

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

VOL. 53, NO. 3.

Registered by Australia Post-Publication No. VBH 1001

MARCH 1989.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

DRAMATIC CHANGE IN STATE OF WORLD

by Eric D. Butler

In a discussion with me in Johannesburg, South Africa, in 1967, the Chinese Ambassador for the government of the Republic of China, based on the island of Formosa, made the observation that history was a "very long business" and that he was confident, therefore, that eventually his people, the product of an ancient culture would reject Marxism. If he were alive today, that Ambassador would probably agree that the developments in Communist China have, in recent years, confirmed his view. Marxist economics have been progressively discarded in favour of private ownership and the profit motive.

But the retreat from Marxist ideology is not confined to China; it is taking place right throughout a Communist world where people have become increasingly disillusioned with Marxist ideology. While it is true that man does not live by bread alone, it is impossible to sustain belief in an ideology when the supply of bread is erratic and limited. While Soviet leader Gorbachev still maintains his belief in Leninism, he has been forced by realities to concede that it needs "re-interpretation".

The reality is that Socialism as an idea has been exhausted. It has been tried for over half a century in the Soviet Union and, in spite of the massive economic transfusions from the West, has been found wanting. Reality has overtaken ideology. Even before Gorbachev came to power it was obvious that the Soviet bloc of nations were in deep trouble. A major problem was the provision of the most basic of human requirements, adequate food supplies. State control of farming had proved consistently disastrous. From 1972 onwards there had been an increasing flood of food from the West, financed by the same international banking organisations, which financed the Bolshevik Revolution and continued to endeavour to sustain the Soviet over the years.

It was in 1972 that the Soviet first conceded openly that its internal food production system was in deep trouble. The West, particularly U.S.A. policy makers, were in the position to have forced major changes, both external and internal, in Soviet policies. But the major issue raised by Dr. Henry Kissinger was the plight of the Soviet Jews. Zionist strategy took precedence over any other considerations. One result was a big increase in the number of Jews leaving the Soviet Union, although there was the problem of how to persuade the Russian Jews either to go to Israel, or to stay there. Eventually a number of these Jews, some in the U.S.A., decided, "to return to the Soviet Union, thus confirming the view of Dr. Nahum Goldmann, former President of the World Jewish Congress, that the great majority of Soviet Jews had no desire to emigrate.

SETBACKS TO GRAND DESIGN

Irrespective of where Jews live, the Zionist strategists have seen them as actual or potential troops to be exploited to serve their grand design. That design is at last starting to suffer some major setbacks. The more far-sighted of Zionist strategists have never seen Israel as an end in itself, but as a means towards an end, one of the early American Zionists referring to a "far

reaching plan". C.H. Douglas has pointed out that "To the uninterested, Zionism is a slightly romantic semi-religious cult . . . Zionism is something very different to a simple scheme for the return of the Jews to Palestine. This is incidental to the moulding of events and Governments to procure a World Dominion for 'Israel'. The objective involves a perfectly clear, coherent, and continuous policy on the part of the Zionists. The conditions for successive and major crises must be created and maintained in the world; the means required to deal with each crisis as it arises must be in the hands of Zionist Jews, directly or indirectly; and the use of those means must only be granted to the highest bidder in the surrender of power or the guarantee of its use in the interests of

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions - private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) Laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

Jewry." (*The Brief for the Prosecution.*)

As pointed out by Solzhenitsyn in his New York address, *A Legacy of Terror*, it was the West, which kept financing the Soviet Union. While it is true that shortsighted businessmen have been genuinely deluded into believing that it is "good business" to export to the Soviet, or to any other Communist country, those responsible for the consistent policy of loaning financial credits know what they are about. Zionist influence in International Banking circles has never supported the proposal that the Soviet be forced to change both its internal and external policies by refusing to provide further food supplies until such changes are made. Zionist spokesmen like Mr. Isi Leibler have confined themselves to seeking to gain permission for Jews to leave the Soviet Union. The primary concern has been, not to bring down the Soviet system, but to make use of the large number of Jews in the Soviet for Zionist purposes.

THE PLIGHT OF SOVIET JEWS

Zionist eulogies for Gorbachev are the result of the Soviet leader readily allowing prominent Jews to leave the Soviet if they desired, and the permission to establish a Jewish Cultural Centre in Moscow. At least by inference Leibler and fellow Zionists are admitting that large numbers of Soviet Jews do not want to emigrate. This raises the question of just how genuine have been the constant flow of stories about the alleged persecution of Jews in the Soviet Union.

Both in words and deeds Zionist leaders have made it brutally clear that they regard the rank and file of Jews as troops, which sometimes are expendable in an on-going strategy. Distinguished anti-Zionist Jews like Dr. Alfred Lilienthal, author of the classic work, *The Zionist Connection*, have always doubted the Zionist claim that there was a deliberate policy of persecuting Jews in the Soviet Union, that they were greater victims of Soviet totalitarianism than any other group. Lilienthal observes that in many fields Soviet Jews are relatively much better represented than any other group. "The percentage of Jews among the winners of the Lenin Prize never fails to be fantastic — from 15 percent to as high as 25 percent."

The distinguished Canadian journalist, Peter Worthington, who represented the Toronto *Telegram* in Moscow, knew the Soviet intimately and married a Russian, has also doubted the truth of Zionist propaganda concerning Soviet "anti-Semitism", stating emphatically that "Jews who were neither excessively religious nor ardently pro-Zionist, stood a better chance statistically of leading a physically more comfortable life than the average Soviet citizen. . . ."

There are over 100 national-ethnic groups inside the Soviet Union, and all have suffered under Soviet totalitarianism. But just as they claim a Jewish monopoly of suffering during the Second World War, Zionist leaders have constantly claimed that Russian Jews were being so badly treated by the Soviet government, in whose ranks there are many Jews, that they had a special right to leave the Soviet Union. From time to time Soviet leaders have co-operated with the Zionists of the West in permitting large numbers of Russian Jews to leave. But there has been no programme for assisting Christians or Moslems to leave.

CAUSES OF MAJOR CHANGE

Two major factors have contributed to the dramatically changed situation inside the Soviet bloc. The first has been the economic situation, and the second the Soviet's failed invasion of Afghanistan. The massed might of the Soviet has not been capable of breaking the spirit of the Afghan mujahidin. The spirit of resistance has had its effect right throughout the Soviet

bloc, particularly upon the fastest growing group inside the Soviet, the Muslims. In his attempts to come to grips with the disruptive factors now sweeping the Soviet bloc, Gorbachev is like the famous lady of Riga who went for a ride on a tiger. The peoples of the Baltic States, along with other national ethnic groups are openly demanding more control over their own affairs. Gorbachev realises that if he is to maintain his position, he must seek to accommodate International Zionism.

Well-known Hungarian-born Dr. Anthony Endrey, prominent in ethnic politics in Australia, has confirmed the dramatic changes inside the Soviet bloc with his announcement that, after living in Australia for 40 years, he is returning to his native Hungary because of his experiences there during a recent visit. Dr. Endrey says, "What surprised me during my visit was not the bad things about Hungary — I was prepared for them — but the good which I found.... I did not expect the almost daily loosening of the shackles of the Hungarian people, which I witnessed with my own eyes during the six weeks I spent in the country. Dr. Endrey makes the significant comment that the young people of Hungary are now questioning every aspect of the Communist system "and are thirsting for knowledge about their own past, about the values which once animated the Hungarian nation, about life in the West, and yes, about God."

Hungary was in 1956, the first nation to stage a major uprising against Communist domination which, as revealed in David Irving's book *Uprising*, was directed primarily against what the Hungarians perceived to be the dominant Jewish influence in the Communist control. Thirty-three years later the situation has so changed that the heir to the Hungarian throne, Dr. Otto Von Habsburg is making his first official visit to Budapest, where he will be a fully-fledged member of the reconstituted Christian Democrat Party. In a discussion I had with Dr. Habsburg in Taiwan at a World Anti-Communist conference, I found the distinguished Hungarian to be a man with a deep understanding of the reality of world politics. In a remarkable development, the Hungarian Communist government has replaced the Communist five-pointed star on the flag and national buildings with the Crown of St. Stephen, last worn by Dr. Habsburg's father. One manifestation of the younger Hungarians' thirst for knowledge of their past mentioned by Dr. Endrey, is that the film, *Habsburg Ottorol*, showing pictures of the coronation of Dr. Habsburg's father, Charles, is attracting packed audiences in Budapest.

SPECIAL "SURVEY" A WINNER

There has been an enthusiastic initial response to the League's special February issue of the "Survey". Heavy mailings are carrying the issue to all the media and the politicians. The anti-League shouting has died away to barely a whisper. The 64-dollar question is, What is the Human Rights investigation into "racism" going to do? The more widespread the special "Survey", the more value it will be when or if the anti-League chorus is struck up again.

"This is a document of permanent historical value, one which all League supporters should always have on hand," comments one League supporter.

The relatively low bulk prices make it possible for all actionists to participate in a national offensive: 10 copies for \$5; 50 copies for \$12; 100 copies for \$20; 250 copies for \$30, 500 copies for \$50; 1000 copies for \$80. These prices include postage. Order from State League addresses.

HEROIC FEATS INSPIRE

Big changes are also taking place in Poland, where the Communist government has had to come to terms with the Solidarity Trade Union movement. The Yugoslavia, which Tito managed to hold together, is also in a process of disintegration. Even the most rigid Stalinist Communist governments of East Germany and Romania are being buffeted by the winds of change sweeping through the Communist world. Heroic feats of resistance by small nations against big nations have always inspired men. The bold action by the Rhodesians in 1965 lifted the spirit of people right around the world, particularly among the peoples of the British world. It appeared that at long last the retreat of Western Civilisation was to be halted. But just as the Hungarian uprising of 1956 offered a chance to change the course of world events, and was sabotaged, so was that Rhodesian stand sabotaged. Dr. Henry Kissinger and the international groups he has represented, was close to the centre of that sabotage.

No realistic assessment of world affairs is possible without examining the central role of Political Zionism, whose leaders take the long view of history. However, the Zionists are also experiencing unforeseen developments. While International Zionism attempts to keep Israel firmly placed on the international chessboard, Israel is openly threatened by the continuing Palestinian uprising and internal disintegration. Young Israelis are increasingly objecting to their role in attempting by force to control young Palestinians, whose numbers are growing at a much greater rate than the Israelis. Manifesting the new mood inside Israel has been the attack on Prime Minister Shamir by the influential and respected English daily, *Jerusalem Post*, which has broken a local taboo by writing of a 1941 link between Shamir the terrorist and the Nazis. Blasting Shamir for what it describes as "obscene attacks" on those Israelis who believe that the Palestinians' cause must be respected, the *Post* recalled how in 1941 Shamir "had sought out German co-operation in the setting up here of a Jewish State on a national and totalitarian basis." Shamir was leader of the terrorist Stern gang.

While President George Bush is attempting to placate the Zionists, Israel's aggression in the Lebanon, with the large loss of civilian lives, and the brutal attempts to suppress the Palestinian resistance movement, have turned many against the Zionist power movement. Even in the U.S.A. there is a new note starting to emerge. The Zionists are starting to lose the war for men's minds. Zionist strategy has constantly favoured the break up of all nationalism except Jewish nationalism. It has been in the forefront of all collectivist and internationalist movements, encouraging the dissolving of homogeneous nations by multiculturalism. But as C.H. Douglas observed, the underlying concept of internationalism is contrary to the natural law of human development through diversity.

NATIONALIST REALITIES

Nationalism defeated the Soviets in Afghanistan, is shaking the foundations of the Soviet bloc, has forced Zionist Israel into an impossible situation in the Middle East, while Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher clearly grasps the strength of British nationalism as opposed to what is offered by the planned United States of Europe. The threatened disintegration of Papua-New Guinea, with the high probability of some type of military coup in the not-too-distant future, is but the latest example of the absurdity of trying to impose Western political systems on native peoples and their tribalism. "Liberated" Africa continues to sink in a sea of corruption and stagnation.

In essence, the current plight of the world has shattered the many myths promoted by the idealists of many kinds. All the evidence indicates that the shattering process is going to continue. However, the basic problem confronting a disintegrated

world remains as outlined by Douglas in his earliest works: How is the individual to obtain effective control of his own affairs? This requires a return to the very roots of Western, Christian Civilisation. The world has been retreating from Christianity. The modern world has largely been an incarnation of metaphysical Materialism. This materialism has its roots in science and technology, which increasingly excluded all considerations of spiritual values. The true role of economics and finance cannot be defined unless there is reference to those values. Imperfect though it was, Western Christendom grew out of an acceptance of the Christian revelation that every individual counted, and was of value in the eyes of the God in whose image he had been made, that institutions existed to serve the individual (The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath) and that associations between individuals should be governed not by force and compulsion, but by the Law of Love.

The financial proposals of Social Credit grew out of an acceptance of those values. The true purpose of the production system is to provide those goods and services genuinely required by the individual. The political system exists to enable the individual to obtain those policies he wants. The perversion of the economic system, and the controlling financial system, to drive the individual into wasteful and destructive activities, which are unnecessary to provide him with what he really wants, has already had a devastating effect on both the individual and his material environment. The instincts of many involved in conservation movements are sound, but lacking a Social Credit understanding, they are used to endorse still more totalitarianism. However, the very ecological and other disasters afflicting mankind have opened the way for the introduction of Social Credit and Christian thinking.

A NEW SOCIAL CREDIT ADVANCE

In the changing situation now emerging, with the only alleged answer to man's problems, Socialism, having been found wanting, there is the possibility of a major Social Credit advance, devising strategies designed to meet a different set of circumstances to those applying when Douglas first wrote. The League of Rights was conceived as a movement designed to advance the Social Credit concept with appropriate strategies. No doubt this is why the League of Rights has been singled out as the main target of those who reflect the philosophy of the anti-Christ. It

BASIC FUND PAST TARGET

We are pleased to report that even though many readers have not subscribed, the League of Rights' 1988-89 Basic Fund, with a target of \$60,000, has been filled. The Fund now stands at just over \$63,000, and will remain open for a short period for latecomers who wish to contribute.

Every extra dollar will be gratefully accepted, as League expenses have increased as a result of growing demands on it. There has been some heavy expenditure on League cars, with further outlay required to ensure that League staff have reliable transport. Big distances are covered every year.

The League is also engaged in extending its mailing lists for the purpose of ensuring that opinion makers throughout the nation are kept supplied with material they otherwise would not see. We are also investing in special educational video programmes.

is seen correctly as a living, organic movement which is capable of providing practical hope in changed circumstances. Circumstances have now started to change dramatically and Social Crediters must be ready to adapt their activities to meet those

circumstances. The Communist versus Capitalist dogma was always false, and it misdirected the efforts of large numbers of well meaning people. The dogma has now been shattered, clearing the way for a new advance in the Social Credit revelation.

THE PERVERSION OF HISTORY

What passes for history is in fact often a constant repetition of myths. 1989 is the bicentenary of the French storming of the Bastille. The conventional picture of what happened is presented by Charles Dickens in his *Tale of Two Cities*. But as demonstrated by Nesta Webster in her meticulously documented history of the French Revolution, the reality was rather different. When eventually the Bastille was stormed, such was the deception of the French people by the revolutionaries that when the hated fortress was examined, no skeletons were found, no masses of prisoners in chains, no torture chambers.

Only seven prisoners were found, four forgers, two lunatics, who were insane before being imprisoned, while the seventh had been incarcerated for "monstrous crime" at the request of his relatives. Lord Acton observed that behind the smoke and smother of the French Revolution there was "design". The storming of the Bastille was a carefully manipulated revolutionary attack on the authority of the Monarchy. And like all modern revolutions, it was lavishly financed. Edmund Burke warned the British of the realities behind the French Revolution, but Burke is not popular reading. Charles Dickens, the brilliant novelist, is much more entertaining. A Hollywood version of *The Tale of Two Cities* merely helped to reinforce the myth about the Bastille, which survives in the commemoration of Bastille Day every year. This is how "history" is made.

Myths about the Soviet Union were eagerly accepted for decades. When an honest man like Malcolm Muggeridge, brought up in the very heart of Fabianism, reported from the Soviet Union on the brutal realities of Stalin's regime, he was disbelieved. Tens of thousands of self-styled intellectuals believed that basically Stalin was a democrat at heart. Stalin's works on Leninism and Marxism were seriously studied as those of a great thinker. It took the Soviet invasion of Hungary in 1956, under that more "moderate" Soviet leader Khrushchev to shake the faith of people like K.D. Gott, Phillip Adams and many others.

THE KATYN FOREST MASSACRE

Now comes the open admission by the Polish Communist government, buffeted by the winds of change sweeping through the Communist world, that the massacre of 4000 Polish army officers in the Katyn Forest nearly half a century ago was committed, not by the wicked Germans, as consistently claimed, but by the Soviet NKVD, the predecessor of the KGB. When the advancing Germans came upon the results of the mass slaughter of the elite of the Polish Army, they called in representatives of neutral nations to examine the evidence of the crime. The evidence was overwhelming, but the Soviet propagandists persistently blamed the Germans. Bruce Ruxton, Returned Servicemen's leader, has recently related how when flying on an Aeroflot flight to Moscow in 1985, a Melbourne-based Jewish Communist tried to assure him that only Western propaganda was blaming the Soviet for the crime.

When the Soviet invaded Poland in 1939, in accordance with their agreement with Hitler, more than 15,000 Polish officers were taken prisoner by the Soviet Army. More than 10,000 of them disappeared with no record, but over 4,000 were found buried in a mass grave in the Katyn forest. There was no suggestion that those responsible for this crime should be charged at the infamous Nuremberg trials. After all, the Soviet representatives were sitting in judgment of the Nazi leaders.

The belated official Polish Communist admission is primarily the result of the denigrating of Stalin by the present Communist leaders. It further highlights the fact that Poland never accepted Soviet domination at the end of the Second World

War, and was in fact betrayed by the Western political leaders, including Churchill. The betrayal of Poland was an admission that the British and French declaration of war on Germany, allegedly to defend Polish sovereignty, ultimately led to a disaster for Poland and the whole of Europe.

MYTH ABOUT THE SIX MILLION

Although the Polish Communists have now admitted the truth about the Katyn forest massacre, it is extremely unlikely that they will now admit that the world has also been hoaxed about the alleged gassing of six million Jews in Auschwitz and other German camps based in Poland. They well understand the power and influence of International Zionism, and they also are deeply in debt to the International Bankers, from whom as a result of the Gorbachev policy they expect to receive more assistance in order to shore up their sagging economy.

The myth about the gassing of six million is a chilling example of how such a myth can be perpetrated. The myth defies ordinary common sense and direct evidence of thousands of witnesses, including Jews, who were involved in the German camps and deny the myth. But the myth should have received a mortal blow with the publication of the Leuchter Report, an engineering report on the alleged execution gas chambers at Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdenek. Fred A. Leuchter is one of America's top experts on the designing and fabrication of execution equipment used in prisons throughout the U.S.A.

Leuchter was engaged professionally to go to Poland to make a study of the alleged gas chambers. Leuchter himself had previously accepted the gassing myth. His scientific report, together with charts, completely demolishes the myth. Described as one of the more important scientific documents relating to the Second World War, it resulted in British historian David Irving, publicly admitting that he was forced to revise his own previous attitude. The Leuchter Report was first presented at the second Toronto trial of Ernest Zundel. But it made no impact on a judge who had said before the trial started the gassing was an historical fact and not debatable.

Relatively few people have as yet heard about the Leuchter Report for the good reason that the mass media pretends it does not exist. But, as the Zionist myth is progressively weakened increasing numbers of people are coming to realise that all "official" and written history is suspect.

PEARL HARBOUR MYTH

A number of Americans, including top naval and intelligence experts have consistently maintained since the end of the Second World War that the Roosevelt administration deliberately sacrificed the American fleet at Pearl Harbour in order to bring the U.S.A. into the Second World War. American public opinion had been strongly against the conflict. Roosevelt's policy of applying economic sanctions and refusal to see the moderate Japanese Prime Minister, Prince Konoye, prepared the way for the Japanese militarists to take power in Japan and to prepare for

war. The Japanese codes had been broken and it was known that the Japanese were about to start a major offensive. The removal of the American fleet from Pearl Harbour should have been a major precautionary move.

Now comes the revelations of veteran Captain Naves, a former expert on Japanese codes and later a director with Australia's Security and Intelligence Organisation (ASIO). Captain Naves is surprised that the British government has banned his book, dealing with what happened nearly 50 years ago. Is this another attempt to preserve the Churchill myth? Naves asks in his book, "Did Churchill want to bring America into the war so badly that he deliberately concealed from Roosevelt the news that the Japanese task force had sailed and that an attack would be launched on 7 December, 1941?"

Captain Naves explains his early career as a student of Japanese and eventually how the Japanese codes were broken. He says that he was "stunned" by Pearl Harbour, "not because of the treacherous nature of the attack — that we had expected. What I could not understand was how the Americans could be so unprepared when the British had such a wealth of accurate intelligence available about Japan's plans."

In an interview with Tom Prior in *The Sun*, Melbourne, of March 10, Captain Naves, obviously a top expert on code breaking, says, "The Americans were breaking the Japanese code well before the war years. They were reading the Japanese mail, as the saying goes. As far as Pearl Harbour is concerned, my impression was that the Americans knew of it in advance, but couldn't conceive of it being so successful."

Captain Naves refers to the fact that because the British

had broken the German code, it was known in advance that there was to be saturation bombing of Coventry. British historian David Irving deals with this in his book, *Churchill's War*.

THE MONEY MYTH

The most dangerous myth of all is the money myth, which is skilfully maintained in the face of commonsense and enormous documentation. As far back as the twenties Sir Reginald McKenna, Chairman of the Midland Bank, the biggest bank of its kind in the world at the time, was telling the bank's shareholders that "I am afraid that the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks can and do create money. The amount of money in existence varies only with the action of the banks in increasing or diminishing deposits. We know how this is affected. Every bank loan and every bank purchase of securities creates a deposit, and every repayment of a bank loan and every bank sale destroys one."

But in spite of a number of Royal Commissions and other investigations into banking, at which leading bankers admitted under questioning that the banking system creates most of the nation's money in the form of bank credit, operated upon by cheques, large numbers of people, including politicians, still believe that banks can only loan money deposited with them. Many bank managers understand what they are doing, but do not discuss it with customers. Some deny the creation of credit money but if pressed hard enough will admit the truth, but observing they are advised not to talk about it.

The vital role of the money system in history is generally ignored by historians. And this is why most written history is, in the famous words of Henry Ford, simply "bunk".

ISRAELI EXTREMISTS AND CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS: THE ALLIANCE

By Grace Halsell in *Christian News (U.S.A.)*, December 19, 1988.

At the time I began my research for my book *Prophecy and Politics*, I discovered the average American I met in Washington, D.C. and New York was not interested in TV evangelists and their link to Israel. Neither were book editors. I went to 25 top editors in New York with my book idea on religion and politics. Michael Korda of Simon and Schuster was typical. "Jerry Falwell? Pat Robertson? Who is interested in those crazies?"

By the time my book came out those "crazies" were on the front page of every American newspaper and on every news channel. Of course, I didn't give them this instant fame, which extended throughout the world. Two of them earned it themselves by being in the middle of scandals.

The press told us that Jim Bakker had committed adultery and that Jimmy Swaggart regularly visited a prostitute. A fellow marine said Pat Robertson never had to dodge bullets in Korea because he had used his father's influence as a senator to escape front line duty. But almost everyone ignored the biggest scandal of all, the peculiar mixture of prophecy and politics professed by these and other Christian Zionists.

THE CHRISTIAN ZIONIST'S MESSAGE

What is the message of the Christian Zionist? Simply stated it is this: Every act taken by Israel is orchestrated by God, and should be condoned, supported, and even praised by the rest of us.

"Never mind what Israel does," say the Christian Zionists. "God wants this to happen." This includes the invasion of Lebanon, which killed or injured an estimated 100,000 Lebanese and

Palestinians, most of them civilians; the bombing of sovereign nations such as Iraq; the deliberate methodical brutalizing of the Palestinians — breaking bones, shooting children, and demolishing homes; and the expulsion of Palestinian Christians and Muslims from a land they have occupied for over 2,000 years.

My premise in *Prophecy and Politics* is that Christian Zionism is a dangerous and growing segment of Christianity,

CONTINUING ANTI-LEAGUE CAMPAIGN

The appointment of prominent Melbourne Zionist, Mr. Ron Caston, Q.C., to assist Irene Moss of the Human Rights Commission to conduct an investigation into "racism" in Australia, indicates what is planned. Already in press statements Irene Moss has left no doubt that the League of Rights is a major target, and has attempted to link it with small pro-Nazi groups.

A large amount, unknown as yet, of taxpayers money has been used in inserting advertisements in papers calling for submissions to Moss and her colleagues.

The League of Rights has filed a formal submission stating where it stands on the subject of immigration and associated matters. In view of the nature of the inquiry, the League of Rights does not propose to seek to appear before it, objecting to the type of "star chamber" methods under which the Human Rights Commission operates.

which was popularized by the 19th-century American Cyrus Scofield when he wrote into a Bible his interpretation of events in history. These events all centered on Israel— past, present, and future. His Scofield Bible is today the most popular of the reference Bibles.

Schofield said that Christ cannot return to earth until certain events occur; the Jews must return to Palestine, gain control of Jerusalem and rebuild a temple, and then we all must engage in the final, great battle called Armageddon. Estimates vary, but most students of Armageddon theology agree that as a result of these relatively recent interpretations of Biblical scripture, 10 to 40 million Americans believe Palestine is God's chosen land for the Jews.

Has the power of the Christian Zionists diminished?

I do not think so. Rather, we are seeing how the Christian Zionists, motivated by religious beliefs are working hand in glove with politically motivated, militant Jewish Zionists around the world. It is the Christian support of Zionism that emboldens Zionists to believe they can dictate to relatively weak and dependent countries such as Austria, whom they may choose as their president.

It is the Christian support of Zionism that allows Manuel Noriega to remain the strongman in Panama, misusing his power, regardless of what harm he causes to the United States, his neighbours, and his people.

It is the Christian support of Zionism that enables the militant Israelis to take over Palestinian homes surrounding the Al Aqsa mosque in pursuit of their well-documented plan to destroy Jerusalem's most holy Islamic site, sacred to a billion Muslims around the world — one-fifth of humanity.

CHRISTIAN ZIONISTS AND THE IRAN-CONTRA SCANDAL

Remarkably, it was this Christian cult of Israel that brought us the Iran-contra scandal; perhaps the most self-destructive act in the history of the United States. Marine Col. Oliver North, the perpetrator of this misguided series of actions, is a Christian Zionist. A born-again charismatic figure, he endeared himself to the militant Israeli Zionists who plotted Iran-contra. "He is more Israeli," said one Jewish general, "than we Israelis." This is often the case, in his zealotry, the Christian Zionist can become more Zionist, more militant, than the Jewish Zionist.

In the Iran-contra hearings, Sen. James McClure (R—ID) explained to North that the U.S. had a stated policy of neutrality in the Iran-Iraq war. That policy differed radically from Israel's policy of selling arms to Iran. Yes, agreed North, the two policies were not the same. The question, to which McClure's efforts yielded no response, then becomes: Why would the U.S. forego its American policy to pursue Israeli policy?

The answer, unfortunately, lies in the belief system of Christian Zionists: They believe that what Israel wants is what God wants. Therefore, it is perfectly acceptable to give the green light to whatever it is Israel wants and then conceal this from the American people. Anything, including lies, theft, even murder, is justified as long as Israel wants it.

Another perfect example of a Christian Zionist is Sen. Daniel Inouye (D—HI). Throughout the hearings on the Iran-contra scandal, the Hawaiian kept the focus on the contras and steered determinedly clear of any criticism of Israel. If, in answer to questions, witnesses sought to explain the seminal and continuing role of Israel, Inouye abruptly broke off the line of questioning that had led to this unwanted destination.

Despite the political problems created by its lay practitioners and the scandals that rocked some of its TV ministries, this belief system — this cult of Israel — has not been diminished.

Indeed, I hold that Christian Zionism threatens not just the lives of Palestinians and other Arabs, but the very existence of

the United States. Because of the cult of Israel, we have become a nation that does not have its own Middle East policy, but the policy the government of Israel tell us to have.

Despite the terrifying aspects of the alliance of militant Christians with militant Jewish Zionists, I find some encouraging developments. In my visits to colleges, clubs, and churches around the country, I have found strong support for the message and warning in *Prophecy and Politics*. It has come not only from liberal congregations, but from across the whole spectrum of Christianity, including those Christians who call themselves fundamentalists. These supporters see Christ as the bearer to humanity of God's message of peace, brotherhood, love and reconciliation. These Christians do not endorse either the cult of Israel or its killings and beatings of Palestinians.

I have found many such Christians in my frequent visits to my home state of Texas. There and all over this slowly-awakening land of ours, I have found a small but increasing number of ministers and lay people who are deeply alarmed by the cult of Israel and willing to stand up and speak out about it.

(Grace Halsell's explosive "*Prophecy and Politics*" available from all League addresses. \$13 posted.)

THE SECOND JAPANESE INVASION

Primarily as a result of the Japanese treatment of Australian prisoners during the Pacific War, there is growing alarm throughout Australia as Japanese investments in Australia mount. There are also other causes for concern, not the least of these being the perceived impact of Japanese culture in Australia. If Australia is seen by the Japanese as a type of colony, then it is obvious that there is going to be growing friction. While emotionalism is a major factor in human affairs, it can result in a distorted picture of reality. There is no reason why a sovereign Australia should not have a relationship with Japan beneficial to both countries. But such a relationship requires a major change in thinking concerning finance and economics.

A brief excursion into history is essential for a realistic assessment of an issue, which Australia must face. Prior to and during the First World War Japan's relationship with Great Britain, the dominant global naval power at the time was formalised by the Anglo-Japanese naval agreement, an agreement enabling troop ships carrying Australian soldiers to move to the Middle East and Europe with relative safety.

The Japanese saw the unilateral abrogation of the naval agreement in 1922 as a loss of face. The British Government capitulated to Washington pressure.

Japan, like the United Kingdom, is a relatively small country with a big population. The conventional, but unrealistic view, is that the British became progressively wealthier by "exploiting" British colonies. The reality was that, in order to operate under orthodox finance-economics, the British had to "export or perish" With cheaper food available from British Commonwealth countries like New Zealand, Australia and Canada, British agriculture was downgraded, a policy for which the British suffered dearly during the First

World War. Making use of their enormous coal supplies, and their lead in the industrial revolution, the British imported vast quantities of raw materials and exported finished manufactured goods, many of them on credit. When the British finally retreated from the sub-continent of India, having invested heavily in capital development like the railways and irrigation schemes, they were told that they were heavily in debt.

Unlike the British, the Japanese lacked either colonies of fellow Japanese to which they could export. They had to deal with non-Japanese nations like Australia, drawing progressively upon Australian wool, coal and other products as Japanese industrialisation developed. The Great Depression years saw the Japanese faced with the erection of tariff and other barriers. The more moderate elements in the Japanese government lost their influence as the militarists insisted that raw materials would have to be obtained by force. The Japanese excursions into Korea, Manchuria and China, were all motivated by the alleged necessity to gain resources essential to keep the Japanese economy operating.

Japanese methods of expansion made them detested right throughout Asia. The much-publicised Co-Prosperity programme was, like Hitler's claim that Germany had to expand to gain "living space", based upon the false premise that nations become wealthier by obtaining a "favourable trade balance". Pearl Harbour was the response to the American policy of attempting to deny Japan access to oil and other raw materials. Like the West Germans, the Japanese finished the war badly defeated. But they started reconstruction with many advantages, which they have eagerly accepted. The Japanese have demonstrated that with a highly disciplined homogeneous nation, they can adapt Western technology to producing a flood of goods of all kinds.

But like all industrialised societies, Japan generates prices at a much faster rate than incomes. While it is true that Japan does not have external debt, it has a massive internal debt, essential to keep the economy operating. Judged by orthodox financial standards, Japan has been highly successful because she has consistently obtained a "favourable balance of trade". The reality is that Japan exports far more production than she imports, and, physically is therefore operating at a loss.

By using the vast export credits resulting from exporting, the Japanese are in the position where they can buy up assets in Australia, the U.S.A. and elsewhere. This is an expansion of the old Co-Prosperity programme through finance-economics, not through military adventures. Australia is accepting what is a second Japanese invasion. The first failed, although Darwin and Townsville were bombed, and Japanese midget submarines penetrated the Sydney harbour. But the second invasion is proving highly successful because, rather than an effort being made to repel it, it is being encouraged by Australia's policy makers. Blinded by the Black Magic of debt-finance, they insist that Australia cannot "develop" without Japanese investments.

Defence of Australia therefore demands a major change in thinking concerning finance-economics.

The reality is that Japan could sink beneath the sea tomorrow, and Australia would be left with vast resources to sustain an independent people. Australian houses could be built with Australian building materials, by Australian tradesmen eating Australian produced food. Australia as a nation could be completely self-sufficient, even in the field of oil. The modernisation of the Australian coal industry and a greater use of oil with modern technology, would free Australia from the threat of oil shortages or financial blackmail.

Japan requires Australia and its resources much more than Australia needs Japan. A genuinely sovereign and independent Australia could provide those resources in exchange for required Japanese production. True international trade is an exchange of genuine surpluses for the mutual advantage of the separate nations. The Japanese people are as much victims of Japanese finance-economic policy as are Australians. The current Japanese invasion is only being made possible because of the acceptance by Australians of the same type of financial policy being used against them by the Japanese.

In one sense, the battle for Australia is one of the mind. In winning that battle, Australia would also be rendering a service to their fellow victims of a totalitarian philosophy, the Japanese people.

"APARTHEID" & "SPORT"

by Ivor Benson in February issue, "Behind the News",
P.O. Box 1564, Krugersdorp 1740 South Africa.

The undeclared war against South Africa took an interesting new turn on January 24, when the International Cricket Conference decided unanimously that players who participate in cricket or any other sport in South Africa after April 1 should be banned from Test matches for three to five years.

Lord Bramall, chairman of the ICC, dismissed the accusation that the British cricket authority had yielded to blackmail, explaining that all that had happened was that they had "faced up to certain realities". Since these "realities" consisted of a threat that the British must either toe the line or be excluded from international Test cricket, Lord Bramall might just as well have admitted the *blackmail* charge.

There are *two angles* on the news of Britain's dishonourable surrender, which call for a few words of comment:

1. It should be remembered that Test cricket is not "sport" according to the true and original meaning of that word. So, what is it? It is a *vast commercial enterprise* having only the most tenuous connection with cricket as sport. News about Test cricket, as about all professional and commercialised "sport", should be confined to the newspapers' entertainments pages.

Real sport, something quite different, is a form of cultural activity having nothing whatever to do with commercial profitability. The purpose of real sport is to increase physical fitness and skill in the players and to exercise *social instincts* in players and spectators.

Hence, what happens to Test cricket from now on matters very little, but it can be safely predicted that it will be an increasing source of nastiness. Therefore, too, the ban will do no real harm to the South Africans, since it is only a debauched cricket that is affected — and the ban might even do some good by concentrating attention on the real game which young people *play* rather than just *pay* to see.

What most people today fail to see or, seeing, fail to

understand, is that there are deep *moral issues* involved in the gross commercialisation of sport. Existence all over the Western world today is influenced overwhelmingly by commercial considerations, money being regarded as the only means of securing happiness.

Or, to put it differently the major cause of confusion and disorder in the West is the lack of a spiritual and intellectual frame of reference from which can be derived a sense of purpose and direction — the only protection we can have against the misleading charms of short-term advantage.

2. It should also be remembered that those who mastermind and largely finance a worldwide anti-apartheid campaign are bent on achieving purposes far larger than that of securing an over-

throw of White rule in South Africa. It is an exercise in *psychological warfare* aimed at all mankind, its purpose to disarm an instinct deeply rooted in human nature in favour of group identity and group self-preservation.

All that the word "apartheid" means is "apartness" — in political terms the preservation of cultural, linguistic and historical identity and continuity, the very thing people all over the world are now struggling to preserve.

The "apartheid" principle is at work even inside the British Labour Party and in the House of Commons, where Black members are insisting on operating as a separate and self-contained group.

NO CRY FOR COWARDS NOW

The address below was given by the Rt. Hon. J. Enoch Powell, MBE, to the Annual Dinner of the Birmingham Branch of the Royal Society of St. George at the Chamber of Commerce Club, Edgbaston, Birmingham, England, on 22 April, 1988. Mr. Enoch Powell continues to raise his voice on basic issues, and is widely heeded.

This is a day and an occasion when there is no excuse for the mock-modesty and self-depreciation, which are among the less admirable habits of the English. I mean to eschew them. 'English' is an adjective, which denotes a language. 'English' is an adjective, which denotes the inhabitants of this country. 'English' is an adjective which denotes a particular people or, not to be mealy-mouthed, a particular race. We have no real doubt what we mean by those statements. Others may speak and read English — more or less — but it is our language not theirs. It was made in England by the English and it remains our distinctive property, however widely it is learnt or used.

England is the country of the English. We know its bounds; we know when we enter it and when we leave it, and it means something real to us when we say of it, "This is my own, my native land." That England is the stage on which the drama of English history was played and the setting within which the English became conscious of themselves as a people.

The process of becoming English took place through time. This was a period when no such thing as "the English people" yet existed. That does not invalidate the end result of the process nor authorize the absurd illogicality of those who say that because the English people grew out of the self-conscious and often warring kingdoms of Dark Age England, therefore the nations of Western Europe need only be thrown together into a crucible for the same witchcraft of the years to create a new common identity out of them.

In years gone by a great deal of nonsense used to be talked and believed about "the English race". We have grown shy of the subject because so much more and worse nonsense was talked and believed in Germany about "the Aryan race". Our embarrassment is understandable; but more is lost than is gained if embarrassment makes us blind to an essential fact about the English people. True,

No One knows
Through what wild centuries
Roves back the rose,

and we shall never disentangle, identify and measure the various sources which, since Neolithic times or earlier, have contributed to the gene pool of the English people. Whatever they were, the English people recognized one another and identified themselves with one another long enough ago for us to be sure that the history plays of Shakespeare struck the same emotional chords in their first audiences as they strike in us today. Those lines were addressed to people who knew they were "English" and not anything else, and Englishness went far deeper and wider than the effects of common government and common policy. It has been well remarked that societies depend for existence upon the mutual recognition of their members, because that implies a shared introspection. "He thinks as I do, he wants what I want,

because I recognize that he also is an Englishman."

There is a singular happiness of the English which we may well record with gratitude in 1988, the three hundredth year since a revolution was not made but avoided because in 1688 Englishmen recognized that they were all of one mind. That singular happiness of the English is their gift of being governed with their consent. The essential ingredient of that consent is the implicit assumption of a common identity, which overrides differences of mere opinion, the blood — if the word be not taken too literally — that is proverbially thicker than water. It is a fact about the English, which cannot be defied with impunity. That alas seems to have been the intention of those by whom we have been taught and governed and preached at for a generation. The Prime Minister of England lately visited the dominions of the Ottoman Turk. There she was heard assuring the Grand Vizier that the English only long for the day when with the rest of Europe they will be governed not only by Portuguese and Greeks but by Turks too. It is an event that perhaps ought not to surprise us so much, when for twenty years now the English have been assured that they must be governed at home by the sufferance of those who not only visibly do not share with them a common identity but are to be encouraged to maintain and intensify their difference.

When politicians and preachers attempt to frighten or cajole the English into pretending away the distinction between themselves and the people of other nations and other origins, they are engaged in undermining the foundation upon which democratic government by consent and a peaceable and civilized society in this country are supported. The operation is the more dangerous because those engaged in it arouse little public alarm but on the contrary appear to enjoy every indication of widespread support and approval.

The Royal Society of St. George, of "St. George for England," is, on its own terms, a "patriotic society" which exists "to foster the love of England." Patriotism, contrary to what some suppose, does not come easily and is not to be had cheaply. Those who at the end of the 20th century wish to keep alive that consciousness of being English, which seemed so effortless and uncontroversial to our forefathers, will discover that they are called upon, if they take their purpose seriously, to confront the most arrogant and imposing prejudices of their time. Once it was easy and popular to cry, "For Harry, England and St. George." Today that is no cry for cowards, no passport to popular approval and prompt reward. It is none the worse for that.

Printed and Published by The Australian League of Rights.
145 Russell Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3001.