

THE NEW TIMES

\$10 per annum post-free.

Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 48, No. 9

SEPTEMBER, 1984

Registered By Australia Post—Publication No. VBH 1001

MASKING CIVILISATION'S DECLINE

By Eric D. Butler.

Those familiar with classical history will recall how when the famous Roman statesman, historian and philosopher, Cicero, attempted to warn his fellows that the great Roman Civilisation was disintegrating, he was met with scornful disbelief. Outward appearances appeared to belie his claims. Rome, the centre of Civilisation, stood intact. True, there were political problems, and the Roman legions were being withdrawn from the outposts of the Empire. But the record shows that during the later stages of the Roman decline there was a type of feverish material activity. It was this type of activity which tended to mask the reality of disintegration, and which appeared to make the warnings of a Cicero appear merely sensational and without substance.

History is repeating itself, it is now sixty years since one of the greatest minds of Western Civilisation, C.H. Douglas, warned that if policies which centralised power over individual initiative were persisted with, Civilisation must inevitably disintegrate. That disintegration has been masked by the very same material activity which masked the Roman decline — but material activity on an immensely vaster scale.

History is studded with numerous examples of national leaders distracting people from their domestic problems by engaging in external adventures. While it would be unfair to suggest that British Prime Minister Thatcher welcomed the Falklands War, it is indisputable that her firm stand united the British people on an issue to which they could readily relate and that domestic financial problems were temporarily regarded as of lesser importance. As memories of the Falklands War recede and finance — economic problems grow, Mrs. Thatcher's political stocks sag.

It is probably true that the great majority of the British people accepted Winston Churchill as their Prime Minister while the Second World War was being fought, but by the time the general elections of 1945 were held, and it was obvious that Hitler's Germany was defeated, and that Imperial Japan's days were numbered, the British people inflicted a major electoral defeat upon Churchill and the Conservative Party. The Second World War had changed the thinking of millions, creating the impression that a war was necessary to create comparative prosperity. The standard of living of the American people rose spectacularly during the Second World War, even though the nation was producing an enormous volume of production for destructive purposes.

Before the Second World War Hitler had gained the admiration of large numbers of people because his policies resulted in "full employment" and comparative prosperity. This was achieved by the well-known Keynesian technique of "pump-priming" the economy with deficit budgets. New credits were created to take up the slack in productive capacity. The national Socialist planners, not the German people, decided how the new credits would be used. In many cases, it was "guns before butter." But desperate people will always tend to accept some improvement in their material condition, even if the price is a loss of freedom. "Give us Caesar", cried the desperate Romans. The Germans settled for Hitler who, for a period, became a type of Messiah.

ECONOMIC WASTE

According to the different types of financial "experts", irrespective of their labels, there must be an "expanding economy" with "export drives" if depression conditions are to be overcome. During periods of a "favourable balance of trade" the exporting nations' economies appear to be

operating much more satisfactorily. During a major war, all the contestants have a "favourable balance of trade", hurling vast quantities of production at one another. Financial incomes are distributed and available to buy the production not "exported" by war. Enormous waste takes place. In today's world it is probable that most economic activity, including that of shuffling papers and imposing numerous controls, is both wasteful and soul-destroying. But this is masked by the fact that even the most destructive activity distributes monetary incomes, even though these are progressively diluted by the monetary inflation which, in part, is a measure of unnecessary activity.

As demonstrated by Douglas in his first work, *Economic*

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions—private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited, decentralised government.

To promote financial policies which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

Democracy, the basic problem confronting man in the modern industrial age was not primarily financial, but how to create a situation in which the individual was effectively in control of his institutions, particularly the economic system. Most of *Economic Democracy* is devoted to the question of human associations, and the philosophy under girding those associations. The money system was of primary importance only because it made it possible for power to be progressively centralised over the individual, and to pervert the true purpose of the economic system. As Douglas so succinctly put it, the true purpose of production is consumption, not to provide "full employment", an increasing flood of exports, or to control the individual. This is one of those truths which, to many people, is as shattering as Christ's famous comment to the Pharisees concerning the Sabbath being made for man, not man for the Sabbath. There was a time when economic activity was seen as a means to an end, adequate and desired production in the shortest possible time. The early Christian philosophers like Aquinas stressed that the perversion of means into ends was one of the great sins.

THE DISCOVERY OF ROAST PIG

Those who were fortunate enough to go to school when the classical writers were studied, will recall some of the truths and values these writers dealt with. Robert Louis Stevenson's essay on the importance of leisure is generally unknown today. The great English essayist, Charles Lamb, provided a brilliant picture of economic realities in his essay on the discovery of roast pork. The story concerns how there was a time in China when no one had ever eaten roast pig, and how the son of a farmer accidentally burned the house down and cooked the pigs. Attracted by the delicious smell of roast pork, the farmer's son touched the cooked pig and then licked his fingers. The taste was delightful. He was busy eating when his angry father returned. But after scolding the boy, the farmer also joined in the eating and enjoyed it. But he stressed that they must keep their discovery a secret.

From then on the farmer's neighbours' noticed that every time his sows had piglets, his house went up in flames. Eventually others discovered why and before long there was an outbreak of fires as farmers burned their house down — all to obtain the new delicacy, roast pork. It was, of course, later discovered that it was unnecessary to burn whole houses down to obtain roast pork. All that was required was a fire big enough to roast the pigs. The operation of modern economies reminds one of the Charles Lamb essay, the equivalent of whole houses being destroyed in order to obtain what is really required — goods and services. Those goods and services can easily be produced without what are called "growth economies".

The realities of the plight of the world are further masked by what are admittedly brilliant scientific achievements. But many of these achievements are merely dealing with effects. One of the absolutes of the Universe is that there is an optimum size for any association if the object of the association is individual satisfaction and social harmony. A number of studies over the years, one of the most valuable being *The Culture of The Cities*, by Chase, have demonstrated the bigger cities become the more inefficient and soul-destroying they become. Every type of social problem, ranging from crime to health, escalates. Bigger police forces and bigger hospitals are required. There is an enormous real cost of providing services like water, power supplies and transport.

MASKING PROBLEMS

But all this tends to be masked by brilliant engineering achievements which keep a basically inefficient organisation operating. And those engaged in dealing with effects do, under present financial rules, obtain that licence to live called money. Growing social stresses and over processed foods, most of them grown on soils over-stimulated with chemicals used by economically hard-pressed farmers, have produced a number of degenerative diseases. These in turn have resulted in a growing army of brilliant surgeons, using highly

sophisticated technology, devoting enormous energies to dealing with effects. Most industrial friction has to do with effects, and those engaged in dealing with the effects, including trade union officials, are as parasitic as bureaucrats filling in forms to assess how many others are also filling in forms. A vast superstructure of unnecessary and destructive activity is only sustained because of those engaged in the basic requirements of life.

It is often claimed that with the use of science, man is demonstrating how to conquer nature. But in making this conquest, man is making a conquest of himself. Science is but the orderly arrangements of discovered truth. It creates nothing. It is the purpose to which science is put which is all important. "What shall it profit a man if he gains the whole world but loses his soul." What is the point of man using vast resources to conquer space while at the same time he is creating a hell on earth. Nothing so graphically illustrates the growing perversions of our times than the enormous effort being devoted to vitro-fertilisation programmes, including the freezing of human embryos, while at the same time hundreds of thousands of lives are terminated by deliberate abortions.

The natural association for the nurture of the young is the stable family, the most genuinely efficient association known to man. The fever of growing material activity, and the never-ending steam of new scientific achievements, cannot mask the reality of the disintegration of the traditional family. The financial and economic policies of our times press with increasing severity upon the family. These policies are anti-life. The disintegration of the family is an indication of the disintegration of Civilisation. The regeneration of Civilisation will have to start with the regeneration of the family. This requires changes in financial policies making it possible for traditional family life to start flourishing again.

SOCIAL CREDIT AND REGENERATION

The decline of the Roman Civilisation took place over centuries. The decline of Western, Christian Civilisation is taking place at a much faster rate, primarily because the very technology which offered freedom on a scale never before envisaged, also made it possible to destroy both materially and spiritually on a scale which makes Dante's inferno look like a pleasant experience. Nothing can now halt the continuing decline. But that very decline offers the opportunity for preserving that which is true and for encouraging the process of regeneration. The Social Credit Movement is the custodian of those truths without which there can be no regeneration.

PUBLISHED AT LAST!

"THE ZIONIST CONNECTION"

At long last a paper back edition of Dr. Alfred Lilienthal's great classic, *The Zionist Connection* has been published. This is the book the International Zionist machine successfully managed to keep out of widespread circulation ever since it was first published. Only a trickle of the expensive hard back first edition ever was available. Now the situation has changed as the result of Dr. Lilienthal finding an enterprising publisher who could make a paperback edition available at a reasonable price.

The paperback edition now available has been updated by the author to cover the Beirut holocaust. Dr. Lilienthal was in Beirut at the time of the Israeli invasion and the subsequent massacre of Palestinian refugees. *The Zionist Connection* may be ordered from all League Bookshops. Price \$18 posted.

THE CONTINUING BETRAYAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT IN NEW ZEALAND

By A Special Correspondent

When New Zealanders elected the Michael Savage Labor government in 1935, pledged broadly to implement financial proposals reflecting the widespread impact of Social Credit, many felt that New Zealand, a self-governing Dominion of the British Empire, would set mankind on a new course by breaking the power of the Credit Monopolists. New Zealand was much better placed than the Province of Alberta, Canada, to make constructive changes in financial policy. There is little doubt that this was well understood in the centres of International Finance like Wall Street, New York. And that the full influence of the big Social Credit Movement in New Zealand had been mobilised behind the Australian-born Savage Labor team following an agreement reached between representatives of the Social Credit movement and the Labor Party.

The subversion of the Savage Labor Government is outlined in a book, *The Truth About New Zealand*, by the well-known writer, A.N. Field, best known for his books, *the Truth About the Slump* and *All These Things*. Field was not a Social Creditor, but he had a deep understanding of the nexus between Big Finance and revolution. Savage was obviously a pleasant well-meaning man, but he was not a strong leader. And he lacked a firm understanding of finance. Local representatives of the Money Power lost no time in assessing the situation and deciding on the best strategy for heading off the Social Credit challenge. Their main agent was Minister for Finance, Walter Nash, who lost no time in instigating a programme of subversion. Those who knew Nash well pointed out that as young man he had probably been a member of the New Zealand Communist Party. Nash provided a classic example of how the Money Power has time and time again made use of pro-Marxist academics to defeat any threats. Modern Labor parties have been openly taken over by a new breed of academic theorists, some of them even being millionaires. Early Socialists, like Keir Hardie and Robert Blatchford, who were emancipationists, would be dismayed to meet those who today describe themselves as Socialists.

LINE OF PARTY POLITICS

In spite of the break in Social Credit development resulting from the Second World War, the potential for a new attack on the Money Power continued to exist in both Alberta and New Zealand. The subversion of Social Credit in Alberta started with the death of William Aberhart and his replacement by Mr. Ernest Manning. It was ironic that while it was clear that the seeds of subversion were growing vigorously in Alberta, Social Crediters in New Zealand were being misled into believing that they should follow Alberta by creating a political party. Perhaps this was understandable when the Labor Party betrayal of the high hopes of Social Crediters is considered. Generally overlooked was the fact that every political party is readily susceptible to the corruption of power and internal subversion. Apart from those who had thoroughly grasped Social Credit and who had studied Douglas, frustrated New Zealand Social Crediters were attracted like moths to a naked light, by the lure of party politics.

The first excursion into party politics in 1954 demonstrated that there was a substantial electoral support for some type of monetary reform. This resulted into yet one more Royal Commission into banking, during which the Chairman of the Associated Banks made the frank admission that the banking system created the nation's money supply in the form of bank credit. But this admission had also been made before the Second World War by the Macmillan Commission in England. Generally speaking, representatives of the New Zealand Social Credit League who appeared before the New Zealand Commission merely demonstrated their own lack of understanding of Social Credit financial technique and confirmed Douglas's warning about arguments concerning financial techniques. There is little doubt that New Zealand Social Crediters were encouraged to continue pursuing the will-of-the-wisp lure of party politics. A strange assortment of people, including Marxists, were attracted.

A PHILOSOPHICAL FLAW

With the arrival of a charismatic Mr. Bruce Beetham, an academic, and his election to parliament, there was a new surge of wishful thinking. When Mr. Beetham was joined by Mr. Gary Knapp, as the result of a by-election, optimism soared. The polls suggested that the Social Credit party could win a number of seats at the 1981 elections. The total vote was not as great as expected, but Beetham and Knapp were re-elected and virtually held the balance of power. Subsequent developments revealed the basic philosophical flaw in the Social Credit party and its political representatives looked more and more like mere political opportunists with little concern for basic principles. It was not surprising that the 1984 New Zealand elections saw a dramatic slump in the vote for the Social Credit party, with leader Mr. Bruce Beetham losing his seat. The big vote for the new party created by millionaire Mr. Bob Jones helped to erode both the National Party and Social Credit party vote, demonstrating that much of the previous vote for Mr. Beetham and his colleagues was a protest vote. The defeat of Mr. Beetham was offset with the election of another party representative who, judging by his reported statements, knows even less about genuine Social Credit than does Mr. Beetham.

The annual Social Credit party conference, held shortly after the elections, further demonstrated the continuing rot inside the party. From a genuine Social Credit viewpoint the best news is that serious consideration is being given to changing the name of the party, a decision to be made at the next conference. If this change is made, the task of presenting genuine Social Credit in New Zealand by the League of Rights will be much easier. In presenting Social Credit at present, it is first essential to stress that this has nothing to do with the party of that name. Social Credit has been cursed with the same problem in Canada.

The shape of things to come with the New Zealand Social Credit party, hopefully to be known in the future as the Social Democratic party, was indicated by many of the statements at the post-election conference in Wanganui. A press report states that "A new politically aware, determined and young

LATE DINNER BOOKINGS ACCEPTED

Last-minute bookings for the Annual "New Times" Dinner, to be held on Friday, September 28, can, if made immediately, be accepted. Bookings must be accompanied with \$17 per person, which covers the Dinner and pre-Dinner refreshments.

The guest of honour will be Mrs. Jackie Butler, outstanding spokeswoman for Women Who Want To Be Women, and one of the driving forces behind "Wake-Up", produced by the Queensland Council for a Free Australia.

The Dinner will commemorate the 50th anniversary of C.H. Douglas's famous visit to Australia in 1924. Several veteran Social Crediters will be providing some reminiscences. This will be another truly historic Dinner.

Bookings through Box 1052J G.P.O., Melbourne. Or ring 63 9749.

vice-president, Sacred research officer Ms. Dianne Davis, was elected in an obvious acceptance from the party floor for her plea for a regeneration of the party....Ms. Davis admitted in the conference that she did not place the same emphasis on Major Douglas as perhaps they did." This is rather like saying that a group calling itself Christian should not pay too much attention to Christ.

Although a fund is being raised to finance Mr. Bruce Beetham to continue as the leader of Social Credit Party, it is no secret that there are underlying stresses concerning the leadership as Mr. Gary Knapp emerges as a type of evangelist with his own version of what his party should be promoting. Mr. Knapp has always been careful to distance himself from the League of Rights, but it is slightly humorous to see that Mr. Knapp and his supporters have taken as their economic bible, *People's Capitalism — The Economics of the Robot Revolution*, by the American engineer, James S. Albers. This valuable work was reviewed favourably some years ago by veteran English Social Creditor and contemporary of Douglas, Dr Geoffrey Dobbs, and has been sold for years by the League of Rights. Albers merely provides an independent confirmation of the Douglas analysis, and points out that the wage system will eventually have to be supplemented with a dividend system. But, unlike Douglas, Albers does not provide a precise financial policy for the implementation of a system of dividends.

ATTACK ON DOUGLAS

Mr. Knapp could have been taking out a type of insurance policy when he told the Wanganui conference that "Anti-semitic labels attacked to the Social Credit movement based on the writings of its founder C.H. Douglas have no place in the modern New Zealand Social Credit Party." Mr. Knapp merely demonstrated his own shallow knowledge when he said, "I don't like him (Douglas) in terms of personality. "Probably in an attempt to placate older members of the party, who have some respect for Douglas, Mr. Knapp said that Douglas was a man "of economic vision", but then insulted him by observing that his mother did not like Mohammed Ali "because he talks too much. But he's one hell of a boxer. I look at Douglas like that, I didn't like him much either, and I know a bit about it."

Like Mr. Beetham, Mr. Knapp is always quick to stress that

there is no connection between the Social Credit Party and the League of Rights. But the fact remains that an increasing number of New Zealand electors who have supported the Social Credit Party, have been purchasing literature through the League and hearing League speakers. Although Douglas analysed the "Jewish Question" in the most objective manner, it is insulting nonsense to suggest, as does Mr. Knapp, that Douglas had any sympathy with the totalitarianism of either Nazism or Fascism. Mr. Knapp provided further evidence of his dangerous ignorance concerning Douglas when he said, "In the 20s and 30s the world was rife with grave concerns about the thrust of communism and socialism and his reaction to that was a kind of a more extreme kind of right wing thing — epitomised by the Oswald Moseley's and people like that."

Mr. Knapp condescends to say that he didn't think Douglas was a fascist, but then said that Douglas's writings, particularly towards the end of his life, reflected some capitulation of his mind to those that proposed theories of conspiracy." Compared with Douglas, party politicians like Knapp are, of course, mere political pygmies. As pointed out by the brilliant literary giant, A.R. Orage, events always appeared to be in the pay of Douglas. Events unfortunately continue to conform the predictions of Douglas, and his analysis of basic causes of social disintegration. If the party politicians, including those who exploit the label of Social Credit for their purposes of expediency, really believe that the state of the world, and the openly emerging threat of the World State, is the result of mere chance and not design, then they have little constructive to offer in the battle for the world.

A DEMONSTRATION

The best that can be said about the New Zealand perversion of Social Credit in the interest of party, power politics is that in the long run it will demonstrate what is not possible. The suggestion that Social Credit can be advanced by power politics is like saying that it is possible that figs can be obtained from thistles. As in Australia, it is obvious that in the developing crisis, it is the League of Rights, which has acted as the faithful custodian of the Social Credit idea, which is moving to the centre of the stage of an influence which could prove decisive in the future.

WHO'S SERVANT?

By Harold Hotchkin

In the following article a veteran of the Social Credit Movement in Australia examines the role of newspaper mogul Rupert Murdoch in today's world. Mr. Harold Hotchkin is one of Australia's oldest active Social Crediters and those attending "The New Times" Dinner on September 28 will be privileged to gain a glimpse of the past from a man who attended C.H. Douglas's Melbourne Town Hall meeting. The title of Douglas's address was "The Monopolistic Idea". As Harold Hotchkin points out, the philosophy of monopoly is still dominant.

When the Bank of New South Wales, in its *Review*, No 27, October, 1978, published an essay, "Sources of Money" containing the following statement, "Today in Australia, as in most other modern economies, all money is a debt of the banking system", the author laid bare the basic fact that is the root cause of the disintegration of modern Civilisation.

As the possession of a sufficient supply of money in a modern society is the basis of an individual's independence, the lack of money, in most cases, deprives the individual of the chance to develop to the full his or her potential. The present state of social friction is entirely due to the "economic rat race" in a desperate effort to obtain money tokens. Those controlling the issue and recall of those tokens therefore have the power of life or death almost to the point of monopoly over modern society.

While the Bank of New South Wales *Review* may not be widely read, one could reasonably expect some of the bright young journalists, or financial "experts" of the financial pages of the mass media, possessed of a medium amount of intelligence, would have grasped the widespread implications

of the *Review* statement and pestered their editors to hit the headlines. As this has not happened, there must be a reason. In Australia, as in any modern society, the great mass of the population rely upon the mass-produced publications for their information, those in control of the media have a duty and responsibility to "tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth." So whose side are they on? God's, or Mammon's? Are our press tycoons masters in their own houses, or only the servants of the money power, responsible for the present debt crisis sweeping the world?

THE CASE OF RUPERT MURDOCH

Let us examine the case of Australia's own Rupert Murdoch, now credited with being the owner and controller of mass publications in Australia, the United Kingdom and the U.S.A. Rupert Murdoch is the son of the late Sir Keith and Lady Murdoch. Recently Rupert Murdoch was the subject of an interview on the Current Affairs programme, "Sixty Minutes". He was described as "the most powerful man in the world", which is surely open to challenge. His enemies accuse

him of a contempt for the truth. His grandfather, the Rev. P.J. Murdoch, was Presbyterian Minister in the Melbourne suburb of Kew.

Mr. Rupert Murdoch has been described as very much his father's son. His father, Sir Keith Murdoch, who obtained, in part, his education at the Fabian Socialist London School of Economics, became Managing Director of the *Herald* and *Weekly Times* in 1929, just prior to the Great Depression. Behind Sir Keith, as Chairman of Directors, was Mr. Theodore Fink, and the National Bank.

In 1929 Australia had entered one of those periodic crisis that are a feature of a system whereby "all money is a debt of the banking system." Debts had to be paid. So the mortgagees, mainly the City of London, sent Sir Otto Niemeyer, a Director of the Bank of England, and his advisor Professor Guggenheimer Gregory of the London School of Economics, to guide Australia on the right path. They sought the assistance of a Professor Douglas Copland, of the Melbourne University School of Economics, to assist in the process. Later the Professor received a knighthood, presumably for services rendered.

The infamous Premiers' Plan was formulated, with *The Herald* and all other mass media journals telling us that we have "lived beyond our means", that we had to "tighten our belts, produce more and consume less" and that we would find that prosperity was just around the corner. Wages were reduced, unemployment was at a record high, banks closed on farmers whose prices for their production was so low they could not pay the interest on their overdrafts. General misery abounded.

A DISCORDANT NOTE

The only discordant note from the politicians came from the Premier of N.S.W., Mr. J. T. Lang, who declared "We'll talk about cutting wages when interest rates are reduced." But Jack Lang was on his own.

We learn from *Rupert Murdoch*, a "Business Biography", by Simon Regan, that Rupert was educated at Geelong Grammar and Oxford. To quote Regan (page 37) "...the schoolboy Murdoch was shy and reserved...on the other hand there was Murdoch the rebel expounding deep socialist principles quite noisily to anyone who would listen." His "nickname" at school was "Red Rupert...people have made great play of his left wing leanings." In fairness to our international press tycoon however, we must further quote his biographer: "But this idealism was tempered at Oxford, and although streaks of radical thinking emerge in the Murdoch psyche every now and then, he is very far removed from that 'red rebel' ". Or is he — By their fruits ye shall know them.

Chapter 1 of Regan's work is more than interesting with the title, "Battle for *The News of The World*"; it is quite revealing. This London newspaper reputedly had the biggest circulation of any newspaper in the world. It was right wing and Enoch Powell was its political darling. But like millions of human beings in this world, *The News of The World* was suffering from financial starvation. It was ripe for a take-over.

Our one-time "Red Rupert" was keenly interested. So was Robert Maxwell (born Jan Ludwig Hoch) publisher millionaire Socialist M.P., who admitted that "Harold (Wilson) wanted me to have it." In Maxwell's corner were the bankers Hill Samuel and Rothschild — who finally got down to a take-over formula. In Murdoch's corner was Lord Catto, chairman of Morgan Grenfell, the Queen's merchant bankers, Harry Thorborg of Hambros Bank, "one of the best city infighters in the game." Sir Willian Carr, chairman of the *News of The World* organisation was the man under siege.

FINANCING THE TAKEOVER

There was a three way war between these big publishers and the experts of four of the city's most important banks. Maxwell had offered "a cool twenty six million pounds in a take-over bid." Murdoch at that stage could not find the cash to better Maxwell's offer, even "with the highly sympathetic

resources of the Commonwealth Bank of Australia behind him." Which poses the question as to why an Australian government bank should want to back a takeover bid for a London Sunday newspaper

We find that Murdoch's 'relationship with them is more than just business, so that he had personal friends there who were free with their advice about his finances." With the backing of Morgan Grenfell and the Hambros bank Murdoch finally took over control of *The News of The World*. His exploits in the United States, and in particular his loan concessional deals with the American Federal Reserve Bank have been matters for comment.

We gain the impression from the Sixty Minutes interview and Simon Regan's biography, that Rupert Murdoch keeps a tight rein on additional policy. It is claimed he is anti-Establishment. If that is so, and the term Establishment refers to the apex of political and economic power, then Mr. Murdoch must indeed be very naive. For as the founder of the Rothschild dynasty stated, "Permit me the right to create a nation's credit and I do not care who makes its laws."

In this day and age, there is no shortage of "laws", taxes and other imposts that impinge upon the individual's freedom, all necessary to prop up a corrupt system of national accountancy that allows "all money in Australia...to be a debt of the banking system". We have not noticed any great effort on the part of Mr. Murdoch's publications mounting any worth while campaign to rectify this state of affairs for the benefit of his fellow Australians.

Maybe the truth resides in the fact that "the borrower is the servant of the lender" Time will tell.

ANTI-AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS CAMPAIGN CONTINUES.

The massive anti-Australian League of Rights smear continues, with the Labor-Socialists playing a major role. The nationally orchestrated campaign ranges from the obscene writings of Mr. Phillip Adams, advertising guide to an "in-depth" article in the sophisticated pornographic magazine, *Penthouse*. The League has been inundated with requests from children and their parents to help them with projects on the League, most of the projects designed to further the smear against the League.

Having attempted to co-operate with all sections of the media, National League Director has declined to give any further interviews, pointing out that it is clearly a waste of valuable time to do this. The long-range work of the League does not require the big media. However, taking advantage of the tremendous publicity, the League has intensified its expansion programme, with tens of thousands of the League's updated and enlarged brochure being widely circulated with most encouraging results.

Originally the League's objective was to have 500,000 copies of the brochure distributed before the end of the year, but the response has been so great that it is now possible to talk in terms of distributing up to one million copies. A steady flow of new subscriptions to League journals, along with book orders, continues to grow.

DR AND MRS GEOFFREY DOBBS FOR COMMONWEALTH TOUR

Dr Geoffrey Dobbs and his wife Elizabeth, contemporaries of the late C.H. Douglas, will be visiting Canada, possibly New Zealand and Australia later in the year to participate in Social Credit activities associated with the commemoration of the 50th anniversary of Douglas 1934 Commonwealth tour. Interested readers should contact their local League of Rights.

THE WONDERFUL WORLD OF FLUORIDE

This journal has over many years opposed the policy of mass medication known as fluoridation of public water supplies. Our opposition has been primarily on philosophical grounds. The policy has been aptly described as Communism via the water tap, and it is not without significance that Communist movements in the West have all endorsed the policy. Large numbers of eminent scientists have opposed the policy on both philosophical and medical grounds. Dental fluorosis, evidence of fluoride poisoning, is becoming more common in communities which have had fluoridation imposed upon them.

The following article from the March-April issue of *"The Australian Fluoridation News"*, Box C9, P.O. Box Clarence Street, Sydney, 2000, provides the latest developments concerning one of the most dangerous hoaxes of this century:

How often do we hear some grand pronouncement by dentists, doctors, toothpaste manufacturers, suppliers of topical fluoride gels and mouth washes, and of course the fertiliser and aluminium manufacturers, together with the many industries that heavily pollute our atmosphere with fluorides, all joining our Australian politicians and their bureaucrats saying, "fluorides are perfectly safe, and in fact are essential to man and the environment?"

With tongue-in-cheek, the Government "enforce" laws that supposedly control fluoride emissions, they leave it to the polluter to monitor their own fluoride pollution and tell the Environmental Protection Agency "everything's OK", "no worries", and the EPA accept those assurances on our behalf!

No mention is ever made by the EPA or the Government on the excessive fluoride pollution from the petro-chemical, glass, steel, aluminium, oil refineries, fertiliser, brick, tile manufacturers etc. etc. and never are the fluoride pollution levels mentioned in the EPA Clean Air Report given to the media for daily announcements to the public.

FLUORIDES FOR NERVE GAS 1984

Notice only the very limited media reports from the USA and the UK on their banning shipments of potassium fluoride to Iran and/or Iraq, who are reported to be using the fluoride as the principal ingredient for their poison nerve gas.

Pictures, news items in the press, horrific pictures of the injuries from that nerve gas are shown on television, but never do they explain to the public that the gas is made from the same chemical our responsible government officials claim is so harmless and necessary to man.

President Reagan banned the fluoride from leaving the USA saying he will not permit fluoride chemicals to be used in chemical warfare, but the American people are wondering what sincerity there is in this statement by their President when he is quite happy to allow fluorides to be added to their drinking water supplies throughout the USA.

THE ENGLISH FOLLOW²

The English followed the Americans with their banning of exports of the fluoride chemicals, and although they are desperately trying to get legislation through for compulsory fluoridation in the UK, they appealed to European governments to follow with a ban on the fluorides.

The European countries have either banned, or do not use fluorides in their drinking water supplies.³

If you follow, and choose to believe the dishonest and dangerous fluoride propaganda published in the Victorian Government Inquiry Report into Fluoridation and Fluorides, you can laugh at the action of the USA and the UK, because the Victorian Government Report on Fluorides and Fluoridation is accepted without any reservations by all Australian Governments as their latest "scientific bible" on fluorides.

THE VICTORIAN GOVERNMENT REPORT

The Victorian Report makes claims that overseas scientists, and Australian universities are one million times in error in their quoted dangers of hydrogen fluoride gas. A great assurance, if one is crazy enough to trust or believe all the Australian governments who are more interested in hoodwinking the public, and protecting the establishment, rather than trying to understand and respect true and proven science relating to fluorides and fluoridation.

It appears, matters such as chemical gas warfare using

fluorides, is really not important because their scientific advisers and the Australian politicians believe fluorides are perfectly "safe" chemicals, and could not harm anyone or anything. Beliefs are no substitute for science.

THE HARMLESS FLUORIDE GAS?

Perhaps the Iranians and the Iraqis can find a friendly and more scientifically advanced government in Australia for supplies of (Australian classifications) harmless, inert, fluoride chemicals, because they know, publish, and guarantee that "even the most susceptible plants can tolerate up to 100ppm HF (hydrogen fluoride) from atmospheric sources."

SCIENTISTS V GOVERNMENT

The USA, UK and Australian university scientists show by research and studies that damage to plants is caused by one million times LESS hydrogen fluoride than claimed by the Australian Government and its scientists.⁴

With the assurance of the Australian governments and their supporting groups, such as the ADA, AMA and the NH and MRC, all agreeing with that dangerous and erroneous statement from the Victorian Government Inquiry on the dangers of hydrogen fluoride gas, there seems little doubt that our Government would be happy to supply fluoride chemicals in view of their claims that they are perfectly safe.

ACTION IN THE USA

The first action by the US Government (28/2/84) was an announcement by their State Department to detain 1100 pounds of potassium fluoride marked for Iraq.

The US put an immediate ban on its export, "for reasons that these chemicals will be used to produce chemical weapons, or devoted to chemical warfare".⁽⁵⁾

Potassium fluoride is the principal ingredient in the earliest form of the deadly nerve gas developed in Nazi Germany in about 1936.⁽⁶⁾

Sarin another nerve gas, described as a "superior nerve gas" is dependent on its fluoride formulation.⁶

A tiny amount of the nerve gas can kill virtually instantaneously by paralyzing the nervous system, thus preventing the heart and lungs from functioning.⁵

What does this demonstrate relating to fluoridation? It

BOOK LAUNCHING AT DINNER

A feature of the 1984 "New Times" Dinner will be the launching of a new edition of *"The Alberta Experiment"* by C.H. Douglas. The publication will commemorate the 50th anniversary of Douglas's tour of the British nations of Australia, New Zealand and Canada. The first Social Credit government in the world was elected in Alberta, Canada, in 1935. *"The Alberta Experiment"* is an historical record of Douglas's association with that government. The Introduction has been written by Mr. Eric D. Butler, and traces the progressive subversion of Social Credit in Alberta, drawing upon the writings of C.H. Douglas. As a Preface to the work the private notes of the late Mr. L.D. Byrne, Douglas's personal representative and adviser to the early Albertan Social Credit governments, are included. These notes make fascinating and revealing reading.

highlights the missing investigations into the interaction of fluorides in drinking water supplies by those responsible for allowing compulsory mass medication with fluoride on whole populations.

Dr. Emsley, the noted researcher in Kings College, London, said this about fluorides and fluoridation —

"When I used to hear things that fluoride could cause cancer and birth defects, about ten years ago, I dismissed it completely because there was no way in which I could see something so innocent as fluoride appeared to be at the time, doing something like this. Now, if you were to tell me that, then I'd have doubts about it, I must admit.

We just can't give it a clean bill of health as we used to."

(2GB Radio, 6-12 February 1984)

SUNDAY 15 APRIL 1984

250,000 Australians marched in protest against exports of uranium which MIGHT finish up in atomic weapons.

The march was supported by the Government!

Can you imagine the Government sponsoring a PROTEST MARCH AGAINST FLUORIDES being used NOW in gas warfare?

Yes, the same "Fluoride ion" (F) used in our compulsory mass medication, delivered to your house via the kitchen tap.

One newspaper⁷ has announced the Australian Government's suggestion through the Minister for Foreign Affairs, that Australia arrange an international conference with the USA, USSR, and the UK, to ban the manufacturing of "chemical weapons".⁷

CHEMICAL WEAPONS ARE "CHEMICALS"

The Australian Government officially named the chemicals involved⁷ in the nerve gas used in Iran and Iraq but omitted to name the principal chemical POTASSIUM FLUORIDE.

- Why is the official list by the Australian Government different from that published by the Governments of USA and the UK who admitted fluoride as the "PRINCIPAL COMPONENT".
- Why is the Australian Government and the media afraid to "name" the principal chemical (fluoride) in the nerve gas they wish to ban?
- Why is the word FLUORIDE hidden?
- Why does the Government say the formula for the horrific nerve gas "includes" certain chemicals, BUT does not name FLUORIDE as the principal chemical?
- How far can this fluoride propaganda farce go?
- Does this again prove fluorides are the 'forbidden words' for publication and fluorides are the protected poisons?
- Is the reason because the fluoride ion added by Government compulsion to our drinking water supplies is the same fluoride ion (F) that is the main ingredient in the most horrific war gas known to man.

Nerve Gas is 10 times more lethal than mustard gas; a tiny amount of nerve gas can kill virtually instantaneously by paralyzing the nervous system thus preventing the heart and lungs from functioning!

SUNDAY 29th APRIL

The managing director of a West German engineering company was arrested, to stand trial for helping the government of Pakistan to acquire a nuclear weapon.

It is alleged that the managing director supplied equipment worth \$6 million to a uranium hexafluoride plant in Pakistan.

The only possible purpose of the uranium hexafluoride plant is to convert natural uranium into the raw material for an enrichment plant. The only use for the enriched uranium, according to the British magazine *New Scientists*, is for nuclear weapons.

Yes, the same fluoride ion again used in nerve gas, for atomic bombs, and for your artificially fluoridated drinking water from your household tap.

NEW TIMES—SEPTEMBER 1984

CONCLUSION

Our politicians and many Council aldermen must be deliberately colour-blind and unable to see even a caution light, let alone the bright red danger signals being expressed throughout the world on the dangers of fluoride and fluoridation, in allowing artificial fluoridation of drinking water supplies to continue in Australia

The Minister for Foreign Affairs was devastatingly accurate, perhaps unwittingly, in summing up the position and the danger of fluoridation when he said: --

"The loophole is a result of the chemicals in question (fluoride) having alternative civilian uses, as well as their potential use in chemical warfare

What is This Civilian Use?

Compulsory mass medication by fluoridating the drinking water supplied of the Nation?

REFERENCES

1. *San Francisco Examiner*, 1 April 1984; *The Daily Telegraph*, Sydney, 2 April 1984; *The Sydney Morning Herald*, 'In Brief' 3 April 1984; *The Age*, Melbourne, 14 April 1984; *Melbourne Herald*, 13 April 1984; *The Sun* Melbourne, 2 April 1984; *Newsweek*, 9 April 1984
2. *The Age*, Saturday, 14 April 1984
3. *State of the Nations*, 1982: World-wide fluoridation facts and figures, Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria
4. *Fluoridation: Poison on Tap*, Walker G.S.R P pages 15 268, 308
5. *San Francisco Examiner*, 1 April 1984
6. *Mercks Index*.
7. *The Age*, 19 April, 27 April 1984.
8. *The Australian*, 29 April 1984

CASTRO'S JEWISH BACKGROUND

Fidel Castro, Marxist dictator of Cuba, is one of the Soviet Union's favourite tools in promoting global revolution Propaganda has it that the Soviet Union is anti-Jewish. What then, of Castro's alleged Jewish background?

Quoting as its source the Israeli evening newspaper *Ma'ariv*, which reported Castro's Jewish background in 1978, the West German paper, *National Zeitung*, in its issue of March 16, 1984, states that Fidel Castro Ruz was born as son to a wealthy landowner in 1927. As a young man he met the former Ambassador to Israel, Ricardo Subirana L-Lobo, alias Richard Wolf. Wolf emigrated to Cuba from Germany when Hitler came to power. In Cuba he became a millionaire and met with the young Castro, the two becoming close friends, each admitting their Jewish background. Castro studied as a lawyer and become involved in Marxist revolutionary movements which led to his imprisonment in 1953 by the Batista Government. Released from prison after serving only three years of a fifteen year sentence, Castro fled to Mexico and set in motion the revolutionary movement which eventually led to his return to Cuba and seizure of power Influential papers like *The New York Times* backed Castro, insisting that he wasn't a Communist, only an "agrarian reformer".

Castro's friend Wolf was the Cuban diplomat to Rome and Peking under the Batista government shortly before Castro's takeover of Cuba, Wolf became Ambassador to Israel where he has since resided as a private citizen in Tel Aviv.

Judges of a Policy

"An Athenian citizen," said Pericles, "does not neglect the State because he takes care of his own household; and even those of us who are engaged in business have a very fair idea of politics. We regard a man who takes no interest in public affairs, not as a harmless, but as a useless character; and if few of us are originators, we are all sound judges of a policy."

—*Thucydides*, Jowett Translation.

TO THE POINT

Demonstrating that type of invincible stupidity for which many politicians are noted, Sir Robert Muldoon of New Zealand insisted that his financial policy of escalating debt for New Zealand, was the way to salvation. Those who disagreed were brushed aside as financial idiots. The end result was the election of a Socialist Government which delights Moscow. No American nuclear ships to visit New Zealand; the South African diplomats to be packed off home; and the opening of two missions in black Africa. In Australia it was the "conservative" Fraser Government which prepared the way for the Fabian Socialist Hawke Government. Mrs. Thatcher is doing her best to ensure that the Socialists obtain power in the United Kingdom. The question is, can genuine conservatives everywhere start to eject betrayal by "conservative" parties before further doses of totalitarianism are imposed.

The Israeli elections provided no evidence that there is a likelihood of change in the aggressive policies which have kept the Moslem world in ferment, much to the delight of the Soviet strategists. A further destabilising development has been the election of the American-born Rabbi Kahane, who bluntly says he wants all Arabs out of Israel and their expulsion from the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, Kahan, founder of the notorious Jewish Defence League, whose members specialise in violence against those who disagree with Zionist policies, is being disowned by other Israeli politicians, many of whom really hope to achieve the same objectives in a more indirect manner.

**** **

Responsible government is impossible without responsible voting. One of the most dangerous myths concerning voting, is that an elector who does not vote for someone, even if recognised as the lesser of several evils, is being "negative" and "failing in his democratic responsibilities." In many cases the elector is being much more constructive and responsible by deliberately refusing to vote for any candidate. If all candidates are unacceptable, and if the majority deliberately applied what might be described as an "elector's veto", the winning candidate could not claim a mandate. The widespread application of an "elector's veto" would send shock waves through the party system and its manipulators.

It was encouraging to note that a form of the veto was used during the so-called European elections held on June 14. Following the lead of Mr. Anthony Cooney's *Liverpool Newsletter*, which advocated a national boycott of the elections, anti-Common Market organisations were so successful that a mere 30 per cent of the British electors participated. With all the party faithful being urged to show their faith in the Common Market by voting, the mass abstention was a striking manifestation of British lack of support for the Common Market.

Clearly the British people are far from reconciled to losing their sovereignty in the Common Market, which is encouraging evidence that the centralisers are finding it increasingly difficult to impose their programme.

* * * * *

The right of the individual to contract out of any association poses the greatest threat to those who seek to establish the totalitarian State, which is why the totalitarians seek to deny the individual the right to genuine freedom of choice. In recent years increasing numbers of parents in many countries have been so concerned about State-controlled education systems that they have sought to contract out of the system by placing their children in independent schools, most of these Christian based. The Hawke Socialist Government in Australia has become so concerned about the growth of small Christian schools that it has set up a special committee to supervise the establishment of new schools. In order to be eligible for government funding, new independent schools are to be required to give at least 24 months notice of establishment. And capital grants will be limited to new independent schools in developing areas, thus attempting to halt the growth of the small Christian schools.

A recent report states that 26 per cent of French children are now attending non-government schools. Attempts by the French Socialist government to exercise greater control over

the independent schools has resulted in big demonstrations across France. In face of strong State opposition, many British parents are making big sacrifices to keep their children out of government schools. Very little financial assistance is provided for British parents who send their children to non-government schools. Mrs. Thatcher's much-publicised "conservatism" doesn't extend to education.

Genuine conservatives, particularly those who call themselves Christians, should be in the forefront of campaigns to force governments to permit parents genuine freedom of choice concerning education. Some type of voucher system would be the most realistic solution.

Canadian developments clearly indicate the shape of things to come in an Orwellian world where UN sponsored Human Rights Commissions are used to deprive English-speaking peoples of rights which, under Common Law, they have enjoyed for hundreds of years. Jewish organisations are protesting the distribution of what is called "a banned anti-semitic book". The book is *The Hoax of The Twentieth Century*, a work of meticulous research by American Professor Arthur Butz. A member of the Calgary, Alberta, chapter of the Human Rights League of the B'nai B'rith, Allan Narvey, says that information in the Butz study is "trash". No one as yet has made any serious attempt to dispute the careful documentation of the Butz work. The truth is, of course, that the book is a masterly objective study which deprives the Zionist terror machine of a major weapon of psychological warfare: the story that 6 million Jews were systematically gassed to death by the Germans during the Second World War.

No objective student of the Second World War disputes that large numbers of people, including many Jews, died in concentration camps from a number of causes, the major one almost certainly being disease. The Zionists are now emulating Hitler's policy of book burning. If the English-speaking world is prepared to tolerate this outrage of basic rights, it is evidence that the spirit of freedom is flickering in the totalitarian gales. We believe there will come a revulsion against those who seek to insist that their version of history must be accepted as Holy Writ.

BACKGROUND TO MIDDLE EAST CRISIS

With the on-going Middle East crisis continuing to deepen, with the most far-reaching implications for the whole of the world, Dr. Alfred Lilienthal's great classic, "The Zionist Connection", is the only available work which provides the essential background history. Like other books critical of Zionist Israel, every effort has been made to prevent "The Zionist Connection" from being circulated.

Distinguished British military leader Sir John Glubb (Glubb Pasha), a man with long first hand knowledge of the Middle East, describes the Lilienthal book as "a prodigious performance". Sir John Glubb says "It should be read by every responsible citizen in the Western democracies".

Price \$18 posted from all League of Rights addresses.

Printed and Published by The Australian League of Rights, 273 Little Collins Street, Melbourne, 3000.