

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

VOL. 53, NO. 2.

Registered by Australia Post- Publication No. VBH 1001

FEBRUARY 1989.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

THE THREAT TO THE SPIRIT

by Eric D. Butler

In several of his Second World War books, C.H. Douglas pointed out that over the centuries those exercising power through their control of the banking system have built up an intricate intelligence system. The lessons of past experiences are not lost on those exercising a power, which has become progressively centralised. Techniques of psycho politics have been refined.

For this reason, it can be taken for granted that the developments, which followed the imposition of the Great Depression of 1929, are well remembered by the successors of those responsible for this catastrophe. Depression conditions resulted from a policy of drastic credit restrictions, primarily throughout the British nations, and because of the revelations of Douglas, there developed a worldwide Social Credit Movement, which was the first effective challenge the Money Power had ever experienced. That challenge forced the Money Power to devise appropriate strategies for dealing with the situation.

While unrehearsed events are always emerging, it can be taken for granted that the Money Power, now much more highly organised internationally, is not likely to impose another Great Depression of the severity of the thirties. Such a global disaster would enable the Social Credit idea to burst into a challenge surpassing that of the thirties. Ever since the end of the Second World War there have been dramatic predictions of an impending new Great Depression. Those making these predictions, and currently there are many of them, have failed to grasp the basic realities of an on-going battle.

Stock market crashes, big or small, are not of themselves manifestations of a major collapse. As demonstrated during the last major stock market collapse, the controllers of the Money Power know what is essential to prevent a major economic collapse. They immediately reacted by an increase in the rate of credit creation. The astronomical debt burden is being exploited by those who have created the debt, to suggest a programme, which in essence is the further centralisation of power. Or, as is now being quite openly advocated, large amounts of debt can be simply written off.

While not condoning what is generally described as usury, Douglas warned that an undue concentration upon usury tended to direct attention away from the more important question of the power inherent in a system, which enabled the creators of debt to insist that the debt money created belonged to them. This is a claim that all the productive capacity, including raw material, of the world belongs to them. Who claims ownership of the money created is fundamentally more important than what rate of interest is charged for it. Unless this basic question is faced, the Money Power is left with sufficient control to implement policies of perversion.

THE DECEPTION OF KEYNES

One of the most striking examples of perversion was provided during the thirties, when John Maynard Keynes was promoted to head off the challenge mounted by Douglas. Keynes did not attempt to dispute that the banking system created financial credit. He even conceded the Douglas analysis of a

deficiency of purchasing power, but for different reasons. But the perversion came with the concept of deficit budgets and

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions — private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) Laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

"controlled inflation". Generally speaking this has made it possible to condition people into accepting that some inflation is inevitable, and to adjust their thinking accordingly. Ronald Reagan hailed by many who believe they are conservatives, has left office after presiding over eight years of the biggest deficits in American history. There is no suggestion that there will by any variation in general strategy of the Money Power under George Bush.

The real threat to mankind is not projected collapses of the international financial system, but the steady imposition of the type of centralised power, which eventually brought the Greek and Roman Civilisations to destruction. This century has seen physical and human destruction on a scale dwarfing that of the past. Much of this destruction is taking place with the co-operation of those operating economic activities, which can only be described as sabotage. Just as the Industrial Revolution, mis-directed by debt finance, tore people from a social structure in which human activity was much more decentralised, and forced them into a different kind of social structure, so today the very foundations of Western cultures, and social structures, are being eroded by the misdirection of economic activities.

BIGNESS NOT INEVITABLE

This can be seen in all phases of economic activity, both primary and secondary. Relentless pressure is destroying traditional family farming everywhere, and progressive take-overs are the norm in secondary industry. In the short term it appears possible that the basic requirements for life can still readily be produced, starting with food. But growing economic dislocations, and even possible food shortages, can be foreseen. This development of the practical application of the philosophy of Bigness is not inevitable. As a number of students of the subject have observed, so far from technology making centralisation inevitable, it made it physically possible for the decentralisation of all economic activities, including farming, to be carried out with decentralised activity. Aldous Huxley pointed this out many years ago.

It has been power exercised through finance, which has forced deceptive centralisation. It is deceptive because under a veneer of "efficiency" it is robbing the individual of that which is priceless — things of the spirit. Given time, material damage can be restored. But it is the damage done to the spirit, which urgently requires the deepest consideration. As demonstrated in the collectivist nation of Sweden, it is possible to operate, for at least a short time, an economic system controlled by what can best be described as technical barbarians. But as a number of observers have pointed out, the Swedes have increasingly become an unhappy people. This can be seen in the attitude of people. There is a high suicide rate among the young.

Ideas are the primary and dominating force in human affairs. The metaphysical determines what happens in a physical world. The essence of the Christian *idea* was a liberating force such that the world had never seen. Because God had made man in his own image, it was easy to grasp the concept that the Kingdom of God was within each individual. The creative spirit within could be discovered and it could be developed. In human associations where the value of every individual was accepted, where

institutions existed primarily to serve the individual to find and to develop his Divine destiny, the human spirit could soar. The high-water marks of Western Civilisation were those where the spirit did rise. It is only necessary to consider periods where there was an outpouring of creativeness. The material was seen as the means whereby the Divine attributes of the individual could grow and flower. As Douglas put it, the true end of man is self-development.

THE THREAT OF PERVERSION

But the growing threat of materialism has seen a perversion of means into an end. The value of a people is now measured in terms of how fast it is pushing the GNP upwards, or of how many forests can be destroyed to produce woodchips to help to swell the export drive. Feverish activity directed towards turning one form of matter into another form, noise instead of quiet contemplation, are assessed as manifestations of progress. True progress is as Douglas said, moral progress. There is clearly a retreat from true progress. What passes for education is a form of conditioning to fit the individual into a society whose overriding policy is to drive mankind into bigger and more highly centralised structures, this defeating the Christian idea of the emergence of self-developing individuals. The real threat today is to the Divine attributes of the individual. The policy of the anti-Christ is dominant, crushing the spirit within. The battle for the future is going to be fought primarily in the metaphysical field, not in the material field. Concentration on the material to the exclusion of the metaphysical can be disastrous.

If Christianity is to become a real force in human affairs again, then it must have a *policy*, which will make real the Christian idea. Unless those responsible for the organised structures of the Christian Church can come to grips with this basic question, they have nothing of value to contribute to the battle for the world. At best they are social welfare organisations, while in many cases they actively support the philosophy and policies of the anti-Christ. The largest potential audience for the reception of the Christian idea of how individuals can live together in harmony resulting from the practice of the Law of Love are those who have rejected the Church because of what they perceive to be its failure to give any worthwhile advice on the fundamental issues of the day. There is a deep hunger among large numbers of the young.

AN ENCOURAGING SIGN

Perhaps the most encouraging lesson from Christian history is that the basic Christian revelation had taken root and was influencing human associations before Church structures had been developed. The ideas associated with that revelation had swept across Western Europe, into the British Isles, even before the New Testament had been formalised by the early Christian Fathers. The essential Christian idea has been kept alive by the Social Credit Movement, and so long as that idea is not allowed to die, or to be subverted, the prospects for a new outburst of creativeness remain a reality. The major task at the moment is to ensure that the spirit of man is not crushed under the pressure of a gross materialism, which eventually will destroy itself.

U.S. MOTIVES QUESTIONABLE

Coming only two weeks before the end of President Reagan's term in office, the latest US-Libyan Imbroglia is so fraught with coincidence and barefaced ingenuousness that one has to be suspicious about the true motivations of those involved.

The shooting down of the two Libyan planes and the allegations about the chemical weapons factory near Tripoli, we

are assured, are quite separate things — pure coincidence.

The U.S. Navy task force was 600 miles off the coast of Libya when the aerial encounter took place, therefore it could not be accused of provocation, the U.S. State Department says with more than usual unctuousness.

With all due respect to Mr. George Shultz, who has been one of the great U.S. secretaries of state, this is patent balder-

dash.

The U.S. Navy's presence near Libya was publicly announced at precisely the same time as official sources in Washington were making very noisy threats about bombing the alleged chemical weapons complex out of existence.

No government, let alone one as jumpy and neurotic as that of Colonel Gaddafi, could have seen the appearance of the powerful U.S. force, with its bombing capabilities, as anything less than a threat — a provocation, if you like.

From that moment it was inescapably linked to the torrent of speculation and innuendo, which was being issued about the chemical plant.

Whether or not the two Libyan jets carried weapons and locked their attack radar on to the U.S. Tomcats, the actual presence of patrolling Libyan aircraft in that situation was a virtual certainty — something the U.S. could have depended on for any demonstration of its military power.

Clashes between military aircraft over the open sea and far from any likelihood of neutral observation must be far and away the best option available to a big power that wishes to make a rather brutal point — much better than mounting an F-111 bombing raid on Libyan territory with all the concomitant adverse propaganda of wounded children and other innocent victims.

The fighter clash simply sends the Arab world the message that they should be wary about fooling round with the U.S., while the remainder of the world can believe the official explanations or not as it pleases.

But the major unexplained question about all this is its timing, which as far as can be discerned has been totally determined by the United States.

Suddenly, just as peace seemed to be breaking out all over the world, hard on the heels of an historic meeting between the U.S. and the Palestine Liberation Organisation and a few weeks before President Reagan (who originally rode to power on a wave of American resentment over its humiliation in the Iran hostage crisis) is due to hand over the reins of power, the U.S. decides to make a major international issue of an installation which has been under construction for years.

It almost seems as though someone has been upset by the prospect of a real advance towards Middle East peace.

The strangely ad hoc way in which the U.S. moved to push the alleged chemical weapons plant to centre stage was emphasised again and again last week by what seemed to be the only aerial photograph the Americans possess of the plant.

As with so many of these great intelligence coups, which finally see the light of day, this particular photograph was more notable for its fuzzy incompetence than anything else.

It was also more than six months old and, as the commentators on successive news bulletins assured us, "those tanks at the bottom right hand corner have since been moved."

Well really! This is a threatening plant, which has been under construction for years, well known to American and British intelligence, yet it has not been the subject of any updating surveillance photography for at least six months?

The Australian Government is reported to have some reservations about the alleged lethal nature of the plant even though, under its intelligence-sharing arrangements with the Americans and the British, it must be fully aware of the evidence that exists.

The Soviet Union, which must have some pretty good intelligence estimates of its own, appears to be highly sceptical about the U.S. claim.

One would not normally accept Russians as dispassionate observers about anything, but it is difficult to see what the present Gorbachev policy line could gain from tacitly accepting Libya's right to export poison gas throughout the terrorist world.

That is one area above all where a close, if unpublicised, alignment of the U.S. and Soviet interests could be expected.

If this "crisis" has in fact been cobbled together by the U.S. as hastily as appearances suggest, then perhaps it has some linkage with the contacts that are now being made with Yasser Arafat and the dreadful bombing of the Pan American airliner over Scotland.

It is certainly true that every time a U.S. Administration feels the need to demonstrate once again that it is "tough on terrorism", Libya becomes a sudden focal point for intense American activity.

In this case, the posturing is getting in the way of answering the key question — to which the world needs an answer. Has Libya built a major chemical weapons plant?

It cannot be beyond the capabilities of the world's intelligence services to get a straight answer to that question.

If that answer is "yes", then surely the response should not be the indiscriminate violence of military action, but a concentrated economic and social boycott of a proven international outlaw.

But the U.S. will have to make a much better case than the present indications that it is all a hastily thought-out last hurrah for the Gipper.

Peter Robinson in *Sun Herald*, January 8, 1989.

"HEALING A DIVIDED NATION"

By Rev. Cedric Jacobs, M.B.E.

A courageous Christian Aborigine exposes the socialistic land rights programme and puts forward a constructive solution for helping Australians of Aboriginal background. Contains considerable wisdom, with a final chapter suggesting constructive financial policies to bring all Australians together. It is not too much to say that this book could have a profound effect on the future of Australia. Essential reading for Christians who have been misled by some Churches on land rights.

This tremendous book by Aborigine leader Cedric Jacobs, carried an inspiring message for all Australians during this historic Bi-Centennial Year. Irrespective of their backgrounds, all Australians are urged to work together to build upon the best of the past for an even better future.

A book, which deserves the widest possible distribution.

Price \$6 posted from all League bookshops.

THE IMPORTANCE OF THE BASIC FUND

It has been suggested that the absurd claims about the League of Rights having access to almost unlimited funds, may in part be designed to discourage people from supporting the League financially. The truth is that the League has only developed to its present stage of national influence through the dedicated financial support of thousands of loyal Australians, many of who have given sacrificially. The major part of League activities is provided by volunteers. The Annual Basic Fund is the very minimum required to ensure that the League continues to operate.

The best answer, which our readers can provide to the current anti-League campaign, is to ensure that the 1988—89 Basic Fund of \$60,000 is filled as quickly as possible. All contributions to Box 1052J, G.P.O. Melbourne, 3001. In order to save postage, receipts issued only on request.

WAR CRIMES VENDETTA

by John Bennett, in January 1989 Civil Liberties Newsletter.

Wars inevitably involve atrocities committed by both sides, which are called "war crimes" when committed by the vanquished. In the last world war the victors killed over a million German and Japanese civilians by terror bombing, eliminated the Polish elite at the Katyn forest massacre, and eliminated almost a million Cossacks and Croats after the war. The atrocities committed by the vanquished included the mistreatment of prisoners of war, leading to the deaths of over a third of the Australian soldiers in captivity, arbitrary reprisals against partisans (including Jews), and the persecution of Jews, many of who died in camps, mainly from disease. Why is it that 40 years after the war that crimes committed by the victors are not investigated and that the only war crime by the vanquished being investigated in Australia relates to the holocaust of Jews. Why does the Jewish holocaust receive so much publicity, why are other and far bigger holocausts such as the Chinese and Ukrainian holocausts almost ignored, and why, if Australia is to indulge in vengeful hunts for alleged war criminals, don't we give priority to prosecuting those responsible for the deaths of Australians in camps?

JEWS AGAINST WAR CRIMES VENDETTA

The pressure for war crimes trials has come from hard line Zionists in the Jewish community who believe that the holocaust of Jews is Israel's number one propaganda weapon and hope to keep references to the holocaust of Jews in the public eye. But many Jews have expressed opposition to the proposed trials. Chaim Bermant in an article headed "Beware of being stung by the poison of revenge" says that the cry for vengeance will cause anti-Semitism, and has "helped to destroy those who make vengeance their life's work". Barbara Ameil commenting on "the cheap price of anti-Nazism" points out that those groups spending much energy hunting down old Nazi war criminals are acting in a manner highly injurious to Jews. Mr. Justice Einfeld the President of the Human Rights Commission said the proposed trials would be a "disaster". Frank Knopfelmacher a reader in psychology at Melbourne University said the Government should have treated a report that war criminals are in our midst with "contempt", and that taking action on the report would stigmatise specific ethnic groups and cause anti-Semitism and amount to "communazi" totalitarian behaviour.

Robert Manne, a Senior Lecturer in Politics at La Trobe University, has argued strongly against the proposed trials. He agrees with Mr. Justice Michael Kirby that the law under which the trials would be held entails retrospective legislation involving the trial of people under legislation, which had not been enacted at the time of the alleged offences. People would be tried for crimes they allegedly committed on non-Australian soil against non-Australians, before they themselves became residents of Australia. Manne believes the trials would be an unfortunate precedent, which could lead to attempts to find alleged Vietnamese war criminals amongst Vietnamese refugees. Trials could lead to people wondering if Jews were especially favoured, and could cause intelligent Australians to regard the power of the Jewish lobby as growing, because of the view that Mr. Hawke is "closer to a cabal of Jewish businessmen than to traditional roots of his party". Manne believes that the quest for trials of Nazi war criminals 45 years after the events will almost certainly reactivate the stereotype of Jewish vengefulness. An acquittal at a trial could be used to great effect by the "anti holocaust" lobby, which he says possesses one or two highly energetic members in Melbourne. The tense atmosphere associated with trials could lead to major attacks by Jews on critics of the trials causing unnecessary hostility. Trials would be likely to coincide with Israel's problems

Page 4

on the West Bank and Gaza. The television shots of Jewish soldiers beating Palestinians or reports of brutal methods — like the razing of Palestinian villages — have created severe problems for Israel and for its defenders abroad. In this context a war crimes trial will inevitably appear to some as an attempted alibi for Israeli wrongdoing. Others will claim to see a moral equivalence — or a bitter irony — between Nazi crimes against the Jews and Israeli actions against the Palestinians. Manne says that none of this will do Jews any good.

Mr. Justice Einfeld says that the proposed trials could be a disaster and that he had serious doubts based on a large number of legally technical reasons that the criminal trial system was appropriate for the trials. He believes that you can't contemplate punishing elderly people 40 years after the event and that previous trials which had racial and political overtones — such as the trials of Lindy Chamberlain, the Ananda marga three and Lionel Murphy — had all "gone wrong". The so-called "Greek conspiracy case" for example, went on for four years and cost \$30 million without a single conviction".

Many prominent Australians have expressed opposition to or grave reservations about the proposed trials. Opponents of the proposed trials include Mr. Justice Michael Kirby, the former President of the Law Reform Commission (now an Appeal Court Judge), Charles Francis Q.C., a former Chairman of the Victorian Bar Council, Dr. Anthony Andrey Q.C., the editor of *The Ethnic Reporter*, Lachlan Chipman, Professor of Philosophy at the University of Wollongong, Dr. Ian Spry Q.C., of the Victorian Bar, F.B. Moran Q.C., of the South Australian Bar, Sir Walter Crocker, a former Lieutenant Governor of South Australia, Peter Ryan, the former head of the Melbourne University Press, and Mr. Justice Athol Moffat, a former Chairman of the NSW Court of Appeals and a former prosecutor in war crimes trials immediately after the war.

Most opponents of the proposed trials base their objections on the use of retrospective legislation, the use of suspect tainted Soviet evidence, the unreliability of witnesses 45 years after the alleged events, the danger that the prosecution will withhold evidence favourable to the accused, and the astronomical cost of trials to the taxpayer. They also point out that the proposed trials will cause anti-Semitism, and are selective and discriminatory since they will deal only with war crimes allegedly committed against Jews. The A.C.L.U. submission to a Parliamentary Committee, which was referred to in Your Rights 1988 made those points and also referred to the danger of trial by media. Arguments for and against the proposed trials are set out in a pamphlet based on a Symposium on the proposed war crimes legislation. A copy may be obtained by writing to the Captive Nations Council of Victoria, Box 8, Chadstone, Vic, 3148.

ARGUMENTS AGAINST WAR CRIMES TRIALS

Retrospectivity. Almost all of the opponents of the proposed trials emphasise the danger of retrospective legislation. Charles Francis Q.C., has criticised the use of retrospective legislation pointing out that it is unfair to prosecute persons who were not Australian citizens and were outside Australian territory at the relevant time, under legislation which did not exist when the alleged events occurred. "We cannot depart from the Rule of Law and insofar as it is proposed to deal with offences by retrospective legislation, that is in itself a dangerous departure from the Rule of Law." Professor Chipman believes that retrospective legislation is one of the very evils most notably associated with the degenerate Nazi legal system.

Astronomical cost. It is unreasonable to expect fair trials when the cost of prosecuting and defending charges will be astrono-

NEW TIMES - FEBRUARY 1989

mical. It will be up to the accused to call expert evidence that documents used against him are forged, and even where a grant of legal aid has been made, there is no guarantee that the grant will cover costly expert witnesses. Without massive Government funding an ordinary person would be unable to mount a proper defence. Charles Francis Q.C. believes that when we haven't enough money for our legal system we can't add to the burden by holding what must be enormously expensive trials in respect of offences committed more than 45 years ago in other countries. In a trial of this nature it is basic that the defence must be provided with adequate legal aid, and that all witnesses both for the prosecution and the defence should come to Australia to give evidence in person. The cost of any such trial could well run into millions of dollars. F.B. Moran Q.C. says that the costs to the taxpayer in his view would far outweigh any practical gain in pursuing these incidents. Ian Temby Q.C., the former Director of Public Prosecutions said that Australia would face the longest and most expensive trials in its history, and that charges could be lodged in quite inappropriate circumstances. One critic said that since Israel was likely to be the principal beneficiary of the trials it should pay for them.

Soviet Evidence. B. A. Santamaria has argued that Soviet evidence, which would play an important role in the trials, would be suspect because of the participation of the Soviet secret police (the KGB) in arranging the evidence, much of which could be easily fabricated. Articles by Michael Barnard in *The Age* have also referred to the danger of accepting Soviet evidence. Robert Manne believes it is wrong to expect a judicial system created within a democratic environment to cope with evaluating evidence, which emanates from countries, which have a long history of tampering with justice. There are no means available for defence counsel to check the identity of witnesses. In one case concerning the Madona concentration camp near Riga, witnesses, who were said in a Soviet publication to have been executed during the 1940s, were resurrected for a hearing in the 1980s.

Unreliability of Witnesses. Dr. Ian Spry Q.C., has referred to the unreliability of witnesses and said that it was unjust that anyone should be subject to the risk of imprisonment based on the memories of persons and events which occurred almost fifty years ago. Under the proposed legislation it will be possible for an innocent person to be faced by documentary evidence that may well prove to have been forged and be confronted by witnesses who are approaching senility and whose evidence on identification or on matters occurring 50 years ago is not reliable. It is clear that after the passage of 25 years, let alone 50 years, the evidence of even a confident witness must be treated as suspect. Michael Barnard mentions the case of Frank Walus who was 17 at the time of the commission of the crimes alleged against him, which involved murdering people in a very terrible fashion in Poland. Twelve Polish-Jewish witnesses identified Frank Walus as the perpetrator of these deeds. Walus's lawyers went to Germany and proved conclusively, using German documents, that he was a forced farm labourer in Germany at the time. A German priest and a French prisoner of war verified Walus innocence. The Office of Special Investigations headed by a Jew, Neal Sher, then dropped the case but didn't have the decency to admit they had made an appalling mistake. They didn't even have the decency to state he was not guilty. The first trial was aborted and Sher's "witch hunters" simply failed to seek a new trial. Walus is now a broken man.

The President of the Returned Services League, Brigadier Alf Garland, has said there might not be fair trials because, more than 40 years after the alleged crimes occurred, people could be wrongly identified and there was only a limited defence available to those accused. "To carry in your mind a picture of an individual accused of crimes against humanity after almost 50 years and to recognise that person despite changes brought by age

would be very difficult. They can easily be wrongly identified. This happens in identity parades, which occur only a short time after a crime. Judges and juries are not infallible. Juries make mistakes. We've seen evidence of this only recently in the Lindy Chamberlain case." Peter Ryan says the proposed trials would be show trials "intended to be a demonstration, an educational exercise, coupled with an act of relentlessly pursued vengeance . . . After more than 40 years, only fanatics could fail to weigh the dangers of miscarriage of justice, of mistaken identity, of personal spite at work, of ordinary error. . . ."

WITHHOLDING OF EVIDENCE

Exculpatory evidence was withheld by the U.S. Justice Department in the "kangaroo court" trial of John Demjanjuk in Israel presided over by partisan judges one of whom was a former terrorist. William Wolf, an influential American Jewish lawyer, has written "as a lawyer, Jew, and a human rights activist who has a deep and abiding love for Israel, I no longer can remain silent about the John Demjanjuk trial. I shall not dwell on the matter of the I.D. card, in respect of which at Soviet behests, Israel refused to allow the removal of the photograph for the testing of the glue and any insignia on the back. More importantly the U.S. Justice Department withheld . . . the exonerating evidence that over fifty Treblinka survivors who knew 'Ivan the Terrible of Treblinka' failed to identify John Demjanjuk as Ivan. One such Treblinka survivor spent eleven months in the camp, and reported knowing 'Ivan the Terrible' 'very well' and seeing him 'every day'. This and other evidence of Demjanjuk's innocence was withheld by the U.S. Justice Department from Demjanjuk and the Israeli authorities, virtually ruling out the possibility of an adequate defence. The evidence was disclosed on February 3, 1988 after a Freedom of Information Act lawsuit was brought by the Demjanjuk family. Tragically, the forced disclosure of the exculpatory evidence came too late, after the trial in Israel had concluded. If the U.S. Justice Department is prepared to withhold evidence, it is much more likely that the KGB will withhold evidence favourable to the accused. As Brigadier Garland said, the War Crimes Bill "allowed someone in the Soviet Union to accuse an Australian citizen of being a war criminal and, as far as the communist world is concerned, everybody who ever fought against them is considered a war criminal."

When a miscarriage of justice occurs it takes a very long and painful process to correct it. Here in Australia, we have just had a much-publicised example of it, the cause celebre of Lindy Chamberlain. It took Mrs. Chamberlain two inquests, a very long and dramatic criminal trial, two appeals to the High Court, a royal commission and finally, an application to the Full Court of the Northern Territory — and over a million dollars in legal costs — to clear her name. The question of compensation has been discussed but who can repay Lindy Chamberlain and her husband for the years of anguish, humiliation and distress? And how many people would have had the perseverance — and the financial resources — to achieve justice under such circumstances? Yet the child whose disappearance set all this judicial avalanche into motion, died only eight years ago. "Imagine what would have happened if Lindy Chamberlain had been tried forty years after the event"? Dr. Ian Spry Q.C. asked at a recent symposium held on the War Crimes Bill in Melbourne.

DIVISIVE AND DISCRIMINATORY

Professor Chipman referred to the selective and divisive nature of the War Crimes Bill and said that it is discriminatory to make a law, which is directed at only some Australian citizens whilst attempting to exclude others. Allegations of war crimes seem to be directed exclusively at persons from Eastern Europe whose homelands were forcibly occupied by Nazi Germany and/or the Soviet Union, and who were dictated to by foreign military

powers. Stalinist mass murderers, the proponents of the "German Master Race" and the Jewish "kapos" acting in concentration camps and elsewhere will not be prosecuted and seem to be regarded as exonerated. Exclusion of the activities of certain Australian and other allied ex-servicemen who could be alleged to have committed war crimes through the terror bombing of German cities leading to the deaths of over 700,000 civilians (mainly women and children), and the omission of the atrocities committed under the Pol Pot, North Vietnamese and other regimes, illustrates the highly selective nature of the proposed trials. The serious divisions and animosities, which will be created within the community at large because of the discriminatory nature of the proposed trials, would only serve to destroy the cohesive fabric of Australian society. In fact, the feelings of outrage these trials will generate have the potential to divide the Australian community as never before.

Dr. Endrey Q.C. says that over the last forty-odd years, we have heard of the Jewish holocaust ad nauseam but very little has been said of the atrocities of the Communists. And "many of these atrocities were committed by Jews". If the Nazi witch hunt progresses any further in Australia, the full story of these atrocities will have to be told by the ethnic communities concerned, if for no other reason, then in order to set the record straight. This, then, is the scenario for communal relations in Australia if trials are held. There will be accusations and counter-accusations, friendships and even business relations will be uprooted, anti-Semitism will be increased a thousand fold. And all this, because "our legislators did not have the guts to stand up to a noisy minority thirsting for revenge." Dr. Endrey doubts that the great majority of Australian Jews want this result. In fact, they have never been asked by those who purport to speak in their name. Perhaps this 'silent majority' should now break their silence and protest against the Bill. "They would earn our respect and gratitude by doing so."

Mr. Santamaria has pointed out that many Jews were involved in the persecution of Eastern Europeans during and after the war. He quotes the claim by Rabbi Safran that in some cases up to 90% of the NKVD, the forerunner of the KGB, were Jews. It will be interesting to see whether the special investigator, Robert Greenwood Q.C. and the new Director of Public Prosecutions Mark Weinberg, who has said that the fact that he is a Jew is an irrelevant consideration, look into allegations by eastern Europeans about alleged war crimes committed by Jewish members of the NKVD and allegations by Jews about alleged Jewish war criminals cooperating with the Nazis. Professor Norman Stone has recently written: "One of the strangest facts of all is that a very great part of the extermination of the Jews was carried out by Jews themselves". Alleged war crimes committed by Jews causing the deaths of other Jews, are referred to in Jewish publications such as "Isiah Trunk in Juderrati" pages 514 and 521, "Notes from the Warsaw Ghetto" pages 310 and 311, and "White Nights" pages 27 and 29.

A.C.L.U. Letters to Mr. Greenwood. The A.C.L.U. wrote to Mr. Greenwood (18/4/1988) noting that the War Crimes Act was to be amended to allow the prosecution of alleged war criminals, and stating that the A.C.L.U. assumed that the Government is interested in prosecuting people who are alleged to have murdered or ill treated Australian citizens in the Second World War. Clippings were enclosed indicating the nature of these alleged war crimes including a massacre of 300 Australian troops on Ambon Island in 1942, medical experiments on Australian soldiers, many deaths at Changi prison camp, the beheading of Bill Newton, slave labor conditions at Kobe, and deaths during the construction of the Burma-Thailand railway. The above material is the tip of the iceberg of alleged war crimes committed against Australian citizens in the Second World War, and more material can be obtained from Australian Archives, the R.S.L. and recently opened U.N. archives. The A.C.L.U. asked Mr.

Greenwood whether he could indicate which of the above alleged war crimes he had under investigation, and if he could indicate what steps are being taken to establish whether any of the 250,000 Japanese tourists coming into Australia each year are vetted to see whether they may be alleged war criminals subject to the provisions of the War Crimes Act.

Another letter was sent to Mr. Greenwood (3.8.88) referring to a report in *The Australian* (25/6/88), which had stated Mr. Greenwood was a "careful and precise man" who was concerned about Jewish children being murdered. It was not clear whether Mr. Greenwood was also concerned about Australian POWs being murdered, or 700,000 German civilians mainly women and children being killed by indiscriminate bombing. The A.C.L.U. mentioned that it had already sent material to Mr. Greenwood about alleged war crimes committed by Japanese personnel and that it appeared he had no interest in pursuing these alleged war criminals. The letter to Mr. Greenwood said that the analogy he referred to in *The Australian* article about how we would react to an attempt to exterminate Aborigines seemed to be based on the assumption there was a plan to exterminate Jews and there were gassings in gas chambers. Material was enclosed refuting those claims including an unrefuted report by Fred Leuchter, an American engineer and a specialist used by U.S.A. penal authorities to evaluate the use of cyanide in gas chambers where convicted murderers are executed, which indicates the "gas chambers" at Auschwitz could not have been used to "gas" anyone with Zyklon B. The letter concluded by stating that the A.C.L.U. had written four letters to Mr. Greenwood — none of which had been acknowledged, and that his failure to acknowledge correspondence would be taken up with the Ombudsman, the Attorney General, the DPP and the media. Mr. Greenwood finally acknowledged the A.C.L.U. correspondence but did not answer any of the queries of the A.C.L.U. He is on record as stating that he is as anxious to discover any evidence, which exonerates the alleged criminals, as he is to get evidence that implicates them. It is not known whether he has looked into the findings of the Leuchter report, which could be the basis for a defence for some defendants put on trial under retrospective legislation 45 years after the event relying largely on tainted evidence from the K.G.B.

A copy of the Leuchter report has been sent to a wide variety of people in the Australian media including commentators who claim they take up matters that others find too hot to handle. Although the report has not been refuted, and it is difficult to see how it could be refuted, it has not been mentioned in the media, despite the fact that the official version of the Jewish holocaust is Israel's number one propaganda weapon, and that support for Israel because of "guilt over the holocaust" could pave the way for a major conflagration including world war.

Another letter from the A.C.L.U. to Mr. Greenwood referred to recent statements attributed to him in which he mentioned claims that 1½ million Jewish children were killed by the Nazis. He was asked to indicate the basis for this claim and whether the claim was correct in view of demographic evidence referred to by Dr. W. Sanning in *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry* that less than a million Jews (including children) died of all causes during World War II (mainly from diseases such as Typhus in the camps). Anne Frank died from Typhus. Most Jewish children, such as the boy from the Warsaw ghetto featured in the famous photograph with his hands held up, survived the war.

The number of Polish Jews in Australia (35,000) and elsewhere, and the number of Jews who have claimed compensation from the West German Government suggests that Dr.

Sannings calculations are correct.

WHY THE VENDETTA CONTINUES....

In the early 1950s the Australian Parliament decided to abandon further retribution in the form of "victors justice" against alleged Nazi war criminals in Australia. This decision was made to avoid social disharmony and to avoid "The poison of revenge" referred to by Chaim Bermant. The decision of the Hawke Government 35 years later to hold war crimes trials is due to the increasing problems of Israel, and the growth since the 1950s, of the power of the Zionist lobby in Australia, some of whose members seem to have greater loyalty to Israel than to Australia. Less than .5% of Australians (about 1 in 250 people) are Jews (many of whom are anti-Zionist). The Zionist lobby representing a section of a tiny minority of Australians has vastly greater power and influence than the much larger Greek (2%), Italian (4%), Yugoslav (1.4%) and German (5%) communities.

The power of the Zionist lobby, which is out of all proportion to its numbers, is due to its affluence, the guilt inducing effect of constant references to the holocaust of Jews in the media, (other holocausts are ignored), the personal views of Mr. Hawke, and the over representation of the lobby in the Federal and State bureaucracies.

The affluence and economic power of Jews, who are about .5% of the population, is discussed in *The New Boys* and is indicated in surveys published in *the Australian Jewish News*, the source of much of the information in this section, establishing that 8 of the 20 wealthiest people in Australia are Jews. Dr. W. Rubinstein has claimed in his book *'The Left the Right and the Jews'*, that Jews have become the new socio economic and political elite of the West. The "elite" status of Jews is indicated by calculations published in the *Australian Jewish News* that 20% of barristers in Victoria are Jews, and that a significant percentage of members of other professions are Jews, not all of whom are Zionist and not all of whom support the proposed war crimes trials. Although Jews are well represented in the profession of journalism only Mark Aarons whose ABC radio program initiated the hysteria leading to the proposed war crimes trials and Michael Gawenda have played any significant role in promoting the proposed trials.

Constant references to the holocaust in the media are both a cause and the effect of Zionist power. *The Age* and the *SMH* are the main source of "holocaustmania" in Australia. Thus *The Age* ran 33 news items or feature articles about the holocaust of Jews in a recent one-month period (26,000 words) and nothing about the holocaust of German cities involving the deaths of ¾ million civilians, mainly women and children, and nothing about the greater Ukrainian holocaust. Although according to "official" versions more people died in the Ukrainian holocaust than the Jewish holocaust, for every single word about the Ukrainian holocaust in the Fairfax press (such as *The Age* and *SMH*) there are in excess of 100 words about the Jewish holocaust. It is not known what role the Warwick Fairfax (described as "technically Jewish" in a feature article in *The Australian*) plays in this extraordinary imbalance, or the role played by the editor of the *Washington Post* (Katherine Graham nee Meyer) and the editor of the *New York Times* (Rosenthal) in a similar disproportion in those papers which are the source of much syndicated holocaust material for the Australian media. A similar imbalance exists in relation to TV docudramas and films. There have been over 400 feature films since 1945 with negative stereotyping of Germans usually involving references to the Jewish holocaust. By contrast the Nazis made only 2 anti-Semitic feature films. In a recent 2-week period in Melbourne, 11 films dealing directly or indirectly with the Jewish holocaust were shown on TV. Again by contrast there has been only one film shown in Australia in the last 43 years on the greater Ukrainian holocaust,

and only one feature film on the holocaust of German cities.

The use of the holocaust of Jews on a daily basis in the media and the persecution of revisionist historians (including Jews such as J. Cohn-Bendit, C. Karnooh and J. Assons) is because, as Dr. W. Rubinstein has said, the holocaust is Israel's number one propaganda weapon. Anti-Zionist Jews such as Dr. Alfred Lilienthal and Noam Chomsky who have defended the freedom of speech of revisionist historians, such as Professor Butz and Professor Faurisson, describe constant references to The holocaust as "holocaustmania", and claim the "the Holocaust" has become a new religion of Jews. Chomsky described Dr. Rubinstein's reactions to Professor Faurisson's claims that there were no gas chambers, as the reactions of a "religious fanatic". The holocaust is so important to Zionist Jews that Professor Friedlander has said that "the Revisionist School of historians, those who say the holocaust never existed, that it is a Jewish invention, are more worrying than countries' political positions," while Professor H. Littell has said "you can't discuss the truth of the holocaust. That is a distortion of the concept of free speech. The United States should emulate West Germany which outlaws such exercises."

Some of the methods used to silence historical revisionism in Australia include misrepresentation of the views of revisionists and not allowing them a right of reply, descriptions of me as being "more evil than Himmler and Pol Pot" etc, my expulsion from the Victorian Council for Civil Liberties without any charges being laid and without any hearing, attempts to stop the distribution of *Your Rights*, attempts to induce reviewers of *Your Rights* to withdraw their reviews, and destruction of some of the warehouse stock of *Your Rights*. More drastic measures are used in some other countries. A revisionist received a two year jail sentence in Canada, a revisionist in France was assassinated, Professor Faurisson was suspended from his teaching position in France, Henri Roques had his Ph.D. (granted for research on aspects of the holocaust) revoked, a retired judge in West Germany had his law degree revoked and the plates of his book *The Auschwitz Myth* were seized, other writers in West Germany have been jailed, and a warehouse containing revisionist books was burnt to the ground in the U.S.A. For further information write to the A.C.L.U. for a leaflet headed *"The Worldwide Persecution of Dissent."*

The personal views of Hawke and his "perceived" close association with what Robert Manne calls a "cabal of Jewish businessmen" are again both a cause and a result of Zionist power. Part of the explanation for Hawke's considerable personal involvement in setting up the machinery to investigate alleged war criminals that killed no Australians (those who allegedly killed Australians are ignored) is his intense pro Jewish feelings (largely due to the effect of the Jewish holocaust on him), set out by his biographer Blanche d'Alpuget. Hawke has said that the greatest regret in his life was that he was not born a Jew, and that the most moving moment in his life occurred when Maurice Revelman died. Australia's Arab community which is larger than the Jewish community points out that Hawke's personal physician on overseas trips, his speech writer, his personal lawyer, and many of his closest confidants, such as Sir Peter Abeles who opened the National Economic Summit, Neville Norman, the Chief Economist with CEDA, Isi Leibler the main Zionist spokesman calling for war crimes trials, Eddie Kornhauser, a Gold Coast developer and Saul Same, a Melbourne businessman with direct access to Hawke, are Jews. They also point out that under the Hawke Government Jews have been appointed as President of the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission, Chairman of the Office of Multicultural Affairs (Dr. Shergold), head of an inquiry to restructure the public service, (David Block), Director of Public Prosecutions (Mark Weinberg), committee member of the Fitzgerald immigration inquiry (Dr. Helen Hughes), and Aus-

tralian Ambassador to the United Nations (Peter Wilenski); Jews hold or over the last 10 years have held other significant positions at the Federal level of Government, (easily the most important level). These positions include Governor General of Australia (Z. Cowan), the Secretary to the Governor General, (David Smith), the head of the Federal Public Service Board, the head of the National Transport Commission, the chairman of the A.B.C. (Ken Myer), the head of Education planning for Australia, the head of the Australian Refugee Council (Major General Cullen), the leader of an aviation delegation to China (Sir Peter Abeles), and the judge to rule on certain disputes involving Australia's security service, (Mr. Justice Samuels).

The power of the Zionist lobby in Australia is reflected in the introduction of a holocaust kit and pro Israel propaganda in N.S.W. schools, (material about other holocausts and other foreign countries is not distributed), the dismissal of Paul Madigan from radio 3RRR, legislation in N.S.W. to limit freedom of speech, concessions in relation to dual nationality and taxation of pensions, changes in autopsy laws, an investigation of the League of Rights, the exclusion of P.L.O. spokesmen from Australia, the cancelling of a U.N. conference in Australia because one speaker would have been a P.L.O. representative, and the pulping of a "survival kit" for students because it described Hitler as cruel and evil but also said he was popular in Germany. The power of the lobby is also reflected in the exclusion of the views of people querying the extent of the Jewish holocaust from the media, the spreading of disinformation about its critics which is uncritically accepted by most of the media, and the ready access to politicians and the media enjoyed by Zionist leaders.

The passage of a unanimous resolution by the Senate accepting the official version of the holocaust (the only resolution about "history" ever passed by the Senate), a unanimous resolution by Parliament rejecting the finding by the United Nations that Zionism is racism, the abolition of a Monash University funding committee because it proposed funding an inquiry into Palestinian rights, and the acceptance by the media of a largely mythical Libyan threat in the Pacific, are further illustrations of the power of the Zionist lobby in Australia.

No other ethnic group in Australia, including the much larger Italian, Greek, Dutch, German and Yugoslav communities have anything remotely approaching the power of the Zionist lobby. The extent to which the Zionist lobby threatens civil liberties in Australia was documented under the heading *Censorship of Dissident Opinions in Your Rights 1988*.

It is difficult to think of anything important to the Zionist lobby that it has failed to achieve. But it may have overplayed its hand in its vendetta against alleged war criminals.

Greek to You?

"We are all in Bed together, but we can choose what we are going to do about it. Some may be a good fit: when Productivity says 'work', they work; when Consumption says 'buy', they buy; when The Radio says 'laugh' they laugh. These people do not want to be freed; once their stumps are healed they enjoy their sleep. Others may suffer themselves to be mutilated or compressed or deformed by the ratling busyness of the technological machinery.

"But for some, there may come the spirit of Theseus to give courage to resist. Theseus had as well the wit to see that Procrustes had a substantial treasure, which when distributed to the local inhabitants of the countryside could give them a better and more enjoyable life. No one of us

may be a full-blown hero like Theseus, capable of killing the wicked Procrustes outright, but if we club together some of us may at least give him a fright and even wake up a few of the sleepers as well."

—Magnus Pyke, *The Science Myth*.

John Murray, 1962.

A SPECIAL PACKAGE OF ESSENTIAL READING

- * Have you got the facts on the *New International Economic Order* — the World Government package now supported by Communist, Industrial and Third World blocs? Read the Special Intelligence Survey "Upon That Mountain" (April 1984) giving a documented picture- \$2 posted.
- * THE SOUTHAMPTON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE REPORT — a startling and challenging summary of misunderstood factors prevailing in the Great Depression, by practical, original thinkers in the world of commerce; *even more applicable in 1987/88*. \$3 posted.
- * 'THE MONEY TRICK'. Still the most succinct, lucid and widely read book on the issue of national and international debt we sell. \$6 posted.

THE "LIVE AID" GLOBAL CONFERENCE

"Would I feel happier about this 'Live Aid' Concert which has raised millions of pounds for famine relief in Africa if, instead of barbaric howling, the satellite had transmitted masterpieces of European music or a Mass conducted according to the rite of the Ethiopian Orthodox (formerly Coptic Church)?

"To ask the question is to realise its sad absurdity. The Global Rock Festival is itself a symbol of the collapse of European Civilisation and of the collapse of the Christian religion. I also offers a glimpse, perhaps the most horrendous so far, of the possible future of the human race if the dream of 'One World' should ever be realised".

—Peter Simple in the *London Daily Telegraph*, July 16

In retrospect the whole Ethiopian affair was a classic example of what the media can do in promoting programme which exploit the basically sound instincts of the great majority of Western people. One of Western man's greatest virtues, Incapacity for compassion, is also one of his greatest weaknesses one cynically exploited by those who seek to destroy him Time and time again highly sophisticated campaigns are conducted for the purpose of creating a deep guilt complex. This is being done in Australia at present concerning the Aborigines Present-day Australians are told that they must do penance for what allegedly happened nearly two hundred years ago. But as demonstrated in the new scholarly work *Land Rights, Birth Rights*, by Peter English, the main beneficiaries of the largesse being made available are part whites rejected by genuine Aborigines.

No international "Live Aid" concerts, or any other type of international programmes, are being planned to assist the victims of the Soviet Union.

Printed and Published by the Australian League of Rights,

145 Russell Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3000.