wasted and tired as those of Russia's armies.

"I dare to prophecy that, at the moment, the greatest military power in Europe will without doubt be that of Great Britain . . . Consequently, British influence will be the greatest ever seen in Europe since the time of Napoleon's downfall.

"This influence will be supported by an enormous military power . . . with it we shall maintain full influence in all Europe and will help her reconstruction.

"So I accept no fear of after war Russian danger for Europe, nor can I accept the idea that Russia will, at the end of the war, follow an anti-European policy of her own."

Comment would be superfluous!

The First Proposition

"The first proposition on which the theory of Social Credit is based is that we passed out of a condition of more or less modified economic scarcity into one of either actual or immediate potential abundance when we passed out of the era of economic production by hand labour into the age of economic production by solar energy.

"Please notice that I do not say production by machines. Machines are not the point.

"The point is that we have obtained control of the transforming mechanism of the universe and we can change practically one form of matter into any other form of matter by applying energy to it. The machine is only an incident.

"If this postulate of potential economic abundance is not true, then nothing that I, or anyone else, can have to say about monetary reform is of any serious consequence.

"There are really only three alternative policies in respect to a world economic organisation:

"The first is that it is an end in itself for which man exists.

"The second is that while not an end in itself, it is the most powerful means of constraining the individual to do things he does not want to do, e.g. it is a system of government. This implies a fixed ideal of what the world ought to be.

"And the third is that the economic activity is simply a functional activity of men and women in the world, that the end of man, while unknown, is something towards which most rapid progress is made to the free expression of individuality and that, therefore, economic organisation is most efficient when it most easily and rapidly supplies economic wants without encroaching on other functional activities."

— C.H. Douglas in *The Social Crediter*,
November 12, 1933.

Total Exploitation

"The total exploitation of the individual for the community . . . can only be rationally justified and accepted if it is accepted that the individual personality has no moral rights against the community . . . For the Party and the new governing class the profession of atheism is indispensable."

—Sir David Kelly in *The Hungry Sheep*

NEW TIMES—SEPTEMBER 1982