

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 50, No. 11.

NOVEMBER 1986.

Registered By Australia Post—Publication No. VBH 1001

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

FAMILY SPIRIT DOMINATES "NEW TIMES" DINNER

It has become commonplace to hear each annual "New Times" Dinner described as "the best ever." What this comment means is that every Dinner is a manifestation of the rich and growing diversity of this event. Every Dinner has its own special character, and this year there was a stronger feeling of family than ever before. This was highlighted when one of the younger supporters, Miss Mary Jo Renehan, in seconding the toast to "The New Times", said she wished to present a perspective of youth to maturity, calling upon her father, veteran supporter Mr. Michael Renehan, to join her at the podium to provide the mature perspective. As one guest said, "Mary Jo stole the show."

Once again every seat was taken at the Dinner, which was the fortieth. A big percentage of those present had not even been born when the first *New Times* Dinner was held in 1946 at the old Federal Hotel, Melbourne. There was the usual number of messages, from overseas and from around Australia.

Mr. Phillip D. Butler, Assistant National Director of the Canadian League of Rights, was able to be present to bring a personal message from Canada, providing a sobering picture of how far a Common Law country like Canada had been moved towards the complete totalitarian State under the influence of Fabian Socialism. The Conservatives who followed Fabian Socialist Trudeau had continued with Trudeau's policies, domestically and internationally. Book banning was a chilling reality.

Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs of North Wales, phoned through a personal message on the morning of the Dinner. Dr. Dobbs is, we are pleased to report, recovering satisfactorily from a stroke. A following written message is published in this issue.

There was a special round of applause when a message from Lady Cilento, also phoned through, was read to guests. Lady Cilento said that she wanted to be associated "in spirit with my dear friends of the Australian League of Rights. I pray for you every night."

The Loyal toast was proposed by Mrs. Katrina Thompson who, in speaking to the toast, made some telling points concerning the two-way relationship which should exist between the Queen and her subjects. This toast matched the many fine loyal toasts of the past.

Speaking without notes, Mr. Neil Mauritz of Western Australia, attending his first Dinner, gave an outstanding address, one, which summarised the nature of the battle in which *New Times* supporters are engaged. Neil Mauritz demonstrated a special ability to link both the financial and philosophical aspects of Social Credit. This ability was further demonstrated when he presented the first Paper at the Annual National Seminar.

During his Dinner address, Mr. Eric Butler stressed the theme of family and how privileged the members of this family were to share the insights, which C.H. Douglas had provided. Douglas had brought a deeper meaning to Truths, which tended to be taken for granted. He had pointed out that the Christian Law of love was not an example of mere sloppy sentimentalism, but the enunciation of a principle which, when applied to all human associations, resulted in rich rewards.

"There are no power struggles inside the movement we represent", he said, "because we are striving to be servants of



Mr. Michael Renehan and his daughter Mary Jo - a family combination of experience and youth, seconded the toast to the New Times.

truth."

Mr. Butler said that while the national and international situation was threatening, some would say hopeless, it provided a stimulating challenge to all those who had not lost faith in God. Douglas had made the profound observation that the truths of the Universe transcended human thinking, and that when man humbly sought to discover those truths, and obeyed them, then he would truly enter the Kingdom.

Mr. Butler said that the League of Rights had spearheaded three major campaigns in recent years; Aboriginal Land Rights; the Bill of Rights; and Council mergers in Victoria. These victories, although fought for in defensive actions, had seriously held up the revolutionary advance in Australia. And in fighting these battles, the League had recruited new and most valuable supporters. He stressed that, given time; positive results should come out of what might be termed negative actions. He pointed out that Douglas had said that given time, a homogeneous people could gradually solve their problems. Marx had understood this when he said that the English would never make their own revolution and that foreigners would have to make it for them. Fragmenting a people by bringing in large numbers of peoples of different cultures, made the task of constructive reforms so much more difficult. Immigration was a major threat, which had to be

dealt with.

NATIONAL SEMINAR BIG SUCCESS

The new venue for the Annual National Seminar was rated an improvement, particularly from the point of view of parking. For the first time all speakers were League supporters, as were the chairmen of the different sessions. The Seminar was opened by National Director Eric Butler, who outlined the major features of a dying civilisation, one of these being the big increase in juvenile suicides and drug consumption. Mr. Butler said, "A combination of influences, including what is termed the "new education", had cut young people off from their heritage and convinced them that they had no worthwhile future."

In a masterly summing up of the Seminar, Queensland State Director Chas Pinwill moved a vote of thanks to the four speakers, Mr. Neil Mauritz, Mr. Brian Anderson, Mr. David Thompson and Mr. Jeremy Lee. The high quality of all the addresses was widely commented upon. "A most impressive presentation of the face of the League" was a typical comment. All addresses were audio and video taped and are available through the League.

Mr. Eric Butler observed that it was not without significance that all the speakers and the chairmen, and the mover of the vote of thanks, had rural backgrounds.

There was an increased attendance and book sales were, as usual, heavy. Mr. Eric Butler reported at the National Action Seminar on the Sunday, that there had been an increase in literature distribution of at least 40 per cent over the previous twelve months. He stressed the permanency of the printed word.

LOYAL TOAST

by Katrina Thompson

As a young and newly married woman, — little more than a girl — our Queen was thrust into the role of monarch. She has been required to fill this office for the whole of her adult life, and has done so with distinction. Such has been her record that she commands the respect of even some of the republicans.

However, admirable as our Queen's reign has been, it is the institution, which she symbolises, rather than the individual who fills that institution which we toast. While we honour the Queen, we toast her office.

The Monarch, or Crown as Sovereign symbolises the personal sovereignty of each individual subject. Traditionally our system starts from the belief that the individual draws his rights and liberties — his life itself — from God.

The love of God for each individual motivated the structure of monarchy. The Monarchy is the earthly demonstration of the spiritual principle that each person is special in his own right. Therefore the Monarch's realm must be organised on the basis of love and genuine concern for each subject, with the ability to offer tangible protection for the individual's interests.

It is not enough to simply *have* a monarchy. It must be made to *work* for the benefit of all the Sovereign's subjects. This is a two-way contract between monarch and people. The basis of the attack upon the Monarchy is that it is out of date, and has no relevance to the 20th and 21st centuries.

To make the Monarchy work, a proper relationship between Monarch and subjects must be maintained, each with a special role to play. This must be our objective. I am not interested in a monarchy for the sake of having one. I want a monarchy that *works* with a role to play in a stable future for my children.

VIDEO TAPES STRESSED AT ACTION SEMINAR

One of the highlights of the Action Seminar was a discussion on the most effective use of videotapes, this following a report by Wimmera Regional Chairman Keith Oldfield, who described how he was successfully using videotapes to operate at the "grass roots". Mr. Oldfield said he ran all meetings, generally held in homes, as if they were League public meetings. He first introduced the League of Rights and the tape, at the end of which there was a period for questioning. He then sold books and, if appropriate, had a collection to defray expenses.

The National Director expressed the opinion that, while the cassette tapes were still an invaluable tool in the League's programme, the videotape opened up a completely new vista of what was now possible. The two cameramen who have been making video films for the League were present and were able to provide valuable suggestions.

Reports were presented on how the campaigns against the Bill of Rights and Victorian Council Amalgamations had been so successfully conducted. There was an in-depth report on the threat of the I.D. Card with all present being provided with an action kit. The last report of the Seminar was provided by Gippsland, Victoria, activist Mr. Bruce Ingles, what made a strong appeal for an even greater flood of League literature, giving examples of how to use sporting events, shows and similar activities for the quick distribution of thousands of pieces of literature.

One of those who attended the National Weekend said it all as follows: "This has been a major recharging of the batteries for another twelve months of League activity".



Mrs., Katrina Thompson stressing the example of the Royal family in proposing the Loyal Toast.

Rudyard Kipling, with his sense of Empire, and value of the monarchy to the British, summed up this special relationship between them in "*Together*", as follows:

When a King and people understand
each other past a doubt,
It takes a foe and more than a foe
to knock that country out;
For the one will do what the other requires
as soon as the need is shown,
And hand in hand they can make a stand
which neither could make alone.
It is not wealth nor talk nor trade,
nor schools nor even the Vote,

Will save your land when the enemy's hand
is tightening round your throat.
But a King and a People who thoroughly trust
each other in all that is done,
Can sleep on their bed without any dread
— for the world will leave 'em alone!

Let us work on that special relationship between
monarch and subjects to the benefit of all who come
after us.

The Queen!

ONE THING AT A TIME

The revulsion against party politics was now strongly apparent in all English-speaking countries, the chairman of the New Times dinner, Mr. Jeremy Lee, said in replying to the toast.

He said there seemed to be a correlation between the ever-increasing frenzy of elections exhibited by party candidates, and the cynical disenchantment of the great majority of electors. The 'bread-and-butter' package of party promises was no longer working, and people were casting round for some alternative to the threat of a breakdown in the democratic process.

Mr. Lee pointed out that a hazy but distinct option was beginning to appear in single-issue politics outside the party structure.

"Over the last eighteen months we have seen the emergence of effective community campaigns in opposition to the excessive side of Aboriginal land-rights programmes, the amalgamation of local councils in Victoria and the Bill of Rights," he said. "This has confirmed a truth which C.H. Douglas pointed to many years ago -- that it is easier for people to unite against something they don't want, than in pursuit of positive alternatives. But the negative approach is an essential part of democracy, with positive consequences even if they are indirect."

Mr. Lee quoted the late Mr. L.D. Byrne who, in dealing with this aspect said: ". . . The stark folly of attempting to fight money with money, the party system by organising a new party, the strangling hypnotism of material gain by appeals for social security measures — and so forth, should be evident. All they can achieve is to strengthen the very forces they seek to combat . . . It is not the size of the counter-force which can be mobilised against the forces of evil — against the plotters, the planners, the materialists and their fear-dominated human fodder — but the power of Faith which will decide the issue . . . Now it should be evident that any attempt to go out and "organise" men and women to support so-called Social Credit candidates, or to merely unite in demanding better homes, more incomes, and other material advantages, are foredoomed to failure . . ."

Unity on one issue at a time, Mr. Lee said, was both possible and demonstrable, where that issue was grounded on basic principles of truth. Social Crediters were guides on how this could be done. The period ahead certainly promised greater opportunities for doing so.

From Mr. Ivor Benson in the United Kingdom:

"Having had the pleasure and privilege of attending two New Times dinners, I shall have no

difficulty in imagining myself to be present with my Australian friends as they foregather again in a festive mood tonight. I am reminded that it is one of the features of the counter-revolutionary movements, possibly even a reward intended by nature, that at intervals in the great fight for sound values we are able from time to time to find opportunities to get together and be merry.

One message of encouragement I can send from Britain is that we are seeing more signs of an awakening to the realities of modern power politics than might have been expected. In other words, we are seeing a great widening of the credibility gap — the gap between what the politicians and the media say and what people can be persuaded to believe. What is more, there are a few newspapers here, which can be described as soundly conservative even if they cannot be as bold in their utterances as we are. One example will have to suffice. The other day the London *Daily Mail* carried a story under a heading across the two centre pages to this effect: "TISSUE OF LIES ON THE BBC". The power-centralising scoundrels are not having it all their own way.

In a word, there are many signs today of an irrepressible, resurgent spiritual vitality in the West to remind us that we have not been, and are not, fighting in vain.



Mr. Neil Moritz gives a picture of Australia's immense natural abundance in proposing the toast to the New Times.

From Mr. Alf Pinwill, Gayndah, Queensland:

Wishing you every success for the National Seminar. I have just received your "Open Letter to all subscribers".

I heartily agree with the contents of your second paragraph: - "I have no hesitation in saying that the steady growth of the League of Rights, and its growing influence on events, is one of the miracles of this century and a striking testimony to the truth concerning the primacy of the Spirit over the material". There is no doubt in my mind that it is the "Unseen Power" which will turn the tide.

ROYALTY SPEAKS FOR US

A Message from Geoffrey and Elizabeth Dobbs.

Since, a few years ago, the Queen's representative in her Kingdom of Australia performed the royal duty of dismissing an incompetent Government and referring the matter to the people in a General Election, which overwhelmingly confirmed this constitutional action, the Monarchy has been under bitterly malignant, and systematically planned assault by those elements in Australian, and indeed, in British society, which worship centralised, bureaucratic, political power based upon coercion, and detest that link of love and loyalty between Crown and people which tends to balance and moderate it.

It is not at all surprising that Mr. Bob Hawke, and those who admire him, should be working with all their force and cunning to get rid of a Christian family as the living spiritual symbol of Australia, and also the flag which shows the Southern Cross combined with the three crosses of the British Connection, and the anthem which calls on God to save the Queen from such politics.

Naturally enough, what they want as a symbol of their philosophy is a Party Boss as President (or else some puppet nonentity nominated by politicians) to represent the coercive bully-power of Party over the 'masses', i.e. the people as reduced to equal and identical numerical units under propaganda control through the media. Naturally also, they will not want an Upper or Revising Chamber to be able to restrain in any way their exercise of the delicious powers of total Boss hood; and in due course, the alternation in power of rival Parties with differing propaganda but substantially the same policy in practice, will be seen as a hindrance to numerical 'democracy' (as they see it) which will achieve their 'ideal' only when there is One Party, supported at every election by 99.9 percent of the units of population. (Thunderous applause! All stand!).

There is one member of our (and your) Royal Family who comes under peculiarly spiteful attack from most of 'the media' because from time to time she tells the truth which we all know about them with an admirable mixture of good humour and asperity. That, of course, is Princess Anne, whose courage and hardihood in doing her royal duty as President of the Save the Children Fund — always going to see for herself whatever the dangers — are much admired, as well as her horsemanship and her 'guts' in saying for us what we all think.

At a banquet held by the Associated Press in London on 17 September she expressed her annoyance with "the amount of unadulterated trivia, rubbish, and gratuitous trouble making that appeared in all sections of the so-called media, in response to a perfectly normal family occasion" (her brother Andrew's wedding). Likewise, she added: "the sheer volume of repeated stories, half-truths and lies" and the audience was reported to have appreciated — as we do — her recalling the days when slanderers had to stand in the market place, holding their noses and proclaiming themselves slanderers, or have their tongues cut out!

Of course, these are selected bits out of a well-

balanced and witty speech which gave praise as well as blame, but they inevitably resulted in more sneer cartoons and snide journalism: "intemperate outburst", "deplorable", "Princess Sourpuss", "Her Royal Haughtiness" and so on, treating journalists as if they ought to serve the public with the truth, instead of manipulating them by the Power of the Press — which thinks it ought to be groveled to, or else!

In voicing for us what the silent majority of normal, sane, loyal citizens think about the corrupt powers that oppress us, the Princess was carrying out one of the most valuable functions of Royalty. We are not silent because we cannot speak. We are not heard because we are drowned by the multi-decibel bellow of the centralised media. But when a Royal person speaks she has to be heard; she cannot be shouted down or given 'the silent treatment, only the sneer treatment.

Why not? Because the Royal Family is a normal family, raised to influence and magnificence by the forces of nature and heredity, and not as the agents of political or financial power, of which it is independent. That is why it represents, and is supported by the enormous force of mutual love and loyalty between them and us, the ordinary people, whom they can serve without ruling or controlling, and which is too formidable to attack directly, but only indirectly, by lies, sneers and innuendos.

Some people have a President, which signifies belief
That every man at heart desires to be a Party Chief

But we have got a Majesty, to show with all humility
The Royalty of humanity — and *that* is our belief.

GREETINGS FROM "LADIES LINE".

Greetings to all those gathered tonight for the New Times annual dinner. It is indeed a great privilege to be included in the unique fellowship of those who seek the TRUTH and then in God's strength try to share this TRUTH with others.

We at "Ladies Line" are with you in spirit and thought.

To be able to attend an annual, national weekend is an event that should be experienced at least once in the lifetime of all supporters. It is impossible to describe adequately the wonderful feeling of Christian fellowship, complete understanding and good humour that is present when League leaders and supporters come together on occasions such as this.

We are finding in our part of Queensland that we can now mention the Australian League of Rights to people without having the shutters drop. People are so concerned they are now willing to listen and learn. Some are even saying "League supporters have been telling us for years that this would happen."

The time has come for that knowledge and experience acquired through our connection with the League to be put to effective use.

This we must do in faith, prayerfully seeking guidance and strength from Almighty God, in whom all things are possible.

With the infiltration of the humanistic in-

NEW TIMES - NOVEMBER 1986

fluence into all our precious institutions now is the time to remember that "The fear of the Lord is the beginning of knowledge." We know that the source of all worthwhile knowledge has its roots, not in the opinions of mere men, but is to be found by studying and obeying God's word.... Holy Scripture. We know too that His Word will not pass away.

May the activities of this national weekend and those that follow on as a result be deserving of God's blessing.

Dulcie Willacy, for "Ladies Line".

From Patrick Walsh, The Canadian League of Rights, Flesherton, Ontario.

I know from first-hand experience after attending several New Times Dinners over the past two decades that every Dinner becomes an incomparable event not only for the Aussies present but for the other participants and guests from Crown Commonwealth countries. After his successful New Zealand tour, your National Director Eric Butler, along with other League speakers will undoubtedly highlight the unique contribution that the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights has brought to the attention of the English-speaking world and the call to inspire us ever more and to re-dedicate us front-line Freedom Fighters to the high ideals of that practical Christianity advocated by C.H. Douglas. Once again we are overjoyed that Phillip Butler will be present to personally convey greetings from the Canadian League of Rights and our Director, Ron Gostick. And in keeping with our Commonwealth League of Rights exchange program we will have the good fortune of having Eric Butler with us soon on a Canadian tour with Mr. David Irving, as well as their participation in the historic Anglo-European Fellowship Seminar in Los Angeles on October 18th. Normally these comments of mine would be sufficient to mention that we are with you in spirit and to extend greetings of Commonwealth (the OLD Crown Commonwealth, of course) solidarity and to sincerely say that I wish I could be with you once more.

But these are NOT normal times and in Canada it has now become apparent that the present Mulroney government is becoming worse than the previous Trudeau government. I'm sure that Phillip Butler will go into details about this situation which confirms the accuracy of what Eric Butler has written about in *Financial Ignorance: The Achilles' Heal of the Conservative Movement*.

From John and Yvonne Devine, Tongala, Vic.:

Our thoughts and best wishes are with you tonight, as we celebrate another year of outstanding growth and achievement in the "Social Credit Movement" - 1986 saw two great victories, that have set the revolutionary programme for Australia back some time.

We refer to the defeat of the "Bill of Rights" and the Cain Government's programme of "Forced restructure of Local Government", with the League initiating the assault in regard to these issues.

NEW TIMES - NOVEMBER 1986

The League was truly to the fore throughout this horrendous year, and we truly have good reason to be proud of our achievements. May we go forward, to the battle ahead, with renewed vigour and faith, enhanced by the knowledge that a highly-organised "grass roots" movement based on service, can achieve the results that Australians have yearned so long for.



Lady Cilento, M.B., B.S., - a message of hope and encouragement to those attending the Dinner.

My dear friends of the League of Rights, how I dearly wish I could be with you in person.

The years have overtaken me physically. But not spiritually, and I am with you in spirit. Years ago my late husband, Sir Raphael, told me that the future of Australia rested in the hands of the League of Rights. He felt he had helped to pass the torch to a movement of genuine Australians. I pray for the League and its leaders every night. God bless you all as you meet at your great annual communion.

Phyllis Cilento.

SOCIAL CREDIT FELLOWSHIP

Since the announcement of the formation of a Social Credit Fellowship, following a meeting of Australian Social Crediters, there have been a number of requests for further information. Details concerning the finer points of the constitution of the Fellowship have yet to be finalised. It has been decided to bring the Social Credit Training Course, in recent years conducted by correspondence with a panel of tutors, under the authority of the Social Credit Fellowship. We recommend that as many of our readers as possible undertake this basic Social Credit course. A series of special publications is planned, starting with *The Strategy of Spiritual Warfare*, by Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs.

All correspondence concerning the Fellowship should, for the time being, be directed to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, Australia, 3001.

From Mr. Christopher Steele, Adelaide, South Australia-

Just as in 1980 with the Polish unrest — when it was no longer possible to hide the fact that international banks were deeply involved in supporting the Communist system — the revelation of the conspiracy when it came was almost nonchalantly accepted by the public in the West. Now, in 1986, as Australians find these same international banks are dictating the terms of supposedly resolving our own country's problems - ones that are, moreover, largely attributable in the first place to the concept of a 'global economy' planned by these financiers — so, once again, the whole scenario is unfortunately seen as a quite natural development by the average person.

This anaesthetization of the public to their ultimate fate as simply 'produce and consume' proletarians — or worse — has been the real success of Fabianism. The New International Economic Order is undoubtedly the greatest and most diabolical delusion of the twentieth century leading, inevitably, into the slippery ground of 'extra-national loyalty', formerly called treason!

By a combination of flattery, bluff, bluster and deception, successive political and other leaders in Western nations have taken the path of jeopardising the cherished ways-of-life, the traditional freedoms, and the conventional welfare of their own peoples for the unpredictable hazards inherent in the convergence of all national systems into a monopoly Capitalist-funded, and United Nations/Communist administered universal 'Utopia'. That is, world government!

Government in Australia today, of whatever party, is already impotent. Nevertheless, the pretence of democracy here must be kept alive by allowing people to go through the motions of voting — although it changes nothing.

The moneyed 'establishment', of which the media is part, is now promoting another political distraction — the so-called 'New Right' — as a movement with alternative policies and a programme for economic recovery. Regrettably, its pronouncements have shown it is just another stable-mate of international financial orthodoxy. No lasting solutions are going to be found there!

Tonight, at this annual 'New Times Dinner', it is salutary to reflect once again that the only genuine and practical spirit for national regeneration, and the most favourable source for its impetus, resides amongst the type of people gathered here — the Social Crediters.

We are the ones, alone, who stand in opposition at the present time to the Fabian juggernaut.

In voluntary association with each other, we have achieved several important victories for all Australians on certain key issues during the past twelve months.

Equally formidable challenges must be tackled in the next twelve months — the I.D. card and the review of the Australian Constitution to name merely two.

I'll finish by saying — enjoy your fellowship over a good meal, and be fortified for your deliberations throughout the remainder of the weekend and the actions that will flow from them in the coming year.

SOCIAL CREDIT IN NEW ZEALAND

Developments concerning what was originally known as the New Zealand Social Credit party, but which for cynical reasons changed its name to the Democratic Party, continue to demonstrate the folly of attempting to advance Social Credit through party politics. Modern party politics are power politics and encourage corruption.

When Mr. Bruce Beetham was defeated as leader of the Democratic Party at the recent party Convention, he made a number of public criticisms of his colleagues, at one stage threatening to form a new party. Ironically, Mr. Beetham now says that the Democratic Party under its new leadership is deserting genuine Social Credit! Some of what Mr. Beetham says is correct, but it was Br. Beetham himself who originally repudiated Douglas. Constructive suggestions put to Mr. Beetham when he virtually held the balance of power in the New Zealand Parliament were summarily rejected.

Mr. Beetham made outrageous allegations concerning the League of Rights, demanding that all League of Rights supporters be expelled from the Social Credit Party. One of his most extraordinary charges related to the alleged financing of the League of Rights. Mr. Beetham promised to publicise this financing at the appropriate time. He never has. But a former member of the Social Credit party told Mr. Eric Butler during his recent New Zealand tour, that Mr. Beetham had told him, when challenged on the matter, that the League of Rights was being financed by the Soviet Union!

Over the years hundreds of thousands of dollars have been wasted on the prostitution of Social Credit to party politics in both Canada and New Zealand. There has, of course, been deli-

berate subversion. With the expenditure of far less money, the League of Rights in New Zealand has done far more to spread knowledge about Social Credit, and to encourage electoral action designed to defeat all policies for centralising power over the individual.

A Social Credit society can only grow as sufficient individuals in that society accept and apply the Christian philosophy. As the author of *Social Credit*, C.H. Douglas pointed out, before the First World War, English-speaking societies were moving towards Social Credit. The increment of association and the cultural heritage were being increasingly passed to individuals. Given time and stability, the major defect of financial policy could have been corrected to ensure the individual's complete access to his social credit. But the First World War saw the eruption into the open of those anti-Christian forces, which had long worked underground to subvert Western Christendom.

Current Social Credit strategy must concern itself with the exposure of those forces and their policies. The formation of "Social Credit" parties, and the stress on "image" and gaining votes, diverts attention away from what has to be done.

"A DEMOCRACY OF CONSUMERS."

"The essential nature of a satisfactory modern co-operative state may be broadly expressed as consisting of a functionally aristocratic hierarchy of producers accredited by, and serving, a democracy of consumers."

- C.H. Douglas, in *Credit Power and Democracy*.

YOU CAN TRUST A COMMUNIST

by N.W. Hunt

**"The truth is bitter and disagreeable to fools:
but falsehood is sweet and acceptable."**

Chrysostom.

Australians will have been gratified to learn that their former Prime Minister is one of the Eminent Persons Group now busily meddling in the affairs of South Africa. Each of the Eminent Persons has conspicuously failed to solve the problems in his own country. Yet they are apparently certain that they can solve the infinitely more complex South African problem. The Chinese proverb that he who failed three times set up as an instructor comes to mind.

Mr. Hawke, of course, holds an honoured place in the affections of his countrymen for unobtrusively setting aside the White Australia policy. It is thanks to him that Australia now has what she never had before: a racial problem caused by large-scale coloured immigration; a problem which as time passes can only become more intractable and more embittered.

The Eminent Persons have somehow convinced themselves that Nelson Mandela is an "African leader"; further, they hold that his release from prison and his attendance at "negotiations" is vital.

From a reading of the media nonsense about Mandela one might well get the impression that he is a liberal lover of "mankind", arbitrarily arrested and imprisoned by a brutal tyranny as he strolled peaceably through a public park picking a few flowers as he went. So a glance at the record might be instructive.

RIVONIA TRIAL

The record shows that Nelson Mandela is a dedicated Communist. He was one of ten accused of high treason at the famous Rivonia trial. He was convicted and sentenced to life imprisonment. Mandela and his friends had assembled huge quantities of explosives, and used some of it. Captured papers showed that provision had been made for Revolutionary Council of State. Mandela admitted that he had planned violent revolution. A document written by him stated that the capitalist system in South Africa should be destroyed and replaced by a socialist state. He declared that the people must be revolutionaries, not reformers. He wrote: "We of the Communist Party are the most advanced revolutionaries in modern history." At his trial this darling of the world's media declared: "I have dedicated my life to end white domination. It is an ideal I hope to live to see realised. It is also an idea for which I am prepared to die."

The Communist African National Congress, to which Mandela belongs, is a member of the Organisation of African Unity. Those who think that the South African blacks want "power-sharing" and a multi-racial democracy might find the following quotation of interest. It is from the published Programme of Action of the O.A.U.: "We cannot compromise with any white government, extreme or liberal, or agree to multi-racial nonsense. We are determined to destroy all traces of white tyrants and their children."

But Mandela will "renounce violence" insists the inevitable man of goodwill. So it is worth asking ourselves just what that renunciation would be worth. A

word or two from Lenin himself might be useful. In his book *"The State and Revolution"* Lenin wrote: "The strictest loyalty to the ideas of Communism must be combined with the ability to make all necessary practical compromises, to "tack", to make agreements, zigzags, retreats and so on, in order to accelerate the coming into power". If more is needed, let us note his famous dictum that "Promises are like pie-crusts, made to be broken. Truth is a weapon in the class war: any statement that advances Communism is true."

J.V. Stalin would have thoroughly approved this view. He is recorded as saying: "A diplomat's words must have no relation to actions — otherwise what kind of diplomacy is it? Words are one thing, actions another. Good words are a mask for the concealment of bad deeds. Sincere diplomacy is no more possible than dry water or iron wood."

To be fair, Lenin would have disapproved of Mandela's declaration, and the O.A.U. Programme of Action. He held, very sensibly that there was no point in unnecessarily alarming the intended victim; He would have stigmatised such needless frankness as "left-wing communism", which he labelled "an infantile disease".

These quotations and many other similar ones must be part of the working knowledge of professional politicians, political advisers and of thousands of workers in the media. Yet none of them ever sees fit to draw public attention to them. One wonders why?

MEANINGLESS RENUNCIATION

It seems clear, therefore, that a renunciation of violence by Mandela, or for that matter by Oliver Tambo, the A.N.C. or the O.A.U. would mean precisely nothing. They would break their word the moment it suited them to do so. As good Marxist-Leninists they could do no other. Both Communist teaching and the history of the world since 1920 prove that you can in fact trust the Communists..... to be Communists.

Australians may believe that what happens in South Africa occurs far away and is no concern of theirs. If they ask themselves how they might fare with the Simonstown Naval Base in unfriendly hands it may alter their views. Australians should clearly realise that



Mr. Phillip Butler, the League's provincial Director in British Columbia, delivering a message from Canadians at the New Times Dinner.

as soon as South Africa becomes Azania it is certain that the same forces which have thrown the white man out of his colonies round the world will be focussed on them. Australia will then be put through the same wringer as the South Africans and the Rhodesians before them, by the same people and for the same reasons. It is not intended that when the New International Economic Order comes into being that Australia will continue to be held by the whites. With New Zealand and Australia transformed into Communist fiefs, as it is certain that they will be unless they bestir themselves at once and abandon their lunatic flirtation with multi-racialism, Lenin's dream will have become a fact: the white race will have been confined to Europe. The Anglo-Saxon race, which Lenin accurately saw as the only real obstacle to Communism's conquest of the world, will have been neutralised. An end to which the Communists have dedicated themselves unceasingly since 1920 will be realised. We repeat: you can trust a Communist — to be a Communist.

It will be noticed that the A.N.C. and the O.A.U. do not join issue with the South African Government because they allege that it is brutal, repressive or reactionary. Their sole reason for intending to destroy the South African government is that the South African government is white. They say so.

Mr. Hawke and the Eminent Persons Group, not to mention their advisers, must be aware of the O.A.U. view that multi-racialism is nonsense. This view is, as it happens, undoubtedly correct. Yet the expressed views and intentions of the O.A.U. do not prevent him from urging the South African government to accept multi-racialism, or from imposing multi-racialism in Australia.

POWER—SHARING?

We may be sure that the liberals of the world will spring to Mandela's defence with the unanimity of the Brigade of Guards at drill. They will make two points. The first is that the E.P.G. has defined its task as being: "to encourage the South African government to adopt a genuine approach to power-sharing: an approach which accepts the ending of *apartheid* (separate development of the races) and seeks a negotiated settlement under which a non-racial and truly representative government may be established and the rights of minorities protected." What could be more "democratic", more "statesmanlike", more utterly unrealistic?

The second point which the liberals will urge is that, of course, Mandela will "renounce violence" before his release.

Let us dwell for a moment on that phrase about the protection of minorities. Australians to whom this stipulation sounds fair and reasonable might profitably ask themselves one question. It is this: Precisely what penalties will be imposed, and by whom, on a black government in South Africa which ignored the rights of minorities and proceeded to hammer hell out them? It must be obvious that no penalty will be imposed by anyone at all, no matter to what lengths the new government may go. The phrase is mere verbiage, designed to mislead, and the members of the Eminent Persons Group must know it.

The phrase about "truly representative govern-

AGAINST EQUALITY

"People clamour for equality Whereas the very last thing the average individual really desires is equality. He is convinced, and, in my opinion, properly convinced, that he is quite different to everyone else, and the modern demand to realise one's real personality is far nearer to the truth than the clamour for equality at the beginning of the last century. So far from the realisation of some machine-mad Utopia which would embrace us all, I think what we all as individuals desire is a state of affairs which would enable us to use the benefits conferred upon us by science and education for the furtherance of our own individual ideas and desires, which must be just as different in the nature of things, as our personalities are different, and must become increasingly different as our personalities become further individualised. The Social Credit proposals at any rate start from this point of view, and in one sense they may be considered as a complete inversion of either State Socialism, Fascism, or Sovietism".

C.H. Douglas in *Warning Democracy*

ment" is well worth a second glance as well. It has cropped up round the world ever since 1945, as an inevitable prelude to a Communist takeover. In China, the Americans insisted on "a truly representative government." This, of course, included the Communist Party in the new government. As a result Mao Tse Tung replaced Chiang Kai Shek. The Americans still use it in their meddling in the affairs of South America. The rapid spread of Marxist-Leninist domination in South America is known to us all.

UNIVERSAL REPRESENTATION

The British, too, have always insisted that every party — including of course the Communist Party — should be represented in the new government to which they handed over each of their colonies.

The result is always the same: the Communists are the best funded, the best organised, the most brutal and ruthless, and the most skilled in intimidation. Inevitably they take over the new government. British and American politicians and their advisers always profess to be surprised when this happens. They must be the most permanently and thoroughly surprised group of men in the world. What would really be a cause for surprise, of course, would be any other result than a Communist takeover.

SOCIAL CREDIT MORE THAN ECONOMIC SOLUTION

"Social Credit is not solely an economic solution to the present crisis — it has a profounder philosophical basis, rooted in human nature itself. Its vital aim is not merely to establish economic security without destroying individual initiative. It is interested in economic security for the very purpose of establishing individual freedom in order that man may develop according to his own initiative and capacity. The possibilities implicit in our age of plenty go much further than the problem of distribution or any other economic consideration. The struggle for physical maintenance becomes incidental. Man is at last freed to devote himself to those intellectual, emotional and creative pursuits, which alone can make life something more than mere vegetation. The expression of individuality is essential to the happiness of man."

- E.S. Holter in *The A.B.C. of Social Credit*.