

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

VOL 54, No. 2.

Registered by Australia Post-Publication No. VBH 1001

FEBRUARY 1990.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

WHY INTERNATIONALISM MUST FAIL

by Eric D. Butler.

In one of his early articles, *"The International Idea"*, C.H. Douglas examined the history of the idea that it was both possible and desirable to create a type of World State, and concluded that the idea was doomed to failure because it contradicted reality. Douglas said that the true evolution of Mankind had been the result of diversity, not uniformity, with the flowering of different nationalities with their own distinctive cultures. However, from the beginning of time there have been those who have believed that global central planning was possible, providing the planners had enough power to impose their plans. The growing convulsions throughout the world are the result of policies, which attempt to defy reality.

Soviet leader Gorbachev is learning something about that reality. As a declared student of Leninism, Gorbachev is aware of the importance, which Lenin attached to the "National Question" as did also Stalin. When Stalin successfully defeated Trotsky in the struggle for power following the death of Lenin, large numbers of people, led as usual by the so-called intellectuals, were deluded into believing that Stalin was some type of Russian nationalist and that any threat by a worldwide revolutionary movement had receded. Those who had taken the trouble to study Lenin and Stalin, and the use of dialectics, attempted to point out that nationalism was regarded as a means to an end, not an end in itself. Stalin summarised the issue with his observation that nationalism was being used so that eventually it could be abolished.

Stalin stressed that only through nationalism could the Soviet become a strong enough base to support a worldwide revolutionary movement. When Hitler's armies were driving deep into the Soviet Union, with large numbers of the Red Army surrendering to those they believed were liberators, Stalin had to put aside Communist ideals, for which there was obviously little enthusiasm among the peoples of the Soviet Empire. Stalin's propaganda now started to appeal to history and traditions. "Mother Russia" was at stake. The Christian Faith was exalted. And Hitler made a major strategic mistake by treating the Slavonic people as inferiors.

Ideas rooted in reality can have an explosive impact under certain circumstances. In a desperate attempt to gain the Western economic aid he must have, Gorbachev has had no alternative but to try to liberalise the Soviet system. Clearly understanding one of the major sources of power in the world, he lost no time in accepting the dictates of International Zionism and in offering the multi-nationals of the world the opportunity to participate in integrated ventures to "reconstruct" the Soviet economy. Zionist leaders proclaimed that Gorbachev was the greatest Russian since Peter the Great and must be supported at all costs.

A ZIONIST DIRECTIVE

The Australian Jewish News of January 12 carries a report from Moscow headed LEIBLER BACKS SOVIET VENTURES. Mr. Isi Leibler, senior International Zionist leader, is quoted as having told Deputy Soviet Prime Minister Stepan Sytaryan that Western Jewish business leaders would encourage their govern-

ments "to get involved commercially in the Soviet Union so as to support perestroika in the difficult period it is going through." But Mr. Leibler does make the significant comment that he is concerned about 'popular anti-Semitism'. As demonstrated by British historian David Irving in his book *"Uprising"*, on the Hungarian anti-Communist revolt of 1956, one of the major motives of this dramatic affair, which temporarily saw the Soviet grip on the nation broken, was a strong resentment against the Jewish influence in the Hungarian Communist government. Zionists are now expressing fears that "anti-Semitism" is starting to express itself throughout Eastern European nations as they have openly revolted against brutal Communist regimes. Historical anti-Jewish feelings throughout the whole of Eastern Europe are rooted in a perception that Jewish influence was excessive before the Second World War, and was maintained during the years under Communist domination.

Assuming that Gorbachev remains a dedicated Leninist, as he claims to be, the Soviet leader remains committed to the international ideals enunciated by Lenin. One of Lenin's most significant statements stressed that a World Communist State could not be created until there was an international economic system. Soviet as well as Chinese Communist leaders, who also revere Lenin, have openly supported the concept for the New International Economic Order, stating that it is an extension of Leninism. International bankers and other internationalists are prominent in the thrust to establish the New International Economic Order. Clearly they see Gorbachev as at least a spiritual ally, while no doubt Gorbachev sees the integrating of a "reconstructed" Soviet economy as a means of advancing internationalism in a much more acceptable form. Zionist support for Gorbachev indicates that he is perceived as the man who can further their own Messianic concepts of a New World Order. A study of the history of movements to centralise power reveals that there have sometimes been some strange allies. The Jewish-backed Social Democrats of Germany supported the Bismarck policy of crippling the separate German States, and subverting the culture of Goethe and Heine. Bismarck later observed about his relationship with the German Socialists, that "we march separately but we fight together."

Whether the peoples of Eastern Europe or in the south of the Soviet can regain genuine control of their own affairs remains to be seen. But as demonstrated by the Lithuanians, and the violent

ethnic conflicts which have forced Gorbachev to bring in the Red Army, the nationalist spirit is making nonsense of the internationalist idea, associated with that of multi-cultural societies. It is not without significance that Gorbachev has received the tacit support of the Bush Administration to use force inside a Soviet Union increasingly feeling the strains and tensions of different ethnic peoples seeking to preserve their own identities and cultures. Over 70 years of Soviet Communism have not been able to destroy the basic differences of the different peoples who make up the Soviet Union.

THE TOYNBEE ADMISSION

The problem of imposing any International New Order was foreseen by one of the leading prophets of the idea, historian Professor Arnold Toynbee, in a highly significant address in 1931 at the Fourth Annual Conference of Institutions for the Scientific Study of International Relations, held in Copenhagen in 1931.

The full text of the Toynbee address was published in *International Affairs*, organ of the Royal Institute of International Affairs. It was left to C H. Douglas to draw attention to the far-reaching implications of an address, which clearly suggested that there was a long-range plan to impose an international programme on the peoples of the world. Toynbee made constant use of the pronoun "we" in describing events past, contemporary and the future. Toynbee was a delegate to the Paris Peace Treaty, which formulated the disastrous Versailles Peace Agreement, which helped to make another major World War certain. It was at the Peace Conference that the idea of World Government emerged in embryonic form as The League of Nations, to be succeeded by the United Nations Organisation at the conclusion of World War II.

In his Copenhagen address, Toynbee said "we did not bring the New World into being to redress but to upset the balance of the Old." Although frankly admitting that "we ' are working to destroy national sovereignties, Toynbee admitted there were difficulties. "Even the most internationally minded among us are votaries of this false god of local national sovereignty to some extent. It is such an old-established object of worship that it retains some hold even over the most enlightened souls."

Those who reject any concept of conspiracy in human affairs might consider Toynbee's admission that, 'If we are frank with ourselves, we shall admit that we are engaged in a deliberate and sustained and concentrated effort to impose limitations upon the sovereignty and independence of the fifty or sixty local sovereign independent States which at present partition the habitable surface of the world and divide the political allegiance of mankind' Toynbee said "we" "are denying with our lips what 'we' are doing with our hands." Many of Toynbee's spiritual allies are engaged in the same misleading tactics.

While it is true that there have always been a number of contestants in the bid for World Power, events over the last two hundred years have demonstrated that the growing dominant power has been that of International Finance, itself progressively centralised, as witnessed first by the establishment of Central Banks in all countries, followed by the Bank of International Settlements, which continued to operate throughout the Second World War, and then the emergence of The World Bank and The International Monetary Fund at the conclusion of the Second World War. Gorbachev and his colleagues have sought to join the International Monetary Fund. While it is true that Gorbachev has sought to join the IMF as part of his strategy of integrating Soviet and Western economies, it is also certain that Gorbachev the Leninist sees the extension of the IMF as a means towards creating the New International Economic Order, and through it, the World State. When top Soviet agent Harry Dexter White was masterminding the Bretton Woods Agreement during the closing

SUPPORT MARGARET THATCHER

We urge our readers everywhere, including those in the United States, to write to Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher at 10 Downing Street, London, England, United Kingdom, supporting her stand against surrendering British Sovereignty. It should be pointed out to Mrs. Thatcher that by insisting upon retaining British sovereignty, she is encouraging other peoples everywhere to insist upon controlling their own affairs.

days of the Second World War, he was aware of the importance of establishing the World Bank and The International Monetary Fund as instruments for centralising power worldwide. Communist movements everywhere strongly supported the Bretton Woods Agreement. They were acting in accordance with higher orders.

AN UNREHEARSED EVENT

There have been many different phases in the on-going battle for the world, with the will-to-power remaining a constant. But another constant has been the striving by people of different backgrounds to discriminate in favour of themselves. Those grappling with the problem of how to pursue the goal of World Dominion in the face of the forces of nationalism now so obvious throughout the Soviet Empire, may feel that a degree of "democracy" is possible while financial and economic centralism is intensified. The defeat of financial and economic centralism is essential for the future of Civilisation. Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher's refusal to make the final and complete surrender of British sovereignty, by accepting the Monetary Union with other European Economic Community nations, is one of those dramatic unrehearsed events of history mentioned by C H. Douglas, which, if sustained, could prove of far-reaching significance. It may even be seen historically as one of the decisive turning points in the battle for World Power, confirming Douglas's view that the international idea most ultimately fail.

THE RUSHDIE AFFAIR SHAKES MULTICULTURALISTS

British Muslims have shaken the faith of the multiculturalists. They have threatened death to writer Salman Rushdie for producing a work, which they believe is blasphemous and insulting to the Moslem faith.

Prominent British feminist Fay Weldon is one of those who have become alarmed by the results of the multiculturalism they originally supported. Like many others, Fay Weldon is concerned about the torrent of passion and fanaticism unleashed by the Rushdie affair, with one group of British citizens of Moslem background threatening to murder a fellow British citizen because of what they believe to be religious apostasy.

Fay Weldon no longer believes in multiculturalism, although she supports multi-racialism, expressing the naive hope that the different non-European migrants will break free of their cultural ghettos, and join the mainstream of British society.

For all her radical feminism, Fay Weldon accepts the traditional British value system, the Common Law, free speech and toleration.

The multicultural madness must, unless checked, create a future Australia consisting, in the words of Professor Blainey, of a number of different tribal groups, a certain recipe for mounting friction and conflict.

MORE LIGHT ON THE AUSCHWITZ MYTH

Zionist attempts to force a group of Roman Catholic nuns to close a convent at Auschwitz, Poland, highlights the determination of Jewish leaders to insist that they have a monopoly on the subject of human suffering. Col. F.A. Winiarz, retired, is a former Aviation and Clinical Psychologist with the United States Air Force, and the following article appeared in *"The Remnant" U.S.A.*, of November 15, 1989. While *The Leuchter Report* completely demolishes the generally accepted view that there was a systematic gassing, or liquidation programme by the Germans, in Poland, the fact that Col. Winiarz, and the Mother Superior of the disputed Convent, accept at least part of this general view, gives their views greater significance.

(Note Part of this article was published in The Buffalo News, on October 21, 1989. Other parts, however, especially relating to the reported Jewish synagogue inside the Auschwitz Camp, have never, to our knowledge, been brought to the light of day.)

On September 29, 1989, this writer had an opportunity to visit and chat with Sister Teresa, the Mother Superior of the Carmelite Sisters Cloistered Convent, in Oswiecim, Poland, which was recently invaded by a group of seven American Jews, led by Rabbi Abraham Weiss from New York City. We spent some three and one-half hours discussing this incident, the Jewish question in general, and her plans for now and in the future.

To be in the presence of Sister Teresa, and to hear her recite the history of Poland as it relates to the Jewish question, and the current onslaught on her convent, is to gain a clear insight into my own Polish background. As most Poles, she is friendly, affable and a scrapper. A short, very attractive young woman, she is determined to stand her ground and *not* budge an inch to the pressure that she move her convent elsewhere. And here is why.

The cross and the convent building objected to by the Jews do not stand on the grounds of the Auschwitz Concentration Camp. The cross was erected on the very spot where some 22,000 Polish people, mostly the Polish intelligentsia, were murdered by the NAZIS in 1940, some two years prior to the arrival of any Jewish inmates. The first shipment of Jews to Auschwitz took place in the spring of 1942. Therefore, the cross was erected in memory of the Polish souls, on the very spot where they died. And that is where she is determined to keep it.

At this point, she reviewed how good the Poles have been to the Jews for centuries. They were expelled from England in 1290 from France in 1306, and again in 1394, and from Spain in 1492. In Poland they began to appear in the 13th century, granted refuge by numerous Polish princes, together with the right to conduct their own affairs with their own laws. It was not until King Kazimierz Wielki (1310—1370) that their privileges were extended over the entire land. They became a state within a state. They had their own boroughs, their own courts of law, etc. They enjoyed rights in Poland denied to them by Western Europe.

According to Sister Teresa, most of the Jews perished not in Auschwitz, but rather in Birkenau, Belzec, Majdanek, Sobibor, and Treblinka Camps, which were erected by the German NAZIS in occupied Poland. Those were the main crematoria built for the specific decimation of the Jews. Of course, some did perish in the Auschwitz Concentration Camp proper. Of the 4.5 million people who perished there, there were 28 different nationalities represented. The Jews comprise approximately 30% of that number.

The sisters have no objections if the Jews wish to build a synagogue next door to them, or across the street from them, or in the very centre of the Auschwitz complex. In fact, the Jews are the *only* group that already has a synagogue in the centre of the Auschwitz Camp. One of the former inmate-barracks was given to them as a pro-tem synagogue. They conduct their prayers and services there, as well as other social functions.

We then touched on the subject of Zygmund Nissenbaum, a Jewish millionaire, now residing in West Germany, and a former inmate of the Auschwitz Concentration Camp, who offered to donate a million dollars to the nuns so that they could build their convent elsewhere. Her reply was a vehement, 'we don't want a single penny from him. His offer has a string attached to it. He

wants to introduce a new brand of liquor to Poland, and that million is to be realized from that source by giving us 15% of his liquor profits. Frankly, Poland has already more vodka than this country needs.'

Then, the point of the Geneva Conference was raised, where a commitment was made to have the convent moved. Question: Did either Cardinal Macharski or Cardinal Glemp consult with you prior to that meeting in Geneva? "Definitely not", was her quick and very emotional response. 'We had no consultation with either of them.'

Representing the nuns in Geneva were Cardinal Franciszek Macharski, Bishop Kazimierz Corny, Father Stanislaw Musial, and the Editor-in-Chief of the Catholic Weekly, the *Tygodnik Powszechny*, Jerzy Turowicz. It is very interesting to note that the Editor-in-Chief, a Jew and a member of the Jewish Council in Poland, represented the Catholics at this meeting.

Also present at the conference in Geneva and representing the Catholic side were two French clergymen, namely, Cardinal Decourtray and Bishop Lustiger, both of whom are Jewish converts. Naturally, the outcome of that Geneva Conference was one-sided in favour of World Jewry.

The Geneva Conference agreed to the following four points: 1) Cardinal Macharski was to oversee the building of this new centre for information, meetings, and prayer. 2) The centre was to be constructed within 24 months. The cost was to be assumed by the Polish Bishops and the Polish Bishops from other countries. The Jews did not commit themselves to any part of the cost of such a centre. The entire burden was to fall on Poland and the World-Polonia. 3) Cardinal Macharski was instructed to report on the progress of such a centre to a Jewish lawyer, Theo Klein, who was the chairman of this conference. 4) The Jewish delegation made it abundantly clear that they are not obligating themselves financially to anything undertaken by the Catholic delegation.

The questions here arise, she said, "Why do the Jews want

THE URGENCY OF BASIC FUND

Unfolding events everywhere are confirming the vital importance of the work of the Australian League of Rights, and the disastrous folly of permitting it to falter at this moment of high drama in the struggle for the world. Less than \$10,000 is now required from Australians to fill the 1990 Basic Fund of \$65,000.

The League's on-going programme is geared to a tightly organised strategy, which requires a minimum Basic Fund every year. Unless that minimum is obtained, the strategy falters. All Australian readers who have not yet contributed are requested to rush their contributions to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne. The great majority who have not yet contributed would, by their failure, be "letting down" their fellows.

This must not happen.

special laws and treatment in Auschwitz only for themselves?" "Why don't they realize that the best arrangement for everyone would be if each religion would build its own temple of prayer?" "Do they still consider themselves the chosen people?"

Sister Teresa stated, "There is no good or bad God. God is one. Let the Jews understand that the prayers of the Carmelite nuns are also offered for the souls of those victims who were also of the Jewish persuasion."

In a very perplexed manner, she asked, "Why are the Jews, in this particular point in time, when Poland has so many serious problems, and is attempting to build a new political system, creating such a disturbance for us?" Furthermore, she continued, "Jews are accusing the Poles of anti-Semitism. If they knew their history, they would know that in this country they had their biggest economic and political privileges. And besides that, didn't the Poles suffer thousands of deaths for attempting to help the Jews during World War II?"

"Israel," she continued, "receives three billion dollars from country; however, the daily press reports in detail how they are mistreating the Arabs. Greater anti-Semites are hard to find."

Poland now wishes to build a democratic form of government. It needs financial help from the United States and the World Bank. They don't want a dole. They want a good credit rating. Being an industrious and a greatly responsible nation, it would soon put its economic system on target. The Poles are an honourable breed.

According to Mother Teresa, following World War II, the fate of the Polish economy was in the hands of Henry Minc, a Jew. Military Affairs Minister was Jacob Bermann, another Jew. The entire Polish Government consisted of 75% Polish Communist Jews, appointed by Joseph Stalin, with the specific instructions to introduce atheism into Poland. Schools and

Churches were closed by the Jews in power. Because the Poles wished to be Catholic, many of them were imprisoned. Churches were devastated. The results of that political period are well known and recorded in the annals of Polish history.

It is worthy to note that the Jews are continually accusing the Poles of anti-Semitism. However, it is to be noted that following World War II the Minister of Defense was Marian Spoyhalski, a Jew. He held this position from 1956 to 1968. In 1963, he received the title of 'Marshall' in spite of the fact that he never served a day in the military.

Another Jew was the president of Poland prior to Wojciech Jaruzelski. His name? Heinric Grumbaum, changed to Henryk Jankowski. He served from 1972 to 1982. There were many ministers, judges, and members of important committees, etc. of Jewish persuasion. When one compares this with the very small percentage of Jews in Poland prior to World War II (10% out of the 35 million people), one can easily deduce that there was very little anti-Semitism in Poland to speak of. The Jews were an insignificant minority group in Poland, with a majority of privileges.

Finally, Sister Teresa concluded, Auschwitz is a place of many peoples' tragedy. "We must honour that. We must bow our heads over this land, and pray in many languages, and according to many beliefs. We cannot say that only one nation was chosen, and that that nation has the right to dictate to others what it should do. That was the exact attitude of Hitler and his henchmen," she stated.

Her final goodbye to me was, "You can tell the Americans that we are *not* moving a single inch. And like the Pauline Monks who bravely withstood the Swedish siege of their monastery at Czestochowa in 1655, we are here to stay!" My retort to that was a "thumbs-up" sign, and a 'Nasi Gora' remark. She smiled broadly and replied, 'Nawzajem!'

THE COMMUNIST FLAG LOOMS OVER SOUTH AFRICA

The Communist-dominated African National Congress continues to act as if nothing has happened in Eastern Europe, as if they can create the millennium in South Africa even though their fellow Marxists have failed so badly in the Soviet Union and throughout the Eastern European nations. And many of the pseudo-intellectuals, Church leaders and academics who were so badly misled by the Soviet, are still being misled by the Marxists manipulating the African National Congress.

The following article from "Signposts" a journal, which seeks to inform Christians of the Marxist-based ideas, makes important reading at the present time. "Signposts" can be obtained by donation from P.O. Box 26148 Arcadia 0007, South Africa.

The most striking feature of what was advertised, as the "first official ANC rally in 30 years" was the huge Red flag of the South African Communist Party (SACP). It shared pride of place with the flag of the African National Congress behind the speakers' rostrum. Between the two was an enormous banner bearing the ANC logo and the slogan 'ANC LIVES! ANC LEADS!'

By giving such prominence to the SACP at the rally, held near Soweto on October 29, the organisers clearly intended to highlight the close alliance between the ANC and SACP. As the liberal *Business Day* put it, "Apologists for the ANC who have disputed down the years the dominance of the Communist Party within the organisation's national executive have been convincingly answered: to march with the ANC is to march under the Red flag. Rather, it is to march behind the Red flag."

But that was not the only Communist flag at the rally to welcome home seven veteran ANC leaders who had been released after serving long jail sentences. In the stands blood-red flags with the crossed hammer and sickle and the five-pointed star of international Communism outnumbered ANC flags!

The Communist flag also appeared at the many marches held in the large cities and small rural towns of South Africa since the September 13 march in Cape Town. On October 14, at a subsequent parade, which ended in front of Parliament in Cape Town, ANC and SACP flags were draped over the statue of the country's

first Prime Minister, Louis Botha. The South African flag was lowered as the demonstrators sang the ANC anthem, *Nkosi Sikelele i Afrika*.

Denis Hurley, Catholic Archbishop of Durban, and Anglican Bishop Michael Nuttall, stopped a march twice and threatened to withdraw unless the two Communist flags were lowered. The Red flags were dropped briefly. The archbishop said that if he had been aware that the flags were to be displayed, "I would not have taken part. I was there representing my religion, and the flag is totally against anything I stand for. Communism is completely incompatible with what the freedom movement is trying to achieve."

Apparently Anglican Archbishop Desmond Tutu has no such qualms. According to his spokesman he said he had no problem with the flag because, in a free society, the Communist Party would have the right to demonstrate. But he could understand that, in current circumstances, the display of the Red flag, particularly near the front of a march, could create unnecessary additional controversy for church constituencies. (*Citizen*, 28/9/89).

Speaking on SATV on Oct. 29, Dr. Allan Boesak skated around a question on the Red flag. He refused to condemn its use although he said he would never walk under it. However he has never stopped any of the many marches in which he has participated to have it removed.

The use of the Red flag and the Marxist clenched fist salute by marchers in South Africa stands in stark contrast to the Statue of Liberty erected on Tiananmen Square by the Chinese students and the V-sign given by the young people fleeing from East Germany, a country which has the audacity to include the word "democratic" in its official name.

Why do the South African marchers not display symbols like the Statue of Liberty and the V-sign? Why do they not fly the flags of the United States, Great Britain, West Germany and other Western lands? After all, these countries pour tens of millions of Rands annually into the organisations which support the marches. But not even the United Nations flag is seen! Only symbols identified with international Communism.

Why do the demonstrators identify with an ideology, which even the Soviet president admits is an economic disaster, which is unable to provide even the basic necessities to the people under its sway?

Why are the South African marchers so eager to be ruled by a militantly atheistic system from which Vietnamese and Nicaraguans are fleeing and which Hungarians, Poles and East Germans are seeking to replace?

Has the god of this world so blinded their eyes (II Cor. 4:4) that they are totally incapable of seeing reality?

PROMINENCE GIVEN TO THE SACP

In addition to displaying the Communist flag, the organisers of the demonstrations indicated their affinity to the SACP by the prominence given to its office-bearers. Thus at the welcome home rally, a speech was read on behalf of Joe Slovo, SACP general secretary. He stressed that the SACP remained a loyal partner in the 'revolutionary alliance with the ANC'. He called for an intensification of the struggle and the ever more active mobilisation and organisation of the workers.

Former ANC general secretary, Walter Sisulu, who had been released shortly before, said "The support we received and continue to receive from the OAU and socialist countries will be remembered by the South African people for ever.... We salute President Fidel Castro of Cuba for the heroic role he has played in the fight against colonialism in this region." There were no similar salutes for the help received from Western lands. They were derogatorily referred to as "the imperialist countries"!

Sisulu got the crowd to shout, "Long live our alliance with the South African Communist Party!" Other chants were 'Viva Umkhonto we Sizwe (the joint armed wing of the ANC and SACP), 'Viva SA Communist Party' 'Viva Sam Nujoma'. 'Vivas' were also given for Joe Slovo, Dan Tloome, SACP chairman, other SACP leaders and for Castro. None were given for Western leaders!

Govan Mbeki, a member of both the ANC and SACP, also strongly defended the ANC/SACP alliance. In a paper read on his behalf, he condemned those who 'sought to drive a wedge between what they claim are the Nationalists and Communists in the ANC'.

Commenting on the rally the radical newspaper, *Weekly Mail*, said that it was clear that the SACP was growing increasingly important in its own right. It had won the support of a lot of the militant township youth who favour the SACP's position that "democracy" would create the conditions for the working class to push for socialism. Its popularity had also risen substantially among unionised workers. This was not surprising as it styled itself as 'the vanguard workers party'.

BRINGING DOWN THE GOVERNMENT

According to an article in *The Citizen* of Sep 22, the SACP indicated that the defiance campaign is intended to make South Africa ungovernable. Identifying itself with the defiance campaign, and with resistance in factories, schools, universities and

"THE GREENHOUSE TRAP"

by John L. Daly.

This book is devastating to those whose scientific careers depend upon the Greenhouse Theory. Daly, a non-academic expert on climate and weather, demolishes the greenhouse theory, and goes dangerously close to accusing scientific bureaucracies of fraud in order to maintain research funding. Mr. Daly is a marine electronics engineer, and lectures at Launceston College, in Tasmania.

Dr. Peter Pockley, science columnist for the *Sun Herald* said:

"There can be few laymen who are well enough informed to produce arguments against such complexities as the runaway greenhouse effect. The author takes on the experts.... and he boldly challenges a current scientific fashion."

"The Greenhouse Trap" is essential reading to dispel any remaining doubt about the falsity of 'greenhouse'. Published by Bantam books. — See back page for ordering.

churches, the SACP referred to them as the 'spring offensive'. It wanted the struggle against the government to increase and was fanning the defiance campaign into continuing indefinitely. It also sought to increase internal and external pressure against South Africa. Two of the SACP's objectives were to bring the economy to a halt and cause the state system to collapse.

A change of government was the theme of many of the speeches given during the marches. Speaking at the first Cape Town March, Allan Boesak said, 'in 1985 we marched on a prison. Today we march to a city hall. Tomorrow we will march together to Parliament. This will not be a march to protest, but a march to claim our rights and rule the land of our birth as it should be ruled.' He predicted that Mr. F.W. de Klerk might become "the State President with the shortest term of office in South African history" (*Citizen* 15/9/89).

At the Worcester march, Dr. Boesak vowed to 'bring this government down' through peaceful protest (*Atlanta Journal* 8/10/89)

Peter Mobaka, president of the SA Youth Congress (SAYCO) said, 'As we march, occupy classes and defy apartheid, we must have three objectives — to create organisation, cadreship and leadership throughout the country — in preparation for the transference of power,' (*New Nation* 22/9/89).

The radical Catholic weekly, *New Nation*, saw the marches as 'part of the MDM's (Mass Democratic Movement) determination to build the climate necessary for negotiation with the government about a transfer of power.'

HOW HAVE THE RADICALS REACTED

The authorities have made major concessions to solve South Africa's problems by creating a climate for negotiation and reconciliation. Have the radicals reacted to these attempts to promote dialogue with reconciliatory gestures? No! On the contrary, they have hardened their stance and demanded more concessions.

The ANC's national executive committee described the release as "a victory for the people and the most eloquent vindication of the struggle". It continued, "De Klerk and the racist rulers have done the struggling masses no favours. It was the reality of the mass and armed struggle, coupled with the international pressure that have forced an unwilling de Klerk to open the racist prison" (*Star* 20/10/89)

Cyril Ramaphosa, the National Union of Mineworker's general secretary, echoed the ANC's sentiments. "Their release should inspire each one of us to continue the struggle against White minority domination with renewed energy and vigour. We

expect a rapid growth in our organisation."

In a subsequent radio broadcast he rejected a suggestion that the authorities were sincerely working towards a political solution for the conflict. "That release doesn't represent a change of heart by the regime itself. We have proved that maximum pressure works. They have been released because of the pressure we have mounted upon them and this is the time to intensify the pressure"

MOST BLACKS REJECT ANC/SACP

Although the welcome home rally drew some 70,000 people, its organisers must have been very disappointed. They believed the ANC represents the vast majority of Blacks. As the SA Council of Churches put it in a large advertisement in *New Nation* "The SACC joins the millions of people in this country . . . in welcoming you back home."

But the millions did not bother to come to the welcome home rally! Only a mere 70,000 showed up, even though more than six million Blacks live within a 80 km radius of the stadium. No one could blame the organisers for the poor turnout. They had even bussed supporters in from Cape Town, 1,600 km away. Other buses were dispatched from the Eastern Cape, Natal and the Northern Transvaal. Full-page advertisements were placed in sympathetic newspapers. Even SATV assured viewers that the meeting was legal and therefore people could attend. After all that, only 70,000 people took the trouble to welcome home 'their' leaders. What a disappointment! A good soccer match draws more people to the same stadium!

Contrast that number with the three to four million Blacks

who gather every year for the Easter services of the Zion Christian Church, which rejects the SACC and its politicised message. As Archbishop Mzilikaze Masiya, president of the Council of Apostolic and Zion Churches in South Africa, points out, 'One would have expected at least one million people. The result was actually a very, very poor showing. The silent majority of Blacks in South Africa do not support the ANC comrades who try to silence any opposition by violent means, by 'necklacing' their own people, by forcing them to drink detergent should they not obey consumer boycotts, by shouting Marxist slogans and by carrying the Red flag. Blacks who oppose revolution don't have to be afraid.'

An indication of why the rally was so poorly attended was given by the Zulu King, Goodwill Swelthini, when he addressed a convention of his people in Durban on Nov 19. Speaking to a crowd of 70,000, he accused the veteran ANC leaders of snubbing him by not inviting him or his Prime Minister, Mangosuthu Buthelezi, to the welcoming rally. He did not even receive a single message from them. "I was spurned; the Zulu nation was spurned", he told the crowd who roared back their disapproval.

"There can be no successful negotiations with the South African government by any Black group if they try to ride roughshod over us as a Zulu nation. Measure all political parties, and all liberation movements against the criteria of whether they unite Zulus or divide Zulus from each other."

Far from uniting Blacks, the Xhosa-based ANC and its Marxist partner are dividing them along tribal as well as ideological lines. By so doing the ANC/SACP alliance is revealing how little support it actually has.

WHO SHALL HAVE DOMINION?

- CHRISTIANS AND THE ENVIRONMENT -

In his B.B.C. address in March 1976, soon after his exile to the West. Solzhenitsyn predicted that the West's spiritual confusion would lead to massive political upheaval in the future "What will happen as a result of all this lies ahead of us . . ."

Spiritual confusion and political upheaval seem certain to escalate in the coming decade, unless the Church faces its responsibilities with courage and determination. There is a willful evasion of all political and economic responsibility by those who should be at the forefront of "wrestling with principalities and powers." Spiritual darkness gathers as a result.

The Church could fill a desperate need at present by offering clear moral teaching on the environment. Who is in control? At a debate on the environmental issue at the University of W.A. in 1989, a tutor claimed that 'man needs to be made to feel guilty about the environment.'

'THE NEED FOR GUILT'

Asked to elaborate, the university tutor said:

"The need for guilt is basic to the feeling of human security. If we accept that environmental change is a result of human action, then this implies that man can repair all environmental damage, and thus, man is in control. But if it is demonstrated that, for example, 'greenhouse' is not a result of human impact on the planet, then the changes are completely beyond our control. This is terrifying . . ."

This, of course, is humanist reasoning. The Christian would reason in the reverse: if man is in control of the environment, with all his ignorance, irresponsibility and divisiveness, then we have good reason to be terrified! For the Christian, terror of the future is foreign, because faith requires the acknowledgement that God is in control.

The Christian believes that God gave man dominion over the world, and that we must eventually answer to Him for our custody of His creation. Given that we have been

irresponsible and ignorant, there is much to be redeemed. But creation is clearly a means to an end, not an end in itself. When creation is regarded as an end in itself, pantheism results - we begin to worship nature, rather than the author of nature - the Creator.

MAN IN GOD'S IMAGE

Greg Sheridan expressed this very well in his column in *"The Weekend Australian"*, 7/10/89.

"(Gold Coast development) has endless enemies among the wowsers greens, whose hostility to any human enjoyment, indeed, to human beings themselves, is visceral. This hostility was demonstrated yet again when Helen Caldicott, she of the anti-nuclear tears and environmental hyperbole, was reported as having told an Adelaide conference that people should stop having babies because human beings are choking the earth in a rabbit-like plague.

"This is the essence of the green philosophy; they don't like human beings much because human beings clutter up the environment. They think trees are more important than people. The truth is, of course, that trees have no intrinsic significance at all; they are only of any significance in so far as they serve human beings.

"This is made abundantly clear in the first chapter of the book of Genesis:

"And God said Let us make man in our image, after our likeness, and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle and over all the earth and over every thing that creepeth upon the earth.'

"With the death of communism some kind of extreme environmentalism could easily develop as the next truly loony, totalitarian philosophy, a Utopian and profoundly anti-human creed." (Emphasis added).

The message from the "new totalitarianism" is that there is no God there is only Man. But there are too many of him, and he can't even be trusted with dominion over his own affairs. He must be coerced into giving complete dominion to the New Totalitarians - the green power brokers - who know what must be done . . . for our own good. Where stands the Christian voice in all of this?

NOTES ON "ANTI-SEMITISM" AND TERRORISING MINORITIES

by Ron Gostick

The following article appeared in the August issue of "*The Canadian Intelligence Service*". For technical reasons we are not re-publishing the photo mentioned by Ron Gostick in his article.

The Globe and Mail, June 21, published the following letter by I. Zayid of Halifax, dealing with the Israeli-Palestinian controversy:

"We read with amazement your June 3 report from Israel (Israeli Peace Activists Attacked by Settlers): The seminary's spiritual leader, Rabbi Yitzhak Ginsburg justified the slaying (of a 13-year-old Palestinian girl by Jewish settlers) and said the blood of Jews and non-Jews cannot be equated. "We have to recognize that Jewish blood and the blood of a goy (non-Jew) are not the same thing," he told Israel Radio: "Every law that is based on equating goys and Jews is completely unacceptable." "

"On another page we read of a computer game, developed in Israel, where liquidation of Arabs is fun; Arabs are dehumanized and wiping them out is the objective (Computer Game Based on Arab Uprising Causes Stir in Israel).

"A few days earlier we read that the Jewish settlement of Ariel required that Arabs be 'tagged' as alien workers. A reminder of the Nazis' yellow star?

"These trends are simply incomprehensible from a people who have suffered so much from similar supremacist and dehumanizing ideologies.

"We are, however, heartened that there are honorable voices raised in Israel against such sickening ideas. Knesset (parliament) member Dedi Zucker condemned the computer game and said: 'A society in which liquidating human beings becomes a computer game will, in the future, be unable to explain why killing a man because he is an Arab is a crime and not fun.'

"Aluf Ha Reuven, a former Israeli general, described the game as 'totally destructive and dehumanizing' and asked: 'if in Germany they had a game of getting Jews into a concentration camp and 9-year-olds played it, what would be the implications?'"

Perhaps the most significant point in the foregoing letter is the statement of Rabbi Ginsburg, justifying the slaying of a young Palestinian girl, contending that the blood of non-Jews is of less consequence than that of Jews — which, of course, is the Talmudic teaching.

The same issue of the *Globe* followed this letter with one by "Alan Lazerte, Executive Director, Canadian Friends of the International Christian Embassy, Jerusalem."

Mr. Lazerte began his letter in these words:

"The recent defacing of a Jewish synagogue and school in Toronto was more than an isolated event — it was the intent of the perpetrators to terrorize Canada's Jewish community, many of whom carry unspeakable memories (Synagogue Spray-painted With Swastikas - June 12.)"

The balance of Mr. Lazerte's letter was an attack upon freedom of speech in Canada, even dismissing the defences of "truth" and "in the public interest" as being irrelevant to group intimidation or libel.

Mr. Lazerte concluded his letter: "Canadians must be vigilant. The world is erupting around us and 'it can happen here.' "

Analyzing Mr. Lazerte's opening paragraph (reprinted above), re- the defacing with swastikas of a Toronto synagogue and school, it's rather interesting that although he does not seem to know the perpetrators, he knows their intent: "to terrorize Canada's Jewish community."

Mr. Lazerte is absolutely correct — but for reasons obviously far beyond his understanding of so-called 'anti-Semitism' and its use in discrediting and destroying any effective opposition to the anti-Christian totalitarian policy of *Political Zionism*.

Patrick Walsh, a former RCMP undercover agent, authored a
NEWTIMES - FEBRUARY 1990

revealing little book on this very subject, *The Unholy Alliance*, documenting how Communist and certain Jewish organizations themselves use *agents provocateurs* to commit outrageous acts of desecration, and then blame them on and thereby discredit their adversaries, while at the same time terrorizing members of the Jewish community in order to extract heavier financial support for their 'defence' and 'protection', and gaining sympathy for the policies and actions of the perpetrators of this hoax. The truth is that for any group to deface a Toronto synagogue, except for the architects of this *agent-provocateur* ploy explained by Mr. Walsh, would constitute nothing more or less than an exercise in asininity.

The photographic reproduction of Mr. Andrew Benjamin posing for *The Jewish Chronicle* in the U.K. ("the world's leading Jewish newspaper, established in 1841") is rather revealing. This photo, in the March 31, 1989, issue of *Jewish Chronicle* ("JC"), is accompanied by this report:

"A Jewish businessman is cashing in on the trade in Nazi fashion, music, and literature.

"In a quiet turning just north of Oxford Street, there stands a shop, with blacked-out windows, called Cut-down. Its owner is Mr. Andrew Benjamin, from Hendon, northwest London, a former member of Mill Hill Synagogue.

"His Jewish heritage has not stopped him from selling and exporting clothes, records and accessories that appeal to those who identify with Nazism.

"Earlier this month, Anti-Fascist Action picketed the shop, believing it to be the new base for the neo-Nazi Blood and Honour' organisation — a breakaway from the National Front's White Noise Club, with fascist links here and abroad.

"Mr. Benjamin plays down the significance of his business. Speaking from his shop, surrounded by T-shirts and flags with swastikas and other Nazi symbols, he said, 'It pays for the car and mortgage.'

"His connections with the neo-Nazi music scene in this country extend far beyond the mere sale of records. Last April, a London concert of skinhead bands degenerated into fighting that spilled out into the street. A passer-by was stabbed. The promoter of that concert was a Mr. Andrew St. John.

" 'Andrew St. John is an alias I sometimes use in business,' Mr. Benjamin told me.

"In spite of last year's problems, he is helping to organise a similar concert at a secret location in May. It will feature all the top Nazi-style bands, including Skrewdriver, Brutal Attack and No Remorse.

"No Remorse members are avowed British Movement supporters. One of their numbers is called 'Six Million Lies'

"Mr. Benjamin is adamant that he will continue his business..."

The foregoing *Jewish Chronicle* report, together with the accompanying photo illustrates Jewish promotion of so-called 'Nazism' for a purely financial motive. But far more dangerous to our freedom is the *agent-provocateur-organized* 'Nazism' and 'anti-Semitism' which use swastika-spraying and synagogue-defacing to scare and bilk the Jewish community and psychologically condition and manipulate public opinion in the interests of the unseen architects and promoters.

Incidentally, Mr. Lazertes and his "Canadian Friends of the International Christian Embassy" are well known to us — and to Christians in the Middle East, many of them Palestinians, who have suffered so grievously under the jackboot of Israeli Zionists,

financed and sicked on by the Lazertes and well-meaning, naive but misled Christians of the West. The Christian news woman, Grace Halsell, who actually joined a Jerry Falwell Israel tour as part of her investigation of this so-called 'Christian Zionism' racket came back and published a tremendously revealing book, *Prophecy and Politics* — essential reading for those who want to really understand what's going on in the name of Christianity today.

(Recommended reading: *The Unholy Alliance* by Patrick Walsh - \$6.00; *Prophecy and Politics* by Grace Halsell, - \$16.00)

WHAT'S AN EMBRYO?

The following letter from the Kingsport Times-News, U.S.A., of Sept. 27, is a most useful comment on the 'embryo court case' in the U.S.A.

Some people don't know beans about eggs.

Consider attorney Charles Clifford. He represented J.R. Davis in the Maryville case over the destiny of seven human embryos, which were created by Davis and his wife in vitro and then frozen for storage. When geneticist Dr. Jerome Lejeune testified that the embryos are in fact early human individuals, Lawyer Clifford countered by holding up a hen's egg in mockery; "So what's this? An early chicken?"

Clifford wanted the court to rule that the embryos are merely genetic material "partly owned" by his client Mr. Davis, who has decided he doesn't want to become a father. Clifford argued that the value of the embryos (in human terms) is negligible.

But common sense shows that any embryo is exactly as valuable as the species it represents.

Let's go back to eggs. What's the value of a fertilized hen's egg? About 10 cents. Fertilized duck and turkey eggs can run a little higher: maybe 12 or 15 cents.

I don't know if you can buy a fertile bald eagle's egg at any price: but I do know that if you destroy one, you can get a year in prison and a \$5,000 fine. And are you in the market for a fertile whooping crane or California condor egg? Forget it; you'd be lucky if you could get one with a federal grant.

It's no use saying, "It's just a fertilized egg." The operative question is always, "What kind of animal are we talking about here? And what value does it have?"

So what's the value of a very young human, as compared, say, to a very young whooping crane? How would you determine the answer to a question like that? The highest bid at an embryo auction? Fair market value?

Of course, some people know the price of everything, but the value of nothing. But in the view of American law since the end of slavery, when we're talking about the species homo sapiens, we're talking about something which is simply not commensurable in money terms. We're talking priceless.

The bottom line is that human embryos are not commodities: they're children. J.R. Davis is not just an "owner", "part-owner", or "sperm donor": he's - whether he likes it or not — a father. The recent court proceeding on the future of those embryos was not a property case: it was a custody case.

And yes, Attorney Clifford, a fertilized egg is an early chicken — if the father was a rooster and the mother was a hen. Any child knows that.

Juli Loesch S. Wiley,
Johnson City.

THE DOUGLAS PHILOSOPHY

In an address termed "*The Pursuit of Truth*", 1933, Douglas clearly indicates his genuine Christian humility in endeavouring to discover Truth as a basis for any political, economic, or financial proposals:

"Now it is my own belief . . . that there is running through the nature of the Universe something that we will call a 'canon'. It is the thing which is referred to in the Gospel of St. John as the 'logos', the 'word'.... The engineer and the artist refer to it when they say that they have got something 'right'. Other people mean the same thing when they talk about absolute truth, or reality. Genuine success only accompanies a consistent attempt to discover and to conform to this canon in no matter what sphere our activities may lie. For instance, I have no doubt whatever that there is one single test which can be applied to any financial scheme which is put before you for consideration, and that is, whether it represents reality, just as we know that the fundamental falsity of the present financial system is that it distorts and perverts reality.... I am confident... that just as departure from the canon has produced the appalling condition of the world at the present time, so the existence of a growing body of people who are aware of the situation, and singly devoted to bringing back understanding into relation with reality, constitutes not only the great, but the only certainty that eventually a world system founded upon lies will give way to one which is formed upon truth."

SUBSCRIBE TO INTELLIGENCE SURVEY

This monthly journal is available by private subscription only, at a cost of \$12.00 per year. The Editor is Mr. Eric D Butler, National Director of the Australian League of Rights. Material for the journal is gathered from sources throughout the world, with special issues being published on specific subjects.

After thirty-seven years of continuous publication, "*Intelligence Survey*" enjoys a well-earned reputation as a conservative journal of perceptive political analysis. The best-informed Australians at the grass-roots subscribe to "*Intelligence Survey*". — See back page for ordering.

See order form on this page.

SEGREGATION BY INFLATION

A recent survey by the Social Welfare Centre at the University of New South Wales has shattered the myth that Australia is one of the most egalitarian societies in the world. It is true that at one time early in Australia's history; the relatively high standard of living was reasonably universal, with no extremes of poverty or wealth. But that is no longer the case; there has been growing polarisation as a result primarily of continuous monetary inflation.

The New South Wales University survey shows that nearly half of the nation's income went to the wealthiest 20 per cent, while the bottom 20 per cent received less than 5 per cent of the nation's total income.

In Latin American countries, with their notoriously high inflation rates, the polarisation of societies resulted in unstable social conditions. A falling price level, resulting from the Social Credit proposals of C.H. Douglas, is the only effective answer to continuing polarisation and social instability.

Printed and Published by the Australian League of Rights.
145 Russell Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3000.