

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 49, No. 3

MARCH 1985

Registered By Australia Post—Publication No, VBH 1001

MISLEADING TALK ABOUT FINANCIAL COLLAPSE

There has in recent years been a spate of literature concerning a threatened collapse of the financial system. There have been predictions about the entire international banking system collapsing like a pack of cards. One prophetic book is entitled *"The Day The Dollar Died"*. Much of this literature, while containing relevant information about the astronomical burden of debt, is misleading and tends to direct attention away from realities.

As we have constantly pointed out, the modern financial system is basically one of accountancy, with the bulk of the money supply being in the form of figures in books — bank credit, created by the banking system and claimed as the property of that system, as witnessed by the fact that it is issued as an interest bearing debt. But in what way can the banking system as such collapse?

Many will answer the above question by drawing attention to the fact that many American banks had to close their doors during the Great Depression. But this was not evidence of a financial system collapsing, but of the most powerful manipulators of that system deliberately imposing a policy of severe credit restrictions. One of the results of this was that large numbers of private banks, which had been creating credit in the same way that all banks create credit, were unable to operate because of the policy of the Federal Reserve Bank and those who controlled this Central Bank. Depositors who clamoured to draw deposits out in notes discovered that banks possessed relatively small amounts of what were once described as "cash reserves".

As explained by C.H. Douglas in his last major work, *The Brief For The Prosecution*, once all the smaller banks had been forced to close their doors, thus further centralising control of the American banking system, the controllers of the Federal Reserve started to adopt a more liberal policy. The American banking system didn't collapse; it was used to advance a policy of centralised power. What did collapse was the American economy, as did all economies around the world. There was not only economic collapse, but social collapse under the impact of mass unemployment and, in many cases, starvation. There was also political collapse as witnessed by the establishment of dictatorships in Italy and Germany.

IMPOSSIBLE DEBT SITUATION

It is elementary that the massive international and national debts can never be repaid. Even spokesmen for the banking system admit this. The debt situation has now reached the stage where even the repayment of interest charges has become impossible, with the Gilbert and Sullivan situation where further loans are made by the banking system adding further to the debt burden, so that interest payments on previous loans can be met. As the banking system creates new credits at little real cost to itself, it experiences no loss when loans cannot be repaid. Generally overlooked is the cancellation of enormous debts by the banking system. And no bank deposits are threatened because a firm, an organisation, or an individual goes bankrupt and cannot meet bank debts.

If the creators of the world's escalating financial debts, including those of the Marxist-dominated nations, know that it is mathematically impossible for their debtors to pay their debts, that in fact they can barely meet interest charges as a result of the creation of still more debt, what then is the ultimate objective of the debt merchants? While it is probably true that many of those operating the system are decent, honest men who have been trained to follow unthinkingly the prevailing rules governing the banking system, there are those

at the apex of the system who regard it as a means for restructuring the whole world. The debt system is a means to creating conditions, which can then be exploited to persuade fearful people that they have no alternative but to accept the programme for a World State, a major feature of which is The New International Economic Order. Talk about a possible collapse of the international banking system assists in psychologically conditioning people to accept the policy of centralising credit power under the International Monetary Fund.

THE REAL COLLAPSE

The real collapse, which has been taking place for a long time, is in the real world, not that of financial bookkeeping. Consider the enormous economic sabotage taking place, with an enormous amount of human effort being perverted. It is true that at the moment there are vast surpluses of production, particularly food production, and it is difficult for many to

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions - private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited, decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

visualise a situation where there could be genuine shortages. Drawing attention to what has happened in Africa, where "liberation" has resulted in a serious decline in food production, prompts the response that such a decline is unthinkable in a European country. But the programme of centralised collectivism in the Soviet Union resulted in the fertile Ukraine, once known as "the breadbasket of Europe", being reduced to a stage where sufficient food cannot be produced even to feed the peoples of the Soviet Union; year after year the Soviet must import grain from the West. If the programme of the New International Economic Order can be fully imposed, it must result in a drastic reduction of food production in Western nations, with this and other production also being shared with the Third World nations. The clear aim is to reduce the standard of living of the free nations, with strong emphasis on the "moral duty" of the Western nations to help their less fortunate fellows in the Third World.

Ethiopia provides an example of the shape of things to come. As pointed out by authorities on Ethiopia, the basic cause of famine conditions is not drought, but the policies of the Marxist government.

Attempts to impose the World State cannot in the nature of things succeed. People should be less concerned about the system of financial accountancy and face the reality of a growing subversion of a Civilisation evolved over thousands of years, reaching a high water mark before the First World War. The system of debt finance is a manifestation of a type of black magic. The most effective way of dealing with black magic is to stop believing in it, to become free. We suggest that people should be encouraged to refuse to grant a reality to a system of finance-accountancy by suggesting that it can collapse, stressing rather that the manipulation of that system is threatening major convulsions for a Civilisation which has already suffered many damaging wounds.

UNDECLARED CIVIL WAR IN THE WEST

By Ivor Benson

The following article by the distinguished South African journalist, Mr. Ivor Benson, appeared in the January issue of "Behind The News", which is issued by the National Forum, P.O. Box 1564, Krugersdorp, 1740, South Africa.

The appalling thing in the revolution is not the tumult but the design. Through all the fire and smoke we perceive the evidence of calculating organisation. The managers remain studiously concealed and masked but there is no doubt about their presence from the first.

Lord Action

Aid simultaneously becomes an instrument for promoting international equality, for reducing the power of the West and for encouraging state-controlled economies wherever possible. There are also various disaffected groups in the West, which have come greatly to dislike private property and the market system. Some of these groups envisage the Third World as a weapon in what is in effect an undeclared, one-sided civil war in the West.

PROFESSOR P.T. BAUER,
*Equality, the Third World
and Economic Delusion.*

We begin 1985 with another attempt to present something most sorely needed in the West: a simply theory of the history of our century which will help us to understand our worsening situation. Or, to put it differently, what we need are valid generalisations, which enable us to master the facts instead of being submerged in them.

The effect of a sound theory is to bring together facts, which belong together, as pieces are brought together in a jigsaw puzzle, producing a picture of reality not to be found in any of the facts and giving to the facts a meaning they never had before.

So, why has such a theory not already become the shared property of the educated classes in the West? Answer: Because it would threaten the interests of those who control or influence the world's affairs. Political scientists know full well, and admit as much, that the disorders and crises, which characterise our age of conflict, have some common cause. Here is the first paragraph from a book widely distributed in Western universities:

Two world wars and their intervening wars, revolutions and crises are now generally recognised to be episodes in a single age of conflict, which began in 1914 and has not yet run its course. It is an age that has brought to the world more change and tragedy than any other equal span in recorded history. Yet whatever may be its ultimate meaning and consequence, we can already think of it — and write of it — as a historic whole. (This Age of Conflict, F.P. Chambers,

C.,P. Harris, C.C. Bayley; Harcourt, Brace and Company, 1943).

In some 1000 pages, which follow, the authors provide well-documented descriptions of many of these "wars, revolutions and crises" but make no further mention of their opening theme, the idea that these were only episodes in a single historical drama.

The theory we offer is that the revolutionary changes which have swept the world since the beginning of this century and now appear to be headed for a grand climax had their origin in a revolutionary change which occurred in the realm of high finance, summarised and explained as follows, in our pamphlet of January 1984 entitled *The Middle East Riddle Unwrapped*:

For a long time after the beginning of the modern industrial era, finance capitalism — not to be confused with private ownership capitalism — existed almost entirely in *national concentrations*; there was a British finance-capitalism, answerable to a British government which was in turn answerable to an electorate; a German finance-capitalism, a French one, a Dutch one, etc, each one joined to a national government and finally answerable to a national electorate.

Last century and well into the twentieth, these national concentrations of financial power were in vigorous competition, a major example of this rivalry being the scramble for colonial possession in Africa and elsewhere in the industrially undeveloped world, and another was the First World War, which arose out of the rivalry of national financial powers centred in Britain and Germany.

What then happened was that the many national concentrations of finance-capitalism were drawn into coalescence to form something new in history; namely, an international finance-capitalism fiercely resolved to free itself from answerability to any national government and its electorate.

This process of coalescence had already begun at the time of the Anglo-Boer War but only began to exert a major influence in world affairs in the next two decades.¹

One of the last national concentrations of finance-capitalism to capitulate was that of the United States; this occurred in the middle 1930s when the multi-millionaire American pioneering families, led by J.P. Morgan, finally lost their supremacy in Wall Street to the internationalists, as recorded by Dr. Carroll Quigley.²

There can be no doubt that a major factor in bringing about revolutionary changes in the realm of high finance was the

existence within the different nations of Europe of banking families or dynasties which had always specialised in transnational operations. The story of how these financial families consolidated their power on an international basis is told by Dr. Quigley in his "history of the world in our time", *Tragedy and Hope*; he writes: "The greatest of these dynasties, of course, were the descendants of Meyer Amschel Rothschild (1743-1812) of Frankfort, whose male descendants, for at least two generations, generally married first cousins or even nieces. Rothschild's five sons, established branches in Vienna, London, Naples and Paris, as well as Frankfort, cooperated together in ways which other international banking dynasties copied but rarely excelled" (p.51).

Dr. Quigley names as some of the other international banking families: Baring, Lazard, Erlanger, Schroder, Seligman, Speyers, Mirabaud, Mallet, Fould and Morgan. This list could easily be extended — Warburg, Wallenberg, Kuhn, Loeb, Schiff, etc.³

There is no need to enquire deeply into the genealogies of these internationally dispersed banking dynasties which, as Dr. Quigley put it, "in time brought into their financial network the provincial banking centres organised as commercial banks and savings banks, as well as insurance companies, to form all of these into a single financial system on an international scale which manipulated the quantity and flow of money so that they were able to influence, if not control, governments on the one side and industries on the other."

Everyone knows that with very few exceptions these banking families are, and always were, Jewish — and even exceptions like Morgan and Rockefeller can be shown to support the thesis that the control of international finance as an integrated system is Jewish.

With so much at stake for the West, and the peril increasing daily, the time has come to state bluntly that any further yielding to propaganda and pressure aimed at discouraging full and frank discussion of the Jewish role in power politics is an unforgivable evasion of duty and responsibility.

All the major changes which have occurred in our century — the Bolshevik Revolution and its aftermath, the precipitation of World War II, the dismantling of the colonial empires and the creation of a bogus "world parliament", etc — all of these and much else can be explained as having been dictated by the needs and ambitions of the new international financial power;⁴ for there was obviously no way in which the prosperity and security of this Jewish-controlled money power could be reconciled with the continued existence of strong governments in Europe and Russia to which it would have to be responsible and answerable.

Only the willful blindness of those totally committed to a socialist religion-substitute can prevent anyone from finding out for himself that the Bolshevik Revolution and the so-called "dictatorship for the proletariat" which it inaugurated was an exercise in fraudulent misrepresentation without parallel in history.

The Bolshevik Revolution was master-minded and financed from abroad,⁴ and the Soviet Union was set up, rescued from collapse, and raised to the status of an industrial and military superpower by the same international money power which set up and has continued to maintain and massively arm the state of Israel.

The dismantling of the colonial empires can be similarly explained as the *freedom which international finance secured for itself* as it detached vast territories from the jurisdiction of the European colonial powers, setting over them instead oppressive proxy regimes, easy to manipulate and even easier to remove when no longer required — with the weakening of the nation states of Europe and the creation of innumerable controllable votes at the United Nations as an additional gain for the internationalists.⁵

(Extract, *The Middle East Riddle Unwrapped*, published, by The Canadian League of Rights, 1984).

NEW TIMES—MARCH, 1985

THE DECEPTION OF NATIONS

The methods of political and psychological warfare used against the West and aimed at its cultural and political elimination, are so ingeniously contrived and so heavily masked with ostentations of legitimacy and good intentions, that they cannot be detected unless exposed to the scrutiny of a sound theory of history which lays bare their hostile purpose and intent.

Battle after battle is lost in this undeclared civil war because the peoples of the West cannot understand what is happening; and they cannot understand what is happening because they lack a theory of history, which puts together facts, which belong together. Defeated in their minds, the peoples of the West are given no chance to exercise the talents and the courage, which have ensured their health, wealth and happiness in the past.

Some occupy themselves with detailed descriptions of the harms being done and of their immediate source, fulminating about the painful and unpleasant consequences of successive defeats in a nameless war, while others cash in on whatever opportunities remain for personal profit and aggrandisement on the principle *apres moi le deluge* — all this for the lack of an easily comprehensible theory which locates them in history and identifies the enemy, his motives and his methods.

It needs a refined instrument of intellect and of moral sensibility to detect in all the chaos and confusion now prevailing in the West a systematic ethical blood-poisoning of nations in which, to paraphrase Isaiah, evil is called good and good evil, in which darkness is put for light and light for darkness, and in which combinations of good and evil are made always to operated in favour of evil.⁶

The result for the peoples of the West is that their national interests, interests which give meaning and value to existence, are surrendered — not in exchange for an advancement of shared international interest, as generally supposed, but wholly to the advantage of *and arcane national interest which masquerades as internationalism*.

There is space in this article for only brief mention of two major areas of policy-making since the end of the Second World War in which enormous moral violence has been inflicted on the peoples of the West: Development Economics, better known as "foreign aid", and Immigration.

In neither of these areas are policies explainable in terms of the will, intelligence and moral sensibilities of the peoples of the West, although all nominally promoted and implemented by Western governments — on the other hand, they are easily explained as meeting the geopolitical requirements of an expanding financial Imperium.

A new world order which will keep power securely in the

BASIC FUND MUST BE FILLED

As we go to press the League's 1984-85 Basic Fund stands at approximately \$44,000. This leaves the Fund still short of its objective by \$6000. The low cost operating of the League and its forward planning makes it imperative that the Basic Fund target is reached each year. We cannot say, "That is close enough." The League is firmly committed to its planned programme, which is being expanded progressively. Faith is, of course, a major ingredient in the League's programme.

The League plans to close the current Basic Fund by the end of March, and requests the great majority who have not yet contributed, to do so immediately so that the deficiency of \$6000 is made good.

Northern N.S.W. and Queensland contributions should be sent to the Queensland State Director, Mr. Chas Pinwill, The Conservative Bookshop, 459 Anne Street, Brisbane. West Australian contributions to P.O. Box 16, Inglewood, W.A. 6052. All others to Box 1052J, G.P.O. Melbourne, 3001.

hands of those who now dominate high finance cannot be reconciled with the survival of economic and political power among peoples of the kind who have demonstrated great genius in its deployment and use; these must be eliminated as viable cultural and political collectives and their populations proletarianised, reduced to that condition of "arrested development" which Churchill saw as a necessary prerequisite of centralised world rule.⁷

With development economics there have been set up in the Western mind and imagination two enormous collectives: the industrialised or developed world, vastly superior in wealth now labelled "the North", and the supposedly underdeveloped but numerically superior "Third World", now labelled "the South" — the two separated by a dangerous antagonism capable of being resolved only by enormous and continuous transfers of wealth from "North" to "South".⁸

Cunningly planted feelings of guilt which leave millions of Westerners persuaded that the developed nations are wholly responsible for Third World "backwardness" have helped to create just the right conditions of moral disablement in the West to make possible the promotion of a fraudulent operation which, for magnitude and impudence, is without precedent in recorded history.⁹

Scores of thousands of highly paid bureaucrats, development specialists and others, responsible for the implementation of "foreign aid", are sufficiently buoyed up by the benevolence of their intentions and the enjoyment of delegated power to disregard the appalling results produced, most of them blissfully unaware of their role as accessories in a criminal exercise aimed at the heartlands of their own civilisation.

Errors of judgment on the part of elected leaders, and the spurious egalitarian idealism of the rootless and mis-educated, cannot sufficiently explain immigration policies, which have had serious disruptive consequences for nearly all the countries of the West. On the other hand, the persistency with which such policies are promoted, in total disregard of the deplorable results produced, argues strongly for the presence of secret motives which have more to do with power than with idealism.

Significantly, too, it is precisely in this area that severe penalties have been attached to any attempt to bring into the forum of public debate policies known to be unwanted by the majority of the host populations.

What this means, quite clearly, is that by no stretch of the imagination can such policies be defended in free and open debate as advantageous to the countries of the West; on the contrary, they can be debated with intellectual consistency only as an exercise designed to confuse and divide the people of the West and to rob them of any power to offer combined resistance to a fate planned for them — that of being submerged in a politically helpless global proletariat.

MYSTERY OF INIQUITY

Immigration, however, is only one aspect of a wider and more far-reaching employment of racial conflict as a weapon to be used in an undeclared war against the peoples of the West. The key to the mystery of any vigorously pressed and unintelligible policy is the perceived interest of the conspirators of high finance; we are lost if we try on any other basis to understand what is being *made to happen*.

Enlightened by this generalisation, we are not surprised to find one and the same race policy condemned in one place and extolled in another.

Thus, race separation in one country where it is an obstacle to the one-worlders is "bad", but in another it can be "good" — as in Australia and Canada, where legalised separation (apartheid) for the Aborigines and Amerindians, respectively, is seen as a quick and easy way to achieve the "internationalisation" of vast tracts of land and their natural resources, "freeing" them from the jurisdiction of the provincial or state governments.

Rendered more intelligible, too, by sound theory is the

enormous pressure now being exerted in the United States for legislation that would grant full citizenship, with all welfare benefits, to more than fifteen-million illegal immigrants, while the barriers are raised still higher against would-be immigrants who share the ancestry of America's founding fathers. The purpose should be obvious: to "internationalise" the United States, to "free" it from what remains of the power and influence of the real Americans, people of the kind who build up that great country.¹⁰

It is the purpose of this article to show that there is no more potent weapon to be used against *the enemies of the West* than valid condensations of history, which bring an increasing number of thoughtful people to knowledge of the truth. **NOTES:**

¹ See *The Battle for South Africa* and *Truth Out of Africa*, Ivor Benson (Dolphin Press).

² *Tragedy and Hope*, Carroll Quigley (Macmillan); see also, *Behind the News*, May 1984 ('Who Controls History Controls the Future', with reference to Dr. Antony Sutton's book *Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler*).

³ Some of these names, and others, are given in *The Course of Modern Jewish History*, Howard Morley Sachar (Dell Publishing Co).

⁴ See *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, Anthony Sutton (Veritas, Australia) and *The Controversy of Zion*, Douglas Reed, 32nd chapter (Dolphin Press).

⁵ See *Truth Out of Africa*, above cited.

⁶ Isaiah 5:20; compare Milton's *Paradise Lost* I: 158-165.

⁷ *London Sunday Illustrated Herald*, February 1920.

⁸ See Professor P.T. Bauer's studies on development economics, *Dissent on Development; Equality, the Third World and Economic Delusion; Reality and Rhetoric* (Weidenfeld & Nicolson).

⁹ See *The Third World Calamity*, Brian May (Routledge & Kegan Paul) and *The Destruction of a Continent*, Professor Karl Borgin and Kathleen Corbett (Harcourt Brace Jovanovich).

¹⁰ "The United States is essentially 'British' in its fundamental constitution, civilization and language. Although now such a vastly composite nation . . . it is to be remembered that, besides being founded by British colonists and organized by the Englishman George Washington, the steam of emigration which flowed into the States down to the middle seventies of last century was almost entirely British and Scandinavian. . . ." — Dr. L.A. Waddell, *Phoenician Origin of Britons, Scots and Anglo-Saxons* (Williams & Norgate, London 1925).

SOCIAL CREDIT AND CHRISTIANITY

"We say, with adequate foundation, that Social Credit is applied Christianity, and it is therefore especially necessary to be able to give an intelligent answer to an enquiry for a definition of Christianity in everyday life. It must be remembered that while it is no longer, England was once a Christian country, and during that period there was evolved a system of Law, known as Common or Natural Law, which was definitely Christian in intention, and surprisingly so in achievement. It was wholly distinct from Roman Law and entirely opposed to the Legislation by departments which is struggling to kill it.

"It is in this body of Law that the most tangible structure to which Social Crediters can refer is enshrined, and it is important that Social Credit technicians should have a clear understanding, not in the details, but of the principles which are involved — principles which underlie the whole theory of private property and its corollary — the corporate nature of the family from generation to generation, which have been filched by their simulacra, the limited company and State Capitalism.

"Socialism, Communism, and Atheism are all of a piece, as are Christianity, private decentralised property, and respect for family tradition as part of respect for the individual, there is no compromise possible — either there is no Christ, or Socialism and Communism are of the Devil. The essence of them without exception is that the group giveth, and the group taketh away; blessed be the name of the group. Anyone with experience of like knows that the group giveth; yes, in exchange for the soul."

— C.H. Douglas, 1945
NEW TIMES—MARCH, 1985

"WHO ARE THE JEWS?"

Book Review by "Book Worm"

It is surprising that the book, "Who Are The Jews?" by Christian Borg, published last year, has not attracted more attention. The reviewer regards this work as of at least equal importance with Koestler's book, "The Thirteen Tribe", in which this eminent writer of Jewish background carefully documents that the great majority of people calling themselves Jews are of non-Semitic origins, but descendants of the Khazars of Central Asia, converted to Judaism in the seventh century.

"Who Are The Jews?" is the story of an astonishing New Zealand court case in which, for the first time in an English-speaking country, Jews were classified as an "ethnic group" under race relations laws. The Introduction quotes a New Zealand Rabbi as stating, in a comment on the implications of the New Zealand Court decision, that "We Jews are an anomaly among the peoples of the world . . . We are unique because we define ourselves as both a religion and a people." To paraphrase George Orwell, if all peoples are unique, it appears that one group, the Jews, is more unique than others.

The New Zealand case started with the prosecution, under the Race Relations Act, of a National Socialist King Ansell who, from the relatively small amount of information provided about him, does not appear as a very attractive person. He appears typical of the many slightly pathological types who are attracted to National Socialism, as witnessed by the fact that shortly after he joined the National Socialist Party, he was convicted of arson. The charge which resulted in the historic New Zealand court decision related to King Ansell's distribution of a pamphlet urging the release of Hitler's former deputy, Rudolph Hess, from the prison in which he has been held since the Nuremberg trials of 1946. There was nothing unusual about the demand that Hess be released, as large numbers of prominent people around the world have over the years pressed for the release of Hess. But the complainants to the Race Relations Board, both Jews, said they were offended by the use of the extract from the Gospel of St. John, which quotes Christ as saying to the Jews, "*Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own; for his a liar, and the father of it.*" A witness for the Crown, William Rosevear, was presented as an expert on The New Testament, being a lecturer in New Testament Studies at Saint John's College. Although a Bachelor of Divinity and possessing a Master of Theology degree, Rosevear declined to take an oath on the Bible, stating that he preferred to make an affirmation. He described himself as a Christian, stating, "I am actually an Anglican Priest."

Assuming that this expert witness was genuine, he went on to make the mistake, perhaps best outlined in Benjamin Freedman's *Facts are Facts*, of stating that Christ was allegedly a member of a race known as Jews. One does not need to be an outstanding scholar to know that the famous Latin inscription on the cross does not describe Christ as "King of the Jews", but King of *the Judeans*. Judea, in which Christ lived and preached, was a Province of the Roman Empire. A number of different groups lived inside Judea. As demonstrated by Freedman and others, the term Judean was over the centuries changed until it became Jew. Those Judeans who resisted and hated Christ were those described as the Pharisees. The clash between Christ and the Pharisees was religious, not tribal or racial.

ETHNIC GROUPS

Evidence provided by another Crown witness, Alastair MacPherson, a University lecturer in Sociology at the University of Auckland, makes fascinating reading. Mr. MacPherson said he concluded that Jews could not be described as a race, but as an ethnic group. He said, "This seems to be very much more appropriate because there are members of the Jewish people who are of diverse racial origins. There are black Jews, there are Asian Jews, there are

European Jews. They are of vastly different origins, that is racially, but they do claim to be Jewish . . ." The witness then quotes a book written by two Professors, Shebutari and Khan, called *Ethnic Stratification*, in which an ethnic group is described as "those who conceive of themselves as being alike by virtue of their common ancestry, real or fictitious, and who are so regarded as others."

The logic of what this sociologist is saying is that, for example, black Ethiopians who call themselves Jews, and blue-eyed, fair Europeans who also call themselves Jews, are members of an "ethnic group", and that therefore a black Ethiopian and an Englishman are also both members of an ethnic group because they both describe themselves as Christians. As far as this reviewer is aware, no one as yet has described all Christians, irrespective of their racial background, as members of an ethnic group.

As Benjamin Disraeli insisted, race is an important factor in human affairs. Racial characteristics may be modified by religion, but it is a matter of common observation that, for example, the characteristics of Italian and English Christians are quite different. C.H. Douglas referred to the fact that Jews are of Asian background, which is basically true whether considering them as of Semitic (Sephardic) or non-Semitic (Askenazim) origin and that the Asian or Oriental has virtues different from those of the European, pointing out that the concept of "fairness" was unknown in Asia until contact with the European.

Who Are The Jews? makes fascinating reading from beginning to the end. How and why King-Ansell's counsel, Mr. Brian Carl Nordgren, decided to take a case of this kind is interesting. Apparently he decided to take the risks of the case "because the topic is interesting." Nordgren had a distinguished academic career, served in the New Zealand army and for ten seasons played football in England with a famous team, becoming somewhat of a football celebrity. A case which he thought might be disposed of in a short time eventually took two and a half years to decide. His submissions in both the first case, which ended with King Ansell being found guilty of committing a breach of the Race Relations Act, and during the appeal case, reveal a high degree of scholarship. What clearly emerges is that the meaning of words and terms have been changed, that there is a genuine problem of semantics in discussing many current contentious issues, particularly an emotional one like "*The Jewish*"

50th ANNIVERSARY DINNER

This year's annual "*New Times*" Dinner will commemorate the 50th anniversary of "*The New Times*", launched in May, 1935, by a group of Melbourne business and professional men, and published continuously ever since. This is a remarkable achievement and testifies to the vitality of the journal's central message.

The Anniversary Dinner will be in Melbourne on Friday, October 4, and will be a memorable occasion, which our readers, both old and new, will want to attend. 50 years of stirring history will be recalled. We have no doubt that it will match the great Douglas Centenary Dinner of 1979.

Make a note of the date now. Early bookings will be accepted.

Question",

The three New Zealand Supreme Court Judges who found against King Ansell's appeal made history with their acceptance of an interpretation of the term "ethnic" which flies in the face of commonsense, even if supported by a relatively new dictionary meaning for the term "ethnic". Perhaps the most significant statement from the learned Judges came from Mr. Justice Woodhouse who, referring to the Race Relations Act under which King Ansell was prosecuted, pointed out that "The long title to the Act describes it as 'an Act to affirm and promote racial equality in New Zealand and to implement the International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination'. Obviously the second part of that stated purpose is important as an aid to construction. Clearly the statue has an international as well as domestic significance and the fact is emphasised by this country's earlier ratification of the Convention of 25th October 1966. As a result New Zealand has undertaken to support its provisions."

As those engaged in what has been aptly described as the "race relations business", are intensifying their attacks on all those who dare to reject the concept of creating multi-racial and multi-cultural societies, *Who Are The Jews?* is essential reading at the present time. The New Zealand Court decision makes history in more ways than one. Containing 275 pages, this book is also excellent value for only \$11 posted.

* * * * *

DESTRUCTION OF COMMON LAW

Common Law is a reflection of the Christian concept of the value and uniqueness of every individual. Common Law is a priceless heritage of all English-speaking peoples, including those of the United States. Under Common Law an individual is presumed to be innocent until proved guilty.

All this is changing in a world where rights are now apparently passed down from the United Nations, the majority of members being different types of totalitarian States.

Canada provides a striking example of the destruction of Common Law rights. Federal Justice Minister John Crosbie is proposing a Criminal Code amendment, which will change "hate literature laws". According to Canadian press reports, Mr. Crosbie proposes to re-introduce Trudeau liberal proposals to place responsibility on the accused to show he did not produce hatred.

Mr. Crozbie is Minister for Justice in a government, which describes itself as "Progressive Conservative". The erosion of Common Law rights has taken place under all types of governments, and started early this century. Shortly after the First World War, Lord Hewart, former Lord Chief Justice of England, caused a stir with his classic, *The New Despotism*, which drew attention to government by regulations and decrees which had the force of law, and which deprived individuals of the protection of the courts. Lord Hewart's charges eventually led to the establishment of a British Royal Commission to investigate the question of whether bureaucratic despotism was supplanting responsible government. One of the principal witnesses to appear was the prominent Marxist and Zionist, Professor Harold Laski. Laski contended that the modern State could not operate without power being delegated to the non-elected official.

There was a time when property rights, which are the basis of genuine freedom, were inviolate. But today many officials and inspectors have more power to invade properties than the police. The taxation monster has grown to the stage where it is an instrument of naked tyranny. Taxation has become so complicated that in many cases it is impossible for the ordinary individual to understand. Even taxation specialists find it difficult to keep abreast of changes, many of them quite arbitrary. Taxation officials have enormous powers, and continue to demand more in an attempt to police a system

which increasing numbers of people are attempting to defeat, Unless a halt is called, eventually it will require half the population to try to police the other half.

The only good law is that law which the great majority of people respect because it is beneficial. Such law is almost self-regulating. A return to Common Law requires that the philosophy underlining all modern governments, that they are omnipotent, be challenged. Christian philosophy insists that governments belong to the people, exist to serve the people, and rejects the concept of individuals belonging to governments.

The roots of Common Law go back to Magna Carta and beyond. The famous British constitutional authority Blackstone, writing in his *Commentaries*, 1765, quoted how Edward 1 had confirmed Magna Carta with a statute "whereby the Great Charter is directed to be allowed as the common law . . ."

Professor R.W. Chambers has succinctly stated the basic question confronting Civilisation as follows: "Upon that difference — whether or not we place Divine Law in the last resort above the law of the State — depends the whole future of the world."

Every politician should be asked where he or she stands on this question.

* * * * *

A PHONY QUARREL

"Political Freedom cannot exist except when it is founded on industrial freedom. If a private group controls a man's livelihood it can control both his actions and his philosophy. And so with progression of the disease of Cartelisation. A new political philosophy arises justifying centralised planning of production and distribution . . ."

"Socialism eagerly advocates this new order. Their only quarrel with industrialists is in the selection of those who will manage the brave new world. Socialists want to recruit the managers from the ranks of the academic thinkers sympathetic with the underdog. Industrialists want to choose them from the cartel leaders. Both groups are ready to abandon industrial democracy. Thus a culture which is willing to embrace a political dictatorship spreads over the thinking of the nation."

— Thurman W. Arnold in *Germany's Master Plan*

1985 BRITISH CROWN COMMONWEALTH CONFERENCE

The 1985 British Crown Commonwealth League of Rights Conference will be held in London, England, during the first weekend in November. The conference will be hosted by the British League of Rights, and those seeking full details should contact Mr. Donald Martin, National Director of The British League of Rights, at 26 Meadow Lane, Sudbury, Suffolk, England. C010 6 TD, United Kingdom. Telephone: National — Sudbury (0787) 76374; International: + 44 787 76374.

Australian and New Zealand readers who may consider attending the London Conference, and at the same time take the opportunity to have a holiday in the United Kingdom, should contact the Australian League of Rights which will, if there is sufficient interest, explore the establishment of a composite group tour packet, enabling League supporters to visit the United Kingdom at the lowest possible financial cost.

IS BIG BROTHER WATCHING OVER US?

The following article by Pam Roseman appeared in the Australian weekly rural paper, "The Weekly Times" of January 6. Wherever possible, the individual should refuse to participate in all plans, which intrude upon his privacy.

George Orwell's *1984* really arrived for me and my family in 1984 — the two weeks leading up to Christmas Day.

It came in the form of the Australian Bureau of Statistics survey of household expenditure.

We were one of the "lucky" or (unlucky) 7500 households throughout Australia to be chosen to tell the Bureau how we spend our hard earned gains.

You have no choice of participation. You simply receive a letter informing you that you will be contacted in the near future by an officer of the Bureau.

The procedure starts with the interviewer providing a brief outline of the survey and an explanation as to why the information is needed. Apparently, it is all to do with helping determine the Consumer Price Index (CPI) but I'm sure they don't need all the information they seek. Also, much of it is available from other sources.

As well as seeking information on household salaries, taxation, other income sources, how much is paid for health insurance, car registration, various insurances, council and water rates, the Bureau also wants to know how much you paid for electricity, gas and telephone accounts.

And on top of that they take a peek into your cheque account seeking information on the numbers of cheques you have written for various values over a specific period of time.

The interviewer even took note of the fact that my five year-old son received \$1.20 from the sale of aluminium cans he had been collecting over the past few months.

If you squirmed when fitting out the last census form, don't worry; that was just kid's stuff when compared to the Bureau's latest "exercise".

NO CHOICE

It must come very close to either an invasion of privacy or contravention of civil liberties — and from what we were told there is simply nothing you can do about it.

No matter what your age, social status or anything else can let you "off."

Once we fossicked through all our cupboards, files and draws for the information needed and completed the marathon interview (which lasted over two hours) we were in for our next shock.

This came in the form of an expenditure diary, which was all neatly packaged with a pen and small notebook in a very official looking folder. To soften the blow a little, there were also pamphlets outlining the CPI and general statistics on the Australian economy.

For the next fortnight, we were informed, we were to complete the day by day pages of the diary describing the size, weight or volume of each item we purchased, how much it cost

* * * * *

LORD ACTON'S WISDOM

"Whatever formula we adopt we shall do well to bear in mind the truths which Lord Acton, one-time Lord Chief Justice of England, never ceased to proclaim:

"That man does not live by bread alone; that the State was made for man, not man for the State; that every citizen counts; that minorities should have their place in the sun; that liberty is not a mere political contrivance, but a spiritual principle; that ordered liberty is the highest prize of civilised society; that men and women, like flowers, need light and air to have their chance and produce their best; that since all power tends to corrupt, the only way to prevent its abuse is to cut it up into little bits."

— From an article of Lord Action by G.P. Gooch

NEW TIMES—MARCH, 1985

and where it was bought.

TIME CONSUMING

Details of any gambling wins were also to be completed daily.

On the surface this may appear simple — but I can assure you when shopping for both food and gifts for Christmas, it is very time consuming as well as annoying.

Not even the purchase of a 5c sweet at the local milk bar or a newspaper from the boy at the traffic lights is meant to go unnoticed.

And in our case, to the best of our ability it didn't, because we wanted the Bureau to know just how much it costs to "live".

The survey lasted only two weeks, but they were among the longest two weeks of our lives with each constantly checking up on the spending of the others. Even "secret" Christmas presents for other members of the family became public knowledge.

This is a very time consuming exercise, with the participants being rewarded by the Bureau — by being allowed to keep the diary folder and being presented with a foolscap pad of writing paper on the day the diary is collected.

* * * * *

BEGINNING OF THE BANK OF ENGLAND

"An Act of Parliament was passed in the year 1694, being the 5th year of William and Mary, chapter 20, the title of which act is in the following words — words that every man should bear in mind, words fatal to the peace and happiness of England, words which were the precursor of a scourge greater than ever before afflicted any part of God's creation — 'An Act for granting to their Majesties several rates and duties upon tonnage of ships and vessels, and upon beer, ale, and other liquors, for securing certain recompenses and advantages in the said Act mentioned, to such persons as shall voluntarily advance the sum of fifteen hundred thousand pounds toward carrying on the war against France' This Act lays certain duties, sufficient to pay the interest of this one million, five hundred thousand pounds. Then it points out the manner of subscribing, the mode of paying the interest, or annuities, and then it provides that, if so much of the whole sum be described by such a time, the subscribers shall have a charter under the title, 'The Governor and Company Of The Bank of England.'!

"Thus arose loans, funds, banks, bankers, bank notes, and a national debt; things that England had never heard or dreamed of before this war for 'preserving the Protestant religion as by law established'; things without which she had had a long and glorious career of many centuries, and had been the greatest and happiest country in the world; things which she never would have heard of, had it not been for what is audaciously called 'The Reformation', seeing that to lend money at interest, that is, to say, for gain, that is to say to receive money for the use of money, seeing that to do this was contrary and still is contrary to the principles of the Catholic Church. . .

" . . .the scheme, the crafty, the cunning, the deep scheme, has from its ominous birth been breeding swarms of Jews, Quakers, usurers of every description, feeding and fattening on the vitals of the country, till at last it has produced what the world never saw before — starvation in the midst of abundance."

— William Cobbett in *Protestant Reformation in England and Ireland.*

THE ASSETS TEST - AN EXAMPLE

By Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs

Once anybody has noticed the policy of Social Credit, and the principles of human association for an objective, the contemporary world is seen from a fresh viewpoint, great vistas are opened up for exploration, every subject needs re-investigation, — not only economics and politics from the viewpoint of policy, and a more penetrating and constructive approach is possible even to many quite small events or news items.

For instance: On Saturday, December 15, a Melbourne radio reported that certain unscrupulous antique dealers were calling at the homes of pensioners and persuading them to sell valuable antiques at far below their proper price. The weapon they use in this dishonest dealing is the fear of the Assets Test.

Naturally this is deplored by honest antique dealers who urge pensioners to deal only with reputable dealers, and if necessary to pay for professional valuation, which is all very well for the sophisticated pensioners, but not for the rest. All the emphasis is on these mean, grasping, privately operating little criminals, who are probably operating within the law, and who probably cheat only a few hundred people, in contrast to those who provided them their main weapon, the Assets Test, which affects *all* pensioners who have to fill in forms declaring, subject to penalties, the imaginary value in money, of their assets, including antiques, etc.

It is unlikely that their assets will affect their pensions in more than two per cent of cases, but that is not the point. *All* are subject to this pressure and intimidation, exploited by criminals; and if this private exportation is a mean and grasping act, how much more so is the wholesale act of oppression, which gave rise to it. Just because it is 'official' and 'legal' and carried out by persons who regard themselves as upholders of the law makes it worse rather than better. What conceivable more justification can there be for threatening to deprive a widow, say, of her pension because her grandmother's bureau has now become a rarity with an inflated money-value?

Let us be quite clear about this. This is no more than a mean, vicious rip-off, by people exploiting the power of political and bureaucratic Status against the helpless, backed as they are by the intimidatory and bully-power of police, law-courts, fines and prisons. It has nothing to do with the genuine law, developed over the Christian centuries, the function of which is to protect the life, person and property of the law-abiding citizen from criminal interference. On the contrary, it aids and abets such criminal interference, and having done so, will most likely be used to support a case for a more bureaucratic interference with the freedom to buy or sell antiques.

To be old is not a criminal offence, whether legal or moral, and to conserve the means of subsistence, usually pretty modestly, in food, clothes, shelter and the little amenities of life such as one's own furniture, etc., far from depriving anyone else in a country of super-abundance such as Australia, under present policies which required 'employment' for the distribution of money, positively assist the economy and the welfare of all. It is no wonder that 'criminality' grows apace when so much of the law and its forces are directed against the innocent. Because, of course, they can be relied upon not to retaliate violently — the coward's choice!

Doubtless the Assets Test will be defended by the usual arguments about "fairness" and "equality", not the least by Christians who have, unconsciously, substituted in practice (not precept) the worship of money as the reality they have put in place of God, and His Creation.

"Equality" far from being anything to do with "equity" or

SOCIAL CREDIT CORRESPONDENCE

Over the post Second World War Course years large numbers of people interested in Social Credit have benefited from the eight-lecture training course prepared by Eric D. Butler. This course was highly recommended by the late L.D. Byrne, one-time adviser to the Aberhart Government in Alberta.

This Social Credit training course can be taken through a correspondence course under tutors. A charge of \$10 covers notes and postage.

New Zealand readers may along with Australians make use of the Australian panel of tutors, and should write to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne. British and readers from the U.S.A may make use of the Canadian service. Prospective students should write to Box 2797, Vancouver, B.C., Canada. V6B 3X2.

"fairness", is its opposite: the "depersonalisation" of people into units, essential for bulk-manipulation. It is "discrimination" in its currently evil and inverted sense, against that "ethnic minority" of one, the individual in his or her infinite variety.

As for "fairness" — a feeble case could just barely be made if Australia were so poor and barren a country that every able-bodied person had to toil 15 hours a day, just to scrape a bare subsistence for the whole population, so that the workers! had to be taxed a part of their food, cloth, leather, housing materials, etc., to sustain the aged. In that case it might be "unfair" to deprive the toilers of part of their hard-earned sustenance to feed a pensioner who already had private sources of the same (such as land) though how the possession of antiques could come into it is still a mystery.

But, of course, as we all know, this is sheer nonsense. The reverse is true. There is more than enough for all, and no need whatever to tax those who produce the wealth to supply the aged, or indeed the growing number of those displaced from routine employment by the new technology. Soon it will become impossible since wages have to be inflated to cover the tax. The only "scarce resource" lies in the accountancy figures called money; and it is the demand for "equality", in "sharing out" and these centrally controlled debt figures which has twisted our economy, our politics, our law, our morals, our religion and our lives.

THE MENACE OF THE BIG CITY

"The very giantism of the metropolis leads to a depletion of the environment . . . Beyond a certain point, density even obstructs association; if friendship requires a degree of isolated communion, so does neighbourliness. There is less chance of knowing your neighbours on a block with a thousand people than on one that holds a hundred; for all associations, even that in primary groups, has a selective aspect; it rests on the existence of recognisable faces and repeatable opportunities. Distance has an effect density in breaking down associated life".

— Lewis Mumford in *The Culture of Cities*

MODERN ILLITERACY

"The education we have so far succeeded in giving to the bulk of our citizens has produced a generation of mental slatterns . . . a great part of the nation subsists in an ignorance more barbarous than that of the dark ages, owing to this slatternly habit of illiterate reading. Words are understood in a wholly mistaken sense, statements of fact and opinion are misread and distorted in repetition . . ."

— Dorothy L. Sayers in *The Mind of the Maker*.