

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

VOL. 51, No. 8.

Registered by Australia Post-Publication No. VBH 1001.

AUGUST 1987.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

BEYOND SOCIALISM

by Eric D. Butler

Like Democracy, Socialism has been a type of omnibus term, meaning different things to different people. Many have called themselves Socialists simply because they believe in what in Australia was once known as a "fair go". Early British Socialists like Keir Hardie were basically emancipationists. But the man generally regarded as the father of modern socialism, Karl Marx, supported by the Money Power of Engels, introduced a completely new note into socialist thinking, a dominant feature of which was hatred of those who owned property. But Marx, never once attacked the dominant role of finance in creating the social problems he described. Marxist Socialism in all its forms enjoyed the support of International Finance.

But it now appears that there is a change in tactics by those who exercise power through financial manipulation. Socialism as an *idea* has clearly lost its appeal. The disastrous results of Marxist Socialism in both the Soviet bloc and Communist China have become widely publicised. The "modernisation" programme in both the Soviet bloc and China are clearly an attempt to make hopelessly bankrupt productive systems work while at the same time maintaining a totalitarian political system. Ironically, both the Soviet and Chinese systems are attempts to emulate the type of Socialism attempted in Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy. Judged purely as production systems, the Socialism of Hitler's Germany and Mussolini's Italy were infinitely superior to that of Soviet Socialism.

THE NEW CONSERVATIVES

As pointed out by C.H. Douglas, the real threat to the best interests of the individual is not Socialism *per se*, but the policy of Monopoly, which shelters behind a variety of labels. And the major driving force behind all forms of Monopoly is International Finance. While not all International Bankers are Jews, Jewish influence is enormous. It is not without significance that while at one time Marxist Socialist movements were dominated by Jews, as admitted by a number of prominent Jewish writers there has, in recent times, been a move by former Jewish radicals to what has been termed the New Conservatism, or the New Right. It has been suggested to the writer that criticism of Zionism should at least be muted, as large numbers of Jews are now wanting to participate in the New Conservatism. The American experience is quoted, with Jewish backing for the Moral Majority movement. But as documented by Grace Halsell in her work, *Prophecy and Politics*, much of the Moral Majority movement, headed by Jerry Falwell and his colleagues, is a type of Zionist fifth column seriously influencing American foreign policy.

The New Conservatives, including people like British Prime Minister Thatcher, say many things concerning upholding traditional values, with which one can agree. But it is when an examination is made of their financial and economic policies that their anti-

Socialism is seen to be but a change of emphasis concerning centralised power over the individual. The one-time Fabian, H.G. Wells, made the following prophetic comment back in 1920: "Big business is by no means antipathetic to Communism. The larger big business grows the more it approximates to Collectivism. It is the upper road of the few instead of the lower road of the masses to collectivism."

BIG FINANCE BACKS LABOR

Writing back in 1952, C.H. Douglas foreshadowed the shape of things to come when he commented: "There are three economic systems. The first is genuine Capitalism; the second genuine Socialism; the third Monopoly. In the first, the producer meets the wishes of the consumer or goes out of business, in the second, the

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the state.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions - private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) Laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

producer takes his orders from an omnipotent bureaucracy, and the consumer takes what is allowed him, in the third, the producer serves the policy of a small omnipotent clique. All three are still in operation, but the third is for the moment eliminating the other two."

Nothing has so dramatically demonstrated the change of tactics in the drive to create the World State, as what has happened in Australia and New Zealand under governments, which still call themselves Labor Socialists. In the recent Australian election, old-time Labor supporters found it hard to relate to a situation in which the Hawke government had the open blessing of Big Business, while Wall Street barely concealed its support for Fabian Socialist Prime Minister Hawke. It may be felt that a government which permitted Australia to be mortgaged to the International Banks at a record rate, and which still calls itself Socialist, can more readily impose upon Australians the type of "reconstruction" required to fit Australia into the New International Economic Order. With an open combination of Big Business, Big Government and increasingly Bigger Unions, and a threat of a horrendous Identification Card system to regulate the activities of the individual, the corporate State is now openly threatened in Australia. This is in reality a form of Fascism.

Across the Tasman, the Lange government in New Zealand basks in the warm glow of support from the same type of people supporting the Hawke government. The speculators hardly bother to disguise their pleasure with what has been termed the "freest economy in the world." There was a time, in the Great Depression years, when a New Zealand Labor Party, in alliance with the powerful Social Credit Movement, looked set following its 1935 election victory, to challenge the Credit Monopolists. But this was skilfully perverted by the agents of International Finance, as outlined by the brilliant New Zealand research writer, A.N. Field, in his book *The Truth About New Zealand*. It was the Marxist Socialists inside the New Zealand Labor Party who played a prominent role in serving the long-term interests of International Finance, the principal traitor being Treasurer Walter Nash. (It is pleasing to see that this case history of Big Finance-Socialist treachery has recently been republished).

USING THE BOLSHEVIKS

Before the emergence of Marx, and his *Manifesto* of 1848, there had been many failed attempts to operate Socialist economic systems. But Marxism was seen as a tool that International Finance could use in a developing programme towards creating an ultimate World State. The tremendous increase in the power and international stabilising influence of the British Empire during the latter part of last century, together with the rising tide of comparative prosperity in the United States, were perceived as major obstructions to the World State design, and had to be dealt with. The Bolsheviks were used to impose upon the unfortunate Russians an idea, which had already failed. The Soviet Union was created and sustained to further a long-term project, its first major objective being the destruction of the British Empire.

That objective has been achieved, although much of the old British *spirit* and *culture* remains and may readily be re-kindled given the right circumstances. The destruction of the Conservative Ronald Reagan and the

plight of the United States, bear witness to the influence of the powers of Evil. The electoral victory of Margaret Thatcher against the British Socialists, met with the approval of the International Bankers. Her government's "monetarist" policies have fostered further economic centralism, while the British economy becomes increasingly geared to exporting to the Soviet Union. Deficit financing continues.

The overall situation through the West is that Socialism, as an idea, and a means for advancing the creation of the World State, is being progressively discarded in favour of a strategy which nationally resembles that of Hitler and Mussolini, while increasingly internationalising the economies of the world, including that of Japan, under the New International Economic Order. The name of the game is an old one: the will to power, but now on a global scale. Few things are certain in human affairs, but there is one certainty: all programmes for centralising power carry the seeds of their own destruction. The economic, social and human damage of this violent century has been so enormous that it is impossible to adequately describe it. And there is probably worse to come.

From a realistic point of view, there is no sense attempting to stand in the face of what might be described as a modern version of the stampede of the Gadarene swine. It is folly to attempt to create new parties, or even to attempt influencing existing parties from within. Armed with Truth, individuals must first preserve their own integrity and associate together with others, to take constructive action to resist those evil policies, which can be seen as a direct threat to the individual. Decentralised, individual initiative can, like the young German who successfully flew his small plane unchallenged to the very heart of the Soviet, demonstrate that Bigness is basically weak and vulnerable. That reality should be exploited wherever possible.

"Prophecy and Politics"

by Grace Halsell

There is only one thing wrong about this explosive work: the price; particularly for Australian and New Zealand readers. If this book could be widely circulated among Christians, they would be forced to face the question of how Biblical prophecy has been exploited by the anti-Christian Zionists.

A top professional writer of Christian background, Grace Halsell exposes with first-hand information how at least 50 million sincere American Christians have been misled by television evangelists like Jerry Falwell, who act as agents for Israel in the United States.

One of the most revealing aspects of this book is the author's first-hand experience on two of Jerry Falwell's conducted tours of Israel. American Christians were not permitted to make contact with fellow Christians living in Israel. The plight of the Palestinians is ignored.

As many Christians as possible should have this most timely work.

Price: \$32.50

The Great Betrayal

by C. H. Douglas

The following section of a survey originally appeared in "*The Social Crediter*" in 1948, and was republished in "*The Social Crediter*" of September 25, 1965.

Not only for the benefit of our many newer readers, but to refresh the minds of older readers, we are re-publishing Douglas's assessment at the end of the Second World War as an example of deep insight. Events continue to confirm what Douglas wrote 40 years ago:

(II)

Mr. Winston Churchill is by ancestry half Hanoverian Whig and half American, and by political upbringing and association a Lloyd Georgian Liberal with powerful Jewish support. None of this would suggest a tendency to produce a starry eyed political idealist with a trusting temperament of a Babe in the Woods, and it is therefore doubly significant that Mr. Churchill (it is stated on good authority) has refused to accept his salary as leader of the Opposition in the present Administration. It suggests that the trend of events is not such as he had anticipated, or at any rate, he has no wish to be paid for even passive complicity in it.

With no desire or competence to find excuses for him, I find it difficult to believe that he has not been double-crossed. And the nature of the double-crossing is not far to seek. Mr. Roosevelt, it would seem, took his measure accurately, recognised his overwhelming passion to be a second Marlborough, and gave him a fairly free hand to win the war so long as not many Americans fought seriously, making, however, complete and effective arrangements to win the peace. Clearly, as Mr. Roosevelt's friends would assure him, no better plan could be imagined than that outlined by Mr. Jaques. How it was arranged that the "Labour" Party should be returned is difficult to know, but that it was arranged is nearly certain. Never in the chequered history of the secret ballot conjuring trick, has such a bare-faced imposture been staged as in the election of 1945, with its hundreds of thousands of U.S. soldiers all canvassing against "the feudal system" ("why don't ya have a d'markrazi like Amurrica?") and its three months' interval between the ballot and the vote counting.

At this point, it is useful to obtain some idea of the nature of the Parliament which was "palmed", to use the appropriate conjuring term, on the British Isles under the name of a "Labour" Government. Of the 398 members accepting the Government Whips, 124 are Trade Union officials, 48 publishers, journalists and authors, 45 Municipal politicians, 41 barristers and solicitors, 41 businessmen, 34 schoolmasters, 12 Co-operative employees, 12 doctors and dentists, 10 University teachers, 10 farmers, five Army, Navy and Air Force officers, three civil servants, three Free Church ministers, one policeman and five unclassified.

Whatever may be said of this collection, and a good many things may be said of it, it is not "Labour" in any reasonable or distinctive meaning of the word. Anyone with the slightest acquaintance with the subject would recognise its character. It is a Parliament of Fabian Socialists and P.E.P. nominees hand-picked for Mond-Turnerism, united by a common preference for white collar jobs over "workers' " employment, and an equal determination to tell other people how to work rather than to work themselves. That is to say, it is almost identical with the New Deal background which had propagandised Franklin Delano Roosevelt and

blanketed its failure by precipitating the Second World War. While many of its constituent members did not know it, it was an international, not a British, body, committed in advance to wreck its native country.

It is almost certain that the genesis of the Parliamentary victory of the so-called "Labour" Party can be found in the conditions imposed on Mr. Churchill in 1940 after Dunkirk as a condition of "Labour" support, and the situation at this time can be synthesised by observing that *every party outside Mr. Chamberlain's group was being advised by the same international body*, and that the present interests of that body are geographically centred in New York. In consequence the complete elimination of Great Britain as a Power is essential to the role so engagingly recalled by Mrs. Roosevelt, that "Britain" is the first line of defence of the United States. "That," added Mrs. Roosevelt recently, "is true today". That is a proud thought for the survivors of the British Empire.

Obviously, every piece of advice, now practically amounting to an order, which was tendered by Mr. Roosevelt's entourage, has been good advice — as viewed from Wall Street and Washington. And, in the main, Mr. Churchill took that advice, which probably included a suggestion to hand over the post-war baby to the trained arms of Mr. Attlee and the London School of Economics. To provide Mr. Attlee with a loyal background on the American model, Lord Citrine (T.U.C.) is now Chairman British Electrical Authority at 8,500 pounds *per annum*. Sir Frederick Burrows (ex railway clerk) was made Governor of Bengal (!) at 9,000 pound *per annum*, Sir Ben Smith, a most admirable ex-able-seaman, is paid 3,500 pounds *per annum* for running the West Midland Coal Mines, Mr. Jack Benstead, a Trades' Union official, 5,500 pounds as a member of the Transport Commission, *etc., etc.* There are dozens of others. These are "out in the country." But, if my addition is correct there are between sixty and seventy Ministers or near-Ministers on the higher payroll, all of whom, together with the ordinary Members of Parliament, were immediately rewarded for their allegiance by a large rise in salary. Many of the Opposition Members feel also that it would be a pity to be too censorious of an Administration with such sound principles, more especially as their leaders appear curiously willing, or even anxious, to be more Socialist than the Socialists.

Briefly, then, the public at large may have lost the peace once again, Great Britain may now be "Britain"; but with the aid of significant sections of all political parties, we have achieved the proud position of the First Line of Defence of the U.S.A., are in process of becoming a Work State on a standard of living arranged from Washington, America will be free to treat the world as her oyster while we fight for her mistakes, will take what she wants from us, and give us what she can't use herself, and it will, and has, become

clear that as in Hitlerite Germany and Russia, only fools will work either manually or technically — all the knowing ones will be good Party politicians.

It has been the fashion in Bloomsbury, and in those places where the Fabians sing, to jeer at the British Empire ("pure Kipling, old boy, ha! ha!") and in general the ways of the Victorians. While many valid criticisms can be made both of the organism and the period, most of them traceable to that financial system the Fabians are so careful not to attack, it would be a cardinal error not to assess the significance of this attitude. Passing over the fact that the Socialist is not naturally a traveller or an adventurer, except in the less desirable sense of the word (the very roots of Socialism are antipathetic to individual initiative) he is a worshipper of logic — of pure reason, which he mistakes for intelligence. The Fabian Society itself is the descendant of the Encyclopaedist who ushered in the Age of Reason. That this is not a British trait — in fact, the typical Englishman distrusts logic to a degree which denies it its legitimate use — is only one of many indications of the alien philosophy sapping our native vigour. The *premises* for arguments in favour of the Empire are in the main hidden, and the deductive method does not apply. But the *proofs* are clear, even if to the man in the street, the reasons are not, that the British Empire was a far more admirable growth than any mechanistic League or Union of Nations, precisely because it was not reasonable — it was organic.

(III)

There is really no room for doubt as to the nature and origin of the attack on the British Empire. It is fundamentally a cultural attack intended to eliminate or at least minimise the conception of human "quality" by substituting "equality"; and recognition of this fact is the only key to a situation, which is otherwise a mass of unrelated contradictions. It is an international attack, utilising national forces.

Attempts to define a culture are always unsatisfactory and inadequate, and the explanation of this can, I think, be found in its nature, which is four dimensional — it has an extension in Time, or it is not a culture. The ruling culture of the British Empire, and the feature which distinguishes it from any other contemporaries is tradition, *i.e.*, it is a true, not a spurious culture; and it is tradition more than any other factor, which the sponsors of dialectical materialism, Socialism, Marxism, and Communism attack. There is little doubt that behind all of these is a Power, which is completely aware of the reality of extension in Time, and of the immense dangers to which humanity is exposed by "a break with tradition." It is perhaps unnecessary to add that an extension in Time is only *one dimension*, but it is a *fundamental of quality*. ("My Word shall *not* pass away.")

Perhaps as elementary an introduction to this subject, in the political sense, as any other, is afforded by Spain under General Franco.

Times being what they are, it may be necessary to insist that I have neither intention nor desire to apologise for General Franco, if he requires apology. What I do see quite clearly is that, with his associates, he defeated a primary attempt of Judaeo-Freemasonry, the Power which is using tradition to destroy tradition; that he stands as a protagonist, and a not unsuccessful protagonist, of the opposition to Judaeo-Masonic-Communism; that the culture of the British Empire, and its

traditional basis, was a primary obstacle to the Masonic World Plan; and that, whether we like it or not, our natural ally in the present struggle is "Franco-Spain". And perhaps one of the greatest services rendered by the Canadian Royal Commission on Espionage was to uncover the existence of *e.g.*, *Englishmen*, "who placed loyalty to a (un-named) world Power above that which they owed to their own country" — a situation with which General Franco had to, and did, deal.

On April 17, 1948, the Washington (U.S.A.) *Times-Herald* published in a leader, portions of a correspondence, which apparently passed between Sir Samuel Hoare, now Lord Templewood, then British Ambassador to Spain, and General Franco. So far as I am aware, this correspondence has not been published here. It may be noticed in passing that Lord Templewood belongs to a Quaker-Whig banking family, and that he was in Moscow at the time of the fall of the Czar.

On February 21, 1943, General Franco wrote to Sir Samuel Hoare:

"Our alarm at Russian advances is common not only to neutral nations, but also to all those people in Europe who have not yet lost their sensibilities and their realization of the peril.

"Communism is an enormous menace to the whole world and now it is sustained by the victorious armies of a great country, all those not blind must wake up.

"If the war's course continues unaltered it is evident that the Russian army will penetrate deeply into German territory. If this comes to pass, would it not be of the greatest danger for the Continent and for England, a Sovietized Germany which would furnish Russia her secrets and war constructions, her engineers and specialists, giving that country the opportunity of building a huge empire extending from the Atlantic to the Pacific Coasts?

"We think the situation extremely serious and we appeal to the British people's good sense to consider the matter; for if Russia occupies Germany nothing and nobody will stop her.

"If Germany did not exist, we Europeans would have to invent it, and it is ridiculous to think she could be replaced by a confederation of Lithuanians, Poles, Czechs and Rumanians, who would rapidly convert to a confederation of Soviet states."

The test of science is prophecy.

Now listen to the sapience of the Quaker-Banker-Whig. He wrote:

"You say the greatest European danger is communism and that a Russian victory would mean the triumph of communism in European countries that would bring the destruction of European civilization and of Christian culture.

"Our point of view is, on the contrary, entirely different....

"The feasible situation at the end of the war will be thus: French, English and American armies will occupy the European continent. These armies will be supplied with the best war weapons and would include fresh, first order troops, not wasted and tired as those of Russia's armies.

"I dare to bear prophecy that, at the moment, THE GREATEST MILITARY POWER IN EUROPE WILL WITHOUT DOUBT BE THAT OF GREAT

NEW TIMES - AUGUST 1987

BRITAIN.... Consequently, British influence will be the greatest ever seen in Europe since the time of Napoleon's downfall.

"So I accept no fear of after war Russian danger for Europe. Nor can I accept the idea that Russia will, at the end of the war, follow an anti-European policy of her own."

(IV)

Perhaps it is desirable, at this stage, to bring again into prominence the practical importance of recognising the world's ills as the result of a long-term policy. A skilful propaganda to the contrary has been linked with anti-Christianity. Reference to the subject has been made before, but, *e.g.*, the course of events in Alberta demonstrates that it will bear constant recall.

The first point on which to be clear is that if we are *not* faced with a long-term policy, our position is quite hopeless. If every step in the industrial arts merely confronts us with more devastating wars, more restrictions and controls, and, except in the United States, a lower standard of life, mankind is so hopelessly perverse that his only tolerable future lies in early annihilation, more especially in view of our decreasing (average) intelligence. But if we are facing a satanic policy, our position, although very serious, is not necessarily irremediable. But we must first face the facts. No policy, no cure. Clear policy, clear problem. A problem clearly stated is half solved. The second aspect of this situation is equally indisputable. Policies in *vacuo* are a contradiction in terms. Policies embody strategies; you do not fight a strategy, you fight the human beings who are carrying out that strategy. "It's the system we're fighting, not men" is one of those half-truths, which are of the greatest assistance to the Enemy Generals.

Akin to this is the "anti-anti" or "anti-negative" propaganda. Without attaching too much importance to the fact that double negative is a positive (*i.e.*, an anti-anti Jew or Russian is, by definition, a pro-Jew or Russian, not a neutral) it is fairly obvious that the main use of this technique is to stampede the innocent into disclosing their position, thus being put on the defensive. The best defence is attack. Do you propose to allow your enemy a monopoly of it?

This raises the question of (a) The inimical objective; (b) The Enemy troops.

For clarity and brevity it would be difficult to improve on St. Matthew 8-9: "And the devil taketh up into an exceeding high mountain, and sheweth him all the kingdoms of the world, and the glory of them; And saith unto him, All these things will I give thee, if thou wilt fall down, and worship me." That is an offer of World Dominion, on condition of the acceptance of collectivism — the worship of the group idea.

In these days we are fortunate in one thing, if in no more. We can actually see and read in our daily paper that the devil's offer has been accepted, and two attempts, the League of Nations, and U.N.O. have been set up. By their fruits, ye shall know them.

Now as to the troops. As Mr. Jaques so clearly brought out in his speech, much, and probably the most important part of the organisation of the World State is financial and industrial — the control of credit and raw materials.

While it is difficult to deny the existence of such organisations as the international chemical trust, the

World Bank and international monetary fund and similar world cartels, because they are visible to the eye and mentioned in the newspapers, their relation to the world state is not so visible and not so easily exposed. But if we grasp the fact that the essence of Communism, which is the politics of the World State, is centralised vesting of the planet in an organisation expropriating and cutting across all local and personal sovereignty, we cannot be much in error if we identify internationalists, open or concealed, with treason to the individual and his race and country. In an earlier part of the speech by Mr. Jaques to which reference has been made, he remarked, "The hon. Member for Macleod said, if I remember correctly, that there are just two kinds of people in the Civil Liberties Association (a Canadian "Red Front"), traitors and stooges, the dupes." *Caeteris paribus*, it appears to me to be true that any organisation which is working to transfer sovereignty from those who are associated under a national constitution, to those who have secretly concocted an international constitution by the misuse of national resources, whether those persons are working inside or outside the country, are enemies of, and traitors to, believers in the national conception. Their motives may be diverse and obscure, but when you see an enemy soldier, obviously working for your destruction you do not investigate his motives, you shoot.

There are myriads of organisations which are working to destroy nationality (not Stateship) ranging from the highly "respectable" Royal Institute of International Affairs openly financed by cartels (Chatham House, whose secretary, Dr. Toynbee, said "we are working secretly, but with all our might, to undermine the sovereignty of our respective nations") to the hundreds of Communist shop-stewards in industry working like musk-rats to cripple and disrupt local control. And, it should be remembered — there is a lucrative career in it.

The "Canadian" Broadcasting Corporation is notoriously "Red", and the genealogy of its parent organisation, the "B".B.C., as well as its peculiar form of extra-national management and its link with the patent monopoly of the Marconi network, can best be viewed as the functionalised monopoly of information. The London School of Economics has linked internationalists (frequently, but not always, Jews) in key positions in Canada, Australia, and New Zealand.

So far as the population of these islands is concerned, the triumphs of the traitors has produced swift and spectacular results. Mr. Attlee's Administration claims that there are more persons gainfully employed (*i.e.*, being paid paper money) than ever before. Not only that, but they are (so it is said) more efficiently directed, using more power and better machines and methods than would have been deemed possible in the time.

To which I should myself comment that not ten *percent* of the population is really better off, by which I mean has more control over its material and spiritual destiny, than it had fifty years ago, and this includes the New Kommissary, and ninety *percent* are not merely worse off, but their prospects are infinitely worse. That is where they have been brought by "traitors and stooges," in an era of unparalleled advancement in the industrial arts, directly and solely due to individual initiative.

If that were all, it would be serious enough. But

the basic wealth a nation is in its intangibles. It is faith which moves mountains, and the decline of faith is perhaps the most noticeable change in the general population. No one believes in anything or anyone, any more. Let us eat, drink, and be merry in the Black Market, for tomorrow one more big racket will be put over on us. So far from the consumer being always right, he no longer has any rights, he merely has a few coupons. He doesn't give his orders to the shopkeeper, he gets what the "Co-operative" decides to let him have, of any quality or none, if he doesn't like it, he mustn't throw it away — it's an "offence".

And if any explanation is required or given; it's the export drive. He is told to save, and his savings are taken off him by Government-stimulated rising prices, taxation, and downright confiscation. He "buys" a house, and pays ten times what his grandfather did for the same house, and finds he hasn't bought it; it can be, and is, "requisitioned" at the instance of any obscure alien in a selected bureau. Until it is, and sometimes after, he pays in rates and taxes more than the original rent, for the privilege of "owning" it. If he murmurs, one of his conquerors tells him that "we" don't intend an Englishman's home to be his castle. In fact, "we" will see that it isn't. Why not emigrate? "We've plenty to replace you, and we are replacing you

"New Times" Dinner booked out

We warned in last month's issue of the heavy booking for the Annual "New Times" Dinner. The organisers now announce that bookings are closed. The best that can be suggested is that latecomers request that their names be placed on the waiting list in case of last minute cancellations. There are always a few of these.

However, there should be no problem with the Seminar on Saturday, October 3, when the panel of international speakers will include Mr. Ron Gostick, from Canada, Mr. Michael Hoffman from the U.S.A. and the famous British historian, Mr. David Irving. The entrance fee will be \$8, which the organisers stress is extremely moderate considering the qualifications of the speakers. The Seminar will be held at the YWCA, Elizabeth Street, Melbourne.

-- you've served your turn."

The Israeli Show Trial

The obscene event, being held in a converted cinema hall on the outskirts of Jerusalem, which is paraded as a trial of the elderly, Ukrainian-born John Demjanjuk, continues. A leading prosecutor at the Old Bailey, London, is quoted as saying, "It is difficult to see how a case like this would last five minutes in a British court." But British courts are still designed to produce justice, not show trials for the purposes of psychological warfare. And little credence would be given to evidence provided by elderly witnesses concerning events of forty years ago. How many recall with accuracy what happened to them forty or more years ago?

Even American observers sympathetic to Demjanjuk say that he is a simple, uneducated man. It is agreed that like thousands of similar people, he made a number of false statements in making his application to enter the United States at the end of the Second World War. Demjanjuk was well aware that if repatriated to the Soviet Union under the terms of the infamous Yalta Agreement, he would be executed. Many Ukrainians and other refugees from the Soviet Union committed suicide rather than be returned to the Soviet Union.

The charge that Demjanjuk, or anyone else, could have gassed to death nearly 900,000 people at a death camp is obviously so absurd that it is depressing that large numbers of people can accept this nonsense. Such a feat would be physically impossible.

The key "evidence" produced by the Israeli prosecutors, an alleged 1942 SS identity card, was kindly produced by the Soviet KGB as a result of the influence of American Jewish multi-millionaire and long-time friend of the Soviet, Armand Hammer. Lack of diplomatic recognition has not prevented continuing top-level contact between Moscow and Tel Aviv.

There is something revolting about the spectacle of the elderly Demjanjuk being the centre of a spectacle

where, as journalists point out, he tries to give evidence under the glare of media spotlights while 600 hostile spectators hiss and boo when he makes the slightest slip. He is faced by banks of television cameras and radio microphones broadcasting his evidence to the Israeli people. One report says, "The courtroom atmosphere is one of intense intimidation."

If Demjanjuk is pronounced guilty and hanged, the Zionist terrorists will be providing further fuel for a growing backlash in which the rank and file Jewish people will be the main victims. Douglas correctly predicted that the Jewish Question would become one of the main issues of the Twentieth Century. The future of what is left of Christian Civilisation depends upon how this issue is resolved.

EUROPEAN CIVILISATION

"All serious students of affairs must realise that 'the climate of opinion', and 'the technique of organisation', are two of the major factors of a civilisation: and that the civilisation we recognise as European is the result of a special relationship between these two factors which we ascribe, and rightly ascribe, to something we call Christianity. What is not so widely appreciated is that there are two Christianities, the Judaic and the Graeco-Roman. It would be simple to say one is not Christianity at all, but it would not be wholly correct. It is correct, however, so say that the culture which is being sacrificed in Europe today is the flower of Graeco-Christian influence; and the engines of destruction which are laying Europe waste derive their terrible efficiency from the incarnation of Judaeo-Christianity in modern industrialism."

C.H. Douglas.

NEW TIMES - AUGUST 1987

TO THE POINT

Douglas said that genuine democracy only exists when electors have the opportunity to vote on one thing at a time. But party politicians, and those who manipulate them, dislike intensely what they call single-issue politics. But single-issue politics enable people, who often are divided by different labels only, to unite on one issue they are all vitally concerned about. A group of Australians from right across the political and ideological spectrum have come together immediately following the recent Federal elections, to resist what has been aptly termed the Hawke government's "Moscow Card." Mr. Hawke obtained a double dissolution because his Australia Card legislation was being blocked in the Senate. But the issue hardly rated a mention during the elections, when nearly 50 percent voted against the Hawke government, while large numbers who voted for Mr. Hawke are also violently opposed to the "Moscow Card." A massive national campaign opposing the card will be an encouraging display of democracy being attempted.

* * * *

Like every other Western nation Australia is experiencing a rising tide of crime, much of it extremely violent, with rape and murder becoming almost a daily occurrence. A disoriented youth has little idea of where it is going, primarily because it has been cut off from the nation's traditional roots. Much of this is the result of "progressive education", deliberately designed to produce "social change." Sexual permissiveness and promiscuity have been promoted under the guise of "sex education". Homosexuality and lesbianism has been presented simply as a manifestation of an "alternative style." Books set for English studies often border on the pornographic. It is not surprising that the nation has large numbers of bitter and frustrated sex stimulated young people who live for the present without any vision for the future. The economic crisis helps make it easy for the subversives to convince the young that they have no worthwhile future.

But there is an encouraging tide of concern with an increasing number of mothers asking questions concerning the root causes of the social disintegration.

* * * *

As we have observed in the past, one aspect of the South African drama is never publicised in the media, the close relationship between the Soviet Union and the Oppenheimer Anglo-American complex, closely associated with the Rothschilds. In spite of lack of diplomatic links since the Nationalists came to power in South Africa shortly after the Second World War, there have been close business links. Back in 1957 it appeared possible that Siberian diamond discoveries could threaten the diamond monopoly of De Beers, part of the Anglo-American complex. Negotiations with the Soviet resulted in an agreement that de Beers take and market, at an agreed price fixed annually, all Soviet supplies. It is estimated that de Beers is now paying the Soviet over \$1 billion annually. There are also arrangements concerning gold and platinum. It would be instructive to hear what that highly moral anti-South African Mr. Hawke thinks of the Soviet-Anglo-American monopolistic mineral monopoly and its price-fixing activities. And as Jewish influence is dominant in the world's diamond business, it would also be interesting to hear what Mr. Hawke's Zionist friends have to say.

* * * *

Revolutionary activities around the world provide the opportunity for shifting people from one country to another. Refugees have become a type of raw

material to be exploited. Tamils from Sri Lanka turn up in Canada in a type of silent invasion. The programme of using refugees for invasion is brilliantly depicted in Jean Raspail's *Camp of The Saints*. Australia has long been a target for refugee manipulators. It is not surprising therefore that there is a call for Australia to take more black South Africans. The logical place for South African blacks to go is to other parts of Africa. But "liberated" Africa has few attractions for South African blacks.

* * * *

The Mad Hatter's Tea Party was nothing compared with today's world. A cartoon in *The Age*, Melbourne, brilliantly depicts an aspect of the madness, showing speculators at the stock exchange, where gold shares have soared as a result of the Persian Gulf crisis. One speculator suggests to the other that they should pray that the crisis continues! One says he is fearful that peace might break out in the Middle East! One economic journalist gleefully writes that soaring share prices and relatively high wool prices are the result of rising oil prices, ". . . the world's loss is our gain", comments the journalist.

THE COMMONPLACE MIND

"The characteristic of the hour is that the commonplace mind, knowing itself to be commonplace, has the arrogance to proclaim the rights of the commonplace and to impose them wherever it will.... The mass crushes beneath it everything that is different, everything that is excellent, qualified and select. Anybody who is not like everybody runs the risk of being eliminated. And it is clear, of course, that this 'everybody' is not 'everybody'. 'Everybody' was normally the complex unity of the mass and the divergent specialised minorities. Nowadays, 'everybody' is the mass alone. Here we have the formidable fact of our times, described without any concealment of the brutality of its features."

Ortega y Gasset in *The Revolt of the Masses*.

A CENTURY AND A HALF AGO

"It is no longer necessary, except through ignorance, that 'man should earn his bread by the sweat of his brow', for the inventions and discoveries which have been matured and which are now in full practice are more than sufficient, with very light labour, under a right direction, to supply the wants and insure the independence of all without real injury to any". — Robert Owen in 1829.

CENTRALISATION AND CONSTITUTION

The first issue of a new weekly, *The New American*, Belmont, Mass., 30th September 1985, contains a review by Dr. Charles A. Moser (Professor of Slavic Studies at George Washington University in Washington, D.C., and editor of *Combat on Communist Territory*) of a book by M. E. Bradford. The reviewer, in this article entitled "Remembering the Republic's Roots", writes:

"*Remembering Who We Are* is a selection of fifteen articles and addresses by M. E. Bradford, an eminent American historian who is a professor at the University of Dallas . . .

"Several of the most important of these pieces deal with the question of just what the Founders of our nation and the Framers of the Constitution of 1787 believed they had created. Bradford also examines just what sort of nation these men did call into existence during that period of intrigue, which would explode into the French Revolution of 1789.

"He believes that the American and French Revolutions were entirely different. The Fathers of our country, he says, did not claim the 'metaphysical privilege of founders', that is, the right to establish something entirely unprecedented in human society. The Framers made no religion of political equality, they were not committed to 'perfectionism and its twin, the envious and cowardly dream of uniformity', as Bradford phrases it: nor did they at all insist upon 'mandatory brotherhood' of the sort, which drove fomenters of the French Revolution.

"Instead, he argues, they worked to restore as far as possible the legitimate social system which had existed before the advent of the King's tyranny and the outbreak of the Revolutionary War. They thought that the political structure they were establishing should not only be limited, but should protect and preserve the established social order, the already existing reality, and the traditions handed down from previous generations and derived for the most part from English practice. As the Founders debated at the Constitutional Convention and in the several states, their 'highest authority' was the appeal to 'experience' and to history, a discipline in which many of them were exceedingly well versed.

"In short, Bradford maintains, the founding of the American Republic was a profoundly conservative undertaking, in which already existing states entered into an agreement with one another to form a 'more perfect union' for the purposes of protecting liberty within an established social order. That agreement, once made, could also be abrogated if it ceased to be advantageous to all parties involved. That was the way the South — and indeed most of the country — regarded the Union until 1860.

"Of course, even while the Constitution was being written, there existed some philosophical radicals yearning for 'equality' in the French spirit who wished to establish an entirely new political entity. Thomas Paine was one of these. Seeking to be a citizen of the world, he went to France after the French Revolution. Eventually, he was arrested for ideological deviation and might have been executed had he not successfully appealed to the principles of the American Revolution and the advantages of American citizenship.

"But the 'refounders' persisted until finally, as Bradford sees it, they found their champion in Abraham Lincoln. The Civil War resolved the question of the political structure. The Union was not a compact among semi-sovereign states from which they could withdraw, but instead a unified entity with its own philosophical and ideological commit-

ments — a whole different from the sum of its parts.

"*Remembering Who We Are* concludes with Bradford's most controversial single work, 'The Lincoln Legacy: A Long View'. In this essay, he not only advances his interpretation of Lincoln as the man who successfully 'refounded' the Union on centralized principles, but he also draws up an indictment of Lincoln as a wartime President. He sees Lincoln as a man who seriously abused his powers as President, who helped to bring on the war to begin with, who needlessly prolonged it for his own political purposes, and who cloaked his dubious arguments in the rhetoric of morality. This indictment is written in a harsh tone — a tone quite out of keeping with that of the other essays in the collection — and it will offend the vast majority of Americans who have simply absorbed the view of Lincoln as martyr-President. That view, of course, is embodied in the Daniel Chester French Lincoln Memorial in our nation's capital, very possibly the most impressive monument of a statesman to be found anywhere in the world. But scholarship should deal with historical truth, not with images, and we certainly should not be forbidden to examine even as strongly held a consensus as what is believed about Lincoln. Bradford knows, however, what a price he has paid for his intellectual courage.

"... M. E. Bradford is a man of the intellectual periphery, limited on the whole merely to mounting, brief forays into the citadels of the intellectual establishment from his base in Dallas. A first-rate scholarly writer with a stimulating mind, his 'southern Conservatism' and his thoroughgoing rejection of the prevailing intellectual orthodoxy make it impossible for him to be accepted in our greatest universities. And, if he should by chance gain admission to one, he would soon be driven forth again . . .

"It may well be that the tradition which Bradford exemplifies in this book will one day become the most powerful one in our culture, and eventually point the way to the restoration of true intellectual and political liberty across the globe."

—DR CHARLES A. MOSER

(Article quoted by permission.)

"THE FABIAN SOCIALIST CONTRIBUTION"

by Eric D. Butler

A small supply of Eric Butler's little classic, "The Fabian Socialist Contribution to the Communist Advance", has been discovered. With a nationwide interest in the Fabian movement, the result of League activities, this book is an excellent introduction.

Order from all League addresses. \$3.00 posted.

PROFESSOR WALKER'S BOOK AVAILABLE

Professor Walker's book on the Swiss constitutional system, and how it could be applied in Australia, also answering objections, is available from all League bookshops or from League addresses.

"INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM:

THE PEOPLE'S LAW"

Price: \$15.00 posted.

Printed and Published by The Australian League of Rights,
145 Russell Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3000.