

# THE NEW TIMES

*"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"*

VOL. 51, No. 4.

Registered By Australia Post—Publication No. VBH 1001

APRIL 1987.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

## THE NEW U.S.A. - JAPANESE WAR

**The mounting U.S.A. — Japanese trade war, with its far-reaching international implications, has been anticipated by all those who understand the basic flaw in a finance-economic system, which produces not only conflict inside nations, but growing conflict between nations. That basic flaw was first observed and then formally demonstrated by C.H. Douglas during and after the First World War. Events continue to demonstrate that no nation distributes sufficient purchasing power over any given period of time to meet prices of production. The more industrialised a nation becomes, the more it is forced to resort to export drives in an attempt to keep the domestic economy operating. It is this factor, which has brought to a head a growing trade clash between Japan and the U.S.A.**

Although Germany and Japan emerged from the Second World War as the defeated nations, subsequent events have increasingly raised the question of who were the winners and who were the losers. In many ways, Germany and Japan started with an advantage over their victors in the post Second World War period. Their industrial systems had been badly smashed by Allied air attacks, and vigorous and disciplined populations started a programme of rebuilding with the very latest industrial equipment provided by the U.S.A. As far as can be ascertained, both the defeated nations started with the added advantage of having most, if not all, previous debts written off! The Marshall Aid programme not only assisted Germany and Japan to start rebuilding; it helped to ensure that the American economy had a new outlet for its enormously expanded industrial system, following the loss of the "export market" created by the war. Under orthodox finance, there would have been a disastrous post-Second World War economic collapse in the U.S.A.

Freed of the necessity of devoting any of their resources to military defence — this was disallowed by the winners of the war — the Germans and Japanese were able to devote themselves exclusively to rebuilding their economies. In a relatively short time they were not only successful, but were starting to invade the markets of those who had defeated them. Operating under the same orthodox debt financial rules as the winning nations, the Germans and Japanese were forced to increase their exports in order to continue operating. According to the gurus of the Black Magic known as sound finance, a "favourable balance of trade" is what every nation should aspire towards, and is a measure of economic success. Apart from the elementary fact that not all nations can have a "favourable balance of trade", some thereby having an "unfavourable balance", a nation, which exports more than it imports, suffers a physical loss. But financial orthodoxy tends to mask this reality for a period.

As pioneers of the Industrial Revolution, the British were able to create a rising domestic standard of living by having for a period a relative monopoly of export markets for their industrial production. They had a vast Empire, which could be built up. But it was not long before Germany and other nations were also becoming industrialised. Thus the struggle for export markets, financed by increasing debt through the banking system, became increasingly fiercer. The First World War temporarily helped to solve the problem, with all the contestants now having unlimited export markets which were saturated with bombs, shells and other products of military war. But the war itself resulted in a tremendous expansion in the capacity of Western nations,

including the U.S.A., to produce. But as production increased, so did the debt burden.

### THE INTERNATIONAL POWER PLAY

No realistic study of modern history, including the events which led up to and were followed after the Second World War, is possible without a grasp of the decisive role of debt-finance, and the groups using debt as an instrument in an on-going programme to attempt to centralise all power on a global scale. Nations are seen merely as pawns in the global power play by the international debt merchants. The drive by the Japanese into both the North American markets' and those of Western Europe has had a devastating effect on sectors of the American and European economies. But as the Americans and Europeans move to protect themselves behind higher tariff and other barriers, the Japanese economy is starting to slow down. Japanese unemployment is at the highest level since the end of the Second World War.

While the Japanese steel industry is in decline, that of South Korea, operating with the most modern equipment, some of it provided by the Japanese, is expanding.

In a comparatively short period of time South Korea has emerged as a major industrial nation. The key to this success story is not only the energy of the South Koreans, and their capacity to accept an iron discipline, but the big international banks whose buildings dominate the Seoul skyline. The same banks can be seen in Taiwan, another industrial nation whose exports are causing concern in the United States. South Korea now claims that it can successfully compete against Japan in exporting cars.

But the grass roots resistance to Asian imports into the U.S.A., which is making President Reagan retreat from his Free Trade position, is going to have far-reaching social as well as economic effects in Japan. Australian political leaders are also expressing concern that Australia must be caught in the cross-fire, with the Japanese acceding to Washington's demands that they accept more American exports, some of these, like beef, having serious implications for Australian industry. As a side-light on the developing situation, a report from Japan says that a best-selling book is urging the Japanese to beware of the "International Jewish financiers," who are charged with having been responsible for the Great Depression of the thirties. These Jewish financiers are blamed for the threat of a new international

depression.

### UNREHEARSED EVENTS

The developing international economic situation is a type of classical prelude to a major military war developing from a trade war. But the development of the nuclear "balance of terror", as it has been termed, has made another major world military conflict unthinkable — although certainly not impossible. What is emerging is the threat of the World State via the New International Economic Order, which seeks to create a global internal economy with large sectors of present national economies

"rationalised" out of existence. Historical developments are often punctuated by unrehearsed events. Brazil indicates signs of rebelling against the international debt merchants. The teachings of C.H. Douglas were widely accepted in Japan during the Great Depression. And even though Japanese bankers are prominent in the International Bankers' Trilateral Commission, reports from Japan indicate a new nationalism, with even young Japanese seeking to return to traditionalism. Thanks to their strict immigration policy, the Japanese remain a homogeneous people not fragmented with multiculturalism.

The U.S.A — Japanese trade war clearly opens up a new chapter in the struggle for the world.

## THE ZIONIST-MOSCOW NEXUS

As documented in *The Unholy Alliance*, by former Canadian undercover agent Patrick Walsh, Soviet strategists and their worldwide agents, have a vested interest in creating and exploiting "anti-Semitism". Even the notorious American Nazi, Lincoln Rockwell, turns out to have been a Zionist agent. The much-publicised "anti-Semitism" of the Soviet Union should be regarded with the proverbial grain of salt.

No serious student of modern history disputes that the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917 was financed by International Bankers, the most prominent of these being Jewish, and that the early Bolshevik movement was overwhelmingly Jewish. In recent years there has been an attempt to "play down" the dominant Jewish influence in the Soviet Union. But occasionally items slip through the Jewish press, which reveal the reality. For example, the London *Jewish Times* of April 6, 1984, claimed that eight of the then key Soviet leaders were "Jewish".

Zionist propagandists have re-written history by deleting wherever possible all references to the decisive role played by the Soviet Union in establishing the State of Israel in 1948. Even people who regard themselves as well-informed anti-Communists express astonishment when told of the Soviet's role in providing the Zionists with a physical base in the Middle East. Mr. Andrew Campbell, author of an alleged "exposure" of The Australian League of Rights, and sometimes described as an expert on anti-Communism, flatly denied that the Soviet had played a major role in the establishment of Zionist Israel, during a discussion with Mr. Eric Butler following their debate on ABC in 1985. Writing under a nom-de-plume, Mr. Campbell wrote a reasonably informative book on how "peace studies" in the schools were a manifestation of Communist psycho-political warfare. Mr. Campbell's book was widely publicised and sold by The Australian League of Rights, demonstrating that the League is much more objective than most of its critics.

Back in 1957, Dr. Alfred Lilienthal, the distinguished American Jewish expert on the Middle East, wrote prophetically in his book, *There Goes the Middle East*, that ". . . The Russians believe they have devised a super and surer method of penetrating the area (the Middle East). The as-yet-non-ingathered Jews are always held in reserve as a trump card for a tour de force via Israel should the Arab route fail."

Mr. Jack Bernstein, the American Jew who migrated to Israel and eventually became completely disillusioned, recalled in his book, *The Life of an American Jew in Racist Marxist Israel* how Golda Meir, born in Russia, who grew up in the U.S.A., migrating to Palestine in 1921, and who in 1949 became the newly established Israel's first Ambassador to Moscow, had entered into a secret pact with the Soviet Union. It was agreed, among other matters, that Israel would allow an official Communist Party to operate in Israel, and that for its part, the Soviet would institute a pro-Arab policy solely as a camouflage for its true intentions which was to furnish aid to the Arabs, but never sufficient to destroy Israel. Time and time again the Soviet leaders have, in

spite of anti-Zionist rhetoric, made it clear that they have no intention of permitting the Zionist Israel to be destroyed.

Golda Meir's agreement with Moscow also included provision for the open gates of Soviet satellite countries to Jewish immigration to Israel, and that if this proved to be insufficient, the Soviet would open its own gates to Jewish immigration. An agreement was reached between the Soviet and Zionists to provide a stream of immigrants in an attempt to build up the Israeli's population. During the October, 1973 war, the Soviet was sending trainloads of Jews from the Soviet to Israel via Austria. No less than 35,000 were sent out during 1973, these immigrants being regarded cynically by both Israeli and Soviet leaders as raw material to advance their respective strategies.

While the tens of thousands of Jews permitted or encouraged to migrate from the Soviet, were carefully selected, with a view to building up Israel, it became increasingly difficult to persuade these Jews either to go to Israel, or to stay there. Some have embarrassed the Zionists by insisting upon returning to the Soviet Union. The problem has become so acute that Israeli Prime Minister Shamir has recently asked the U.S.A. not to grant refugee status to Jews leaving the Soviet Union. *The Australian Jewish News* of February 12 reports that of the 914 Jews who left the Soviet Union last year, only 202 went to Israel. The overwhelming majority of the nearly 300,000 Jews estimated to have left the Soviet since 1970 either did not go to Israel, or if they did, eventually left.

Zionist influence in what was once called the Christian West, and in the Soviet Union, is such that while Western politicians sign petitions calling for the right of Soviet Jews to migrate, if they wish to, there is almost complete silence about the millions of Christians in the Soviet Union. The Soviet does not send Christians out of the country in planes or on trains. Zionist propagandists create the impression that only Jews are resisting the Soviet regime. Prominent Zionist leader Isi Leibler wrote in *The Australian Jewish News* of February 20 "If one sets aside the Jewish national movement, there are, in reality very few dissidents in the Soviet Union." It would be instructive to have Solzhenitsyn's view of Leibler's comment, an insult to the tens of thousands of brave Christians in the Soviet Union who have never wavered in their Faith. Newsletters issued by groups such as Christian Mission to the Communist World, headed by Pastor Richard Wurmbrand, and Ukrainian organisations, constantly provide moving evidence of the courage of Christian dissidents.

The biggest migration of Jews from the Soviet Union, since the establishment of Israel, took place following the 1967 Israel-Arab conflict, during a period when there were no official diplomatic links between the Soviet and Israel. The truth is that relations between the Zionists and the Soviet have been maintained at the highest levels. *The Australian Jewish News* of December 20, 1985, quotes Israeli President Chaim Herzog as having addressed an Israeli Communist Party convention and offering to visit the Soviet Union "to rebuild Israel's ties of

friendship with the Communist world in general, and the Soviet Union in particular." President Herzog told his Communist audience that it was important to recall the Soviet's contribution to the founding of the State of Israel. "We shall never forget this," said President Herzog, continuing, "Despite the differences of opinion regarding the Middle East conflict and the matter of the Soviet Jews, Israel is not hostile toward the Soviet Union".

A study of the Zionist press of the world confirms continuing ties between Israel and Moscow, with Foreign Minister Peres openly admitting early this year that contacts were being made. Over the years the Jew Victor Louis, described as a journalist, has been one of the Soviet's main agents in maintaining international contacts. The *Australian Jewish News* of February 13 carries an interesting report from Israel concerning the activities of Yuri Sterling, a Jewish cultural leader, making a second visit to Israel. Sterling took over the directorship of a Jewish Musical Theatre in 1977 and, according to *The Australian Jewish News* report, staged in Moscow an opera-thriller "Black Bridle on

a White Mare", which 'won acclaims from both the official media and from Jewish refuseniks who were in the capacity audience. The Yiddish show was set in the late 19th Century, as were some of the Theatre's other productions from Sholom Aleichem and other Jewish classics as well as contemporary Soviet Jewish writers."

Sterling travels freely outside the Soviet and speaks freely of preserving Jewish culture inside the Soviet Union, stating, "The Jewish question must be seen as a national one — there should be national self-determination, a right to culture, language, reunification of families, the choice of where to live."

The current programme of "liberalisation" in the Soviet Union, which will almost certainly lead to a greater flow of Jews from the Soviet to the West, must be seen in the context of the of all industries into a global economy. The verbal conflicts between the Soviet and Zionist leaders should be seen as a dialectical exercise out of which it is hoped a synthesis will emerge with Zionist Israel in a key position.

---

## BEHIND THE "NAZI-HUNTING INDUSTRY"

Although "Nazi-hunting" has now become a type of big industry, with large numbers of strange people coming forward to participate, some obviously mentally warped and craving publicity, there is a deeply laid strategy behind what is taking place.

The hunt for "Nazi war criminals" first got under way in the United States, moved to Canada, then to Australia, and then there was an attempt to involve the British government. Fortunately the British are showing no great enthusiasm to hunt for Nazis who allegedly fled to Britain at the end of the Second World War.

Commenting on the proposed Nazi hunt, well-known *Daily Telegraph* columnist, Peter Simple, has pointed out that the people being accused were not Nazis. "They are almost all Lithuanians and Latvians who, like most of their countrymen, rightly or wrongly, saw the occupation of their countries by the advancing German armies in 1941 as the lesser of two evils. They had had ample experience of the alternative evil . . ."

Large numbers of the Ukrainians took the same view and initially warmly welcomed the Germans when they invaded, believing that they were being provided with the opportunity to throw off the hated Soviet yoke. The outcome of the war in Russia could have been very different if Hitler had not treated the Slavonic people as inferiors. In their early welcome to the invading Germans, it is certainly true that the Ukrainians tended to treat Jews badly, associating them with the Soviet system. A big proportion of both civilian and military commissars were Jews.

The Baltic peoples of Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia could hardly be expected to forget that when their countries were taken over by the Soviet as a result of the non-aggression pact between Hitler and Stalin, the overwhelming majority of local Jews warmly welcomed the Soviet invaders and became prominent in the Soviet's brutal system of controls. Not surprisingly, the Baltic people took revenge on Jews when the Soviet was forced out by the Germans. Undoubtedly there was much indiscriminate killing. For self-protection, many Jews then sought to co-operate with the Germans, as they had done in Poland when the Germans first invaded in 1939.

### WARTIME MEMORIES

As the Germans withdrew from Eastern Europe in the face of the Soviet advance during 1945, large numbers of the Eastern European people, including the Latvians, Lithuanians and Estonians fled to the West under cover of the general confusion, some eventually finding their way as refugees to the United Kingdom, the U.S.A., Canada and Australia. Most of these people are strongly anti-Communist and, because of their experiences, associate Jews with Communism. The manner, in which an American citizen of Ukrainian background, John Demjanjuk, was taken from the U.S.A. to be "tried" in Zionist Israel, has sent shock waves through ethnic groups right throughout the Free World. As they see Israel, backed by the Soviet Union, mounting an international "Nazi-hunting" campaign, they recall their early experiences of collaboration between Jews and Communists, and, quite naturally, are fearful of what might happen to them.

NEWTIMES - APRIL 1987

The Speaker in the Israeli parliament has been quoted as saying before the Demjanjuk "trial" even started, that the accused had to be found guilty. An A.P. report from Israel on February 18 stated "The government intends the Jerusalem trial as a showcase for teaching young Israelis about Nazi Germany's slaughter of six million European Jews . . . Proceedings of the trial... are broadcast live on Israeli radio channels and are being videotaped for use in classrooms." Israeli Justice Minister Avraha Sharir has been quoted as saying that the main purpose of the trial "was to remind young and increasingly forgetful Israelis about a terrible past."

The Israelis now seek to have United Nations war crimes files made available for examination by officials at the Yad V Ashen Holocaust Centre in Jerusalem. Speaking at a news conference in New York on March 25, Israel's chief delegate to the United Nations, Benjamin Netanyahu claimed that files now being examined by the Israelis hold a "treasure trove of new information" on the Nazis. 300 out of the 40,000 files have already been opened. Under rules drawn up by the U.N. Secretariat in 1949, the files are only available to governments on a confidential basis, and as yet, U.N. Secretary General Perez de Cuillar, has refused the Israeli request. But the Israelis are pushing forward with what they visualise as an on-going campaign. A U.S. State Department official is quoted as saying that the department favoured "unimpeded access to the files by law enforcement officials" but believed that "unrestricted public access would not serve the interest of justice."

Those promoting the "Nazi hunting" campaign are not interested in justice, only in the psychological conditioning of both Jews and Gentiles. If alleged criminals of 40 or more years ago are to be brought to justice, what about an investigation of the cold-blooded butchery of the thousands of Polish officers at Katyn by Soviet agents? What about a trial of the Zionist terrorists who blew up the King David Hotel, killing British women and children, who assassinated British officials in the Middle East and who were responsible for the sickening crime at the village of Deir Yassim, when hundreds of Palestinian civilians, men, women and children, were massacred and thrown down a well?

The Soviet leaders are, of course, delighted with the Zionist "Nazi hunting" campaign and are fully co-operative, providing much of the "evidence". The Deschenes Canadian Royal Commission was established as a result of allegations, subsequently shown to have been false, by a representative of the

notorious Simon Wiesenthal Centre. The Commission eventually dismissed allegations that thousands of war criminals were living in Canada as "grossly exaggerated" The Soviet refused to accept the Canadian Commission's offer to go to Moscow to test Soviet evidence, but only if the Soviet permitted witnesses to be cross-examined in accordance with the open judicial procedures used in Canada. The Soviet's fear of having its witnesses cross examined confirms the warning of a Soviet official some years back that some of the witnesses the Soviet was using in an attempt to mislead the U.S Justice Department were being coached for days before they were permitted to use evidence.

### A TRAGIC CASE

A classic example of unreliability of witnesses in war crime trials was the tragic case of Frank Walus, who told of his frightening experiences when he appeared as witness for Ernst Zundel at his Toronto trial. The U.S Office of Special Investigations was set up in 1979 as a result of the long Zionist campaign, spearheaded by Simon Wiesenthal and associates, to investigate and, if felt necessary, prosecute alleged Nazi war criminals. Walus was prosecuted as the "Butcher of Kielce," and eventually brought to trial. No less than twelve Polish Jews were brought in to testify and all positively identified Walus. The protestations of Walus, and the fact that he was so small that he could never have been a soldier, still less an officer, in any type of army, would not have saved this unfortunate man if it had not been for documentary evidence which showed that he had, because of his physique, been rejected for any form of military service and had been drafted as a farm labourer.

Frank Walus suffered financially, psychologically and in other ways, but has received no compensation from the "Nazi hunters". Are those claiming to identify John Demjanjuk as "Ivan the Terrible" any more reliable than those who identified Frank Walus as the "Butcher of Kielce"? Well known columnist, Barbara Amiel, herself Jewish, has claimed that she has been unable to get the Simon Wiesenthal Centre to specify where it gets its information about alleged Nazi war criminals, "although it has been identified as coming largely from East Bloc sources". Reporting in *The Times*, London, of March 22, Barbara Amiel says that "In many cases, the OSI (the U.S. Office of Special Investigation) gets its eyewitness and most documentary evidence from investigators who go to the Soviet Union and agree to Soviet court procedures: the Soviet authorities determine what evidence may be seen and what witnesses are available: a Soviet prosecutor presides over the taking of testimony, conducts the proceedings and determines the extent of cross-examination. Independent translators are not permitted."

It appears that the Wiesenthal Centre is not taken as seriously in the United Kingdom as elsewhere. When the British Home Secretary, Mr. Douglas Hurd, recently called a press conference as a result of charges by the Wiesenthal Centre of Nazi war criminals hiding out in Britain, he said that the evidence supporting the allegations was "sketchy". Rabbi Marvin Hier of the Centre responded by claiming "the quality of the evidence presented to Britain had been sufficient in Canada, the United States, and Australia for investigation and action." But to his credit, the British Home Secretary remains unimpressed and says that the British police will not be making an investigation.

### THE SHOW TRIAL CONTINUES

In the meantime, the show trial of John Demjanjuk continues with the Israeli court being used primarily to publicise the most incredible and obscene nonsense. A vivid imagination is required to believe what has been alleged. The world is asked to believe that one Ukrainian guard, a man who admits that, like so many others, he deserted from the Red Army, was responsible for killing 900,000 Jews during a two-year period. Even if he had worked every day of the two years, he would have had to average 1833 victims every day. He clubbed those who did not move fast enough as he pushed them into the gas chambers. Then he still had time — and the energy — to pull pretty girls out of the line and rape them! And how was the alleged gassing done?

"Ivan the Terrible" used carbon monoxide gas produced from an internal combustion engine.

And then there is the problem of what happened to the 900,000 bodies? One witness, who if his correct age has been given, could have only been a teenager while in the camp at Treblinka, gave a hysterical account of how one guard had a dog trained to castrate male prisoners. This witness, along with others, did not explain how with such an allegedly efficient mass gassing programme taking place over two years, they managed to survive.

Clearly the Demjanjuk "trial" is going to be run as long as possible, providing the Israelis with the platform from which to keep promoting holocaust mythology. In a true court of law, the first essential would be to establish the identity of the accused. The main piece of "evidence" that the Ukrainian Demjanjuk is someone who was known in Treblinka as "Ivan the Terrible" has been provided by the Soviet Union, through the services of long-time Soviet financier, Armand Hammer. The document has obviously been created for the purpose of the "trial". There is a mass of evidence to show beyond all doubt that Demjanjuk could not be the man described as "Ivan the Terrible".

Demjanjuk may well be found guilty by the Israeli court — he may even "confess" before it is all over. But the end result will be a further indictment of Zionist Israel and a further demonstration of the nexus between the Soviet Union and Zionist Israel. Mikhail Agursky, writing in *the Jerusalem Post* of October 11 1986, says, "Jews are widely regarded by the average Russian as part of the privileged ruling class." And it is the ruling class of the Soviet Union, which is collaborating with the Zionists in the West to sustain the "Nazi hunting industry".

---

## MURDOCH THE CENTRALISER

Australian-born media monopolist, Mr. Rupert Murdoch, not content with controlling a string of newspapers and television stations around the world, is now starting to move up in the book-publishing world. He has recently acquired the prestigious American-based publishing firm, Harper and Row Publishers Inc. for \$(US)300 million. Welcoming the Murdoch takeover, Harper and Row chairman and chief executive, Mr. Brooks Thomas, commented, "Rupert has deep pockets, and he's shown a willingness to back up his convictions with cash."

Mr. Thomas has not been reported as having explained how Rupert Murdoch has obtained those "deep pockets" and the "cash". The Murdochs of this world are the darlings of those who operate the biggest and most evil monopoly of all, the monopoly of creating financial credit as an interest-bearing debt.

Harper and Row concentrates mainly on educational texts, general books, professional, mainly medical and law enforcement, publications. Rupert Murdoch's book publishing empire now includes William Collins and Sons, Glasgow; Times Books, London; Bay Books, Sydney; Salem House Ltd., Boston; and John Bartholomew and Son, Edinburgh.

Harper and Row is regarded as the second greatest publishing house after Houghton Mifflin. One financial commentator speculated that Rupert Murdoch would now look around for a large paperback publishing house and a book club. If Rupert Murdoch decides to do this then we have no doubt that his bankers will ensure that those deep pockets are adequately filled to meet whatever the price may be.

In view of Rupert Murdoch's record, it is legitimate to ask how vast does he anticipate his publishing empire should become. "All power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely." Rupert Murdoch already has more power than any person should have, and in an area where it can be used to ensure that genuinely independent points of view are never published.



## WHO'S THE MADMAN NOW?

Eric Margolis is one of Canada's most respected conservative columnists, currently writing for *The Toronto Sun*, and its associates across Canada. The following article, which appeared on February 26, confirms that claims made by British historian, David Irving, during his Canadian lecture tour late last year, that Libya had been deliberately "set up" to justify the American military strike, and that the Reagan administration had lied about the affair.

The ongoing Irangate scandal is producing increasingly frightening and bizarre revelations. Perhaps most disturbing is how the U.S. almost went to war with Libya.

Readers may recall my insistence over the past three years that many of Washington's fevered claims about Libyan terrorism were either wildly exaggerated or downright false. Moammar Khadafy is no innocent — but neither is he the dangerous fiend that Washington and our unquestioning media claimed. Bombing Libya, I also said, was dangerous folly.

Last spring I advanced the view that the U.S. was being duped into hostilities with Libya by a cabal of hard-right ideologues and pro-Israel hawks on the National Security Council. Anyone in Washington — or here, for that matter — who tried to talk sense about Libya was dismissed as being either soft on communism or pro-Arab. Some of my fellow journalists even suggested that my views on the Mideast were due to advanced dementia.

That was before Irangate. What we are now learning is an incredible tale of deceit, stupidity and disinformation.

(1) At the heart of the scandal is Manucher Ghorbanifar, a sinister Iranian arms dealer. He was the sole source of the claim that "moderates" in the Iranian regime wanted to deal with the U.S. What Robert McFarlane, John Poindexter and Ghorbanifar were really up to was a crazy, utterly unreal scheme to overthrow the Khomeini regime.

(2) Ghorbanifar first surfaced in 1981. He told the CIA that Libya had sent hit teams to kill President Reagan and senior U.S. officials. For this nonsense, he was paid \$500,000 (U.S.). There never were any Libyan hit squads: The whole tale was bogus. But based on this chimera and other carefully planted disinformation the credulous Reagan administration developed a paranoid frenzy to get rid of Khadafy — even by assassination, if necessary.

(3) It is most likely that Ghorbanifar was, and still is, an Israeli agent. He is probably a holdover from the days when Israel's Mossad trained — and some say virtually ran Savak — the Shah's secret police. Israel sees Khadafy as a virulent threat and wanted to get an angry U.S. to remove him.

Washington, which gets much of its Mideast data from Israel, was provided with just the right information to convince the NSC hotheads that Libya was the Vatican of terrorism and a world threat. Syria, Iran and Egypt also aided in laying blame for terrorism at Libya's doorstep.

(4) In late 1984, the NSC pushed for plans to stage a joint U.S.-Egyptian invasion of Libya — a fact reported in my columns. I believe that a financially desperate Egypt was offered Libya's oil fields in payment for going along with the invasion. Some 90,000 U.S. troops were to have invaded Libya.

Fortunately, sane minds in Egypt and the U.S. stopped this madness, but just in time. Such craziness could have started World War III.

(5) By 1985, the CIA knew Ghorbanifar was an Israeli agent and that his information was mostly fake. The Israelis must have known of the CIA's views since its own spy, Navy anti-terrorism expert William Pollard, had turned over to the Mossad much of the U.S.'s vital Mideast files.

But the NSC closed its ears to CIA and DIA warnings and shut the rest of the government out of the picture. Facts be damned, get Khadafy, was the order of the day.

(6) The secret NSC cabal that pushed the U.S. into Irangate also was the moving force behind plans to invade Libya or, at least, to kill Khadafy. When the U.S. bombed Libya, I wrote that the raid was a crude attempt to assassinate Khadafy. Now, the truth is leaking out in Washington — Khadafy and his family were in truth, the target. So low had the U.S. sunk?

(7) Members of this cabal were a collection of hard-fighters with links to the Alexander Haig and Jeane Kirkpatrick conservatives and men regarded as pro-Israel hawks: Michael

Ledeen, Don Fortier and Howard Teischer. All apparently wanted to see the U.S. go to war with an Arab state as a means of reasserting its Mideast power, and forever cementing the U.S.—Israeli strategic alliance.

These hawks spoke for Israel's hard right which has forged a close alliance with their fellows in America. Moderates in the U.S. and Israel would never have gone along with such madness.

So, in the name of a crusade against terrorism, the secret warriors on the NSC plotted to redraw the map of the Mideast by fire and sword. In doing so, they very nearly got the U.S. into an illegal war, broke the law by attempting assassination, and got involved in illicit acts from arms deals to car bombings. They also may have dealt a fatal blow to U.S. interests in the Mideast and chances there of peace. And they brought shame and infamy on the good name of America.

In light of these revelations, I wonder how many journalists will still keep churning out stories about "Mad Moammar" Khadafy. One must surely wonder if the real madmen were not in Tripoli but in Washington, D.C.

### INVESTING IN THE FUTURE

Early in his Social Credit career, C.H. Douglas came to realise that the entrenched power of debt-finance was not only determined to resist any change to the finance-economic policies destroying Civilisation, but that those exercising this power were going to exploit the disastrous effects of their own policies to drive mankind down the scale of existence into a World State. Douglas predicted an on-going battle reaching far beyond his own lifetime.

Events have confirmed C.H. Douglas as a true prophet, a man who sought to serve Truth for its own sake, not for any personal gain. Social Credit as a policy is the only means whereby Christianity can become an effective force in today's totalitarian world. That is why the Forces of Evil have sought to suppress, or to pervert, the Social Credit revelation. But they have failed because sufficient Social Crediters have dedicated themselves to ensure, not only that the Social Credit revelation was not lost, but that Social Credit knowledge was applied in the many campaigns resisting the threat of centralised power over the individual.

Today's Social Crediters naturally wish that their work will be extended into the future. The Australian League of Rights has over 40 turbulent years been the vehicle through which Social Credit has been taught and applied. The formal structure of the League, and a growing number of younger supporters of the highest calibre, ensures its permanency. Part of its growth has been only possible because pioneer supporters invested in it through legacies. Social Credit is vitally concerned with the principle of inheritance. By making some of their assets available to the League of Rights, today's Social Crediters are making it possible for younger Social Crediters to continue into the future. They are keeping faith with those who have gone before them, and those who are to come after them.

The current official national address of The Australian League of Rights is

145 Russell Street,  
Melbourne, Victoria.

Those seeking further information concerning this matter should write to the National Director of The League at Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, Vic. 3001.

## TO THE POINT

While British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher was in the Soviet Union, adopting what the commentators described as a "hard line", the European Economic Community, of which Britain is a member, was arranging to sell 181,500 tonnes of "surplus" butter to the Soviet Union for 14 cents a pound, which is about \$2.16 a pound less than it cost to buy it from the EEC farmers. Approval for the sale, the biggest single sale of EEC butter, has come at a time when a British government scheme to distribute butter, cheese and milk to pensioners and similar people is coming to an end. Scenes of long queues have been compared with the Great Depressions and wartime rationing.

Last December the EEC's farm ministers agreed to a slaughter of up to 2.5 million dairy cows in an attempt to cut back dairy production. Justifying the heavily subsidised sale of dairy products to the Soviet Union, an EEC bureaucrat is quoted as saying that this makes more sense than dumping it at sea, as suggested by some Members of the European Parliament. The Soviet would agree with that view! It helps to confirm their traditional view that the West is so decadent it cannot conceive of any other solution to its finance-economic problems.

The mounting suicide rate among the young is a sobering manifestation of social disintegration in every Western nation. Australia reflects the pattern of what is happening elsewhere. Australian statistics show that between 1980 and 1985, total suicides in Australia increased by 13.6 percent, a reflection of the deepening economic and social crisis. But during this same period there was a 41 percent increase in male suicides and a 25 percent increase in female suicides in the 15 to 24 age group. In the 15 to 19 age group the suicide statistics were even worse.

The Samaritans organisation in Britain has recently stated that suicide is now one of the most common causes of death among young people. In the U.S.A. authorities have established emergency telephone lines in an attempt to prevent teenage suicides reaching epidemic proportions. The American Society for Sociology reports that in the U.S.A. one young person attempts to commit suicide every 90 seconds. For every actual suicide there are at least 200 attempts.

As some authorities say, the reasons for suicide are complex, but there is no argument that the overall factor is a loss of faith in the future. The break down in traditional family life, the weakened influence of Christianity, which many of the young see as irrelevant to today's problems, and a subverted educational system, have left many of the young bereft of any incentive to plan for the future. Cut off from the roots of their heritage, they are rootless and, in far too many cases, willing to die.

During a lecture tour of Australia, Professor Seymour Melman, a professor of industrial engineering at the Colombo University, has made the interesting comment that the U.S.A. industrial economy is in decline because of corruption resulting from a concentration of resources in cost-maximising defence-related industries. He cites the example of the U.S. machine tool industry, where because of the State paying for the defence system, there is no incentive to be more efficient. The situation is different in Japan, the result being much cheaper high quality machine tools. The Pentagon's insatiable demand for highly sophisticated techniques with centralised control has resulted in the output of U.S. machine tool makers being either too complex or priced beyond the reach of most companies. Not surprisingly, Japanese machine tools now command 50 percent of the American market.

The justification for the gigantic U.S. defence system is, of course, the Soviet threat. But the Soviet threat is only maintained by the West permitting the International Bankers to continue financing massive economic blood transfusions from the West to the Soviet. Anyone opposing the continued subsidisation of the Soviet is told that unless the West continues to do this, its economies will collapse. This view is based upon the philosophy of economic determinism, and a blind acceptance of the Black Magic known as "sound finance". The fate of the world depends upon a break with a philosophy, which makes the continuing decline of the West inevitable.

\* \* \* \*

The 30th Anniversary of the European Economic Community witnessed calls for faster progress towards a United States of Europe and some criticism from Italy of what was described as "excessive nationalism". At a ceremony in the Roman Palace where the Treaty of Rome had been signed by the original six members, West Germany, France, Italy, Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg, representatives of the EEC spoke in glowing terms of the alleged progress since March 27, 1957. Britain's Sir Henry Plumb, president of the European Parliament, made the significant comment that the EEC's task in the next 30 years was to make strides in the field of "political unity". That was what the opponents of this exercise in supra-nationalism warned about at the beginning; that the talk about the EEC merely being the establishment of a free trade area masked the real objective, the ultimate establishment of political unity.

Sir Henry Plumb said at the Rome anniversary celebrations, "Happy Birthday, Europe, and now let's set out on the road that in the next 30 years will bring us to the United States of Europe." Bolshevik leader Leon Trotsky would be delighted to hear such comments. He was one of the earliest advocates of a United States of Europe, a centralised structure essential for the establishment of the World Soviet-style State. British electors were told by "conservative" leaders like Edward Heath and Harold Macmillan that Britain was needed in the European Economic Community to help strengthen it as a barrier against Communism!"

\* \* \* \*

One of the most dramatic manifestations of centralised debt finance, with its resulting constant rising financial costs and heavy taxation, is a global rural revolution. The American scene tells it all: The U.S. office of Technology Assessment predicts that a million farmers will disappear in the U.S.A. over the next 15 years. They will be replaced with 50,000 "megafarms", producing three quarters of America's total agricultural production. Family farms will, as at present, be the main victims of the progressive centralisation of farming. Farming will become little more than a factory-type operation, with an intense monoculture having far reaching effects upon the soil, and the food produced. Taken far enough, centralisation of farming could result in what now appears unthinkable: food shortages instead of food surpluses.

But human nature being what it is, growing numbers of people all around the world are seeking ways and means of escaping from centralisation, as witnessed by the growth of "hobby farms" and similar activities. Even the Soviet totalitarians had to bend to reality by permitting thousands of collectivised farmers to own their own small private plots. Truth continues to be the great disciplinarian in human affairs, thus constantly holding out hope for the future.

\* \* \* \*

### **LEAGUE'S BASIC FUND FILLED**

Although the Australian League of Rights' Basic Fund of \$55,000, for 1986-87, has been filled, with only a minority of League supporters contributing, further contributions will be gladly accepted. The need was never more urgent.

Every student of history knows that prohibition in the United States was a disastrous failure, the main beneficiaries being the bootleggers and criminals. Eventually prohibition was abolished. Using all the forces of the State, the Soviet Union is attempting unsuccessfully to combat a major social problem, alcoholism. The Australian government's \$100 million anti drug campaign is being criticised even by the advertising agencies, the agency which won the contract to conduct the programme warning that "Drug abuse programmes can be and have been counter-productive." A spokesman for the government has responded with the revealing statement that it was impossible to achieve overnight changes in human behaviour. It is not the role of governments to be engaged in programmes to change human behaviour, but to maintain a Rule of Law in all spheres, within which those with appropriate authority and expertise can encourage individuals to live in accordance with natural law. For example, it is not the role of government to attempt to change eating habits which are undoubtedly the cause of most degenerative diseases, but to maintain a free society in which nutritionists and others can attempt to *persuade* people to change their ways.

The individual cannot be made good or moral by legislative programmes. Growing violence, increasing drug abuse, and the much-publicised threat of AIDS, are mainly reflections of societies where the traditional philosophical base has been undermined. The Christian Church has abdicated its responsibilities. Aggressive "feminism" has undermined the natural authority of the Father in the home, while "progressive education" has contributed to the breakdown in proper Authority generally. Genuine freedom with each individual being personally responsible for his actions has been perverted into licence.

Regeneration is only going to start with more individuals accepting their personal responsibilities, first inside their own families, and then through small groups acting like yeast in society.

\* \* \* \*

We have often expressed the view that what might be described, as the official view concerning road safety, is almost completely negative, relying upon compulsion rather than incentive. While it is certainly true that in our modern collectivist societies, influenced by the religion of secular humanism, there has been a weakening of courteous behaviour, inducement is still a powerful factor in human affairs. Car drivers with long records of safe driving should be rewarded through lower registration fees and insurance premiums. Special award stickers should be provided for display. The truth is, however, that governments now regard motorists primarily as a source of revenue, as witnessed by savage penalties for even minor infringements of traffic regulations, including the non-wearing of seat belts. There is no argument that in some cases the wearing of seat belts does save lives, or minimises injuries. But there have also been cases where people have been killed because they wore seat belts, or have survived through not wearing them. The November-December, 1986, issue of the French-Canadian journal *Michael*, carried the following item:

"In April 1979, west of Woodstock in Oxford County, Ontario, two cars collided head-on.

In one car, six persons wearing the so-called "safety" belt. In the other car, 3 persons non-buckled. The 6 buckled persons were killed.

The autopsies revealed they died from severe injuries to the abdomen caused by the seat belts.

The other 3 persons of the other car did not wear the seat belt and survived this accident."

The Coroner declared that the Province of Ontario should be prosecuted for its murderous mandatory seat belt legislation, and then pointed to the irony of the three survivors being prosecuted for surviving by breaking the legislation!

There appears to be strong evidence that seat belts increase chances of survival in serious car accidents. But in a genuinely free society the individual should be permitted to freely choose. Freedom requires that individuals accept personal responsibility for their actions. Some people are

genuinely afraid to wear seat belts. Anxiety is not conducive to safe driving.

\* \* \* \*

Australia's distinguished historian, Professor Geoffrey Blainey, has been criticised for warning about the dangers of government funding to further the establishment of what Blainey describes as "ethnic ghettos." So far from "enriching" a nation, multi-racialism divides, fragments, and a major result is mounting friction and crime. American police have recently expressed alarm about the growing criminal activities of Vietnamese refugees across America. A 1985 FBI report said the "Vietnamese criminal elements are just beginning to establish themselves in the U.S A."

Whole areas of most U.S.A. big cities are now no-go areas, rapidly degenerating into thousands of square miles of wastelands. And now come the published reports revealing that there are no less than 70 no-go areas in the United Kingdom, areas that doctors and social workers will not enter, no matter how urgent the calls, unless provided with police protection. Violent crime is increasing. British slums were some of the worst in the world during the Great Depression. But a homogeneous people never descended to the depths of the abyss spreading in Britain.

Karl Marx said that the English would never make their own revolution that foreigners would have to make it for them. Non-European immigration has produced the most disturbing internal situation in British history. Australia, as yet, has not become as multi-racial as the United States and the United Kingdom. There is time to call a halt to an immigration policy, which, if persevered with, can only shatter the fabric of traditional Australia.

\* \* \* \*

### **FOR OUR NORTH AMERICAN READERS**

Our North American readers - Canadians and Americans — who want to enjoy the tour of a lifetime are being invited to take advantage of a special group tour of Australia starting on September 19 and concluding at the big weekend in Melbourne, on October 2, 3 and 4. Highlights of the weekend will be the "New Times" Dinner on Friday, October 2, and the International All-day Seminar on Saturday, October 3. There will be an all-day action programme on Sunday, October 4, and a full-scale concert, with a variety of outstanding talent, on the Sunday evening.

Those making the tour will start in tropical Queensland and then move south, not only enjoying a panorama of Australian scenery, but typical Australian hospitality. There will be League Dinners, barbecues and other events in a packed programme.

Those making the tour can arrange to spend more time in Australia or go on to New Zealand.

All those interested in making this low-cost tour are urged to contact Mr. Phillip Butler at ANZA Travel Ltd. 1754 W. Broadway Suite, Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6J 1Y1, Phone (604) 734-7725.

### **MR. H. ABRAHAMSEN**

**Veteran supporters of The New Times will recall the sterling work of Mr. H. ("Abe") Abrahamsen of Geelong. Mr. Abrahamsen died recently at the age of 89, after a short illness. He maintained his keen interest in Social Credit until his end. Several outstanding members of today's Social Credit movement were introduced to Social Credit truths by Mr. Abrahamsen.**

# BRITAIN STILL A CHRISTIAN NATION

From March issue of "Home", U.K.

Despite its continual, daily denial by those who have grabbed and now wield most of the money—and the media-power, this is still a Christian country, the creation of more than a millennium of Christianity, with a language, laws and Constitution moulded by that religion, and still governed in the name of a Christian Sovereign, crowned and anointed at a Christian ceremony by the Archbishops of the Established Church.

According to the *Church Times* (12th December, 1986) a recent poll conducted by MARPLAN in 100 regions disclosed a picture of a people who mostly believed in God — 79% (63% even among 15 to 24-year-olds) while only 17% did not, but only about 11% attended church as often as once a week. We think nothing of such polls and figures, except in so far as they correspond broadly with general experience, which is, that this is still a predominantly Christian nation, but now dominated and oppressed by a ruling minority of persons hostile to and contemptuous of Christianity, so that they are able to present a superficial, machine-disseminated, image and impression of what they like to call a 'post-Christian society' having no common morality or belief, within which Christianity is 'a minority view'.

Nothing has shown up these people and their own religion or philosophy more clearly than the widespread reaction by the media to the confession of faith successfully extracted from Chief Constable Anderton of Manchester by questioning him on the BBC radio as to whether God *might* be using him when he tells the truth clearly about current sexual morality and AIDS. This disreputable technique puts the victim in a Morton's fork: either he says, "Yes! I have to accept that I may well be used by God in this way," as indeed he did, whereupon the public is told: Anderton *claims* to be God's Spokesman (suggesting a self-righteous and pompous egoist); or, if not, then: Anderton *denies* he might be used by God. (Ah! Gotcha! How does this tally with your being a lay-preacher, and things you have said before (quote)? How do you get out of that? Implication: he's a liar and a hypocrite). Either way, unless he is a highly skilled and cunning verbal performer, he is caught in the smear-trap, if that is what is required.

## JEERS AT AN HONEST CONFESSION

So then, because this honest confession of what every Christian believes and hopes and prays for (that he should sometimes be the mouthpiece of the Holy Spirit of Truth) has been extracted from Chief Constable Anderton, he was lampooned and jeered at throughout the Press the next day, his resignation stridently demanded, and was later hauled up before his superiors in London. And this was not only in the Leftist atheist press, or among the Liberal agnostics. Even the *Daily Telegraph* waxed heavily humorous on the subject of God's Policeman (Har!Har!) with no less than three cartoons on 20th January, 1987: one showing Anderton telling God "Anything you say will be taken down . . .", (Haw! Haw!) another showing a witness in Court swearing in by Chief Constable Anderton (Hee!Hee!) and a third showing one comic angel telling another: "He's helping the Manchester police with their enquiries." (Oh! Guffaw! Guffaw!). No doubt all this has provided much sniggerstuff and tittermatter for the intellectual elite, but it will be noticed that the real object of the jeers is not Anderton, but God, and the very idea that anybody of intellectual status or pretensions should actually believe in Him, or if so be so naive as to confess it publicly without innumerable qualifications and equivocations.

If a Policeman is to be regarded as unsuitable to uphold the Law if he publicly acknowledges his adherence to its traditional moral basis, on what grounds can he be expected to uphold it? Merely because he is paid to do so? In that case, if, say, drug-traffickers pay him much more to break it, and it now has no common moral basis, then he is free to adhere to the more fashionable religion that Money is God, provided he does not publicly admit it. That is where we are being led by the constantly promoted idea that Christianity is one 'point of view' among many others, which is no longer the basis of our society.

If Mr. Anderton, as a police official, may not publicly promote his age-old Christian morality because it is now merely his personal opinion, why must we tolerate the promotion by anonymous officials of that religion or philosophy of life which is expressed in the Government's AIDS letter sent at our expense to every household, as summed up in the phrases: FEWER PARTNERS, LESS RISK, and USE CONDOMS FOR SAFER SEX? The same official philosophy was expressed in the Department of Health and Social Security circular to doctors not so long ago instructing them that they should give contraceptive advice, including most notably "the pill", to other people's schoolgirl daughters without the need to inform their parents, against which Mrs. Gillick fought her long legal battle on behalf of Christian morality.

The pretence that the 'official' advice is technical and factual, while the traditional Christian morality of abstinence outside marriage and fidelity within it, is a mere religious opinion is manifest nonsense. As technical fact, which, indeed, is the 'safer sex'? But behind each of them is an utterly different view of the nature of Man. Behind the first is the view of men, women and children as units in a collective mass controlled by external forces. Statistics show that sexual intercourse 'occurs' in a proportion of young female units, therefore we must provide for this 'occurrence' as an automatic, conditioned event, and reject the 'out-of-date' view of the girls as sinful, but redeemable children of God, and of their families, with God-given wills of their own. What is wrong, of course, is not the occasional use of statistics where large numbers of people are concerned, but the erection of this into a policy, which is imposed upon them and their families, as human beings.

## PERVERSION OF COMPASSION INTO INDULGENCE

Perhaps, for the time being, there is no need to swell the chorus of voices declaring that it is the churches which should have spoken and acted more strongly and publicly on this matter, rather than to have left it to such as Mr. Anderton and Mrs. Gillick to speak up and face the consequences. Doubtless they would all be able to point to this or that cautiously phrased and qualified intimation that, on the whole, provided that one is on no account unsympathetic towards defiant and unrepentant sin, even if it ruins and kills other people, it is perhaps wiser to abstain from it if possible.

Here again we have a manifestation of that perversion of compassion into indulgence, which has corrupted the churches. There is nothing which terrifies a modern intellectual churchman more than the sneer of 'crank' or 'fanatic' or even the adjective 'simplistic', (implying he is dim-witted, though in fact the word means 'concerned with herbs and simples!'); and, moreover, in the matter of AIDS the jeerers got in first with a pre-emptive strike about "the wrath of God" (Har! Har! Har!) which kept many a timid Christian head down.

Among the churches only the Church of Rome seems to have been clearly and publicly unequivocal on this and related subjects, and even there not nearly loud and clear enough. There are times and places and subjects for which scholarly, qualified and cautious language is both appropriate and needed; but what was, and is, called for here is enough love and enough 'guts' to rescue our young people with a thoroughly unfashionable thundering anathema against the abominable cults of drugs and sodomy and promiscuity and abortion — and to Hell with the sneers and jeers!

This might have saved us the AIDS plague and much worse things. It is too late for that now. Reality has intervened to grind in the truth of the words: "the wages of sin is death"; but that lesson having been learnt the hard way, now there arises a mighty opportunity for learning also the reality of the second half of that verse, namely: that *the gift of God is eternal life*.