

# THE NEW TIMES

*"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"*

VOL. 52, No. 9.

Registered by Australia Post-Publication No. VBH 1001.

SEPTEMBER 1988.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

## CHRISTIAN MERCY OR JUDAIC JUSTICE?

*by Eric D. Butler*

"But mercy is above this scepter'd sway -  
It is enthroned in the heart of kings,  
It is an attribute to God himself;  
And earthly power doth then show likest God's  
When mercy seasons justice. Therefore, Jew,  
Though justice be thy plea consider this —  
That in the course of justice none of us  
Should see salvation ....." "

*Shakespeare in "The Merchant of Venice."*

**Impending War Crimes Trials in Canada, Australia, and possibly in the United Kingdom, the trial of Ukrainian-born American John Demjanjuk by the Zionist State of Israel, and the many statements by Jewish spokesmen attempting to justify these trials on the basis of justice, bring into sharp focus the fundamental cleavage between the Christian and the Judaic concept of law. While the reason for the Soviet's keen interest in these trials is readily easy to understand, what is the motive behind the insistence by International Jewry that the trials must be held?**

The answer is the same that Shylock gave to Portia in Shakespeare's famous play: "justice". Shylock was not interested in mercy or charity. "I crave the law", responded Shylock. In strict legal terms, it could be argued that Shylock the Jew had the law on his side. He reflected that Pharisaical concept of the Law, which Christ rejected: the letter of the Law killeth; it was the spirit of the law, which gave life. The attempted strict application of the law in the case of the threatened and indebted merchant of Venice would have resulted in his death.

### A NEW BARBARISM

Everyone who has directly participated in military conflict is painfully aware that in such conflict, particularly a conflict like the Second World War, they were compelled to engage in activities, which they would now prefer to forget. Unlike wars of the past, which were in the main fought with a minimum of involvement by civilians, and where there was some semblance of moral restraint resulting from the Christian influence, the Second World War involved whole populations, with women and children and civilians as much at risk as those in the armed services. Old-fashioned chivalry disappeared under a new barbarism.

A number of ex-airmen, both Allied and German, have stated that they are not proud of the fact that the nature of the Second World War forced them to kill civilians. The destruction of the German city of Dresden with an awesome destruction of civilians and refugees, destruction matching that of the two Japanese cities destroyed by nuclear bombs, was a blot on the Allied record and, if the Germans had won the war, and had held their own War Crimes Trials, those responsible for what was little short of the mass slaughter of civilians with no military purpose, would have no doubt been charged with "crimes against humanity".

The travesty of Nuremberg was that amongst the Judges were the Germans' former colleagues, the Soviet, who had played a decisive role in encouraging the conflict, and who themselves have been guilty of crimes completely dwarfing any of those charged against Nazi Germany. There is no suggestion that any

effort be made to discover and to try those Soviet officials still alive, responsible for the cold-blooded massacre of 10,000 Polish officers. Refugees from the Baltic States who managed to escape to the West after the Second World War, and who still recall vividly the dreadful treatment they suffered when the Soviet Red Army moved in as a result of the Hitler-Stalin Non-Aggression Pact, ask why there are to be no attempted trials of Soviet officials responsible for crimes against their people. Along with other Eastern European refugees, they are fearful that a Soviet

### OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions - private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) Laws, against politics of rape and waste,

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

Union responsible for untold crimes should now be accepted as a source of evidence against those who allegedly assisted the Nazis. They wonder on the meaning of justice when they see a John Demjanjuk tried on a document provided by the Soviet KGB, and personally brought to Israel by one of the most influential Jewish businessmen of the United States, Dr. Armand Hammer.

### WAR NOT NATURAL

War is an abnormal state of human affairs, and except in extremely primitive societies, killing and destruction is not readily engaged in. As C.H. Douglas has pointed out, if a vote had been taken of all those involved in the Two World Wars, the overwhelming majority would have voted against war. But war is only possible when forces greater than that of individuals are used to force them into conflict. The normal tendency at the conclusion of conflict is to revert to a more normal situation. At the end of the Napoleonic Wars, Napoleon was permitted to live his life out in peace on Elba. Even though the German Kaiser was blamed for the First World War, at the end of the conflict he was permitted to retire in peace. The fabric of Christian Civilisation had not been torn to the stage where the victors called for War Crimes Trials. While Sir Winston Churchill does not emerge with credit concerning the British government's treatment of Hess when he arrived in an attempt to make peace, he did reflect Christian values when he joined with others urging that Hess not be left in prison indefinitely. Other British leaders made a similar plea.

Along with the Soviet, Jewish spokesmen were prominent in insisting that the elderly and feeble Hess remain locked away from his family until he died. In an article after Hess had died, one Jewish writer, Nicholas Rothwell, argued that it was "justice" that Hess, at the end a near-blind old man, should be imprisoned. Like his colleague Hitler, Hess was an evil man in the sense that all men who exercise excessive power are evil, but like a host of Jewish writers, Rothwell paints the type of lurid picture of Hitler which diabolises Nazi Germany to the point where the greater and continuing evil of the Soviet Empire fades into insignificance.

### A NEW RELIGION

No one disputes that large numbers of people suffered during the Second World War, but Jewish spokesmen have sought to establish that the Jews have a monopoly of suffering. There is, according to the constant Jewish propaganda machine, only one Holocaust, that which allegedly happened at Auschwitz, Poland. Belief in the Jewish Holocaust is a type of new religion, with those even expressing doubts being assailed as "vile". Christians are constantly told that Christian "anti-Semitism" produced Hitler and the Holocaust. They are in essence being told to accept their guilt and to repent by accepting Auschwitz as the symbol of the greatest act of suffering in the history of the human race. Calvary is being replaced by Auschwitz. The rank and file of Jews is perhaps the main victims of this new religion, accepting that "justice" demands that all Second World War criminals be hunted down and tried. The spectacle of a Jewish mob cheering and demanding death when John Demjanjuk was found guilty in an Israeli court brings back memories of another mob, inflamed by the spiritual ancestors of today's Judaism, calling for the death of Christ.

### A BASIC CLEAVAGE

As pointed out by Jewish authorities like Rabbi Louis Finkelstein of the Jewish Theological Seminary of America, the religious system known as Judaism is an extension of Pharisaism. Christ's scorching words concerning Pharisaism are recorded in St. Matthew. The Pharisees are charged with having "shut up the kingdom of heaven against men", while "mercy" is listed as one of the "weightier matters" rejected by the Pharisees. Today's spiritual descendants still reject the freeing influence of love and mercy. One of the most debilitating influences on Christianity has been the "Judaean-Christian" myth. American Rabbi Howard Singer has echoed the views of many other Jewish authorities with his frank comment that all the talk about the "Judaean-Christian tradition was one of the most

successful public relations triumphs of the century".

Writing in *The Big Idea*, C.H. Douglas said, "It is necessary to face up to the fact of institutionalised Judaean-Christianity.... which is simply Liberal Judaism. I repeat my belief, not only that Christianity has not failed because it has not been tried, but that it has not been tried mainly because Judaean-Christianity has taken care that it should not be tried." But Western Civilisation was at least a partial incarnation of the values associated with the teachings of Christ. The elevation of the individual with the teachings of Christ. The elevation of the individual to the position where he was a creature made in God's image, with the Kingdom of God within, gave the human person significance unknown outside Europe. The Pharisaical concept of controlling people through a maze of codified regulations and decrees was swept away with the simple teaching that "perfect freedom" was to be obtained in God's service, with the acceptance and practice of the great Law of Love. It was respect for the value and uniqueness of every individual, which was the foundation of that English Common Law to which Portia was referring in the famous Shakespearean mercy speech.

Like the trial of John Demjanjuk, the coming wartime trials reject the Christian foundations of the Common Law. One prominent American Jewish supporter of war crime trials has lamented the fact that unless these trials are held soon, most of those they seek will have died. No Christian who believes in the Law of Love and mercy can feel comfortable with the spectacle of old men, some over 80, being subjected, along with their innocent families, to the ordeal of trials which must rely upon suspect evidence and hazy recollections of what witnesses thought happened nearly half a century ago. Countries like Australia and Canada, which allow themselves to be pressured by Zionist demands to conduct such trials, are betraying their own Common Law heritage. And for what purpose? Zionist spokesmen shout that there must be "justice". But many Zionist statements reveal that such trials are also part of a type of psychological war against Christianity.

### INDIVIDUALISM VERSUS COLLECTIVISM

The 1948 edition of *The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia* spells out the basic cleavage between Judaism and Christianity, observing "The very name Judaism clearly differentiates it from other religions. Whereas Buddhism centres in Buddha, and Christianity in Christ, Mohammedanism in Mohammed, Judaism centres in no one personality.... but in the Jewish people themselves." Judaism, being rooted in Pharisaism, elevates the collective over the individual. The *Universal Jewish Encyclopedia* comments on the "striking differences between Judaism and Christianity"; "Christianity centres its attention primarily upon the individual and upon his salvation. God's likeness is revealed for all times in the character of Jesus, who looms as the model of goodness and godliness for all Christians. In Judaism the centre of gravity is the Jewish people." The collectivist philosophy finds expression in the Chosen Race concept.

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* frankly admits that another basic difference between Judaism and Christianity concerns "grace" and "justice". Grace is defined as a Christian receiving the "unmerited love and favour of God.... the divine influence acting in man to make him pure and morally strong on the conditions of a special virtue granted to the Christian by God." This is rejected by Judaism, which defines Justice as "reward or penalty as deserved just desert." Loving one's enemies is alien to the philosophy of Pharisaism. The stress on "justice" merely masks the desire for vengeance. Douglas has described the philosophy of Judaism as that of the one-way street. While Zionist leaders call for countries like Australia to pass retrospective legislation to deal with alleged crimes of long ago, they defend the right of Zionist Israel, a State established by the ruthless dispossession of its original owners, the Palestinians, many of whom are Christians, to continue committing crimes against Palestinian refugees of the Israeli occupied West Bank and Gaza Strip.

### FORGIVING THE JAPANESE

No group of people suffered more brutalities during the

# DINNER BOOKINGS MUST BE MADE IMMEDIATELY

Seats are still available for the first event of The League Of Rights' Annual National Weekend, "The New Times" Dinner on Friday, September 30, at the YWCA, Elizabeth Street, Melbourne. But the organisers require all bookings to be made immediately. No bookings will be accepted without payment of \$22 per person.

As "The New Times" Dinner is a "family" affair, supporters are requested to bring only members of their families or close relatives.

Guests may arrive from 6 p.m. onwards and should immediately study the guest list to ascertain where they are seated. As far as is practical, the organisers will attempt to seat guests as requested.

Sherries will be provided before Dinner. Guests must be seated by 7 p.m. Those requiring wine or beer with the Dinner may obtain it at the Dinner.

The Guest of Honour will be Mr. Patrick Walsh, former undercover agent for the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, and recognised internationally as a top intelligence authority. His last book, *The Unholy Alliance*, documenting the nexus between International Zionism and International Communism, will be available at the League National Seminar on Saturday, October 1.

Being the Bi-Centenary Year Dinner, the chair will be taken by Mr. Peter Nixon, Editor of the quarterly, "Heritage".

The Loyal Toast will be proposed by the Rev. Dr. David Mitchell, the constitutional expert who played a major role in the referendum campaign.

Once again the annual toast to "The New Times" will be a feature of an event which has become known internationally. Mr. Eric Butler will conclude the evening with a typical in-depth, inspirational address.

The spirit generated at "The New Times" Dinner has to be experienced to be understood.

Bookings to Box 1052J., G.P.O., Melbourne. Phone: 650-9749.

## MESSAGES

Those who cannot attend the Dinner, but wish to be present in spirit, may do so by sending an appropriate message. The reading of messages from around the English-speaking world is one of the outstanding features of the Dinner. All messages are published in a special Dinner issue of "The New Times". Address messages: The Chairman, "New Times" Dinner, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

## "THE REALITY OF CONSPIRACY"

The theme of this year's Annual National Seminar, also to be held at the YWCA on Saturday October 1, is most appropriate. The Seminar will be opened at 2 p.m. by League National Director, Mr. Eric Butler, who will in a short address examine the history of the conspiratorial movement against Western Christendom.

The first Paper will be given by Dr. David Mitchell: *"The Undermining of Australia's Sovereignty by International Treaties"*. Dr. Mitchell has demonstrated that he is Australia's top authority on this vital subject.

The second Paper will be given by Mr. Jeremy Lee, *"Building the World State Through Financial Debt"*.

The final Paper, at 8 p.m., will be given by Mr. Patrick Walsh, *"Behind The Coming War Crime Trials"*.

There will be the usual comprehensive display of books, including all the latest titles. The doors of the YWCA auditorium will be open at 1 p.m. to enable people to examine books at leisure.

*Entrance:* Entrance Fee to Seminar: \$5. Obtain tickets at door.

Second World War than prisoners of the Japanese. Australians experienced the living hell of working and dying on the notorious Burma railway. Only a handful survived the Borneo death march. Being gassed to death, as claimed, was the fate of many Jews and others, (although increasingly disputed) would have been regarded as a merciful release by the Australians. Now what has been the reaction of those who managed to survive, most of whom still carry the physical and emotional scars of their years of suffering? There has been no campaign to hunt down Japanese war criminals, no cries for justice and vengeance. In a recent Australian TV programme, one of the Australian heroes of the years of Japanese torture, Sir Edward Dunlop, the doctor who defied the Japanese while he performed incredible feats to keep sick Australians alive, was being interviewed about his experiences along with several survivors of the Burma nightmare. There was not a sign of bitterness or hatred. One survivor said in answer to

a question, that while he could never forget what he and thousands of others went through, he harboured no feelings of hatred or desire for revenge.

Sir Laurens Van Der Post, distinguished writer and philosopher, and close friend of Prince Charles, was also a man who suffered badly as a Japanese prisoner of war. In his book, *The Night of the New Moon*, Sir Laurens eloquently reflects the values of traditional Western Christian Civilisation. He says that he "was strongly opposed to any form of war trials." There seemed to him to be "something unreal, if not utterly false, about a process that made men like the War Crimes Investigators from Europe, who had not suffered under the Japanese, more bitter and vengeful about our suffering than we were ourselves." Many of these investigators were Jewish, whose treatment of Germans charged with war crimes, make sickening reading,

Sir Laurens writes, "I felt strongly that if war had had any

justification at all it was only in the sense that at the end, it should leave victors and vanquished free for a moment from the destructive aspects of their past ..... To go looking for particular persons and societies to blame and punish at the end of war seemed to me to throw men back into the negative aspects of a past from which they had been trying to escape, and to deprive them of the opportunity they had so bitterly earned in order to begin afresh.

"Far from being an instrument of redemption, which is punishment's only moral justification, it is increasingly a self-defeating weapon in the hands of dangerously one-sided men . . . Forgiveness, my prison experience taught me, was not mere religious sentimentality; it was as fundamental a law of the human spirit as the law of gravity .....if one broke this law of forgiveness one inflicted a mortal wound on one's spirit."

### FORGIVENESS AND FREEDOM

What a fundamentally different view than that of the Jewish writer, Elie Wiesel, who is yet one more who apparently was able at Auschwitz to survive the super-efficient Germans and to live to spend the remainder of his life obtaining big money with a stream of books contributing to the Holocaust religion. Speaking at the opening of the Jewish Holocaust Educational

Centre in Melbourne, Wiesel supported the holding of war crime trials, *The Australian Jewish News* of August 28 reports that Wiesel had said that he had met with Australian Prime Minister Bob Hawke and his wife for an hour and a half, and that the Prime Minister did not need encouragement to endorse the War Crimes legislation. Hawke — the atheist but strong supporter of Zionist Israel — hypocritically evoked the name of the Christian God of love in his recent attack on the Opposition leader John Howard's immigration policy. Wiesel also preaches "justice" but is concerned that "the world has not been punished. It is not only the killers but the world's indifference which is to blame." Another Auschwitz survivor, Cyla Sokolowicz has been quoted as saying, "There is no alternative to justice." The alternative to the type of justice sought by the professional Nazi hunters like Wiesenthal is forgiveness for whatever happened in the past in order that a new start can be made. Christian mercy and the law of love is the answer to the threatened poisoning of Western societies by trials, which are designed to further the cause of the anti-Christ. But hopefully such trials may challenge people to go back to the roots of their Christian heritage. They may even result in developments, which will enable the Jewish people to grasp that true salvation for them is available if they will break free from the shackling influence of collectivism, and accept the liberating influence of Christ's message.

---

## BRITISH CREDIT GROUP ON "THE GREAT BETRAYAL"

We have received from a British organisation, "Our Country, Our Credit" Group, (Mountmill, Oxton, Nr. Lauder, Berwickshire TD2 6PT - Tel: Oxton 287) an article entitled "The Great Betrayal", this designed to encourage the Bishops of the Christian Church to support a limited objective policy of using new credit to lower prices.

### THE DUTY

1. If a policeman stood by and watched a man being murdered without making any attempt to prevent it, he would be charged with complicity in the crime: he had a duty to uphold the law.

2. Pope John Paul II, referring to the evils of undermining sovereignty, Third World Debt and poverty, the threat of a world economic collapse, "crass materialism and blind submission to pure consumerism", and "the thirst for power, with the intention of imposing one's will on others", in his Encyclical "Sollicitudo Rei Socialis" says "the questions facing us are above all moral questions."

3. The theme running through the Gospels is that individuals are personally accountable for each of their actions under moral (natural) Law inexorably, whether in their capacity as citizens, centurions, stewards, Parliamentary electors or bishops. The theme extends even to the plea from the Cross. The plea for forgiveness was not for those who had *consciously* done wrong.

4. Cardinal Hume on television, answering a question about whether Church leaders have a right to speak out on political matters, said, "Where a moral issue is involved, they have not only a right, but a duty to do so."

5. The Archbishop of Glasgow, the Very Rev. Thomas J. Winning, in a letter to the chairman of this Group, said: "Economic systems have to be judged by our Christian morality." Systems, economic or otherwise are in fact judged by results. By your fruits are you known. Do figs grow on thorn trees? The means justifies the end. A great man put it thus: "It is the policy of a philosophy which is important (because it is the *evidence* of things not seen);.... Thermo-Dynamics means nothing without Heat Engines, and Christianity means nothing without the Incarnation (of Truth, meaning the *application* of Christian principles — J.M.). You cannot drive a dynamo with Boyle's Law."

By emphasising the word '*application*', Pope John Paul II in his Encyclical (*ibid*) makes the same point: "The social doctrine of the Church has once more demonstrated its character as an *application* of the word of God "to people's lives and the life of society . . ." Can the "word of God" be other than the

authority of natural Law with which God has vested His creation? Is it conceivable that it could be at variance with it? And is it not the duty of bishops to specify the nature of that Law and to denounce violations of it?

The Christian principle governing the individual in society, as all bishops must know, is that he is accountable under natural Law for each of his actions, and that that principle must, if disaster is not to follow, be reflected in all his associations and arrangements. They must also be aware that the electoral law of the United Kingdom is in complete violation of that Law. The results (the bitter fruits) of that violation are evident in the six moral evils now listed. The bishops have stood by and continue to stand by, failing to discharge their duty while watching consequences perpetrated infinitely greater than the murder of one man.

### SIX MORAL ISSUES (EVILS)

#### THE FIRST OF TWO GREAT LIES:

Any ten year old school boy would understand the following, if told two nations were engaged in trade with each other: —

1. That it would be impossible for both nation's to export more to each than they import.
2. That it would be in neither nation's real interest to export more *real* wealth than it imports.
3. That the only sound reason for trading with each other would be to exchange one form of real wealth for another to their mutual benefit, and that to do that would not involve competition at all.
4. That, if in fact a state of competition existed between the two nations to export more to each other than they import, it could only be because the economies of both nations suffer from an inadequate amount of consumer purchasing power to buy their own production.
5. That one nation's trade surplus must be the other nation's trade deficit *and debt*; that the nation which had the deficit would be faced not only with its own inadequacy

of consumer purchasing power, but also the additional deficiency caused by the need to pay interest on and repay the debt; that the debtor nation could only repay its debt, and pay the interest, if the creditor nation allows it to export more than it imports, and that the creditor nation won't do that because of the inadequacy of consumer purchasing power it has to buy its own products.

6. That what applies to two nations obviously applies also to 20 or 200 nations trading with each other ("if all the balances of payments surpluses round the world are added together the total will exactly equal all the deficits of other countries" - *Daily Telegraph City* pages 8.8.88). The writer of course is referring to real trade balances, not inaccurate statistics.

Furthermore, our ten year old boy, if told that a former headmaster of a famous school who is now a cardinal said "I am not competent to understand that", would find that impossible to believe. Yet the British Government, *without a murmur of reproof from Church leaders*, constantly exhorts British businessmen to become more efficient so that they can *compete* better for overseas trade and constantly foists that great lie on the British people. It is inconceivable that Government Ministers and their advisers do not understand the six points listed above. *The bishops can ask them if they can deny any of the six points. Why don't they?*

### GIVING AWAY OUR SOVEREIGNTY

On Page 28 of the Catholic Truth Society's publication of the Pontifical Commission "Justitia et Pax" report "International Debt" it is stated "Inter-state organisations must take care to respect the dignity and sovereignty of each nation". That statement reflects Christ's summary dismissal of Satan when he offered Him the temptation of dominion over all the kingdoms of the earth. By definition, enshrined in the Gospel, transfer of national sovereignty to international bodies is Satanic. The European Commission is an inter-state organisation. Its President, Jack de Lors, recently stated that by the mid 1990s 85 percent of decisions on economic and social matters will be removed from national governments and be exercised by the Commission. Mrs. Thatcher responded by stating unequivocally she does not and will not support such a transfer of sovereign powers — a transfer that is inherent in the Treaty of Rome. A former Director of the Institute for International Affairs admitted in a speech in Denmark that they are working with all their might to transfer national sovereignty from each nation to an international body, while "denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands". *The bishops have added an extra dimension to that infamy: they are silent accomplices to it in the name of Christ.*

The true alternative to the Common Market is to make good the deficiency of consumer purchasing power in the home market — *a deficiency that will continue in the Common Market*, with consequent evil results.

### THIRD WORLD DEBT

Third World Debt is, as shown above, a product of the Great Lie. The essence of it is that bankers lend money to underdeveloped nations to create a market for the products of developed nations, whose economies suffer from an inadequate consumer purchasing power to buy all their own production. This is what the Pontifical Commission said about it: "In order to deal with the serious challenge of the indebtedness of the developing countries, the Church calls upon all people of good will . . . to mobilise the full range of their possibilities for action in order to identify and implement solutions..." And Pope John Paul II in his Encyclical refers to the need for "*the reform of the international trade system*" and "*the reform of the world monetary and financial system*" (his emphasis).

The remedy is, as with the Common Market, to remove the cause by making good the deficiency in the Home Market. The Government has admitted to us that that is possible (vide below). *The bishops remain silent accomplices.*

### MATERIALISM

In a series of addresses Prince Philip has referred to the worship of economic growth, and its consequence that within two generations if continued, it would lead to penury for all (but not for the international banking clique which covertly is manipulating governments to do these evil things), because the natural resources, which we are already consuming faster than they are regenerated, will be run down so severely.

That is one evil consequence. The Pope, as already quoted, has referred to another: "crass materialism" and "blind submission to consumerism". "One must denounce" he said, "the existence of economic, financial and social mechanisms which, although they are manipulated by people, often function almost automatically." An observer at a recent gathering of 450 bishops referred to a third consequence: "The horrific threat to the faith of existing society comes not from the seeming pressing issues of 'justice' and so forth, but from materialism — from the subtle assault of philosophic materialism".

It is futile to inveigh against materialism without directing attention to its cause, which is being suppressed or hidden from general knowledge because it can only be remedied by removing power from the bankers. The bankers and finance ministers at their international conferences have agreed, and said so publicly, that worldwide economic collapse, what the Pope in his Encyclical refers to as "a war without frontiers, without winners or losers", would happen without expansion. The explanation is simple. The latest published (D.T. 15.8.88) Bank of England figures show that over the last two years since 1986 the banks have created and lent 25 percent more to manufacturing industry and 57 percent more to constructing industry for expansion. That money is, or rather has been, paid out in wages to employees months, even years, in advance of new products coming on the market, so that it is available now to buy products already on the market. When the new products come on to the market even more expansion will be needed, with a climate of materialism, accompanied by massive advertising encouraging people to want more of this, that and the other. The implications, requiring only *common* sense to understand, are these: —

1. U.K. economic growth at present is 3 percent. A 2.5 percent growth rate would double production in 30 years and quadruple it in 60 years.
2. Under the present dispensation (inadequate purchasing power) competition, in order to survive, is the order of the day, and that means endless productivity improvements. Assuming a productivity increase annually of only 2½ percent, and a policy of no expansion of output, the present volume of goods and services would be produced by 2½ percent fewer people employed each year, creating an unemployment rate of 12½ percent in five years. If the present 10 percent unemployment were added to that the rate would be 22½ percent, in 10 years 35 percent.
3. Since the volume of goods and services would not be lowered, clearly the physical means would exist to give those put out of paid employment the same standard of living as at present without penalising those remaining in employment.
4. Clearly also they have a moral right, no less than those continuing in employment, to receive that continuing standard of living — to share equally in improved process, that is to say, in the cultural heritage.
5. These facts underline the importance of the Pope's call for reform of the financial system, not only to remove the need for economic expansion as a means — a grossly inefficient and ludicrous means - of providing more consumer purchasing power, but to provide an income as of right for a large and growing leisure class. The way that can be achieved has been known for many years, but this is not the place to discuss it.
6. No less clear and even more urgent is the need to educate people for the leisure, which, if sanity is to prevail, is, bound to be a feature — a well-paid feature of life in developed countries in the near future. Thirty three years ago an Anglican bishop made a public statement, the kernel of which was that "Work for work's

sake is not a Christian maxim", meaning of course 'paid employment'. At the time I edited a fortnightly paper, and I suggested that my readers should ask their diocesan bishops if they agreed. Twenty-two wrote saying they did agree. Not one said he disagreed. *Why then are bishops standing idly by while the very basis of their faith is being undermined by materialism?*

### INFLATION

Why has no government got a policy to cure inflation, but only one to curb its rates? *Why do bishops not ask that question?* It is no less dishonest than clipping the coinage. It is nothing less than legalised theft of people's savings. Twice as many young people today are unable to buy a first house as two years ago. British banks own a large part of Brazil's enormous external debt, paying the interest on which is a major cause of their 600 percent inflation, which has wiped out the value of the savings of retired people and made the legions of the poor even poorer. Much the same can be said of other poor countries. For example, Peru, 400 percent inflation, Argentina, 320 percent inflation. So we come to the —

### SECOND OF THE GREAT LIES

The six evils discussed above have a common basic cause; the fault in the monetary system, the empirical evidence for the existence of which is shown above in THE FIRST OF TWO GREAT LIES, namely inadequate consumer purchasing power to pay for necessary prices. The method referred to below is this Group's "Fail-proof Way of Lowering Prices". This is the position put to cardinals, archbishops and diocesan bishops, *not one of whom has denied that it represents a moral issue:* -

*Publicly* the Government says that if they increase consumer purchasing power it would cause prices to rise (the reason given for current interest rises).

*Privately*, in writing, using our words ("No one would deny") the Government have admitted to the 'Our Country Our Credit' Group that a method (our method) exists which if im-

plemented would produce the following results, assuming a productivity increase of 2 percent:—

1. Lower prices by 7 percent.
2. Raise the purchasing power of all existing incomes by 7 percent.
3. Present U.K. producers with a 7 percent larger home market (as a first step in raising consumer purchasing power to the level of necessary prices — thus eliminating the cause of the evils listed above).
4. Lower U.K. export prices by 7 percent.

### THE ISSUE

The Government is saying one thing in public and admitting in private that exactly the opposite is true; and they are doing so on a matter of enormous importance.

### THE QUESTION PUT TO BISHOPS

Can that be other than a moral issue? *Not one bishop has denied it.*

### THE ACTION THE BISHOPS CAN TAKE

In each diocese, through the MPs in their diocese and directly to Government Ministers —

1. Ask if they can deny any one of the six points listed above in FIRST OF TWO GREAT LIES,
2. confirm, if they feel they need to, that neither the Prime Minister nor the Treasury will deny what we have put to them about the remedy (vide supra), refusing to be put off by obviously wild and irresponsible and untrue statements like 'unworkable', 'incomes policies have failed in the past' (no policy like the Fail-proof one has even been tried).

John Mitchell, Chairman.

---

## A SUBMISSION TO THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOPS

**The Roman Catholic Bishops' Committee for Justice, Development and Peace, has called for submissions on "The Distribution of Wealth in Australia". A number of our Roman Catholic readers have made submissions urging that an examination be made of the socially disruptive impact of centralised credit power. One such submission was made by Mr. Tom Cleary of the Gold Coast, Qld.**

Australia is in conflict and confusion politically. There is growing chaos economically, and the threat of collapse financially. No wonder there is bewilderment socially. We seem to be living in a time of mindless anguish.

There is a sinister character about the gradual and progressive nature of the erosion of the ability of Australians to own their own homes. It is opportune that Australians ask themselves if this country can long endure on a foundation of ever expanding debt? What nation was ever built on the quick sands of tax enslavement? The opportunity to achieve economic independence, the basis for true freedom is being taken away.

History itself offers clear proof and a binding lesson in regard to the relationships of individuals to Government. With power and authority increasingly being transferred to the growing non-elected entrenched, once called Public Service; it could now well be called the Public Master. This new 'Caesar's' ascendancy has been marked by a failure of mind and spirit, just as in the last days of Rome.

There should be no illusion: If the people do not stem the demands of 'Caesar', taxation and the reckless demands of 'Caesar' will destroy them. English history 1662 — 88 shows that chimneys and windows 1696 — 1851 were taxed — until the population protested against the imposition and temporarily drove back the tax-gatherers.

Simple arithmetic is all that is required to show how inevitably this trend will spell out the doom of ordered society.

The term Economic Enterprise must not camouflage what amounts to usury by those who control the systems of finance (bookkeeping).

Pope Pius XI stated in *Quadragesimo Anno* 106—9 "It is obvious that in our days wealth and immense power have been concentrated in the hands of a few men. This power becomes particularly irresistible when exercised by those who, because they hold and control money, are also able to control credit and decide to whom it shall be allotted. In that, they supply the lifeblood, so to speak, of the whole economic body. They have their grasp on the very soul of production, so that no-one dare breathe against their will".

This age of increasing confusion, conflict and pressure of all kinds will manifest itself as a slide into a cesspool of human misery. *A reversal will not be possible until the money and credit question is faced.* It is not much use bothering about anything else. If people are being thrown over a cliff-top, it is indeed our Christian duty to administer first aid and carry out ambulance work. It is, however, our extra and higher duty to climb to the top of the cliff and put a stop to the dastardly deeds once and for all.

The 21st Century offers technical advances of incredible scope and magnitude, computerization, robotics and the mechanical advantage by their very nature and the trend of development speed, will change many long held concepts, the first being the concept of full employment.

Christ's words, "I came so that you would know the truth and the truth will set you free, so that you can have life and have it more fully". *Christ did not betray us. We just did not hear.* The 1968 Feb-March publication of "Facts" issued by the Institute of Public Affairs, Melbourne, quoted some interesting figures in relation to the productive capacity of the world; devoted to the second World War and measured in financial terms could have provided a \$24,000.00 house, \$8,000.00 worth of furniture, \$40,000.00 in cash for every family in the United States, Canada, Australia, Britain, Iceland, Germany, the Soviet Union and Belgium. In addition, each *city* in these countries with over 200,000 population could have been given a cash donation of \$150 million for public needs such as hospitals, schools, and libraries. Human cost of World War II was about 40 million people killed, about 20 million in battle and another 20 million in air-raids, 30 million were wounded, 30 million homes were destroyed, 45 million people were removed far from their homes and 150 million were left without shelter. The man-made and controlled finance system provided finance at high debt to finance the destructive tools of war. The question begs an answer; why can't the finance system be used to finance the peace? Does man exist to serve systems or should systems exist to serve man? The idea that greed, violence.....poverty.... all stemmed from the institution of private property and would disappear if the State owned all or nearly all, is against history, common sense

and human nature. These ills are as old as mankind; they are at their tyrannical worst where the State is strongest. What is the Christian answer to this tragic and dangerous state of affairs? The Bishops Committee for Justice, Development and Peace had a unique opportunity to stimulate the minds of men to the prospect of a *truly Christian government*, using its credit power as the greatest physical power for good.

In the words of Abraham Lincoln, "The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of government, but it is the government's greatest creative opportunity."

*What is necessary and physically possible should be financially possible.* Destruction and the production of increasing useless waste is against God's plan.

This is the most important question of the age, for if it is unanswered; the barbarians outside will pervade the homes, churches, schools, cities, farms and the very institutions of power in our Christian nations.

Thomas Jefferson remarked "I know no safe depository of the ultimate powers of society, but the people themselves, and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it away from them, but to inform their discretion by education."

The answer by God's grace lies in the hands of Christian men and women. We dare not pass by on the other side.

## TO THE POINT

The story is told of a Japanese businessman investing in Australian real estate, asking why had Japan bothered to try to invade Australia by military force when it can achieve the same end much easier; by persuading gullible Australians that their economy is doomed without foreign investments. The Japanese are being used in the programme of centralising power on a global scale, with Japanese bankers prominent in the Trilateral Commission, currently headed by David Rockefeller. Recent violent demonstrations by Victorian cement workers, protesting against the dumping of cheap Japanese cement into Australia, have contributed to the growing anti-Japanese feeling throughout Australia. If the Australian trade unionists had real leadership, they would have been fully informed about the far-reaching implications of the New International Economic Order. But the Trade Union monopolists are hand in glove with a Fabian government determined to sell Australia to the internationalists. Someone should tell the cement workers about this.

\* \* \* \* \*

While a Royal Commission is spending enormous time and money seeking to ascertain why so many Australians, generally of part-Aboriginal background, are hanging themselves in jail, it is being overlooked that a growing number of Australians not in jails are also committing suicide. Many of these are Australian farmers, beaten into a state of helplessness by factors over which they have little or no control. Now comes a report from the United Kingdom, quoting the National Farmers' Union as saying that British farmers are twice as likely as the rest of the British people to commit suicide. The NFU says that it is concerned about farmers unable to cope with the stress resulting from declining, falling land prices and debt. In *The Monopoly of Credit*, C.H. Douglas included a graph to show that the suicide rate is closely linked with economic conditions.

The British National Farmers' Union is urging its members to contact a counselling group known as the Samaritans who, as the name implies, attempt to assist those in a stress situation. Such activities are to be commended, but it is unfortunate that so much human effort goes in dealing with effects rather than exposing and opposing causes.

\* \* \* \* \*

Anti-South African campaigners have been quiet about the embarrassing affair of the 8000 Mozambique miners sent to work in Communist East Germany following an informal agreement between Mozambique and East Germany last year. These miners previously worked in South Africa, but that "Nazi" state has been forced to apply quotas to cope with the hundreds of thousands of blacks from bordering countries that want to work there. The blacks sent to East Germany have been complaining about "racism" in the local population and that they

were not being paid as well as in South Africa. Numbers have petitioned the Mozambique government not only to let them return home, but to allow them to work in the South African mines.

It would be instructive to hear what the talkative Bishop Tutu has to say about this affair.

\* \* \* \* \*

Former National Party leader Doug Anthony has joined the ranks of those claiming that an Australia—New Zealand Union is "inevitable." Anthony was associated with the formulation of the Closer Economic Relations Agreement but did not tell Australian dairy farmers that they would be one of the main Australian victims of the agreement.

Mr. Anthony told the recent Legal Convention in Canberra that "market forces" would result in first, a common tariff schedule between Australia and New Zealand, then a common market, which would extend present arrangements, and finally an integration of currencies. This would lead to a Federation of the two countries. This was "inevitable".

Former New Zealand Prime Minister Sir Robert Muldoon, also present at the conference, rejected the Anthony theme. Man's problems are not more readily solved by making them bigger. The New Zealanders are wise to stay out of the Australian Federation at the beginning, in spite of the pressures to join. By federating with Australia, New Zealanders would surrender their sovereignty, while making it easier for the power men of Canberra to increase their control of the Australian people. Small is still beautiful.

# HOW IRON IS MARGARET THATCHER?

British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher has rightly earned a reputation for being tough. She demonstrated her toughness during the Falklands War, an event that saved what until then appeared to be her doomed administration.

She was not tough enough on the Rhodesian issue, and eventually surrendered. She has managed to resist all pressures to force a change on South Africa. But like so many conservatives, Margaret Thatcher has a blind spot concerning finance, one result being that she welcomes closer economic links with a Soviet Union, which she says she still distrusts.

As pointed out by C.H. Douglas in *Social Credit* (1924), in the absence of a realistic approach to finance, the conservative elements in society can only participate in a series of rear-guard actions ending in disaster.

Margaret Thatcher has allowed herself to be drawn progressively down the internationalists' road. But now she is coming to the ultimate in political tests: whether the United Kingdom is to make the final surrender of its remaining sovereignty to the European Economic Community?

Back in June, at the European Economic Summit, Margaret Thatcher was faced with the proposal of a European Central Bank with a common European currency. This proposal was the logical development of the programme of centralisation developed over years. The United Kingdom's representative, Lord Henry Plumb, currently President of the European Parliament, an eager internationalist of long standing, has stated that the establishment of a Central Bank for the EEC was "inevitable" But Margaret Thatcher does not accept this.

Mrs. Thatcher is quoted as having said, "You will have it (the Central Bank) when you dissolve the House of Commons among other political institutions. We do not think it would be fruitful to set up a European Central Bank." This is clear enough and indicates that the British Prime Minister realises the significance of a European Central Bank. But without the Central Bank, the "grand design" for Western Europe, part of the total programme of creating the World State, is flawed.

Should the British Prime Minister decide to fight, she will find herself arraigned against the full fury of the internationalists. Under existing financial rules the United Kingdom is extremely vulnerable. The old British Empire had always posed a major threat to the internationalists. It was economically self-contained. The break up of the British Empire was a major objective of the internationalists.

Margaret Thatcher still as, however, a number of options available to her if she really is determined to prevent a further British surrender. But none of these can be used without some modification of financial policy. The adoption of the limited objective programme outlined by the British Credit Group, would be a start in the right direction.

If the British Prime Minister decides to fight, she will go down in history as the greatest Prime Minister since the great Pitt.

## "HEALING A DIVIDED NATION"

By Rev. Cedric Jacobs, M.B.E.

This tremendous book by Aboriginal leader Cedric Jacobs carried an inspiring message for all Australians during this historic Bi-Centennial Year. Irrespective of their backgrounds, all Australians are urged to work together to build upon the best of the past for an even better future.

A book, which deserves the widest possible distribution.

Price \$6 posted from all League bookshops.

## A SPECIAL BI-CENTENNIAL SOUVENIR

The Australian Heritage Society announces that it is producing four special issues of its high-quality quarterly magazine, to commemorate the Bi-Centenary. These four issues for 1988 will go beyond what is being offered elsewhere, excellent though many of these celebrations may be.

For example, what about the voice of the authentic Australian Aborigines like the Rev. Cedric Jacobs (O.B.E.), who while not attempting to deny the harsh features of British colonialism, also welcomes the tremendous benefits, including Christianity, which it has brought? Cedric Jacobs will have a special Bi-Centennial message for all Australians, irrespective of background.

There will be an article on the Christian roots of the Common Law, in depth examinations of subjects like the Constitution, the Flag, the Monarchy and much else, including a look at the controversial subject of conservation.

It is proposed that the four 1988 issues of *Heritage* will be bound into one volume at the end of 1988.

The annual subscription rate for "*Heritage*" is \$15 per annum. Order from The Australian Heritage Society, P.O. Box 7409, Cloister's Square, Perth, 6000.

## FOR THE ACTIONISTS

Most League supporters feel that the National Action Seminar, held on the Sunday following the Dinner and Seminar, is "the icing on the cake" of the National weekend. Starting with a short non-denominational Divine Service at 9.30 a.m., the day moves along with a wide array of reports concerning all aspects of activities, and an outline of coming programmes.

The Action Seminar takes place in the beautiful surrounding of "Runnymede", the property of Mr. and Mrs. Eric Butler. Lunch is provided at \$3 a head. A tremendous spirit of fellowship always prevails.

Supporters attending should notify The League of Rights, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne 3000, so that catering arrangements can be made. Sunday, October 2.

## 'THE TWO FACES OF GEORGE BUSH'

by Dr. Antony Sutton

With Vice-President George Bush now almost certain to be selected as the Republican candidate for the USA Presidential elections, and with the prospect of Bush following Ronald Reagan into the White House, Antony Sutton's revelations concerning the real George Bush, the number one choice of the Trilateralists, are extremely timely. The Trilateralists insisted that if Ronald Reagan was to obtain the Republican nomination in 1982, he had to accept Bush as his running partner.

The brilliant British-born research expert brings to light damning facts about Bush, including his warm support for Comrade Mugabe of Zimbabwe and strong support for the merging together of the Soviet and the USA through New International Economic Order. Sutton fears that Bush could win by default. If this explosive, slim book were widely distributed through the USA., it could play a decisive role in changing the Presidential contest.

Price \$8 posted.