

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

VOL. 52, No. 6.

Registered by Australia Post-Publication No. VBH 1001

JUNE 1988.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

THE ISRAEL-SOVIET-AUSTRALIAN CONNECTION

by Eric D. Butler

On Tuesday, May 17, Prime Minister Robert Hawke of Australia received the first Human Rights award of the Australian Institute of Jewish Affairs. The President of the World Jewish Congress, Mr. Edgar Bronfman, presented the award to Mr. Hawke for his efforts on behalf of Soviet Jewry. Present were the fifteen Jews from the Soviet Union granted permission to leave the Soviet Union in a dramatic message to Mr. Hawke as his plane was about to leave Moscow after his Soviet visit early in August of last year. Gorbachev's message to Prime Minister Hawke was nicely timed to ensure the maximum publicity impact — both for himself and for Mr. Hawke. The announcement was a diplomatic triumph for the Australian Fabian Prime Minister.

The Hawke visit to Moscow must be considered in conjunction with the visit to Australia in March of last year, by Soviet Foreign Minister Shevardnadze, an open indication of Moscow's intention to project itself more vigorously into the Pacific area. The Soviet Foreign Minister brought soothing words about the prospect of closer trade and diplomatic links. On his Moscow visit, Mr. Hawke was accompanied by a business delegation, representative generally of those who believe that it is possible to participate in the proposed joint economic ventures linked with Gorbachev's "restructuring" programme. Several agreements, concerning sporting activities, trade, health and medicine and space research co-operation were signed during the Hawke visit, all paving the way for a development of that spirit of internationalism so closely related to the building of the New International Economic Order.

"MAN OF THE YEAR"

As 1987 came to a close the media performances of Gorbachev had made him "the man of the year", *The Age*, Melbourne, of December 21, reporting that "Britons have voted the Soviet leader, Mikhail Gorbachev, as the man they admire most." Gorbachev had made an enormous impact in the USA. *The Australian* of November 30, 1987, quoted Prime Minister Hawke as having said in Singapore, on his way back to Australia from Moscow, that on the basis of Gorbachev's promised "reforms", "I think we have a responsibility, very cautiously, to assume that he means the things he is talking about", and then going on to say, "I do believe.... that we have the obligation to try to co-operate if we can....the West would be denying its responsibilities to merely make assessment about the Soviet Union on the basis of past performance."

Mr. Hawke was also reported to have said in Singapore that the Soviet Union under Gorbachev was presenting "a more imaginative and subtle diplomacy in the world and particularly in our region", and that he would welcome "constructive Soviet political and economic involvement in the Asia-Pacific". If Gorbachev read Mr. Hawke's statements, it is not surprising that he spoke in glowing terms about him to President Reagan.

There is, of course, overwhelming evidence to suggest that Gorbachev is, as an avowed Marxist-Leninist, really adapting his tactics to meet a changing situation, one in which he requires massive credits from the West and more economic expertise. The Reagan Administration has already proposed that the Soviet Union be permitted to join the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund and the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. These proposed moves are all evidence that the promoters of the World State concept are moving more openly to merge the economies of the West and the East. As a strong advocate of the establishment of the New International Economic Order, and

with a domestic policy designed to fit Australia into that Order, it is hard to believe that Mr. Hawke does not understand the significance of what is taking place.

Visiting Romanian Communist leader, Nicolae Ceausescu, who arrived in Australia early in April, was, in spite of his murderous record, even by Communist standards, warmly welcomed, although the media generally played down the visit. There was a sickening example of double standard in *The West Australian*, which had to concede that Communist Romania had a bad human rights record, but that, in essence, business was business. Ceausescu was in Australia to arrange for greater access to Australia's raw materials. This type of argument is never used in discussing South Africa. Not without significance, advertisements concerning the Romanian leader praised not only his great cultural and other achievements, but also his strong support for

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the state.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions — private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) Laws, against politics of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

the New Economic Order. When, for tactical reasons only, Moscow broke off diplomatic relations with Israel following the 1967 war in the Middle East, followed by the other Soviet bloc nations, Romania pursued what appeared to be an independent line.

Ceausescu may not be a major player in the bigger international power game, but there is little doubt that he understands the nature of the game.

Top strategists in the International Zionist movement fully understand why Australia is emerging as a major factor in the global programme, and they are pre-positioning themselves for future developments. For a number of reasons, including its vast natural resources and relationship to Asia, Australia is emerging as an area of great strategic importance. Its position can be compared with that of South Africa, another area of great strategic importance, whose present plight cannot be realistically discussed without the role of influential Jews, both domestically and internationally, in shaping South African politics. Zionist influence has been growing in Australia for many years, transcending party politics, as witnessed by the Melbourne celebration on May 17, when Opposition leader John Howard joined in the eulogy of Prime Minister Hawke and made it clear that he was also dedicated to the Zionist cause. Perhaps the most significant event of the Melbourne function was the phone hook-up with Moscow, where, for some unexplained reason, former Prime Minister Malcolm Fraser was present at the Australian Embassy with a number of Soviet Jews who have not, as yet, been given exit visas. Once described as perhaps Australia's first Jewish Prime Minister — Fraser's mother is Jewish — Mr. Fraser was appointed by Mr. Hawke as part of the international team working to try to destabilise South Africa, and has recently been openly eulogistic about the Hawke government.

THE ROLE OF ARMAND HAMMER

The permission of a selected number of Jews to leave the Soviet Union — but not Christians — is not unrelated to that higher international diplomacy which is rarely mentioned by the media. From the time of the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917, Jewish international financiers have been prominent in sustaining the Soviet Union. No international Zionist campaign has ever been suggested to apply the type of trade sanctions, which, as Solzhenitsyn has said, would have quickly brought the Soviet to its knees. Consider, for example, the role of Armand Hammer, the man who has helped every Soviet administration from the time of Lenin. It was Hammer who obtained for Zionist Israel a typical KGB forgery purporting to identify John Demjanjuk as "Ivan the Terrible" of Treblinka concentration camp in Poland.

Hammer provided the KGB card in December 1986, following a visit to the Soviet where he discussed arms controls and other issues with the Soviet leaders. Hammer played a major role in arranging the Iceland Summit and the exchange of the Soviet spy Kakharov for the imprisoned US reporter Nicholas Danilof. Hammer was also involved in the disastrous Reagan decision concerning an arms control policy, which has sent a clear signal to the peoples of Western Europe that their future is in peril.

Even the Zionist propagandists do not claim that more than a limited number of the big Jewish population in the Soviet Union is pressing to leave. Stories of Soviet discrimination against Jews, denying them access to higher education and positions of influence, are grossly exaggerated, as witnessed by the fifteen Jews present at the Melbourne rally. Their backgrounds as outlined in *The Australian Jewish News*, of May 13, show that they have all held senior professional, scientific and engineering positions. This confirms all available evidence concerning the relatively well-placed situations of the Soviet Jewish community.

The movement of a few Jews out of the Soviet must be assessed in the context of the bigger global situation. The much-publicised top Jewish scientist, Sakharov, who helped to develop the Soviet's nuclear programme, and whom Gorbachev permitted to leave the Soviet last year, has said, "It is in the interest of the West that these reforms (by Gorbachev) should succeed so that the Soviet Union can be a more stable partner. The West

must not try to corner the Soviet Union. A cornered nation is always dangerous." This must sound like music in the ears of Gorbachev and the international power groups. World Jewish Congress leader Edgar Bronfman told Sam Lipski in Melbourne the day after the Melbourne rally, that there were hopeful signs that the Soviet Union will soon introduce "quite startling improvements" in the cultural and religious conditions of Soviet Jews. Soviet "anti-Semitism" has not prevented Bronfman from moving freely in and out of the Soviet. It would be instructive to learn just how many of the products of the Bronfman multinational liquor empire are sold in the Soviet Union.

FROM MOSCOW TO MELBOURNE

Only recently Bronfman was in Moscow, conferring with Soviet Foreign Minister Shevardnadze, then on to Israel before flying in his private plane to Melbourne for the big presentation to Prime Minister Hawke. In the report of his Melbourne interview with Bronfman, reported in *The Australian Jewish News* of May 20, Sam Lipski quotes Bronfman as saying that some Soviet officials were even talking about a "joint-venture" between Western Jews and Soviet Jews for the establishment of a community centre in Moscow.

But while the Zionist rally was taking place in Melbourne, there was growing disquiet among Australians of Eastern European background. Their disquiet has grown as the Hawke government makes clear its intention to stage "War Crimes" trials in Australia. The proposed trials have the enthusiastic support of both the Zionists and the Communists. The first initiative came from the Communists, following a similar pattern in the USA., where John Demjanjuk was first accused of being a war criminal in 1975 by Michael Hanusiak, publisher of the Communist journal *News From The Ukraine*. It was following a pilgrimage to the Ukraine that Hanusiak slandered Demjanjuk on the basis of Soviet military intelligence. It was the Jewish director of the Office of Special Investigations of the US Justice Department, Mr. Neil Sher, who was one of these who carried forward the campaign against Demjanjuk. The same Neil Sher has been recently hosted in Australia by the Zionists, and has expressed his admiration for the manner in which the Australian "Nazi hunters" are pursuing their activities. The Attorney General's Department says that the number of people being investigated has risen from 70 to 500.

The shape of things to come has been indicated by the manner in which parliamentary privilege can be abused to slander innocent people. The Victorian Left-wing Member of the Labor Party, Lewis Kent, a Jew from Yugoslavia who changed his name by deed poll from Bata Kijakovic to Lewis, slandered Father Josip Kasic, a highly respected Priest of the Croatian Catholic community in Melbourne, by charging in the Federal Parliament on November 29, 1987, that "Josip Kasic was roaming the city and the countryside with bloodthirsty Ustashi bands killing Serbs, Jews, Gypsies and Croats who were suspected of opposing the fascist regime."

Father Kasic was 17 years of age when the Germans invaded Yugoslavia, and for the next three years was a student, matriculating in 1944 at the age of 20. He then enrolled for theological studies and was exempted from military service for the next five years. But he was conscripted into the Croatian Army in 1944, and retreated with his unit into Austria at the conclusion of the war in the face of the Soviet advance. He suffered for six years in prison under Communist Tito. The allegations against other "war criminals" are just as flimsy as those levelled against Father Kasic.

LAUDING THE AUSTRALIAN NAZI HUNTERS

In view of Mr. Neil Sher's own close associations with the Soviet bloc nations, it is not surprising that he lauds the efforts of Australia's senior "Nazi hunter", Mr. R.F. Greenwood Q.C., who has reluctantly had to admit that back in September of last year, before even a War Crimes Bill had been presented to parliament, he was already in touch with the Senior Prosecutor of War Crimes in the Soviet Union, Madame N. Kolesnikov, concluding an agreement with her concerning coming war crime trials in Australia. Greenwood made another visit to the Soviet bloc late in

December of last year, concluding agreements with the Yugoslav and Hungarian governments. As yet unanswered, is how did an Australian public servant, with no diplomatic status, conclude agreements with foreign governments? Like Sher in the United States, Greenwood presumably feels that anyone operating with the approval of the Zionist-Communist combination can do as one likes.

On the eve of the Melbourne Zionist rally, Australia had another overseas visitor, on a mission directly related to the role of Zionist Israel in advancing the cause of "justice", a term which Zionist leaders frequently use as they urge the necessity for more "War Crimes" trials. This visitor was Edward Nishnic, the son-in-law of John Demjanjuk. Mr. Nishnic, seeking support from the Ukrainian community for a challenge to the US Office of Special Investigations, was also presenting evidence that the Israelis had sentenced his father-in-law to death on faked evidence provided by the Soviet Union. Zionist leader Isi Leibler had previously warmly applauded the conviction of John Demjanjuk and, when confronted most competently by Nishnic on television, insisted that Demjanjuk had received a fair trial, claiming that the American Justice Department had accepted that Demjanjuk was, in fact, "Ivan the Terrible".

It was the same Office of Special Investigations, which was responsible for the action against the Polish born refugee, Walus, against whom some of the most fantastic charges were made, one being that this pint-sized man, a mere youth at the time of the Second World War, had actually been a Nazi General. He was also charged with having been responsible for atrocities against Jews. During the USA trial, witnesses were flown in from Israel and claimed, under oath, that they identified Walus. This unfortunate man would, like Demjanjuk, have finished in Israel if it had not been for the provision of irrefutable evidence that he had, because of his size, been assigned to agricultural activities during the war. Zionist leaders like Leibler demonstrate a significant reluctance to question Soviet-manufactured evidence against those being charged with war crimes.

Those directing the international campaign against President Waldheim of Austria took the opportunity of the Melbourne Zionist rally to increase the pressure by claiming that Waldheim was responsible for the murder of an Australian soldier. The "evidence" presented was flimsy, but sufficient to create the type of climate desired for the "War Crimes" investigations in Australia. And, of course, there was the demand that Australia should never have any association with Waldheim. The new charges against Waldheim were made in Melbourne by the executive director of the World Jewish Congress, Mr. Elan Steinberg. A "recently discovered" photo shows Waldheim in a group, including General Alexander Loehr, was provided for the Australian media. Loehr was hanged for war crimes in 1947. Waldheim's "crime" is that he, a junior lieutenant, was once photographed with a General allegedly responsible for war crimes. Waldheim's wartime record was known before he was appointed Secretary General of the United Nations, with the backing of the Soviet Union. If there are any aspects of that record which Waldheim was uncomfortable about, then this obviously made him vulnerable to a subtle form of blackmail.

Waldheim is discovering that, having served his purpose, he can now be used in an on-going strategy designed, not merely to destroy Waldheim, but to advance the Zionist-Communist global strategy. The maintenance of a Pharisaical hatred is a feature of this strategy. *The Age*, Melbourne, of May 19, quotes World Jewish Congress president Bronfman, who had presented a 64-page document on Waldheim to a Melbourne press conference, as saying in an interview with Kevin Childs, that "I'm not sure that we're getting any closer to resolving anything about Waldheim". Childs comments, "In the pursuit of Waldheim, it seems that there is a larger target, no less than Austria with its history of embracing Nazism." Bronfman knows that historically there has been a strong anti-Jewish feeling in Austria, although a Jewish leader like Kreisky was supported because of his strong anti-Zionist and anti-Israel stand, Kreisky once charged Simon Wiesenthal, the much-publicised "Nazi hunter" as a charlatan who had actually collaborated with the Nazis.

Bronfman's *Age* interview reveals that the Jewish leader has a special dislike of South Africa, "not as murderous" as

Nazi Germany, but in "the same ball park." Bronfman refuses to meet with Pinochet of Chile or the Generals who once ran Argentina. He has met with Castro of Cuba, believing that as "relationships between the United States and the Soviet improve it may become possible in the next few years to rescue Cuba from its terrible mess."

GREATEST RUSSIAN SINCE PETER THE GREAT

As for Mr. Gorbachev, Bronfman is full of praise: "I think that this is probably the greatest man who has come on the scene in the Soviet Union, maybe since Peter the Great. What he is trying to accomplish is extraordinary." What Edgar Bronfman is saying, is that what Mr. Gorbachev is attempting to achieve has the enthusiastic support of International Zionists like himself. Like the "restructuring" taking place in countries like Australia, the "restructuring" of Gorbachev is designed to prepare the way for the proposed establishment of the New International Economic Order. Centralised control of finance remains a key factor in the unfolding programme to create the World State.

While there are several major manifestations of the will to power on a global scale, Zionism holds a central position. Gorbachev, the dedicated Marxist-Leninist, concedes this. Prime Minister Bob Hawke, the Fabian Socialist, agrees. The paralysis of will resulting from Zionist influence may be judged by the fact that irrespective of the label of Western politicians, not one dares to question the legal, still less the moral right of the Zionist State of Israel, born of violence and terror in 1948, to stage show trials like that of John Demjanjuk. Like an echo from a past when British law was a reflection of practical Christianity, it has been left to Lord Denning, a former Master of the Rolls, to challenge the right of Israel to conduct trials concerning alleged events which took place before Israel existed. And the Demjanjuk trial was being conducted in a carnival atmosphere, with a former member of the terrorist Stern gang acting as the chief Israel judge, while at the same time Israeli troops were brutally oppressing Palestinian refugees deprived of their rightful heritage.

Zionist arrogance is a manifestation of the deadly Messianic Chosen Race myth. But it is this very arrogance, which is already starting to produce a number of developments, which have not been foreseen. The crucifixion of John Demjanjuk, and the moves to establish "War Crimes" trials throughout at least the English-speaking world, and an open nexus between Communist leaders and the Zionists, with Israel being forced into ever more violent policies in an attempt to control a growing Palestinian population, is already starting to produce an alliance between different groups which are becoming aware of the reality of an on-going programme.

UNRELATED EVENTS

And there are those unrehearsed events, which cannot be controlled. Who would have thought that a politician like Canada's Minister for External Affairs, Mr. Joe Clark, would send shock waves through the Zionists' ranks when he said the Canadian public had had enough of Israeli violence against the Palestinians? But even more astonishing, and perhaps a type of omen for the future, was a badly soured note during the Melbourne Zionist rally on May 17. Prime Minister Bob Hawke, the man who once said he wished he had been born a Jew, the hero of the evening, inserted a warning to Israel in his address, telling his audience that Israel could not continue with its oppression of the Palestinians. Considering the occasion, it was not surprising that when Mr. Hawke compared the plight of the Palestinians under the Israelis with the plight of the Jews in the Soviet Union, there was what Mr. Isi Leibler described as a feeling of profound distress among the predominantly Jewish audience.

Zionist leaders were quick to respond, and Prime Minister Hawke may be forced to retreat from his statements. But the damage has been done. And so the man permitted his moment of glory thanks to Soviet leader Gorbachev, has muffed his lines and unconsciously made his contribution to a rising anti-Zionist backlash right around the world. As the Soviet-Israel-Australia connection becomes clearer so will the resistance to that connection become stronger. The renewed attacks on the League of Rights are not unrelated to the growing importance of Australia in the battle for the world.

THE NEW BARBARIANS

The following article appeared in the February 8 issue of "Western Report", Alberta, by Ted Byfield, under the heading "The Gates Have Been Open But the Barbarian Hasn't Won".

For any Canadian who thinks there is something beyond mere carbon and water in what we call a human being, anyone who has concluded that humanity did not come about by accident but was made, anyone who believes that we were intended to behave in some ways and not in others, and that right and wrong are therefore not concepts we can invent for ourselves — for anyone who tends to think in this way, whether Muslim, Jew, Christian, humanist, or nothing more specific than a civilised man or woman, this has been a very bad week.

We should be altogether clear about what has happened. Barbarism has returned. But this time the barbarian did not come, as he did before, defeating us in the field, overpowering us on the walls, slaughtering us in the streets, burning, smashing, bludgeoning and looting as of old. Today's barbarian works very differently. He carries a doctorate in social philosophy. He speaks gently. He is "concerned." He is "caring". He "feels". He takes the city, not by scaling the walls, but by invading the schools; not by capturing the king, but by captivating the media. And he prevails, not by inducing the treacherous to open the gates, but by inducing the Supreme Court to open them. He's really a very fine fellow, he tells us, and hardly barbaric at all.

Don't believe it. If you consider for an instant what he thinks, says, and does, you will recognize him for what he has always been, hateful as ever of God and all things good, ready to seize what he wants and wreck everything else.

He has acquired a veneer, of course. Where before he grunted "gimme", and grabbed, today he speaks righteously of his "quest for self-actualization", and grabs. Where before he butchered children in their beds, today he butchers them in their wombs. Where before he wrecked the monuments it took a thousand years to build, today he wrecks the schools it took a thousand years to develop. Where before he destroyed art with a broad axe so that it could never again be seen, today he destroys it with a philosophy so that it can never again inspire. The old barbarian skinned the traitorous priests alive and hung them out as spectacles for jeering and ridicule, though they were too dead to know it. The new barbarian runs them for public office, and hangs them out as spectacles for jeering and ridicule, though they're too dumb to know it. In one terrible and telltale way, however, both old and new agree. The old barbarian denounced morality as worthy only of simpletons and fools. The new barbarian concurs. Where before we had the Mongol, today we have the Morgantaler, and the numbers of the dead are near the same.

The decision of what will be known to history as "the Abortion Court" is a "blow" to the pro-life movement, the media inform us. That it is a blow to humanity in general and to any sane concept of civilization, the current incumbents of our great editorial chairs have not yet figured out. It is, says the *Edmonton Journal*, a "highly emotional" issue. This in itself reveals how far behind the media lag. While the reaction to what is occurring is certainly emotional, very little emotion enters the issue itself. It can be decided quickly and with scientific certainty by answering a few simple questions.

Ought one human being have, as a matter of unchallengeable right, the exclusive "choice" of killing or not killing another human being?

The answer of all civilized societies: No.

What is the starting point of a human being?

The only possible answer: The point at which it acquires individuality, becomes either male or female, has hair, eyes and skin of a given colour, an endowed intelligence, a particular physical strength and form, an appetite, a way in which he or she will walk and talk, a certain way of smiling and a certain way of weeping, an age at which it will more likely to turn into an adult, and a time at which it will most likely die.

When is that decided?

The answer of all genetic science is now unchallenged and

unequivocal: It is decided at the instant of conception. The fertilized egg is like a computer chip. The genetic "program" to produce the person is already there.

Killing a fetus is therefore not merely dispensing with human waste. It is destroying a particular boy or girl, of particular characteristics, of particular potential for good or ill. What gets dumped into the garbage of abortion mills day in and day out is not a load of protoplasm. It is the remains of thousands of people. That is what science is saying, and it is saying it with clinical and unemotional accuracy.

The emotion arises, as well it should, out of the implications.

If you are a doctor who does this for a living, you have a great deal to be emotional about. You cannot undo what you have done. But you can, as more and more doctors are doing, renounce such a practice as unworthy of your profession, and work henceforth to save as many lives as you have taken.

If you are a woman who did this because it threatened her career, or a mother who urged it upon her daughter, or a young man who countenanced it because he was not man enough to shoulder the consequences of his own actions, then an emotional reaction is altogether called for. Let it lead you to lead others to never do such a thing as you have done.

And if you are a plain thinking citizen, trying to sort out what this whole issue is about, then think about these questions. What kind of a society will we have if being an adult of working age qualifies us to take away the life of anyone who gets in our way and isn't strong enough to stop us? Who comes next? The newborn baby? The cripple? The aged? Who will be the Morgantaler to champion the butchering of these? And what set of erudite judges will give him a *carte blanche* to proceed?

The barbarian is within the gates because people of little wisdom and less imagination have opened them to him. But he has not won the city, and we should fight him for every house and every square. But we need remember we beat him the last time, not by force of arms but by force of words. In the end he joined us. We have a great deal of work to do.

AN INSPIRING BI-CENTENARY PROJECT

Perhaps not quite as grandiose as many Bi-Centenary projects, but the special project by The Australian Heritage Society, special division of the Australian League of Rights, will be treasured in the future by many generations of Australians.

Each quarterly issue for 1988 will be dealing with a separate aspect of heritage by outstanding writers.

The first issue, dealing with Australia's spiritual heritage, has already created widespread enthusiastic comment. Several have said that Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs' article, "Australia's Christian Heritage" is itself worth the price of the journal.

There is a message from the Anglican Dean of Perth, the Very Rev. David Robarts, a most penetrating article by Professor J.D. Frodsham of the School of Humanities, Murdoch University, Perth, a fascinating article on "The First Fleet Re-enactment", and much more.

And how appropriate to have outlined some of the wisdom of Aboriginal leader, the Rev. Cedric Jacobs, M.B.E.

There is a brilliant piece by a young Australian, Roger Foreman. And Australian poet Nigel Jackson contributes an appropriate poem, "The Canberra Pilgrims."

The full text of the Australia Day address by Prince Charles.

The first issue may be obtained for \$5. But an annual subscription, which will ensure receiving all four issues, is \$15.

No other group or organisation has produced anything like this for the Bi-Centenary.

Order from The Australian Heritage Society, Box 7400, Cloisters Square, Perth, W.A.6000.

ANZACS DO NOT NEED POLITICAL UNION

As the Closer Economic Relations (CER) five-yearly review between Australia and New Zealand drew closer for June, there was a spate of propaganda in favour of a political, as well as economic union of the two countries. *The Bulletin*, Sydney, devoted considerable space in its issue of April 11 to discuss the union issue.

Led by veteran Governor and Premier Sir George Grey, New Zealand was represented at the 1891 National Constitutional Convention held in Sydney. The dominating figure was the man generally described as the Father of Federation, New South Wales Premier Sir Henry Parkes. Parkes made an impassioned appeal for the establishment of "a united Australasia." The New Zealanders remained unmoved, Grey's colleague Captain Russell bringing discussions to a close with his announcement that there were "1200 good reasons" why New Zealand should not join the proposed Federation — the 1200 miles between Australia and New Zealand. The same type of argument was responsible for the reluctance of Western Australia to join, a reluctance, which found voice during the Great Depression when West Australians voted to leave the Federation. A slumbering secession feeling has lived on in Western Australia.

While shortsighted businessmen in Australia and shortsighted farmers in New Zealand, see the CER programme merely as one of Free Trade, others see that this could be followed by taxation uniformity and then a single currency, followed by a Customs Union. The global planners see the establishment of an Australia-New Zealand Common Market, leading to political union, as an essential first step towards fitting this into a proposed Pacific Common Market, this an essential step towards creating a World State.

Even the advocates of this proposed centralisation agree that there are considerable problems — probably the major one being the "parochialism" of the New Zealanders, who realisti-

cally sense that if they were reduced to the same level as the Australian States, they would lose what control they have over their own affairs. It has been argued that if New Zealand were offered the equivalent of two Australian States in the Senate, this might prove attractive. But any increased centralisation must inevitably lead to greater centralised bureaucracy. Both Australia and New Zealand are already cursed with excessive bureaucracy.

It was during the Great Depression that New Zealanders offered a serious threat to the Credit Monopolists at the 1935 elections, electing a Labor Government supported by the powerful New Zealand Social Credit Movement. As a sovereign nation, New Zealand was better equipped than was the Province of Alberta, with its more limited powers. The age-old art of subversion was used to set the New Zealand Labor government on the road to disaster, as outlined by A.N. Field in his classic work, *The Truth About New Zealand*.

In spite of the diversion of New Zealand Social Crediters into the minefields of party politics, there still remains a hard core of sympathy for Social Credit. Decentralisation is the only effective answer to centralisation and the New Zealanders and Australians will be better equipped to defeat their common enemy if they retain their separate identities.

Australians and New Zealanders first emerged on the world stage with their heroic efforts at Gallipoli. It was there that the ANZAC legend was born, a demonstration of unity while maintaining diversity. New Zealanders have, in relationship to their numbers, provided tremendous leadership in many fields, both in times of peace and in times of war. Their chance of still greater leadership would be lost in an Australian Federation.

Friendly rivalry, including that on the sporting field, between Australia and New Zealand is healthy in every way. Fortunately there is strong resistance to the centralisers in both countries. It should be encouraged in every way.

DR. MENGELE, CALL YOUR OFFICE

By Patrick J. Buchanan in "The Rock" (a journal for Anglican traditionalists) March 15. Edited and published by the Right Reverend R.C. Crawley, 10989 Hilsa Crescent, R.R/4 Ladysmith B.C. Canada. VOR 2 EO.

If memory serves, the abortion issue moved center stage in America's consciousness three decades ago. An Arizona woman who had taken thalidomide suspected the child she was carrying would be born deformed. Would it be wrong to have an abortion?

The country was divided; and the woman terminated the debate, and her pregnancy, by flying to Sweden for the abortion, then illegal throughout the United States.

What a distance we have travelled since that innocent age. Abortion has become the most common surgical procedure in America; 1.5 million are performed yearly, and 99% are done for social or economic reasons. Since 1973, more than 16 million of the unborn — roughly Australia's population — have been destroyed.

Now, America is advancing on a new frontier. Medical laboratories have discovered that the tissues of these unwanted babies, especially their brain cells, have wonderfully medical properties. Lo and behold, these tiny infants may be wanted after all — for their organs!

Fetal brain transplants, to cure Alzheimer's, Parkinson's disease, and other neural disorders, can become "to medicine what superconductivity is to physics," exults Dr. Abraham Lieberman of the NYU Medical Center. *The New York Times* with an uncommon enthusiasm, heartily concurs, "Some of the prospects are enticing."

So long as abortion remains legal, runs the argument, why not use the fetal organs gained thereby for social benefit? Yet, the argument is not far removed from that made by the German doctors of the early Reich, who said that since euthanasia was state policy, why waste the vital organs of its victims? From there, it is no great moral leap to picking gold out of the teeth of

Holocaust victims, because, after all, they won't be needing it anymore.

Doctors enthusiastic about capturing the fetal tissues of aborted infants find Holocaust analogies offensive and outrageous. But it all comes down to the same fundamental question - does it not? Is human life sacred, or is it not? If the fetus has no more inherent value than a removed appendix or a pair of tonsils, why not use fetal tissues for everything from organ transplants to improving the quality of facial creams? Sweden already uses most of its discarded fetuses for medical research; why not create a new industry of raising and fanning fetuses for their organs?

Growing fetuses for spare parts - I think it can be done," says Dr. Antonin Scommegna of Chicago's Michael Reese Hospital, "it's not a technical question; it's a moral question." Yes, and we all know how moral questions get decided these days, don't we?

As the best (i.e., the most useful) brain tissues are best taken, doctors contend, later in the pregnancy, when the fetus is more mature, how best to capture them for transplantation?

The obvious answer: Encourage the woman to postpone the abortion until her baby is more fully formed, then remove the fetus whole, rather than cut it up or kill it in the womb, so the organs can be carefully removed while still alive, intact, and useful.

To save something as delicate and fragile as brain cells, quite obviously, is going to require a high degree of co-ordination between the pregnant woman, her abortionist, and the medical researcher.

Dr. Jack Wilke, leader of the National Right-to-Life Committee, describes how, thanks to prostaglandin, a product of the Upjohn people, unborn babies are being literally "driven" out of the womb. "It induces hard-driving labor and delivers the baby at whatever stage of pregnancy it is given. The baby is usually killed by this process but is sometimes born alive. Such

'specimens' have been reported to have been immediately iced and their body temperatures cooled to preserve the tissues. The dead or not quite dead) baby has been hurried to the surgeon, who then removes the organs and transplants them into the adult recipients."

If, as Blackmun and Co. ruled from the high bench in 1973, the unborn child is the property of the mother, to be dispensed with, and disposed of, as she wishes, upon what ground do we stand to tell women they cannot conceive for pay, and abort for profit? What argument is left against the "farming" of fetuses? As biotechnology expert Emmanuel Thorne concludes, "Potential uses of fetal tissue make the temptation to conceive with the intent to abort almost inevitable."

The day of the abortionist entrepreneur may be at hand. Yuppie doctors, who not only get rich doing abortions, but do a lucrative side business, taking orders for the organs of the unborn children they have destroyed. What was it Shakespeare wrote, "O brave new world, That has such people in it."

The good news is, we are no longer on the slippery slopes; we have just about reached bottom. One is reminded again of the retort the old priest made to Whittaker Chambers, when the latter on his deathbed, was talking about the necessity to save the West.

"What makes you think the West is worth saving?"

TO THE POINT

The authentic voice of English Common Law was heard when the distinguished British jurist, Lord Denning, former Master of the Rolls, wrote to the London "Daily Telegraph" on April 28 challenging the right of the Zionist State of Israel to charge the Ukrainian-born American citizen of over forty years, John Demjanjuk, with alleged crimes committed long before the State of Israel existed. The show trial against Demjanjuk was not only a travesty of justice, as described by British historian Count Tolstoy, but an obscenity when a former member of the terrorist Stern gang, Levin, presided as the chief judge. The intervention of Levin's fellow terrorist, Israeli Prime Minister Shamir, in the case, merely added to a revolting performance. At least South Africa does have an independent judiciary, which conducts trials in a civilised manner. We hear nothing but a deafening silence on the Demjanjuk affair from those Church leaders so vociferous in criticising all things South African.

American writer Michael Hoffman makes the comment that if the Zionists continue to have their way, Calvary will soon be replaced with Auchswitz.

* * * * *

As pointed out by South African writer Ivor Benson, one of the many hoaxes of a hoax-ridden century, is that of the terrorist IRA, allegedly fighting to bring Northern Ireland under a sovereign, independent Ireland. Irish independence was a myth from the beginning, granted by the British on the worst possible terms. President De Valera knew about Social Credit, but is reported to have said that it would be disastrous to attempt to break the credit monopoly. Presumably he knew that the Republic remained subservient to the International Debt merchants. The people of Southern Ireland can enter Britain as freely as can the people of Northern Ireland, gain social welfare and vote in British elections. A recent report says that a staggering 20,000 Irish students are seeking places in British tertiary institutions.

The IRA is a Marxist-dominated organisation seeking to disguise itself as the defender of an Irish nationalism that is a myth. Large numbers of people of Irish background in the United States and elsewhere have been duped into supporting a Marxist movement completely alien to the best interests and traditions of the Irish people.

* * * * *

The history of mankind demonstrates that the traditional family is not only an association in which the young are best nurtured to become stable and responsible human beings; but that it is the most efficient irrespective of what standard of measurement is used. A full-time homemaker devotes at least as much time to her numerous activities, ranging from the preparation of food, childcare and washing, to the purchasing of goods and services, as does a woman working for wages. If the value of what is unpaid work were assessed in financial terms, full time housekeepers should be entitled to at least as much as their fellow women who work in factories, offices or elsewhere. If women were entitled to a social dividend, however moderate, as a right, large numbers of women would devote full time to their home and families with benefit to the whole community.

* * * * *

Australia's best-known and most popular historian, Professor Geoffrey Blainey, has won even more friends with his statement at a constitutional convention in Sydney, that Australian citizens who could not speak English should not be given the privilege of a vote. Professor Blainey pointed out that while the Federal government was talking about its one-vote-one-value proposals, it was demeaning the value of a citizen's vote. "People only have to be here two years and they don't have to speak English to gain citizenship and the right to vote." Professor Blainey went on to say that Australia had the most lax citizenship laws in the world and the value of a citizenship was treated "with contempt".

Responsible voting becomes increasingly difficult even for Australians who can speak English, bombarded with misleading propaganda, which they find hard to check. Those who

PATRICK WALSH FOR AUSTRALIAN TOUR

We are pleased to announce that Mr. Patrick Walsh, former undercover agent with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Research Director of the Canadian League of Rights, and consultant to a number of anti-Communist governments around the world, will be conducting a tour of Australia later this year.

Patrick Walsh is generally regarded as one of the top intelligence experts in the Western world, and will be providing an update on the state of the struggle for the world. His last work, *The Unholy Alliance*, documenting the close link between Political Zionism and revolutionary movements, has been a sensational best seller.

Patrick Walsh's Australian tour is in the planning stages only, although there is a firm booking for the Annual Sydney Regional Dinner on Tuesday, September 27. Mr Walsh will be the guest of honour at the Annual New Times Dinner on Friday, September 30.

Those interested in obtaining Patrick Walsh's services while in Australia are requested to contact the League's National Headquarters, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, as soon as possible.

cannot speak English are in an even worse position, relying upon interpreters employed by the parties. One of the results of multiculturalism is block voting by ethnic ghettos wooed with special promises by the party manipulators.

* * * * *

Once again the Gandhi government in India has brought to a bloody end a siege of the Golden Temple in the Punjab. But the moves against the Sikh fanatics has not ended the problems of continuing Sikh demands for self-government. Gandhi is one of the world's leading moralists when it comes to the problems of multi-racial South Africa, but has not solved the problems of multiculturalism in India. The Tamil problem in Sri Lanka festers away with a growing loss of life. The Malaysian government continues to grapple with the problems of the multi-racial State, recently attacking the Hawke government for what it regards as an attempt to interfere in Malaysia's internal affairs.

Perhaps the most harmonious multi-cultural society in the world is Switzerland, where power is so decentralised that the three major groups, the French, German and Italian speaking, have effective control over their own affairs, the Federal government exercising a minimum of power. And beyond this, the people are able to control their own affairs through the constitutional system of the Initiative, Referendum and Recall. True harmony in human affairs only comes through diversification.

* * * * *

Armand Hammer, long-time friend of the Soviet Union, and the man who provided Israel with the KGB document used to convict John Demjanjuk, has for some time also been engaged in helping the Chinese communists. This Jewish internationalist, along with other influential Jews who have played a vital role in preventing the Soviet from collapsing, never seems to incur the wrath of the Zionists. Hammer met Chinese Communist leader Deng Xiaoping some years back. The result was that Occidental Petroleum Corporation went into business with the Chinese Government to open up China's huge coal resources in the north-west. A huge export drive is under way into other Asian countries, including Japan. This must ultimately affect seriously Australian coal exports. If Australia were not locked into the export-or-perish nonsense, the loss of markets for coal could be regarded as an opportunity for Australia to conserve its natural resources for the future.

Reports from Latin America, the USA and Japan state that there is a growing fear that as internal trade barriers are reduced inside the European Common Market countries, they will be replaced by an external barrier designed to restrict imports, to create a "Fortress Europe". Mr. Itoshi, director of the London-based Nomura Research Institute, is quoted as saying, "If there was a recession and unemployment began to rise, the EC would be under enormous pressure to erect new trade barriers. This would generate a reaction from other trading nations and innumerable trade wars would be the result."

* * * * *

The never-ending drive towards centralisation and trade wars are the inevitable result of both a defect in the finance-economic system, and a philosophy, which insists that the purpose of an economic system is to keep everyone "fully employed" under central direction. The much publicised bigger market which it was alleged the EEC would produce, has not solved the basic problem of a deficiency of purchasing power, making it inevitable for the Common Market countries to seek to obtain a "favourable balance of trade", even if this means subsidising in various ways exports to the Soviet bloc.

Problems are not solved by making them bigger, which only make them more explosive. And, of course, create the impression that the ultimate solution is the World State.

* * * * *

Zola Budd, the South African girl driven out of running by the vicious campaign promoted by the international enemies of South Africa, has asked the question, "Why do they do this? If I were black, they wouldn't let it happen." Exactly. The simple little girl from Bloemfontein, South Africa, running record times in bare feet, captured the imagination of people everywhere. But she became the victim of an international campaign dominated by humbug and sickening double standards. The anti-Zola Budd campaign, conducted in the name of what passes for sport, has been yet one more demonstration of the sickness sweeping the world. The young girl whose great love, next to her family, was running, was eventually broken, "I never want to see another running track. Everything that has gone wrong in my life has been because of running. There's been so much unhappiness, so many people have been hurt, all because of my running."

MOSCOW AND THE VATICAN

The late Hamish Fraser, the former Scottish Communist leader who became a Roman Catholic convert recalled in his book *Fatal Star*, how as he joined the Church he was dismayed to find it starting to move away from social teachings which in principle were diametrically opposed to Marxism. Hamish Fraser's concern grew over the years, and in 1986 he wrote an Open Letter to Pope John Paul II expressing his deep alarm concerning a letter from the Pope to the Bishops of Europe.

Fraser wrote: "I must . . . respectfully remind Your Holiness that the arch-atheist V.I. Lenin himself pointed out that 'the preaching of atheism is superfluous and harmful' to the Communist Cause, and that involvement in Communist-inspired initiatives 'will convert Christian workers.... to atheism a hundred times more effectively than any bold atheistic sermons'.

"Alas! It was beyond even Lenin's wildest dreams that Catholic Churchmen would one day take the lead in urging their flock to participate in Communist-inspired initiatives, so that the latter half of the 20th century would see the emergence of a 'liberation theology' which is simply Revolutionary Marxism in a Christian guise."

Hamish Fraser went on to point out how the "Justice and Peace Commissions" and "Catholic Development Agencies" which were "foremost in mobilising Christian Support for Revo-

lutionary Marxist subversive movements which seek to destabilise key areas in the world such as South Africa, the Philippines, Central America, etc., the control of which is indispensable to the further expansion of Soviet imperialism."

Up until the time of Pope John's Vatican II Council, the Vatican had maintained a consistently firm anti-Marxist line. In 1937, for example, Pope Pius XI, in *Divini Redemptoris*, issued the following warning to the Bishops of the Church'

"Venerable brethren, Communism is intrinsically evil and therefore no one who desires to save Christian Civilisation from extinction should render it assistance in any enterprise whatever."

There was a marked change from this unequivocal stand with the 1962 Rome-Moscow Agreement. This journal was among those who warned that there were danger signs ahead. Regrettably the dangers have increased. The 1962 Agreement forbade even the discussion of Communism by the Bishops — and this at a time when the Communist threat was assuming major global proportions. The horrors of Communism in practice were widely known. But the subversives preached that it was "changing", becoming more "moderate". And there is little doubt that the weakening of the West's stand against the Soviet was assisting

the liberals inside the Roman Church.

In spite of the warnings of Solzhenitsyn and others, the current Soviet leadership's apparent "softening" of the official attitude towards the Christian Church has resulted in a new expectation at the Vatican, as witnessed by the Pope's naming of a large delegation, including 10 Cardinals, to attend the celebrations associated with the introduction of Christianity into Russia 1000 years ago.

The new-look Soviet leadership, headed by Michail Gorbachev, has suddenly become very enthusiastic about Christianity. But so did Stalin, in those critical days when the Nazi forces were sweeping towards Moscow. Stalin the realist knew it was futile appealing to the victims of Communism to fight to defend it. He brought in the Russian Orthodox leaders and made the same type of pro-Christian sounds that Gorbachev is making today. Stalin's war became one to defend "Holy Mother Russia". At the end of the conflict Stalin blatantly broke every pro-Christian promise he made. Over the years the official leadership of the Russian Orthodox Church has faithfully echoed the Kremlin's line, and has played a vital role in further weakening Christian resistance in the West.

Gorbachev is conducting a repeat-performance of the Stalin tactic. The sweeping anti-Stalin campaign now being conducted in the Soviet Union is all part of an act. Unless a miracle happens, the Vatican looks set to retreat once again in the face of an "intrinsically evil" force.

THE MODERN PHARISEES

By Al Benson in the August, 1987, issue of
"The National Educator"

"Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! For ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves." Those are the words of Jesus recorded for us in Matthew 23:15. With these words and many others, Jesus pointed out the hypocrisy of the Pharisees in Matthew 23. He noted that they did not do as they taught others to do. They thought of themselves as guides to the spiritually blind and they felt that any who would have knowledge of God must come to them for it. Their pride reached the point of conceit. They had pride in themselves and contempt for others (and still do)!

In his book, *"Heirs of The Pharisees"*, Jacob Petuchowski makes some revelatory statements. He writes, "The modern Jew is the heir of the Pharisees." He continues "The link which connects the modern Jew with the canonical literature of his Hebrew forebears is the religion of the Pharisees, the teaching of the scribes and sages who began their activity during the days of the second temple, and the result . . . has been preserved in the vast literature of the Talmud and in the very fabric of Jewish life." In light of such statements, can anyone honestly say that the old pharisaism Jesus so strongly condemned is dead? Indeed, it is alive and virulently well and continues on in our day as it did in Jesus' day.

As if to bear out what Mr. Petuchowski says, Reinhold Goetjen, writing in *"The Christian News"* for June 1st states, "The modern day followers of the Talmud are Pharisees according to the writers of their own rabbis. Louis Finkelstein, Professor of theology at the Jewish Theological Seminary of America wrote a book on the Pharisees in 1946 in which he stated, "Phariseeism became Talmudism, Talmudism became medieval rabbinism, medieval rabbinism became modern rabbinism. But through these changes in name . . . the spirit of the ancient Pharisee survives unaltered."

And, lest you think the Talmud is only the Jewish version of the Old Testament, as many mistakenly do, it is worth noting that a Christian who made a life study of the Talmud, said that there was not an Old Testament law which the Talmud, the tradition of the elders, did not nullify! Those who follow the Talmud and still claim to follow the teachings of the Old Testament must interpret the teachings of the O.T. in light of the

Talmud, which Jesus condemned. So the Pharisees, ancient and modern, have a body of "knowledge" that nullifies God's law and which stands condemned by Christ! And this is the basis on which they teach today!

How in good conscience can any Christian support what his Lord condemned? Yet, as we have shown in past articles, this is exactly what dispensational Christians of the Jerry Falwell variety support, along with their support for elitists like George Bush and Jack Kemp, etc!

Friends, I submit, we had best start taking a good long look at some of our "Christian" conservative leaders to find out just where they are leading us. That old cliché "support the Jews because they're the chosen people" just won't hold water anymore. Might I suggest you read the Gospel of Matthew carefully?

ANOTHER FABIAN BID FOR POWER

Following the famous Second World War referendum, in 1944, which was decisively rejected by the Australian people, the Fabian strategists headed by Dr. H.C. Coombs started to advance the concept of bringing Municipal Government within the influence of Canberra by direct Commonwealth funding. Fabian Gough Whitlam refined this tactic before the 1972 Federal elections, emerging as a man who appreciated the value of Local Government. And, of course, the promise of financial support for financially hard-pressed Municipalities won many votes.

The Hawke government's referendum proposals can only be assessed against the background of the Fabians' centralist philosophy.

Those who have followed the consistent attempts to obtain constitutional changes to increase the powers of the Central government, are aware of the different tactics tried to by-pass the consistent NO votes by Australian electors at referendums.

The misuse of the External Powers, committing Australia to international agreements and covenants, has become reasonably well known. But one of the most dangerous tactics, and the one with the greatest chance of success at the coming referendums, concerns Municipal Government. The proposal to have Local Government recognised in the Federal Constitution sounds innocent enough until the full implications are considered.

The broad Fabian strategy has been to press for the regionalisation of Municipal government - all in the name of "efficiency" — increasingly dependent on Canberra, with the State Government being by-passed. There has been tremendous resistance to this strategy, but it could be more successfully advanced if Municipal Government is formally accepted through an addition to the Constitution. Once again many local councillors are accepting the proposal without considering the deeper implications.

All four Constitutional amendments must be defeated and we anticipate a massive NO campaign right across Australia.

SOCIAL CREDIT TRAINING COURSE

The eight-lecture Social Credit Training Course is an orderly introduction to the subject of Social Credit. It is conducted by correspondence under a panel of competent Social Credit tutors. Lecture notes are provided and questions set for written answers. Those who have worked through the Course will testify to the fact that this has enabled them to be much more effective Social Engineers in their communities.

The cost of the Course is \$20, which, for Australian and New Zealand students, should be sent to Box 1052J., G.P.O., Melbourne, Australia, 3001.

Printed and Published by the Australian League of Rights,
145 Russell Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3000.