

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free".

Vol.56, No.3

Registered by Australia Post-Publication No. VBH 1001.

MARCH 1992

Australian and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

THE DEEPER IMPLICATIONS OF THE AUSTRALIAN ATTACK ON MONARCHY

by Eric D. Butler

The open display of aggressive Republicanism under new Labor Prime Minister Paul Keating, with an attack on the Monarchy during the Queen's recent visit to Australia, not only concerns Australia, but every other country in which constitutional monarchy still exists. The British quarterly, "Home", comments, "Since the British Monarchy is the premier monarchy of the world, without it other Christian monarchies could scarcely survive against the force of Monopoly. If Australia falls, a domino effect can be expected in Canada and New Zealand, leaving Britain isolated and ready for the present snide campaign against the Royal Family to come into the open as declared republicanism."

The attack on Constitutional Monarchy in Australia has far-reaching and deep implications for the whole of what is left of Western civilisation.

C.H. Douglas made the observation that the basic cleavage in society is cultural, not economic. Mr. Paul Keating provides striking proof of this, with his display of boorish vulgarity during the Queen's recent visit to Australia. The man who likes to talk about his working class background and his fierce Australian nationalism, insists on wearing the best Italian suits, while his Dutch-born wife, who declined, no doubt with husband Paul's encouragement, to extend the traditional courtesy to the Queen by a curtsy, says that she only wears French underclothing. A refusal to extend traditional courtesies to the Monarch is a refusal to accept that the Queen is not only another human being, but reflects a heritage and a stream of history of which Australia is part. Paul Keating has made it clear that he intends to tear Australia from the very roots of the nation's history. He insults that history.

In his desperate attempt to survive politically, the Prime Minister has not only decided to implement a Keynesian-like economic programme, one inevitable result being an expansion of debt, but has decided that a blatant display of anti-British sentiment will help to create the impression that he puts Australia first in all things. The Keating strategy is without precedence in Australian history. His fellow Fabian internationalist, Bob Hawke, was a perfect gentleman compared with the Paul Keating boots-and-all approach. Party politics in Australia is to descend into a new low of personal abuse, with the media exploiting it to maintain or to extend their ratings. Some commentators present what has been happening as if they were sports journalists covering a prizefight.

BRITISH STRESS ON QUALITY

Keating's falsification of history is breathtaking, with a strident anti-British note. No one denies that the Japanese defeat of the British and Australian forces in Malaya was a major disaster. But it is an oversimplification to bluntly state that the British deliberately deserted Australia. If the British had not won the Battle of Britain, the outcome of the Second World War could

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions — private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) Laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

have been quite different. That battle was won primarily because of a manifestation of the traditional British policy of placing quality before quantity. The much-maligned Neville Chamberlain inherited a virtually disarmed Britain, the result of a treacherous financial policy, and knew in 1938 that a declaration of war would have left the German Luftwaffe without effective opposition. Chamberlain knew that he had to have time for more Spitfires and Hurricanes to roll off the production lines.

Those planes were powered by the famous Rolls Royce Merlin engine, which was evolved only because of private financing. Air power was dominant in the Second World War and during the most decisive period of the war; it was not only the planes designed and built by the British which were so vital, but equally important was the counter to air power, radar. Radar was invented and developed by the British. Someone might bring these and other historical facts to Paul Keating's attention some time. He might also be reminded that the British people did not desert Australia by going into the Common Market. They were manipulated by the same international forces, which Paul Keating has demonstrated he is prepared to serve. The man who seeks to create an image of a great Australian loyalist might recall how before the 1983 Australian Federal elections, he was warning about allowing foreign banks into Australia. But after a visit to Wall Street, similar to the New Testament incident concerning being taken up on a high mountain, Paul Keating became a changed man, internationalising the Australian banking system. In his new grab to hold on to power, he is going even further concerning the internationalising of the Australian banking system. And foreign investments are going to be made easier.

Prime Minister Paul Keating in his "welcoming" speech to the Queen, advanced the Republican campaign by telling the Monarch, who is the Queen of Australia, that there had been a "profound" change in the relationships between Australia and Great Britain. Keating said, "just as Great Britain some time ago sought to make her future secure in the European Community, so Australia now vigorously seeks partnerships with countries in our own region," stating that our "outlook is necessarily independent". This is arrant humbug from a man pledged to an internationalism, which undermines Australia's independence. And Paul Keating further reflects the cultural cleavage with his support for the theme that Australia is part of Asia, that its destiny is in Asia.

LIVING IN TIME

A people live in terms of time as well as in terms of geography. Australia, which means its institutions, its language, its dominant value system, its culture, belongs to a stream of history, which flowed out of the United Kingdom. If Australia is torn from its cultural roots, then it must wither and die as it is absorbed into some type of an Asian regional structure, which Paul Keating favours. His support for a new flag, and his failure to fly the traditional flag on his car, indicates where he is attempting to take Australia. He is engaging in naked cultural vandalism.

Those Republicans who sneer about what they like to term the "colonial cringe" are quite happy to cringe in the face of a new type of imperialism, that of International Finance and its different servants. Paul Keating is demonstrating that he is prepared to bend the knee to this new and more ruthless form of imperialism, to have Australia further enmeshed in international debt.

A nation, which forgets its past, has a bleak future. Once cut from the historical roots, which nourish it, a nation loses sense of purpose and continuity. The hereditary Monarchy represents that continuity and the organic development of a way of life of which the Monarchy is a central feature, representing the very soul of a people. Because of its position, the Monarch is the fountain of

all honours and quite naturally is Commander-in-Chief of the armed forces. This is probably quite alien to the thinking of Paul Keating, who made the revealing comment that surely Australians would not want to go back to the Menzies era, mentioning the relatively simple technology of the period. But with all its faults, many of them listed in the pages of this journal, the Menzies era was a paradise compared with what is happening today. The social structure was relatively stable; women and children were safe to walk the streets. Television had yet to come. Children went to school to study and learn. There was respect for teachers and parents. Women had yet to be "liberated" and driven into the work force in their thousands. The divorce rate was relatively low.

Clearly Prime Minister Paul Keating believes that technological progress is true progress. The radios and record players of the 50's were admittedly primitive compared with today's highly sophisticated technology. But has a more sophisticated technology lifted the cultural tone of the nation? Is it true progress because man can fly a certain distance in a much shorter period of time? The important question is what does man do with the time he has saved? The only true progress is moral progress. The suggestion that more sophisticated technology is a measure of progress, is a materialistic heresy. The traditional Christian view was that the important goal in the human drama was the perfection of individuals, not of technology. Technology should be subservient to a higher human purpose, not to provide technical barbarians with the means whereby they can attempt to play as god.

BATTLE LINES DRAWN

Life is full of action and reaction. Paul Keating's attack on Australia's heritage, one shared with the peoples of all the Crown Commonwealth Nations, may serve the purpose of highlighting the real nature of the battle raging throughout the world. The *Home* article referred to earlier, makes the following comment:

"Our Monarchy and our royal family now occupy the focal point of the crucial spiritual and political conflict of the Age, between people treated as unique, living human persons and people treated as equal, indistinguishable, economic and financial units; between the family and the products of short-term mating, between propriety and impropriety, between real and outrageous accumulations of power based upon debt; between the efficient near-perfection of a Royal Occasion, and the insane inefficiency of Big Business or a Government Department; in fact, between a nation continuing in its Christian tradition, and one which has abandoned it for a largely atheistic, chaotic pluralism with no common morality."

Prime Minister Keating, the declared internationalist, has drawn the battle lines clearly, and in doing so has helped to ensure that Australia has a decisive role to play in the developing world drama. Let the battle begin!

THE VALUE OF FREEDOM

"Let freedom solve the problem of any hatred or intolerance, else by suppression the human spirit, which seeks truth and seeks the ultimate truth of God, will become crippled by its fears to speak its deepest feelings. Only by our meetings face to face, by our being as we really are with all our prejudices and suspicions, can we accept our faults, and by airing our views without fear, learn to love each other with a true and deeper love than if we never disagreed in the first place."

- Douglas Christie in *The Zundel Trial and Free Speech*.

STATISTICAL CONTROL OF PEOPLE

by Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs

The following is part of a series of articles, "On Planning The Earth", appearing in "Home", Bodifyr, Lompobty.

In a 50 page booklet published for the British Social Hygiene Council in 1945 the Anticipated Population for England and Wales was estimated to fall from the then 41 million to 31 million by 1975 and by 2035 to 4½ million. A less alarming estimate by D.V. Glass was a fall to 33 million by 1990, and 30½ million by 2000. (It is now over 50 million). Anyway, doom was upon us unless 'we' could somehow stimulate a large increase in the birth rate. To quote the booklet:

"Unless, therefore, the situation is drastically changed we are well on the way to race suicide."

This, moreover, was the general view among the opinionated classes. Among titles of books given as references were: *The Economics of a Declining Population*; *The Menace of British Depopulation: Race Suicide*. Woe! Woe! It was all inevitable. You can't argue with statistics!

Then, following the terrible Bang of the Atom Bombs, and subsequent H-Bomb tests, came a generation that cowered under the Nuclear Doom. Woe! Woe! it was no use arguing. It was inevitable! Inevitable! Statistics showed that 'we' had a capacity to destroy the Earth X times over, and some time it was bound to be used. The Science Fictionists took it up in a big way. Perhaps a few two-headed pith-brained mutants might survive on an almost sterile Earth, or more likely the human race would be replaced by another species. Anyway, even more appalling depopulation was the Doom!

When, after thirty years or so this began to weaken a bit (though it is still there) came the Great Population Explosion, the Eco-doom of the planet, with the pullulating Pest of Mankind multiplying fast on the inevitably non-viable nuclear-sterilised globe until we all die of starvation. Either way or both, it will get you! You can't argue with statistics! Grovel, you pestilential undermen. You shouldn't be here. Eliminate yourselves!

Perhaps that puts it a little crudely, but indeed it is no joke; and it is sad to see those who are trying to live and to promote a closer understanding and co-operation with nature falling for such wholly unnatural propaganda.

To begin with — statistics is something remote from nature, wholly political in its origin. I wonder how many of our modern statisticians are even dimly aware that 'statistics' arose out of 'Statism'. I quote from the O.E.D., Sir J. Sinclair, 1798: "In 1786, I found, that in Germany they were engaged in a species of political inquiry, to which they had given the name Statistics." 1786 was the year of the death of Frederick II of Prussia, known as The Great, who was notably skilled in the sort of statistics known as logistics, concerned with the numbers, arms moving, lodging and supplying of troops in war.

Later, the term was applied to the collection of numerical data, to the data so collected, and to data on any subject analogous to those concerning the powers of the State, and nowadays it means quantitative data affected by a multiplicity of causes beyond the reach of simple observation as to cause. Hence the practice of statistics today is mainly a form of numerical speculation, based upon mathematicians, and very rarely indeed by those who quote and use statistics. Nowadays reliance on the computer puts the whole thing into the field of blind faith in magic for ordinary people. This may seem a long way from Frederick the Great and his armies but it amuses me to see that modern statistical population ecologists are fond of using military terms such as logistics, strategy and tactics.

THE POLITICS OF PEOPLE AS UNITS

The one thing we can all understand about statistics is that it
NEWTIMES - MARCH 1992

is entirely based upon a whole series of assumptions which are very rarely stated and may or may not be true. The first assumption in all numbering is that we are dealing with *units*, equal and identical in nature. If we are not, the numbers are meaningless to the extent that there are qualitative differences, except as a means of handling the material *as if* it consists of units. We can see where this is leading as it is applied to people.

Statistical treatment of people is a form of politics which increasingly requires them to be equal and identical in nature, behaviour, race, sex, ability, belief, everything, so that they can be handled in bulk by remote control. But since we are not so, the whole tendency is to disparage the differences which make us ourselves, to deplore and discourage discrimination (the very essence of civilisation and the aim of all real education) and to merge us as far as possible into statisticable mass in which those differences are lost.

What is so dreadful to see is that this anti-nature, anti-life, anti-human attitude is being swallowed whole by all the most vocal, scribal and publicised leaders of the Green Movement; though it is scarcely surprising or blameworthy for the younger ones since their generation has been subjected to the most continuous, repetitive, unrelenting, mechanical, brain-battering on this and related subjects in the history of mankind.

It was in 1970 that I found myself, after disagreeing for years with those who wanted governments to interfere to raise people's reproduction rate with breeding-bribes, in a minority of 1 in a room full of 80 ecologists who wanted even more passionately to interfere in the opposite direction. The immediate cause seems to have been Dr. Paul R. Ehrlich's book *The Population Bomb* first published in 1968 but running through numerous reprinting since. He was a population biologist at Stanford University; that is, he dealt with living things as units, and obviously took his statistics with deadly seriousness.

'THE POPULATION BOMB'

The book is an emotionally effective, passionate blare of fear-propaganda. On the cover of my copy (which I bought while-at Stanford, though I did not meet him) are these words in red:

"While you are reading these words five people, mostly children, have died of starvation — and forty more babies have been born."

There are no world statistics of 'death from starvation,' so this is no more than propagandist 'blah'; nor can the statistics of births and deaths in much of the Third World be relied upon; nor yet have the numbers of child deaths from one alleged cause any significant relationship to total births in the same time (say 10 seconds). Such a specious approach throws doubt on the whole numerical basis of the alleged population 'explosion'.

This is not statistics but politics — the manipulation of people under cover of academic prestige — an all too prominent feature of our times. Anyone can make propaganda with any figures they like, and it is very doubtful whether statistics has ever been used honestly in politics; its function is quite different.

In his prologue Dr. Ehrlich writes:

"Our position requires that we take immediate action at home and promote effective action worldwide. We must have population control at home, hopefully through changes in our value system, but by compulsion if voluntary methods fail."

Nor is this attitude unique; it appears to be gaining ground, especially following the periodic disasters in Africa and

Bangladesh: voices are now raised saying that aid should be stopped; it only helps people to survive and breed. Birth, not death, is the problem! This is the language of inhuman dictatorship, of statistical imperialism, and it is borne out by Ehrlich's book and much that has followed it. As always, freedom is to be surrendered in the face of crisis, and to the very powers that, very largely, brought about the crisis.

He terrifies us with a series of speculative doubling-times for populations of the world, and of different countries, and then goes on to a fantasy of the entire planet covered layers deep with humanity. An analogy is made with cancer (a favourite word, too, of Julian Huxley's for the human race) and we are told:

"The operation will demand many apparently brutal and heartless decisions. The pain may be intense. But the disease is so far advanced that only with radical surgery does the patient have a chance of survival."

SUICIDE FOR FEAR OF DYING

The main argument seems to be that if governments, starting with that of the USA, but then moving on to the UN and ultimately a World Government, do not take these 'brutal' decisions (e.g. population control as the price of food aid), nature will apply the solution in the form of death.

Well, of course! Death is, and always has been, the natural control of life's tendency to outgrow its limits. So, shall we not be allowed birth because we have to die? In small, fairly homogeneous, communities in close touch with nature, a practical and inherited culture grows up which has come to terms with both birth and death and has developed the knowledge, the wisdom and the social customs which usually avoid the worst catastrophes though even here there must always be natural catastrophes which cannot be avoided: earthquakes, eruptions, storms, hurricanes, cyclones, floods and droughts.

But so long as Man retains his adventurous nature, without which the race would long ago have died out, he will take risks and face death as well as taking sensible precautions against these known dangers, such as storing food against the next drought. But how can people save food or anything else when they are in debt, which is an *imposed robbery of future work and wealth*?

To be sure, Ehrlich's predicted famines are now taking place, especially in Africa. The severe droughts are blamed, but these famines have been vastly increased by the crippling impact of external powers. Most of Africa's debt is unrepayable and extracts a net transfer of resources towards the 'developed' world, whose World Bank and IMF impose conditions, which benefit the central politicians who oppress the people. Many African currencies are now effectively worthless, and the 'collapse' of commodity prices in the 1980's meant a gross deterioration in the terms of trade.

Add to all this, bitter warfare armed from abroad and flogged up by foreign ideologues in Ethiopia, Sudan, Mozambique, Angola, Liberia, Uganda, Chad, driving people off the land as refugees. It becomes a mad idea that what Africans need is more foreign control of their breeding habits because there are too many people for what foreign interference has left to them, even with an AIDS epidemic which threatens depopulation by attacking the sexually, and therefore productively, active.

DEBT-DRIVEN DISASTER

Africa may be the worst case, but the same centralised power is operating throughout the world and with similar effects, in South America, in Asia and Polynesia. In the 'developed' world, mainly in the Northern hemisphere with its offshoots in the South, the effect is at present different: the gross multiplication of a 'wealth' much of which is non-wealth, thrust upon the people by ever more remote powers, with ever greater waste and

pollution of the Earth's resources and energies. The fuel, which drives this disaster-machine, is always debt, with its inbuilt inflation and demand for constant 'growth' to provide money-incomes for the people. Debt-money has the same inhumanity as other forms of statistics.

The predictions of disaster so long as this worldwide despotism persists and grows in power, are logical enough. The remedy which prominent ecologists have been persuaded to promote is the precise opposite of what is needed. They have everything upside-down. It is global interference that brings global catastrophes. The biosphere is not a homogeneous mass, nor is Man a homogeneous species of manipulable units, but both possess infinite variety, and their mutualism is intrinsically local. Only in so far as that exists can a global co-operation be restored.

These self-styled 'ecologists' seem to be obsessed with the idea of Man as the cancer or pest of the planet, of humanity as the only species, which (unless centrally forced by governments under pressure from themselves) lacks any capacity to adapt its population to its environment. Every new birth is 'another mouth to be fed.' Who by? By 'WE', by the super-clever, power-wielding, opinion forming, status-holding, statistical-symbol-worshipping boss-persons who know best that is needed. On no account must a new life be thought of as a positive, which might even feed itself and more, if given a chance before its native land is destroyed. It must always be a negative — a burden. Are these the sort of no-hopers whose ideas we can afford to follow?

BIRTH AS A BURDEN ON BOSSES

The Population Bomb contains the spores of most of the corruptions into which, not only the Green Movement, but Western society in general, has further descended during the two decades since it was first published; the orientation towards the 'rationality' of the intellectual as the guiding force to be centrally imposed upon the 'population,' handled as a mass of identical units; the merging of differences, the 'logical' aim of controlling life and, if possible, slowing the postponement of death so as to stabilise the population at a boss-calculated comfortable number; "it would be nice to get it down to 1 billion, but too much to hope!"

The reproductive function of sex must be de-emphasised and the failing sway of sexual repression welcomed, also the 'liberation' of women from motherhood into the power struggle of the world of money and politics. Equality, contraceptives and abortion are the great goods, which are looked to, to rid us from the horrors of divorce, illegal abortion, venereal disease and the psychological pressures of a repressed society! The fact that all these have shockingly increased as these 'remedies' have been applied is not likely to influence a 'rational' opinion, based upon ratiocination with little contact outside the verbal/numerical/cerebral roundabout. Notoriously, it is invulnerable to facts.

Of course, traditional Christianity is blamed for most of today's evils, including the arrogant domination of nature. This in fact came in with the Enlightenment and the abandonment of the idea of a Creative God *above* us, whose incarnation on Earth sanctified all nature for that of a witless, impersonal process *below* us, culminating in Man, the Boss of all, so that unlimited power must lie with the Rulers of Mankind. It is only since Science has followed this path that it has become the slave money and politics and has increasingly explored the means of destruction and pollution.

Hence also the inversion of the whole Christian policy towards life: the idea of 'courage' and 'nobility' in *inflicting* suffering on others as the sole means of salvation; the cults of death versus birth, of sterility, contra-conception, abortion, sexuality, of divorce, of anti-marriage, of one-parent, fatherless 'families', of casual body-fluid-mixing 'sex' divorced from repro-

duction, of drugs and of every form of corruption which will reduce the natural, settled family and bearing and bringing up of the young. The AIDS epidemic is indeed a gift from the intellectual class of writers and broadcasters and 'opinion-formers' who have promoted these attitudes for several generations: a natural expression of their religion. But of all these the greatest offence against nature is the ever more remote control of ever-growing tonnages of bulked humanity, with its constant interference with detail of the lives of its members.

PESTICIDES FOR PEOPLE

It is this dominant religion of centralising power, which naturally sees humanity as a 'cancer', or a 'pest' of the planet, and the growth of population due to the recent lengthening of life, as a threat to the comfortable existence of the self-appointed elite. This is implicit in the inversion of the order: there are too many people for the 'supplies' 'we' must provide to keep them alive. It is a one-way street. 'We' have calculated that death rates are bound to fall and birth-rates rise until global disaster intervenes unless 'we' intervene to supply the required 'disaster' by applying the necessary pesticides to the human pest.

The only difference from the sort of chemical and biological treatment of non-human pests deplored by the Green Movement, is that bulk-psychological treatment is available through the 'media' so that the pesticides are self-administered; with a 'social' effect, much as social insects drag pesticides into the nest. The method of course is to interfere with the life cycle of the pest with chemicals produced by the major chemical corporations. Contraceptives, especially 'the pill' are just that, and by encouraging promiscuous intercourse, also help to spread pesticidal diseases. The condom, government-promoted as 'safe' (but in fact far from it) helps to overcome the fear barrier. The use of human males sterilised by vasectomy was urged by Ehrlich in his book and its futile attempted application by compulsion in India is now history. This use of sterile males is copied from its common application to insect pests.

Have the Greens learnt nothing from the history of pest control? What they are doing is replacing natural selection with unnatural selection. Why is it not obvious to them that the result will be the opposite of that supposed? They are selecting the most resistant and rapid-breeding strains to increase the population, as well as those, which at present are beyond the reach, psychologically, of their pesticidal efforts.

Incidentally, they are working for the differential reduction of what they deem to be the intelligent and educated, especially themselves, and their replacement by the illiterate, the uncivilised and the philoprogenitive. This might solve the problem in the end by removing the interfering clever-guys and their more gullible victims, but it would take a long time, and a great sacrifice of that part of our cultural inheritance, which has not been corrupted. Indeed, they may be on the way to justifying the fears of depopulation of the native Europeans voiced in the 1940's. Floods, earthquakes and hurricanes are at least relatively non-selective.

THE ARTIFICIAL MONSTER

All this is by no means an attempt to deny the insane and abominable overcrowding of humanity which is prevalent in all the great conurbations scattered all over the planet; in which huge tonnages of man flesh are artificially concentrated, sucking people, water and nutrient out of the land, and pouring forth what is not used to make further tons of human matter, as pollution of the rivers, the sea and the soil.

This is not 'overpopulation' but over centralisation. Cities, indeed, are a natural, historical development; the regional centres of religion are a historical development; the regional centres of religion, of government, of commerce, industry and the arts, of

education and culture; in a word, of civilisation, as the word implies. But there is an optimum to their size beyond which they become monstrous power-centres; parasites bleeding the earth itself of more than it can sustain, and spreading their greedy tentacles in a network over the planet.

There are indeed cities and conurbations which, or parts of which, are partially self-supporting, which contain not only green patches, parks and woodlands, but gardens, allotments, even city farms; and this is where the genuine Green Movement has done noble work in recent years. But whether we are thinking of the crowds sleeping and dying in the streets of Calcutta, or the towering vertical hutches that house people in Manhattan and its imitators all over the world, and the daily floods of human matter that pour in and pour out of every great city from the whole region around, we are up against an artificial monster which is now beyond any human control but which bears the seeds of its own decline, if not destruction.

The usual mental technique for evading the facts is to invent some abstractions and blame everything on them. The favourite at present is 'consumerism', which by implication blames it all on human greed and acquisitiveness: vices which undoubtedly have long existed in humanity at large, so we are left to assume that, short of converting mankind *en masse* to virtue, there is nothing we can do about it.

So-called 'consumerism' may be summed up as a mixture of producer-dominance with employmentism; and both of these are the result of remote control by money, that is credit-power. It cannot be repeated too often that credit-money has no natural limits. We now deal in billions instead of millions and no doubt soon it will be trillions. There is no shortage of O's. The land, the soil, and human aggregations into towns and cities, have natural limits; but once these become the centres of financial monopoly, of over-centralised power and wealth, of employment, careers, consumer goods and even of food, of course they know no limit in sucking the earth dry of men as well as of fertility.

HOW TO STIFLE HUMAN ADAPTABILITY

Finance is one statistical method of control over the will and purposes of men; the reduction of 'democracy' to a purely numerical count of the feedback to rival propagandas for activating the same financially dictated policy is another. It reduces men and women to equal and entirely characterless units, manipulable *en masse*, and the more so the greater the numbers involved. Population statistics designed to frighten people into submission to still more gross interference with their personal lives, is a third.

It assumes the continuation of present trends towards world centralisation, the equal, indistinguishable, helpless, passive nature of the units of population, the indefinite lengthening of the human life-span (i.e. the absence of any natural limit to it) and the unique absence from mankind among living species of any ability to adapt to its environment.

To gather statistics of the worldwide productivity of soil assumes also that it is inorganic, and 'economic' (i.e. debt-repaying) irrespective of the organisms it bears, including Man. It ignores the fact that the greatest production and fertility exists in the small plot, lovingly cultivated, e.g. the kitchen garden or allotment, with, say, two or more men (man and wife) to a tenth of an acre — totally 'uneconomic' in money terms but satisfying in human terms, and sustainable only where there is energy to spare from money-getting.

Indeed, it seems very clear that the only way the doom prophesied by the population-doomsters can be achieved is by the carrying through of the policies of worldwide interference with human freedom to adapt to their real environment, which they are urging both upon people and upon governments.

PUTTING THE WORLD IN ORDER

The following is an article by Mr. Iain McGregor, published in *"The Social Crediter"*, the journal that expresses the policy of the Social Secretariat, founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas. Subscriptions to *"The Social Crediter"* cost \$10 per annum, from 3 Beresford Drive, Samford, Queensland 4520. Mr. McGregor's article was published in the January-February, 1992 edition.

The current activity surrounding the European Community is but one manifestation of a global dream — the much touted if shabby New World Order. It has long been in germination. As far back as March 1942, *Time* magazine ran a piece on The Commission to Study the Basis for a Just and Durable Peace. It was established by the U.S. Federal Council of Churches with John Foster Dulles as chairman. He went on to become a U.S. delegate to the newly formed United Nations Organisation in 1945 and served as President Eisenhower's Secretary of State from 1953.

Time quoted the Report:

" . . . Industrial nations must give up their armed forces except for preservation of domestic order and allow the world to be policed by an international army and navy . . . The ultimate goal: 'a duly-constituted world court with adequate jurisdiction, international administrative bodies with necessary powers and adequate international police forces and provision for enforcing the worldwide economic authority.'"

Time added:

"The Commission recommended, at the same time, a universal system of money, worldwide freedom of immigration, progressive elimination of all tariff and quota restrictions on world trade and a democratically controlled world bank."

So George Bush's New World Order is pretty hoary stuff. Indeed, as far back as 1935, it was made common currency. U.S. Treasury Secretary Henry Morgenthau put the Great Pyramid on the U.S. dollar with the slogan 'Novus Ordo Seclorum'.

This was mis-translated to the American people as 'New Deal'.

But whatever the twist on words, the idea is plain enough and machinations go on. The one question persists, however:

"Quis custodiet custodes" as Aldous Huxley put it.

Who will mount guard over the guardians — who will engineer the engineers?

For C.H. Douglas, the answer was clear — Matthew 28, verses 18 and 20.

To understand how Christian faith and practice is the ultimate service to mankind, there has to be a clear line drawn between sin and evil. It is failure to discern the difference that has rendered the Christian Church virtually impotent for its Founder. Evil is the unforgivable sin. It is the state that exists and is promoted by spiritual forces opposed to God and to His Christ. Sin against Jesus as a concept can be forgiven since sin is basically an accident. It is aberrant. It is transitory. Evil is the persistent and consistent adherence to a fallen state. By its nature, evil cannot be forgiven and it cannot be ignored. There is no mercy seat for evil. Evil can only head for the abyss. By definition, it carries no chance of repentance.

Given that distinction, we are then in a position to aver that whoever is chosen to be our international police force, our international bank, our international jurisdiction will be sinful at best, evil at worst. The kings chosen to reign over us will necessarily have to oppose the Kingdom of God, the birthright within every creature. It cannot be otherwise.

Social Credit has seen this fundamental truth — that only the personal grasp of a God Who is There and Who is Not Silent, as Francis Schaeffer puts it, will suffice. Only obedience to Him and service to each other before His face will work.

Social Credit has pinpointed three issues of the day that the Christian Church should address:

- 1) Forgivable sin as against unforgivable evil — a personal state against a pervading force.
- 2) The Judaic theme of Rewards and Punishments perpetuated in a Church founded on Grace and Mercy.
- 3) The insistence on religion instead of The Way, The Truth and The Life.

C.H. Douglas fulfilled the Church's lack. He emphasised the rights and potential of every individual, as a creation, irrespective of status or creed. It was not for him to differentiate between human beings. God's gift was a universal offer, made available in and through creation, not through a cultural concept. Jesus Christ embodied that gift through creation and indeed, acted out the final, logical phase of the culture he was born into, reliance upon Rewards and Punishment. That he thus satisfied the demands of the religion he was born into does not negate the fact that he effected salvation for the rest of the peoples of the world who embraced his message, mission and meaning.

Douglas held that every person answers to God directly through the appointed Christ — and what he called 'the will to power' has not to intervene. Yet at every level and in every way, petty and massive, every one of our lives is affected by someone, somewhere taking over this place of God. At every turn, we find someone, some institution — some power — seeking to govern us ostensibly for our own good, in that power's judgment. Inevitably and inveterately, the bottom line of that power is *economic*, the 'Mammon' Jesus said directly confronted God.

Douglas, speaking at Swanwick, Derbyshire, in 1924, responded this way:

"The end of man, while unknown, is something towards which the most rapid progress is made by the free expansion of Individuality, and that, therefore, economic organisation is most efficient when it most easily and rapidly supplies economic wants without encroaching on other functional activities."

"REBUILDING RUSSIA"

by Alexander Solzhenitsyn.

When invited to return from exile to his homeland, Solzhenitsyn replied that he was ready to return "to live and to die", but would not return until his books were permitted free circulation.

Instead of returning himself, he sent a message to his countrymen — a penetrating vision of the way forward for Russia. To the intense discomfort of Russia's political masters, his message swept throughout his nation, contributing to the rising demands for genuine freedom. Solzhenitsyn's 16,000-word essay, *"Rebuilding Russia"* is not simply to his Russian brothers. It has application to all peoples who struggle for freedom — including Australians. His chapter on political parties alone is essential reading.

The League has secured a small supply of this startling work. Available from all League book services. \$12.95, or \$14.94 posted.

AMERICAN CHRISTIANS AND PRESIDENT BUSH

There has been a big division among American Christians concerning President Bush's reluctance to guarantee a \$10 billion loan to Israel while Israel refuses to halt its settlement buildings in the occupied territories. These buildings are primarily for the benefit of Jewish migrants from the former Soviet Union. While many Churches have urged President Bush to deny the \$10 billion request, the National Christian Leadership Conference for Israel, an ecumenical group of Catholics, Evangelical and mainline Protestants are calling on the Bush administration to approve the loan guarantee without regard to disputes over settlements in the occupied territories. Prominent Evangelical Christian leaders like Jerry Falwell have placed an advertisement in the *Washington Times*, urging the President to approve the loan guarantee. Those signing this advertisement claim to represent 70 million American Christians, and make the pointed comment that they include "tens of millions" who supported Bush at the last Presidential Elections.

The advertisement states, "Israel, as our free democratic friend and ally, has earned our support. This humanitarian, virtually cost-free effort will save lives and must not be linked to political issues." But the Jerry Falwells never raise the question of the future of the dispossessed Palestinians, many of them also Christians. President Bush is attempting to walk a tightrope during an election year where he is fighting for survival.

THE IMPORTANCE OF PRIVATE PROPERTY

It was the witty G.K. Chesterton who made the comment that pickpockets were among the most ardent supporters of free enterprise. But they do not believe in private property rights. During the long history of various forms of slavery, the slaves were never permitted to own property. Ownership of property is what distinguishes the free man from the slave. If capitalism is defined as a system under which the operators of the production system compete to serve the demands of the consumer, it is preferable to any other type of economic system. But the key to its successful operation is that consumers have at all times sufficient "money votes" to buy what has been produced. The "economic rationalists" are strong advocates of free enterprise, but refuse to face the fact that the nation's money supply, in the form of financial credit, is only made available under conditions which make increasing debt, private and public, mathematically certain. Every country in the world, including Japan and Germany, often held up as examples of successful economies, operates with an increasing debt structure.

The expanding debt system progressively undermines the institution of private property, especially when the rate of debt creation is slowed in an alleged attempt to overcome inflation. Farmers forced to walk off their properties, families evicted from their homes, and goods repossessed from people unable to meet the debt charges, all provide disturbing evidence of what is taking place.

Australia's productive capacity, its national credit, is enormous. The major element in that national credit is inheritance. Every individual born is an heir to a part of that inheritance as a right. The distribution of some type of a debt-free dividend is required to place the individual in the position where he has genuine property rights.

BASIC FUND REACHES \$64,000

The League of Rights' 1991-92 Basic Fund objective is in sight. A steady flow of late support has taken the fund to approximately \$64,000. But we must stress that this magnificent achievement has been as yet by a minority of readers of League journals and sympathisers. The minority has challenged the majority to match their dedication. It is now obvious that the nation is faced with the greatest crisis in its history, and that its very future is at risk. Can we not have the \$6000 deficiency as quickly as possible and, better still, a healthy surplus to help carry the continuing League's programme through?

Those small donations, as low as \$5, are most important. Let's have a last minute flood so that we can announce in our April issue that the target has been passed.

All donations to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne. In order to save on postal expenses, receipts will not be issued unless requested.

THE PLIGHT OF INDIA

The recent elections in India, accompanied by large scale violence and killings confirms the following comment made by Dr. Bryan Monahan in *The Social Creditor* of February 11, 1967, as accurate prophecy:

"India, in so far as it became a political unity, was a British creation. Its history as such began on the last day of the sixteenth century, when Queen Elizabeth granted a charter to a London Company trading with the East Indies. From this flowed in due course the establishment by the British of a common administration for the great sub-continent, and the adoption of English as the common language of educated Indians, where previously the many races spoke about 200 languages. In these circumstances, British withdrawal was the death of India, reflected immediately by partition into 'India' and 'Pakistan' and conflict between these arbitrary units over Kashmir. The mystique of Ghandi and Nehru, and the stored momentum of British administration, delayed the disintegration of the corpse of the old India, but with the departure of the British spirit, the process of dust into dust began, and now stands visible in the collapse of the Congress party."

THE RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS

"I have spent some of my life on, or beyond, the fringes of 'civilisation', where men carried guns, and used them without hesitation. The social atmosphere of those districts was much better than in the policed areas. It is not in the wilds that the scum of the earth rises; it is in the towns.

"The denial of the right of the individual to carry arms is a fundamental infringement of liberty. Just as the bootlegger was the most enthusiastic supporter of prohibition, the gangster, both national and international is a convinced adherent of disarmament by law. He knows what to do about the law, and what every policeman wants. And when, the small gangsters having disarmed the individual, the larger gangsters disarm the smaller gangsters, then, of course, the gangsters who are left will be transformed into administering angels, and their international police will spend all their time helping international nursemaids to cross the international traffic. Anyone can see that."

C.H. Douglas in *Programme for the Third World War*. (1943)

GENUINE CHRISTIANITY

" . . . One of the fundamentals of Christianity is that the only true loci of power is the individual.... The genuine conscious man is not born to be ruled, neither is he born to rule over other people. Jesus said so and the Jews crucified Him. They could do no other.

"I believe we shall be taking the most generally accurate view of history for the past two thousand years if we view it as a conscious attempt on one side, and an unconscious reaction on the other side, to and from the separation of the individual and his natural attributes, and to invest them in organisations controlled by power maniacs. If you prefer to say that it is a struggle to separate man from God, (to replace the immanence of God, i.e. power over *events*) by the Omnipotent Jehovah (i.e. the subservience to events) I shall not quarrel with your choice of words, although it is the practical use you can make of them that matters."

C.H. Douglas in *Programme for the Third World War* (1943)

DRACONIAN CANBERRA ANTI-DISCRIMINATION LEGISLATION

Canberra now has its own Anti-Discrimination bureaucracy, headed by Professor Philip Alston, described as Discrimination Commissioned. The ACT Discrimination Act is one of the most draconian yet to be introduced in Australia. The legislation makes it illegal to discriminate on the grounds of sexuality, transexuality marital status, status as a parent or carer, pregnancy, religious or political convictions, physical or mental impairment, or association with a person who has one of the attributes listed. It will operate in the areas of employment, education, access to building and place, the provision of goods, services and facilities, the provision of accommodation and club membership.

Philip Alston has worked as an official of the United Nations office in Geneva and has been an adviser to a number of UN Human Rights Committees. He seems the ideal choice to administer Canberra's Orwellian legislation.

Professor Alston admits that it is impossible to completely eradicate discrimination in the community, but he is going to try. The whole concept of anti-discrimination legislation is anti-freedom of choice, and creates a growth industry for do-gooders and other totalitarians. Australians must be ready to resist a campaign to have the whole nation subjected to the same type of legislation imposed in Canberra, ACT.

BARRY TATTERSALL'S MELBOURNE ADDRESS

Melbourne and near-Melbourne readers are asked to make every effort to ensure that Mr. Barry Tattersall's appearance at the Melbourne Conservative Speakers' Club on Monday, April 6, is an outstanding success. An outstanding speaker and brilliant teacher, Barry Tattersall will be presenting a positive case for Constitutional Monarchy. Mr. Eric Butler, fresh from a three-week tour of New Zealand, will be chairing this meeting. For information ring (03) 650-9749.

EFFICIENCY NONSENSE

EFFICIENCY

"It is *not* true that the larger an organisation the more 'efficient' it is, even if the efficiency of organisations were the prime, sane, objective of life, which it is not.

"The greater efficiency of large-scale production 'finds scant support in any evidence which is at hand' (*Final Report, Temporary National Economic Committee, U.S.A., Senate Document No. 21, 1940, p. 314*). 'It should be noted, moreover, that monopoly is frequently the product of factors other than the lower costs of greater size . . . where they exist.... It is attained through collusive agreement and promoted by public policies' (Ibid, Monograph 21, 1940, p. 21).

"Since *financial* results are what is aimed at in business, it is obvious that the conditions of financing small and large business may, and frequently do, make more difference to the profit and loss account than low costs.

"For instance, bulk buying may enable low prices to be paid for raw material, but this has no connection with the actual amount of labour put into the winning of the raw material. Bulk buying may be, and often is, actually and realistically inefficient; but the low unit price paid produces an illusion of low genuine cost.

"Within limits, the whole aspect of a balance sheet can be altered by allocating to various accounts charges which in other conditions would swell the cost of production and expose waste. No commercial accountant would certify the accounts of the Post Office in the form in which they are submitted.

"It may be objected that many of the facts to which reference has just been made have been elicited by 'National' Committees, Royal Commissions, and similar bodies. This is true, but it will be noticed by anyone who will take the trouble to read the literature of the Fabian Society or P.E.P. in the realm of industry, or the Royal Institute of International Affairs . . . in Foreign Affairs, that such findings are never quoted, and the impression conveyed is always that of the inevitability, as well as desirability of larger units".

— C.H. Douglas in *The Brief For The Prosecution*.

THE MONEY TRICK

A simple but comprehensive explanation of how the modern banking system creates money in the form of bank credit. Why debts and taxation must get progressively heavier under a policy, which issues all new money as debt. A number of authoritative quotations by both bankers and others. Some constructive suggestions for reform

Price \$7 posted from Box 1052J, G.P.O. Melbourne.

Printed and Published by The Australian League of Rights,
145 Russell Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000.