

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free".

VOL. 56, No. 4.

Registered by Australia Post-Publication No. VBH 1001.

APRIL 1992.

Australian and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

CHANCE OR CONSPIRACY?

by Eric D. Butler.

One of the most significant reactions to Oliver Stone's film, JFK, has been that of a number of Jewish writers concerned about "conspiracy theories", the general theme being that Stone's film claim that President John Kennedy was assassinated as the result of a CIA-Mafia conspiracy is giving wide support to a growing view that much of what passes as history is a lie. Well-known Jewish journalist Charles Paul Freund wrote in the establishment "Washington Post" of January 19 that "... We are witnessing a resurgent receptivity to conspiracism." Rightly or wrongly, a big proportion of Americans have always doubted the official version of the Kennedy assassination. It is not surprising therefore that Oliver Stone's film has been a great box office success.

But what worries Freund and his fellow Jewish writers is that people generally are tending to question not only the veracity of governments but whether the worsening plight of the world is the result of banking policies never criticised by a controlled media. The break up of the Soviet Empire, coinciding with the Gulf War and the campaign for the establishment of a "New World Order", has created a dramatically changed international situation with a growing questioning of what is behind the wide publicity for such a "New World Order". Interest in the subject has increased dramatically in Australia, as witnessed by the massive sales of both video and audio tapes of Mr. Jeremy Lee's in-depth examination of the roots and development of the "New World Order" programme. The party politicians are finding it increasingly difficult trying to cope with the issue.

The question of conspiracy touches on the very essence of the human drama. Men can, of course, conspire either secretly or in the open. As C. H. Douglas said, if history is merely a series of disconnected episodes resulting from chance, not conscious effort, then nothing can be done about the plight of the world except to hope for the best. This is the "village idiot" theory of history, one that is skilfully propagated because it paralyses human initiative and fosters the concept of man being helpless in the face of great natural disasters, which he cannot influence. The Great Depression of the thirties was described as an "economic blizzard". And, of course, there are "trends" which presumably take place without human participation.

DOUGLAS'S EXPERIENCE

Douglas came to his conclusion about conspiracy primarily as a result of his own experiences. His scientific analysis of the major flaw in the modern finance-economic system, the failure of industry to distribute sufficient purchasing power over any given period to meet the total financial costs incurred in that same period, and his constructive suggestions for correcting the problem, offered mankind the key opening the door to the greatest advance ever made in the history of the growth of civilisation. In the early stages of his career, Douglas attracted the attention of outstanding men everywhere. Not surprisingly, most

of these were what might be termed practical men, including scientists like Professor Soddy. He offered a policy that would have defeated the age-old will to power, by effectively decentralising economic power by decentralising financial credit

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions — private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting Natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the people of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

power. The policy of Social Credit promised a better world for everyone; it did not propose to take from some to give to others.

But it soon became obvious that this policy was seen as a deadly challenge by all those who, under different labels, subscribed to the philosophy of centralised power, who believed that the defects in the finance-economic system could be used to centralise power in all spheres. There was a type of unholy alliance between collectivists like the Fabian Socialists and the controllers of the debt financial system. Douglas met with the leading Fabians of the day, the Webbs, and after demolishing all their technical objections to his proposals, was met with flat rejection on the grounds that they did not like their purpose. Molotov, a Soviet Minister, told the Dean of Canterbury that he and his fellows knew all about Social Credit, and that it was the only policy they feared.

THE USE OF PERVERSION

Douglas was soon forced to conclude that his proposals were not going to be discussed on their merits, that powerful financial forces were determined to discredit them in every possible way. Douglas instanced a large sum of money being used to ensure that he received no publicity at all in the British press. Initially, particularly during the early days of the Great Depression, Douglas's views were being given some space in sections of the British press. The election of the first Albertan Social Credit government in 1935, followed shortly afterwards with the election of a New Zealand Labour government pledged to challenge financial orthodoxy, and the growing upsurge of worldwide interest in Social Credit, sent Shockwaves through the higher echelons of international finance, and the development of a strategy primarily of perversion, the classic example being the promotion of the ideas of John Maynard Keynes, which in essence conceded what Douglas had to say about credit creation and a deficiency of purchasing power, but proposed a policy of deficit financing and "controlled inflation". There was to be no easing of the grip of the debt system.

Idealists have from time immemorial had visions of some type of a new order. In one of his poems Lord Tennyson envisaged a "Federation of Man". As Douglas observed, there have been a number of different horses running in the power race, but the question was whether those with control of the modern banking system had a longer view and visualised the exploitation of all idealists and power-seekers to serve their strategy. In his famous and controversial classic, *Tragedy and Hope*, Professor Carrol Quigley virtually endorsed the Douglas view, claiming first-hand knowledge of how the controllers of banking had a vision of a New World Order and were exploiting a variety of liberal and socialist movements in order to achieve their objective. Quigley had no objection to the vision, only to its supporters trying to keep it secret. Quigley believed that the supporters of this vision should proclaim it openly, as, in his view, this was the only hope for mankind.

A leading Australian opponent of any type of conspiracy theories is the former Communist turned Fabian Socialist, wealthy advertising magnate, Phillip Adams. For whatever reason, Phillip Adams uses his considerable expertise and skills to defend all things pro-Zionist. Phillip Adams wrung his hands at the time of the Chinese Communist massacre of students, lamenting how he and others like him could have been so foolish ever to have supported the Chinese Communists. This appeared to be an example of self-appointed intellectuals demonstrating that they can be used by movements the real nature of which they have failed to understand. Phillip Adams has described the writer as the "most dangerous man in Australia", presumably because he believes that conspiracy is a major factor in the human drama, and says so.

THE DISRAELI WARNING

But I am not saying anything that has not been said by much more prominent people. Early last century there was Benjamin Disraeli saying, in one of his novels, "And so, my dear Coningsby, you see that the world is governed by far other than those whom the public believe to be its rulers." Later in 1852, writing in *Lord George Bentinck*, Disraeli said, "It was neither parliaments nor populations, nor the course of nature, nor the course of events, that overthrew the throne of Louis Phillippe. The throne was surprised by the Secret Societies, ever prepared to savage Europe".

In more ways than one, Winston Churchill made a distinctive contribution to the conspiracy question, writing of how a "world-wide conspiracy" of Jews had brought down Czarist Russia and installed the Bolshevik terror. But Churchill's subsequent career suggests that he was influenced by forces mentioned by Disraeli. Churchill never repeated his allegation of a Jewish worldwide conspiracy, while his lawyers attempted to prevent a British publishing company from using Churchill's statement in publicising books. During the Great Depression he gave one major address in which he clearly indicated that a lack of adequate purchasing power was the basic problem. But that was all. Churchill strongly criticised the Morgenthau Plan and the "unconditional surrender" policy put forward at the second Quebec Conference, in September 1944, but then capitulated. Churchill apologised in the House of Commons after the war, but never explained why. The far-reaching and devastating effects of the "unconditional surrender" policy are a matter of history. Churchill was, of course, a strong supporter of Zionism, especially after his own private financial situation placed him in the position where he was dependant on Jewish financial support to survive, this being documented in David Irving's *Churchill's War*. A British Conservative Member of the House of Commons gently reprimanded me during my 1962 visit to the United Kingdom on the Common Market issue because I had to some extent in one address defended Churchill's role at the infamous Yalta Conference. I had not at the time read Churchill's fulsome eulogy of the Yalta Agreement in the House of Commons. Years later I was present in Washington when one of Stalin's former secretaries Boris Banganov, a friend of Solzhenitsyn, claimed that Churchill had been blackmailed at the Yalta Conference. Thus is history made.

A DISTINCTIVE CONTRIBUTION

As on so many basic issues, C.H. Douglas made a decisive contribution to the question of history and conspiracy, observing that all written history has got its limits, often merely representing the views of the writer. Real history could only be studied by considering crystallised politics over a period of time. His own writings make a basic contribution to an understanding of this type of history. The pattern of events during this century had demonstrated that there was a long-term policy to eliminate the British Empire, and British culture, as a necessary preliminary to attempting to organise some type of a World State. In an address on "The International Idea", delivered during the Great Depression, Douglas clearly outlined what was being attempted, but correctly predicted that, just as he had predicted ultimate disaster for the great "Soviet experiment", so all attempts to create a world state must end in more disaster, with the clock of civilisation being turned back.

It is significant that some of the earlier proponents of New World Orders finished their lives in despair. One of these was the well-known British writer, H.G. Wells, who enjoyed a certain amount of fame at one time. As early as 1928 Wells had called for an "Open Conspiracy", describing the conspiracy as follows: "The character of the Open Conspiracy will now be plainly dis-

NEW TIMES - APRIL 1992

played. It will have become a great world movement as widespread and evident as socialism or communism. It will largely have taken the place of these movements. It will be more, it will be a world religion." Wells later wrote one of his best-known works, *The Shape of Things to Come* in which he predicted the coming Second World War, followed by a break down in civilisation, with a plan for the "Modern World State" succeeding at a third attempt, in 1980, as a result of events in Iraq, by which time Russia would be "ready to assimilate". Although the World Government "had been plainly coming for some years, although it had been endlessly feared and murmured against, it found no opposition prepared anywhere."

THE ROLE OF SOCIAL CREDITERS

Long before his death, Wells had become extremely pessimistic, realising that mankind could not readily be organised into a uniform World State. Growing disturbances throughout the former Soviet Empire, which show every sign of becoming

worse, and the violent break up of Yugoslavia into its constituent parts, all confirm what Douglas predicted. Unlike the idealists and theorists, his predictions were made on the basis of reality. Attempts to push ahead with New World Order policies are going to produce even more disasters. Centralist financial and economic policies are the main instruments being used to attempt to drive Mankind into what is visualised as a Utopian World State. It is becoming increasingly easy to grasp what is being attempted. Social Crediters have a special role to play in a growing crisis, to outline the true nature of a conspiracy, which is doomed to fail, by its very nature. Most important is the advancement of constructive political and financial policies, which give people hope. Events continue to confirm the genius of C.H. Douglas, who presented a much more realistic way of assessing history, stressing that if the desperate plight of Mankind was not the result of mere chance, but of a conscious programme designed by power-hungry individuals, then those individuals could be exposed and dealt with. It appears that the Jewish writers concerned about the JFK film fear this type of development.

"GREENHOUSE EFFECT" A MODERN CHIMERA

by Douglas Greenwood.

Douglas Greenwood was previously an aeronautical engineer and editor of *Product Engineering Design Digest* (published by McGraw-Hill, New York) and sometime Senior Member of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and Member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers. Three of Mr. Greenwood's technical books on engineering design have been translated into both Spanish and Japanese.

Myths have bedevilled us for centuries. From Dark Age hobgoblins, dragons and witchery to the twentieth-century myth that Marxism worked, human progress has been held back by false beliefs. The present-day scare founded on carbon dioxide emissions by industry and other activity could, if it became conventional wisdom, dim the bright future of the world to a brown-out that results from a retarded dynamo — and from government meddling. And the question we should ask is: *why?*

Why should presumably intelligent scientists lend their authority in support of the Greenhouse myth? (How aptly we call it a Chimera: "A fire-breathing monster . . . an impossible or foolish fancy!") If, say the scientists, we insist on putting CO₂ into the atmosphere by burning oil, coal and natural gas in our factories and houses it will trap the sun's heat. This will cause a global increase in air temperature, which in turn will bring about ecological disaster. Their computer models spew forth-ultimate nightmare conditions of flooding, famine and an eventual apocalypse for all life on earth. Rock groups pollute the world's airwaves with altruistic (and self-seeking) promotion of save-the-world extravaganzas in marathon proportions that are clearly akin to the border-to-border poster pasting by Stalin and Hitler of smiling zombies marching to happy land. As a result of such "scientifically" (you must excuse the sarcastic inverted commas) inspired activism, governments begin talking about "fuel taxes" and other sand in the dynamos of the world such that a future of ox-carts and scarcities in former lands of plenty starts to come up on the wide screen of our future Grand Guignol scenario.

Right: torpedo running! First the facts, the geophysical facts (not "beliefs", please note). It is not only carbon dioxide in the atmosphere that controls our global temperature like a world thermostat. What really keeps things livable on earth is, principally, water vapour. That is what controls our air temperature. It is not a layer of CO₂, like a glass-roofed greenhouse. Of the total heat radiation from the sun 42% is sent back into space, 15% is absorbed by the atmosphere and its impurities (particulate material and clouds) and 43% is absorbed by the earth's surface, which reflects some of it back again only to

have it reflected back again by water vapour and CO₂ again and again. This is called the Greenhouse Effect, but only because it helps to keep the air temperature livable — as in a greenhouse. Temperatures at 100 miles altitude can even rise to over 1000 deg. C. ("real kinetic temperature") in the ionosphere and this layer can also vary in height enough to start to drag satellites down earlier than expected. Sunspot activity can cause this expansion. Thus, reports that this is happening does not mean that the entire gaseous envelope of the earth is warming.

Now let us look at the physical/chemical make-up of the atmosphere. The gases making up most of the lower air (the troposphere) are nitrogen 78.09%, oxygen 20.95%, argon .093%, carbon dioxide .05% (by weight) and minute amounts of other gases such as neon, krypton, hydrogen and methane. All right, we can ask, if there is only .05% carbon dioxide, wouldn't it be likely that this small amount can be increased enough to change the physical balance of the atmosphere by adding huge amounts of CO₂ from the burning of fossil and other fuels? If so, would not this changed balance upset the apple cart that has served us faithfully since, certainly, Biblical days? Before answering that question may I remind you of that old globe? Carbon dioxide does not sit like some goldfish globe round the earth with us poor fish swimming under it; indeed, the gas is thoroughly mixed with the very water in which we are swimming, so to say.

We are getting to the crucial question upon which our way of life on earth depends. First, then, how much of all the CO₂ we are belching forth every year is believed ("believed" — no proof) to accumulate in the atmosphere? Answer: 3.5 thousand million tonnes (source "*Climate change*", Cambridge University Press, 1990). And how much CO₂ is there in the atmosphere? Here is the time-bomb answer in my torpedo warhead, and which blows the phony Greenhouse Effect scare out of the treacherous waters of scientific deceit. Answer: 2,500 thousand million tonnes. Divide that by the "believed" yearly CO₂ accumulations of 3.5 thousand million tonnes (half the total emissions) and we get just over 700 years. (Or it could be virtually never.) A long, long time, which gets us into Star Trek days almost — or looking back, 100 years before Columbus discovered America!

In other words, that is how long it would take merely to double the minute percentage of CO2 now in the atmosphere — if it didn't all get taken out, that is, which is more than likely. Taken out by its being taken into green vegetation, water and rocks, which is how Mother Nature has been taking care of her lovely earth all along. So why, I ask again, do scientists put out a false case to persuade governments to enact all sorts of Malthusian-like legislation? Do they really want to see a Toynbeean world of recession and shortages, where only the wealthy and the powerful (the scientists will be pampered Oracles, of course) will enjoy lordly privileges? Will "crimes against the people" be replaced by the tyranny of a jealous god, Earth, where not being squeaky-green is to suffer the pillory or even the stake? Or have

the Oracles merely read their slide rules wrong?

One thing that gives a hint is a statement by Professor Tim Ball, chairman of the Canada Committee on Climatic Fluctuations. He was reported by Canada Press in March 1990 as saying, "What you've got is a hysteria promoted by two or three American scientists who are out to grab headlines and research dollars."

In other words, empire-building academics — or perhaps something much more sinister, such as atheistic nihilism in shadowy seats of power. Well, maybe, but it scares me when I see governments falling for it.

Local air pollution, of course, is another question, and should certainly be reduced for the sake of regional health.

DESTROYING AIDS MYTHS

by Doug Collins

The following article by British born Canadian journalist Doug Collins is a sample of the calibre of the man who will be visiting Australia later in the year under the auspices of The Australian League of Rights. Supplies of the book on AIDS referred to by Doug Collins will be obtained and made available through League bookshops.

Any celebrity who craves hero status has only to announce that he has AIDS.

Hymns will then be sung and homosexuals and bisexuals will trumpet, "we are all in this together."

Magie Johnson is one example. Freddy Mercury the dead rock star who "wanted it all" and got it was another. World-class promiscuous sex machines, they join Rock Hudson in the AIDS Hall of Fame.

For this idiocy we can thank pressure politics, moral blindness and cowardice. The medical establishment, media and politicians refuse to warn against homosexuality, even though homos are the main carriers on the American continent.

"Be nice," they say in effect. "Aids is a human right."

MOST POLITICISED DISEASE

AIDS is the most politicised disease mankind has ever known. Thus the homo lobby yelled "bigotry" when the Canadian Royal Commission on health care recommended AIDS testing in hospitals.

But if I were a nurse or a doctor I would look for other work if it is not done.

That is what Dr. Lorraine Day did. She quit as Chief of Orthopedic Surgery at San Francisco General Hospital. And the story she tells in her book (see below) should be read by every doctor and media hack that parrots the pansies' party line.

She destroys many AIDS myths, including the "safe sex" myth that makes for complacency, and the myth that the virus cannot survive outside the body. In fact it can survive for two weeks and can even survive freezing.

She states, devastating, "This plague cannot be fought with a condom in one hand and a human rights slogan in the other."

MISTAKEN BELIEFS

In 1980 it was believed that AIDS did not transmit at all.

In 1981 it was believed that AIDS could not be transmitted through blood transfusions.

In 1987 it was believed that mothers could not pass the disease to their babies.

As for catching it from a homosexual dentist, the very idea was laughed at.

Today it is still being claimed that the virus is being transmitted only by sexual means, drug needles, blood transfusions and that it cannot be transmitted through intact skin.

Dr. Day shows that is not so. Even kissing is not safe.

On transfusions, she says: "It took from 14,000 to 16,000 victims to get our authorities to admit that death was being kept refrigerated and frozen in blood bank inventories and that, maybe, it was time to test."

Are transfusions safe now? They have been a lot safer since 1985, when testing was started. But they are not wholly safe because there can be a "negative window period" of over three years between initial infection and the virus becoming detectable.

The only safe blood is your own. The next best is that of a "designated donor."

And women are less likely to be infected than men. Which of course is not to say they are immune.

AIDSPEAK

She calls the fog of evasion that surrounds the disease AIDSpeak.

AIDSpeak insists that the virus cannot penetrate latex surgical gloves and masks, and that condoms are safe. Not true, she states. Fourteen percent of condoms break, for instance.

The way she has been treated for her stand is a story in itself. Her own hospital administrators "hid under the table" when the attacks by the homosexual lobby began.

Incredibly, even blood bank officials ignored her when she pointed out that failure to test could mean death. This even though they were buying blood from a homosexual, AIDS-ridden district in San Francisco.

In North America, AIDS began and flourished with homosexuals, spurred by their filthy practices. That's why it was initially called Gay Related Immune Deficiency Syndrome (GRIDS). But the lobby soon got the name changed.

In spite of all that Dr. Day does not write as a homo-hater.

VIDEO ON THE CHRISTIAN MONARCHY

Well-known Australian Christian teacher Barry Tattersall provides a brilliant exposition of the role of Christian Monarchy in relationship to representative government. A most valuable educational video at the present time. Professionally produced and based on an address by Barry Tattersall to the Melbourne Conservative Speakers' Club, this video is of special importance at a time when the Republican campaign is being intensified in Australia.

Available in Australia from all League of Rights addresses. \$23.00 posted.

She writes as a doctor with a conscience. It is the virus we have to fear, she says, not bigotry.

HIGH NOON

I wrote years ago that teenagers should be taught in the schools that homosexuality kills. But we prefer to hide our heads in the sand and natter on about "sexual preferences."

So one day we may be like Zaire, where one in three of the population has AIDS — homos and straights alike.

In black Africa, it is already high noon. Here, thanks to media and medical madness, it soon will be. Unless we wise up, that is. So read this book and shudder. Fear can be good for you.

"AIDS. What the Government Isn't Telling You" by Dr. Lorraine Day: Rockford press, 44-489 Town Center Way, Suite D412, Palm Desert, CA 92260, USA; US\$25.95.

RE-WRITING AUSTRALIAN HISTORY

Australian Prime Minister Keating has attempted to rewrite history in order to advance his pro-Republican campaign. He unleashed an anti-British tirade following the 50th anniversary of the fall of Singapore, admittedly one of the worst disasters in British military history. The roots of that disaster can be traced back to the policies imposed upon Britain by the American policy makers at the end of the First World War. Those policies were the result of the price paid by the British to obtain financial loans from Wall Street during the First World War. During the early stages of that conflict, the dominant German-Jewish international bankers of Wall Street, including Kuhn Loeb and Company, were almost openly pro-German.

It has been generally forgotten that as a result of the Anglo-Japanese naval agreement, British interests in the Far East were protected. During the First World War Japan scrupulously adhered to that agreement, helping to ensure the safe conduct of Australian troops to the European zone of conflict. British strategists had always seen the Anglo-Japanese naval agreement as an assurance that they would never find themselves in the position where, in the event of a European war, they would have to divert valuable resources to the Far East.

That realistic strategy was shattered in 1921 when the Washington policy makers, reflecting powerful anti-British international groups, forced the British to break the naval agreement with Japan unilaterally. The Japanese saw this for what it was, a British betrayal of an agreement and a complete loss of face for them. No one but an historical illiterate denies today that it was the policy of the Roosevelt administration which undermined the pro-Western groups in Japan with an economic policy which brought the pro-war groups to power in Japan. Prince Konoye, who went further than any other Japanese leader had gone in attempting to reach an agreement with the Roosevelt administration, was swept aside.

British involvement in the war in Europe left them extremely vulnerable in the Far East. But Keating's allegation that the British had no intention of defending the Malayan Peninsular in the advent of war with Japan is an outrageous falsehood. Australia's most distinguished historian Professor Geoffrey Blainey, has described the Keating allegation as "utterly false". In fact there were tens of thousands of British troops stationed at Singapore while the two major British battleships, the Prince of Wales and the Repulse, were allocated to the area. Naval authorities blame Churchill for the tragic loss of both these ships, stressing that they had been sent into a dangerous situation without adequate air cover.

While it is certainly true that the British made glib promises to Australia concerning defence, the reality was that they found themselves unable to back those promises. Enormous British sacrifices, both in lives and resources, were made in supplying the Soviet Union via the treacherous Arctic route. With only a fraction of the population of the Soviet Union, the British were bleeding themselves to sustain a Soviet Union, which, according to Socialist propaganda, was one of the miracles of the modern world.

Prime Minister Keating should be reminded that Australia was unable to make a greater contribution towards its own defence because of the policies of his predecessors. Labor Prime Minister James Scullin, a relatively weak man, brought to office through the Great Depression, actually abolished National Service. Subsequent Australian governments all badly neglected

defence, the general view being that the British would take care of any trouble in the Far East. Australian governments have continued to fail to do anything positive about defence, continuing to depend upon a "Big Brother" in the shape of the United States. But in a growing unstable world this is a policy of treachery and Prime Minister Keating should be told so.

It was Paul Keating's spiritual Fabian brothers in Britain who strongly opposed every suggestion of maintaining the nation's military strength, and then criticised the Chamberlain government for "not standing up to Hitler." It was the attitude of the British Socialists, which helped to convince Hitler that it was extremely unlikely that the British would go to war against him. If Prime Minister Keating's anti-British outburst was the preliminary to a greatly expanded defence programme, at least he would be demonstrating his sincerity. But the outburst is part of an on-going campaign to tear Australia from its historical roots; allegedly to demonstrate to the Asians that Australia is a truly independent nation. All that Keating is doing is to demonstrate his shallowness and rootlessness. Asians only respect those who have respect for themselves. How can they have respect for a government, which progressively plunges Australia deeper into debt, and which almost grovels to the Japanese to invest in Australia?

The Prime Minister who talks so much about national independence is the man who has presided over the explosion of a foreign debt, which stood at \$23 billion when he, as Federal Treasurer, presented his 1983 budget. Over the succeeding years

LEAGUE FUND TARGET IN SIGHT

With some last-minute co-operation from all those who have not yet contributed, the Australian League of Rights' 1991-92 Basic Fund can be easily filled. Less than \$2000 is now required to reach the target of \$70,000. In the long history of the League, never once has the Basic Fund not been filled, permitting the League to continue planning ahead in depth. With a new and younger League National Director, Mr. David Thompson, assuming the responsibility taking the League forward into a highly dangerous national situation, it is imperative that he be given a strong vote of confidence with the Basic Fund pushed well past its minimum objective.

All contributions to P.O. Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, 3001. In order to keep postal costs down, receipts will be forwarded only to those who request them.

the foreign debt soared ever upwards. During his 1990 budget speech, Keating made the extraordinary statement that "This year inflation will fall further, the current account deficit will markedly improve and employment will pick up.... delivered without the misery and despair of high unemployment and a savage recession." The foreign debt now stood at \$124 billion.

In spite of this record, Paul Keating has had the effrontery to state as Prime Minister that he has a four-year plan to boost unemployment and take Australia out of the recession he helped to create. But the foreign debt has now gone to \$145 billion. The man who bleats about the British failing to defend Australia is the same man who has presided over the destruction of Australian industries and placed Australia at the mercy of the international debt merchants. Paul Keating's wife apparently finds it difficult to extend elementary courtesies to the Queen with the traditional curtsy, while he is prepared to kow-tow to the Japanese bankers.

At least the thousands of British troops did fight in an attempt to halt the Japanese military advance down the Malayan Peninsular, many dying in the attempt. But Paul Keating makes an economic surrender without a whimper. Such double standards are nauseating, and the Prime Minister's hypocrisy should be exposed from one end of Australia to another.

THE THREAT TO SMALL JOURNALS

Just before Christmas, one of Australia's biggest monopolies, Australia Post, quietly announced that it was going to strike a deadly blow against the distribution of Australia's small journals that rely upon direct subscriptions for survival. While Australians were contemplating the traditional annual Christmas break, Australia Post was announcing that it was planning to phase out the registered publications service which permitted printed matter to be carried at a discount.

The undergirding philosophy of the registered mail service was that it enabled small journals of opinion, economic, cultural and political to circulate as freely as possible, and to draw a dispersed Australian population into a cultural whole. There was a social implication. But all this is to be destroyed in pursuit of the worship of "economic rationalism". The implications for all small journals that have managed to survive rising costs are horrendous. Many will probably go out of circulation. Libraries will be affected also. And down the road, if Dr. John Hewson wins the next Federal Elections, there will be the threat of the Goods and Services Tax.

Needless to say, there is widespread concern in the printing industry about the loss of business, with more unemployment, if numbers of smaller publications have to close because of Australia Post's policy. It should be noted that because of its monopoly, Australia Post earned a record profit of \$144 million last year, following a \$70.1 million profit the previous year. But it has also had to make increasing payments to the Federal Government.

League of Rights journals like *The New Times* will be seriously effected by the Australia Post policy. These journals have been made available at relatively low subscription rates by low production and distribution costs, volunteers making a most valuable contribution. League journals are not designed to make profits, but to provide a most vital service.

The Australian Book Review estimates that its postal costs will double in the next two years, resulting in a situation where "a quarter of your subscription payment would be spent on postage". Postal costs are already the biggest part of subscriptions to League journals and before long must result in still higher postal charges, and higher subscription rates.

What is happening is the progressive centralisation of power we have constantly warned about. We are now right up in the front line and the League will be evolving a strategy to cope with the situation. Those who genuinely support the League's contribution to the national battle for survival will help to minimise costs by paying subscriptions promptly. The battle for national survival depends upon the survival of the League. This question is in the hands of League supporters.

We anticipate that there will be a major adjustment in the League subscription policy before the end of this year. In the meantime, pay your subscription promptly or there will be no alternative but to take you off the subscription list.

This is no time for anyone to cut off from the vital information essential for the salvation of Australia.

"POLITICAL CORRECTNESS"

"Much has been written about political correctness. It is a comprehensive idea, which includes your attitude to just about everything from politics itself to children's literature.... and language.

The new Random House dictionary must surely be the bible of the language of political correctness.... this dictionary lends authority to scores of questionable usages'.

"Here are some examples: 'heightism' and 'weightism' recognise 'discrimination against short and fat people' but not, you will notice, against tall and thin ones; 'herstory' distinguishes the study of women's affairs from the generic, all-inclusive 'history'. This absurdity is allowed in spite of the fact that the word 'history' has nothing to do with gender but comes from the Greek word for 'knowing'."

— Dame Leonie Kramer, Chancellor of University of Sydney.

WHAT ABOUT THOSE MIDDLE EAST PEACE TALKS?

The farce about the Bush-sponsored Middle East peace talks drags on and on. The Israelis dogmatically decline to heed any suggestion that they vacate occupied Arab territory. The establishment of new settlements in the West Bank area continues. The Israelis continue to impose their repressive policies on the Palestinians. The USA is prepared to risk another major outburst of anti-American feeling throughout the Moslem world by imposing sanctions against Libya and threatening Iraq with even more military force, but Israel continues to ignore UN resolutions with impunity. There is not the slightest suggestion that sanctions should be used against Zionist Israel to make it conform to UN resolutions.

"Central government is merely a disguised military organisation, the power of which grows with what it feeds upon."

— C.H. Douglas.

"... the rules of the Universe transcend human thinking, and cannot, in the ordinary sense of the words, be altered, and therefore must be ascertained and obeyed. In this sense, Constitutionalism is an extension of the very comprehensive subject we call Social Credit".

- C.H. Douglas in *"Realistic Constitutionalism"*.

DAVID IRVING'S "HITLER'S WAR"

Whatever one thinks of British historian David Irving, one must concede that Irving has demonstrated his professional integrity by removing all previous comments concerning the alleged Jewish Holocaust in the recent publication *Hitler's War*, now including *The War Path* in one volume. This massive work is completely revised and abridged. Following Irving's public stand that he could no longer give any credence to the widely held view that millions of Jews were gassed to death, it was certain that no mainstream publishers could handle the revision of a work previously published by Hodder and Stoughton and the Viking Press in 1977. Displaying a resourcefulness, which even some establishment historians have admired, Irving did his own publishing through Focal Point. The result is a beautifully produced 1000 page deluxe edition including over 40 colour photos never previously published. This must be regarded as a major publishing event in more ways than one.

Hitler's War is an invaluable goldmine of information concerning a major part of modern history. Irving provides valuable information about Hitler's cynical use of "anti-Semitism" primarily as a political tool to gain power, and how, having gained power, was content to leave to others all questions concerning Jews. Of special interest is Irving's documentation of the fact that prominent Jewish bankers played a major role in financing Hitler, one of the Jewish bankers being the leader of Zionism in Germany. Famous British writer Douglas Reed was one of the first to draw attention to the strange relationship between the Nazis and the Zionists.

A careful reading of *Hitler's War* provides no evidence to suggest that Irving is pro-Hitler. The allegation that Irving is pro-Hitler stems from his failure to follow the general line about Hitler. At the end of his exhaustive researches, which included talking to some who worked for Hitler personally, including his doctor, Irving writes, "Hitler will remain an enigma, however hard we burrow. Even his intimates realised that they hardly knew him", and quoting Hitler's closest strategic adviser, General Alfred Jodl, who wrote in his Nuremberg cell, ". . . but then I ask myself, did you ever really know this man at whose side you led such a thorny and ascetic existence? Did he perhaps just trifle with your idealism, too, abusing it for dark purposes which he kept hidden deep within himself?.... To this very day I do not know what he thought or knew or really wanted. I only knew my own thoughts and suspicions."

Irrespective of how Hitler is assessed, it is certain, as Irving makes clear, that Hitler was no super-man, but a dictator who became the victim of the very dictatorship he had established. Irving advances the view that in wartime dictatorships "are fundamentally weak", and that it is impossible for a dictator to effectively oversee all the activities of executives. Some of these were "gangsters" who were certainly guilty of atrocities against Jews and others in some areas. But Irving has been unable to find any evidence that Hitler had ever directed any mass extermination of Jews. In his diligent search for such evidence, he has offered a substantial sum of money to anyone who can produce an authentic document concerning any such directive. Hitler was aware of the existence of German concentration camps, but never once visited any of them.

Irving confirms what others have said, that *initially* Hitler had 'neither the intention nor the desire to harm Britain or destroy the Empire', which raises the question of how was Britain dragged into such a ruinous conflict, one which was so disastrous for the whole British Empire? As C.H. Douglas has pointed out, there were powerful international forces, which not only wanted a second world war, but a long war. Hitler was the product of the Versailles Conference at the conclusion of the

First World War and the Great Depression, which followed. The picture of Hitler presented by Irving reinforces the view of those who see him as an individual serving a long-term strategy he did not understand. He was a convenient tool.

No serious student of modern history can ignore David Irving. *Hitler's War* provides source material not available elsewhere. The Notes alone are invaluable. The Zionists, of course, are outraged by a work, which demolished one of their major myths.

Hitler's War available from all Australian League addresses. \$66 posted.

HAYEK AND THE FREE MARKET

The recent death of Professor Hayek, sometimes described as the doyen of the Australian School of Economics, has resulted in a number of articles, most describing Hayek as the leading exponent of "free market" economics. Hayek first came to prominence early in the Second World War with the publication of *The Road to Serfdom*. This work was a brilliant exposure of the fallacy of centralised planning with the prediction that it must inevitably lead to the totalitarian state. Hayek demonstrated that Hitler's National Socialism had the same philosophic roots as the Marxist Socialists.

But Hayek and his supporters, including today's proponents of the Free Market, always had a blind spot concerning finance. In *The Road to Serfdom* Hayek flatly disputed that the Great Depression was the result of banking policy. As C.H. Douglas has pointed out, there was nothing basically wrong with the pre-First World War economic system, where the owner of a gold sovereign was serviced by a competing number of enterprises all eager to serve the consumer better than their competitors. But, as Douglas said, the problem of some people not having enough gold sovereigns was another matter.

The advocates of Free Market Economics correctly state that the individual should have the opportunity to spend his money in his own way with a minimum of interference from planners of any kind. But a study of their statements reveals that they assume that the modern production system automatically distributes enough money for consumers to buy what has been produced. This is not true, as first demonstrated by C.H. Douglas and increasingly confirmed by events.

A market has been described as a number of people with money in their pockets. If people have little money in their pockets, or any other type of purchasing power, they can hardly be realistically described as a market. The policy of striving for foreign markets arises from the view that as the domestic market cannot buy what has been produced, then in some unexplained way the people in a foreign market will be able to buy the production. But the producers in all developed countries have the same problems concerning domestic markets and therefore seek foreign markets.

One result of the constant striving for foreign markets is the enormous economic waste as energy is used to move production round the world. The Japanese, for example, strive with a feverish energy to export motorcars to the United States while American manufacturers bitterly complain that they will not be able to continue production unless they can send more their cars to Japan.

The advocates of Free Market Economics have no answers to increasing economic, political and social problems until they address themselves realistically to the money question.

"Central government is merely a disguised military organisation, the power of which grows with what it feeds upon."

- C.H. Douglas.
Page 7

THE DISGRACEFUL DEMJANJUK CASE

While Australia's first War Crimes trial continues in Adelaide, with it now being estimated that total costs will soar to over \$50 million, Ukrainian born American citizen Demjanjuk continues to be held in prison by Zionist Israel, in spite of overwhelming evidence that he is innocent of the charges against him. The *Washington Post* of February 1 published the following moving letter from Demjanjuk's son, appealing for his father to be freed.

The *Post's* "Damjanjuk on Appeal" editorial of January 18 correctly stated that "affidavits from SS guards, long dead . . . say Ivan the Terrible was one Ivan Marchenko, a different person and not, as had been argued, merely one of Mr. Demjanjuk's wartime aliases."

However, the *Post* missed the point with respect to the unjustified prosecution of my father. The "new evidence" that has been presented to the Israeli Supreme Court is not really new at all — at least not to the U.S. Justice Department.

The fact is that in 1978, the US Justice Department obtained 100 pages of documents from the Soviet Union, which contained sworn statements taken from Soviet soldiers during treason trials in the USSR between 1948 and 1962.

These soldiers were the same ones who served as guards at Treblinka and were compatriots of the feared "Ivan the Terrible." Those documents establish conclusively that the motorist of Treblinka's gas chambers, known to the victims as "Ivan the Terrible", was Ivan Marchenko, not John Demjanjuk.

It was not until very recently and solely through the efforts of Congressman James Traficant that copies of those documents, together with State Department cable traffic from Moscow to Washington, were discovered in Justice Department files. The demise of the USSR only allowed the defence to verify the existence of these same documents at their source.

The fact remains that those documents were in the hands of U.S. Justice Department officials and for 13 years were kept from my father's defence and the U.S. courts, which allowed him to be stripped of his U.S. citizenship and extradited to Israel as the man guilty of Ivan Marchenko's atrocities.

The only one guilty here, however, is every single Justice Department lawyer, investigator and paralegal that assisted in harming my father. It is now evident that this case was not only of mistaken identity but of the malicious prosecution of an innocent man.

And for those who legitimately ask why, the answer, unfortunately, is that the Justice Department was under tremendous pressure to deliver on its mandated mission of hunting Nazis.

In 1978, then-congressman Joshua Eilberg wrote to then-attorney general Griffin Bell expressing his "strong concern over the possible inadequate prosecution of (the Demjanjuk) case." He added: "We cannot afford the risk of losing another decision".

And so our Justice Department's Office of Special Investigations became a persecutor, not a prosecutor. It was obvious that neither the Soviets nor their Eastern bloc lackeys would allow the defence independent access to exculpatory evidence.

My father was an unsophisticated immigrant who believed that U.S. courts could do no wrong. The publicity associated with the prosecution of "Ivan the Terrible" would guarantee O.S.I. jobs.

My father could not defend himself because he was blindfolded and put on the playing field with the opposing team making up the rules. It's time to let my father go and to prosecute the real criminals at O.S.I.

In his pro-Republican campaign Australian Prime Minister Keating has demonstrated that he has little respect for facts. Paul Keating suggests that Australia follow the Canadian lead

and adopt a flag "it can call its own". Canadians will be surprised to learn that they decided they wanted a new flag to demonstrate that they were "independent", and that the new flag was introduced without any problems.

Prime Minister Keating neglects to point out that the Australian flag was the result of a contest in which tens of thousands participated, and that the present flag was the result of that contest. He also neglects to tell Australians that the driving force behind the campaign to deprive the Canadians of their traditional flag, The Red Ensign, with the Union Jack in the corner, were his fellow Fabian Socialists in Ottawa. A major argument was that The Red Ensign was divisive because it was not supported by the French Canadians because it contained the Union Jack, although it did not contain the Fleur de Lis of the Quebec flag.

It was further argued that the abolition of The Red Ensign would produce Canadian unity. Prime Minister Keating should bring himself up to date on the Canadian situation, which is far from one of national harmony. The forced abolition of the Red Ensign, in the face of widespread opposition, did not produce national harmony. The French Canadians showed as little enthusiasm for the Maple Leaf flag as did the rest of the community. Someone might test Paul Keating's knowledge of the Canadian situation by asking him how many of the Provinces have retained the Union Jack in their Provincial Flags.

If Prime Minister Paul Keating believes that he is going to impress the Asian nations by blatantly attempting to trample on his own nation's heritage, this only further demonstrates the shallowness of the man. If Australians wish to gain the respect of other nations, they must first demonstrate that they have respect for their own historical roots.

MAGNA CARTA

ITS CONTINUING IMPORTANCE

This well produced handbook on Magna Carta, by the Committee of the Australian Constitutional Education Campaign Fund, is most timely. It cannot be recommended too highly. Excellent for students.

Price \$4 posted from all League addresses.

VIDEO ON THE CHRISTIAN MONARCHY

Well-known Australian Christian teacher Barry Tattersall provides a brilliant exposition of the role of Christian Monarchy in relationship to representative government. A most valuable educational video at the present time. Professionally produced and based on an address by Barry Tattersall to the Melbourne Conservative Speakers' Club, this video is of special importance at a time when the Republican campaign is being intensified in Australia.

Available in Australia from all League of Rights addresses. \$23.00 posted.