THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free"

VOL. 56, No. 9.

Registered by Australia Post-Publication No. VBH.1001.

SEPTEMBER 1992.

Australian and New Zealand edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

EXPORT MADNESS THREATENS CIVILISATION

by Eric D. Butler

In his desperate efforts to win the USA Presidential elections in November, President George Bush has dramatically demonstrated that the "export or perish" dogma will, if rigidly adhered to by the developed nations, intensify the process of disintegration of societies everywhere. Those who will not learn from the disasters of history are doomed to suffer a repeat of those disasters. There were two major and associated factors which led to the eventual collapse of the great Roman Civilisation: centralisation of power in an attempt to cope with monetary inflation stemming from debt and high taxation; and a break down of the value system which had undergirded the development of that Civilisation. A few far-sighted statesmen like Cicero perceived what was happening, but their warnings were ignored by the overwhelming majority of their fellows.

It is now over seventy years since C.H. Douglas, the author of Social Credit, warned that unless orthodox finance-economic policies were radically changed, mankind was faced with growing convulsions and the inevitable disintegration of yet another Civilisation. The guns had hardly cooled after the First World War, a war which allegedly would make the world safe for democracy, when Douglas pointed out that the central cause of that conflict, the struggle for markets, would, in the absence of a correction of a major flaw in the financial system, lead to further international conflicts and a break up of Civilisation. Relatively few people believed Douglas. But, as one commentator put it, events appeared to be in the pay of Douglas, with the upheaval of the Great Depression, this setting the stage for the Second World War. Every new convulsion has helped to undermine faith in the traditional Christian value system.

WHY RUN HARDER ON WRONG ROAD?

Douglas's first article was entitled "The Delusion of Super-Production", the essence of which was that it was dangerous folly to accept the view that man's economic problems could be solved by driving the economic system harder and expanding production, this in turn requiring an expansion of debt finance. The famous Chinese sage Confucious warned that it was folly to run harder when already on the wrong road. This type of wisdom has escaped the attention of those who, with their hands on the levers of power, have insisted that only by running harder on an economic road which has produced one disaster after another, can some type of Utopia be reached.

In his analysis of the working of the orthodox finance-economic system, Douglas demonstrated that modern industry increasingly generated financial costs faster than it distributed purchasing power to consumers to buy what had been produced. In order to try to keep the economic system operating, it is necessary to have growing investment in capital goods, this distributing incomes ahead of the consumer goods, which eventually result from the capital production. Further, various types of hire purchase schemes had to be developed, and the "pump-priming" deficit budget technique popularised by John Maynard Keynes.

The total result of this type of financing has been escalating debt, this in turn playing a major part in justifying higher taxation in order to meet interest bills on debt.

A FORM OF MADNESS

But such are the dynamics of modern production, a major factor being the development of computers, that every developed nation is, under present financial policies, forced to try to increase exports. The philosophy undergirding internationalism

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to ε society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the Free Society and its institutions — private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, whether described as public or private.

To encourage electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and are environment reflecting Natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the people of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

stems from the view that in an attempt to provide "full employment" national economies must be increasingly internationalised. The result of this process is the attempt by groups of nations to form 'trade blocs", which hopefully can ensure that a larger market will solve the basic problem of what to do with the production which keeps pouring out of the modern production system, increasingly eliminating human labour. The very suggestion that a basic problem can be solved by making it even bigger, is a manifestation of a form of madness, a divorcement from reality.

President George Bush and his advisers are firmly in the grip of this madness, and so they risk the wrath of Communist China by offering to provide Taiwan with fighter planes. These planes happen to be produced in Texas, and George Bush desperately needs Texan votes to help survive in November. Irrespective of whether Bush has to add to America's debt structure in order to finance increased subsidies to America's grain growers, his announcement is primarily political. He cannot afford to alienate the American farm vote during an election year.

The reaction of Australia's politicians and primary producer leaders to the Bush policy merely demonstrates how pathetically ignorant these people are about both finance-economic and political realities. Early this year National Farmers' Federal President Blight was bordering on tears as he expressed his gratitude that President Bush had given him a little of his time in Canberra. Now he professes that he is hurt by the Bush trading policies. Dr. John Hewson, Opposition leader, has tried to score a few cheap political points by claiming that Prime Minister Keating has not taken a strong enough stand; he is writing direct to Bush to tell the American President that a Coalition government would be demanding compensation for the damage done to Australia's wheat industry. It is most unlikely that the Hewson letter will cause George Bush to slump into a sweat of fear. Nor will he and his advisers be interested in the type of "strong" action being recommended by other Australians.

DR. HEWSON'S PROPOSAL

But what might interest President Bush and the Washington policy makers is the suggestion by Dr. John Hewson that Australia should apply to join the Free Trade bloc of the USA, Canada, and Mexico. This suggestion by Dr. John Hewson clearly demonstrates that he is firmly in the grip of the madness which

POLICING THE NEW WORLD ORDER

Ideas can remain dormant for long periods before they find practical expression. Until recently article 43 of the United Nations Charter, referring to the necessity to establish a standing UN army, has been generally forgotten or, if mentioned, dismissed as an impractical idea put forward by a group of visionaries who framed the UN Charter. Prominent among these visionaries were hard-line Marxist strategists who never agreed to any policy unless they felt it would serve their long-term aims. Prominent among these was the first acting Secretary General of the United Nations, Alger Hiss. *The evil that men do lives after them*, wrote Shakespeare. The Marxists did not agree to the establishment of a permanent UN army unless they felt such an army would be to their benefit.

But 47 years on, article 43 of the UN Charter has started to be taken seriously. Growing disorder and civil conflicts around the world led to a call early this year by French President Mitterand, who told a UN summit conference that France would provide a thousand men for a permanent "Peace-keeping" force. This force could be provided at 48 hours notice, and quickly doubled if necessary. Mitterand received immediate support from

urges bigger and bigger trade blocs in an attempt to solve domestic economic problems. Irrespective of what trade bloc it attempts to join, including the proposed Asian bloc, Australia's problems can only be solved inside Australia, by Australians taking independent action. There are several basic questions which must be addressed by Australians if they are to maintain the degree of independence they still possess, and then expand it: they must first insist that the Australian banking system be brought back under the control of their elected political representatives; there must be a halt to any more overseas borrowing (the current debt has reached \$156 billion and requires \$13 billion to service the interest payments); all Australian industries must be adequately protected; there must be an issue of sufficient debt-free credits to start to alleviate Australia's most pressing problems, including the writing-off of a large part of the massive rural debt. Other steps can be taken later.

THE BASIC ISSUE

As the writer has pointed out time and time again, the basic issue confronting Australians is nationalism versus internationalism. The export or perish dogma must be replaced with one of national self-sufficiency. Australia's current political, primary producer and secondary industry leaders have demonstrated that they are intellectually bankrupt and incapable of breaking from the internationalist disease. In spite of what has happened in recent years. Australia's primary producer leaders still cling to the internationalist myth, now arguing, along with Prime Minister Keating, that Australia's producers should be looking more to Asia. But as the Asians increasingly develop their own economies under the same finance-economic policies pursued by the West, and the same philosophy, dominating Western nations, they will also be forced to attempt to solve their problems by struggling to gain more export markets. What President Bush is now doing, is to send a clear signal to Australians, if they can open their eyes to see it that it is dangerous folly to be tying the Australian economy to internationalism: that they should cease to waste their time sending officials to GATT and other international talk-fests.

What is to be done? Continue bearing witness to the truth, which events continue to confirm, and work to elect political representatives who will challenge the international dogma, putting Australia first

Boris Yeltsin of Russia. Yeltsin said, "I believe that we need a special quick-response mechanism to ensure peace and stability". A number of other nations have expressed support for the concept.

Since its creation the UN has been involved in 23 peace-keeping operations, few of which have been very successful. And the expense has been astronomical, running to thousands of millions of dollars. While the nations of the world strive to solve their own internal problems by "export drives', it is certain that the world will continue to move down the road towards increasing turmoil and instability. Attempts to use centralised force to provide "world peace" in a world of increasing disintegration, can only increase the world's problems, not solve them.

A true World Order can only emerge where the peoples of the world are living in harmony with the natural order, this requiring a decentralisation, not centralisation of power. Decentralisation of financial credit power is a basic requirement. Assuming that a permanent UN force could be established, it could, of course, be used to take action against any nations, which decided that there was no future in the internationalism being imposed on mankind today. In a world of material abundance, harmonious relations between both individuals and nations are practical.

ZIONISTS ON DEFENSIVE

C.H. Douglas expressed the opinion that the establishment of the Zionist State of Israel would eventually prove counterproductive; that it would result in open debate about the role of Jewish leadership throughout history. The rank and file of the Jewish people have been the main victims of a philosophy which has treated them as a collectivity to be used like a battering ram to advance the programmes of their leaders. Time and time again the rank and file of the Jewish people have been the main victims of this policy. Douglas observed in *Social Credit* that the solution to a problem, which had persisted for hundreds of years, was to break up the domination of the individual Jew by the manipulation of group activity directed by his leaders.

The famous Jewish writer and philosopher, Dr. Oscar Levy, in defending an English writer against the charge of "anti-Semitism" wrote about two thousand years of madness resulting primarily from the "Chosen Race" myth. In his little classic, *The Idiocy of Idealism*, Dr. Levy observed that Hitler's views on race mirrored those of the Jewish leaders. Zionist leaders welcomed Hitler's programme to force German Jews to leave Germany, particularly younger Jews, believing that this would help provide the manpower necessary for the progressive invasion of Palestine, and the eventual displacement of the Palestinians.

So long as the Holocaust myth could be sustained, and there is no doubt that this has been one of the most powerful myths in history, it has proved a powerful weapon for use against both the rank and file of the Jews, and the Christians. Against the background of this myth, it was possible for the Zionists, with the aid of the KGB, to advance the War Crimes trials, one of the highlights of these trials being that of John Demjanjuk, handed over to Israel by American authorities. After a classic show-style trial, which Mr. Isi Leibler and other Zionist leaders applauded, Demjanjuk was found guilty of being an "Ivan The Terrible" who had been responsible for murdering thousands of Jews in German "extermination" camps. Demjanjuk was sentenced to death.

But events overtook the Zionists with evidence being provided proving that Demjanjuk was the victim of a trumped-up charge. With the collapse of the Soviet Empire and the opening of KGB files, the case against Demjanjuk collapsed completely. It is now known that the KGB played an active role in the framing of Demjanjuk and that the American Jewish billionaire, Armand Hamer, had personally brought the false KGB files from Moscow to Israel. It is now openly admitted that Armand Hamer, a close friend of every Soviet leader from the time of Lenin, played a major role in helping to sustain the Soviet and acted as a type of courier in transferring funds out of Russia to the Soviet's agents in the USA and elsewhere. Armand Hamer obviously was not concerned about the alleged "anti-Semitism" of the Soviet Union.

Many other damaging revelations are coming out of Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Empire. British historian David Irving points out that the Auschwitz Myth about mass gassings is being increasingly discredited and that the rank and file of the Jews would be wise to divorce themselves from this myth before they suffer a massive backlash. On numerous occasions throughout history Jewish leaders have been so blinded by their dominant myth that they completely overreach themselves with the unfortunate rank and file of the Jews being the victims of the outrage.

The war crimes trials, both in Canada and in Australia, are proving an expensive fiasco. Clearly sensing that the break up of the Soviet Empire, and the revelations emerging as a result of that break up could prove dangerous, Zionist leaders like Leibler are preparing for some strategic retreats. Leibler is now blaming the notorious "Nazi-hunter" Simon Weisenthal for making extreme allegations concerning alleged war criminals. The collapse of the

Soviet has undermined the Zionist claim that America and the West must support Zionist Israel as a major military ally against the Soviet threat in the Middle East. The growing mood of isolationism in the USA has created a changing situation, with some weakening in the influence of the Jewish lobby. While the Zionist leaders appear to be deserting Bush to support Democrat Clinton, the growing mood of the American people is such that no future American administration is going to find it easy to persuade Congress to continue lavishing American dollar support for Israel.

Inside Israel itself there is a growing disillusionment with a policy, which results in a never-ending conflict with the Palestinians, who doggedly persist with their demand for self-determination. While the big influx of Russian Jews has offset the exodus of Jews from Israel, many of these are dissatisfied with the conditions they have found in their new country. Many Russian Jews still attempt to move to countries other than Israel. The collapse of the Soviet Empire has witnessed an open upsurge of anti-Jewish feeling, many associating Jews with the imposition of Communism. When Alexander Solzhenitsyn listed those who had run the dreaded labour camps, he was charged with being "anti-semitic" because the great majority of Jews leaving Russia and other parts of the old Soviet Empire have held professional positions and were not discriminated against as the Zionist myth-makers had claimed.

While the drive for some type of World State continues, it is running into increasing problems. A number of orthodox economic commentators are pointing out that the Common Market countries are on a disaster course, one well known Global Economic Report stating that there could be a complete collapse in the major Western European nations. So far from moving smoothly towards the New World Order, the world is witnessing what Douglas predicted: disintegration and anarchy as the policies of centralisation fail. The Zionists are being faced with a new situation.

BASIC FUND SET AT \$60,000

The League has never sought from its supporters more funds than it believes are essential for its planned programmes. The successful filling of last year's fund of \$75,000 enabled the League to build on past achievements. The League has upgraded its technology, has successfully maintained its cars and printing equipment. There has been a further substantial investment in book stocks while at the end of the League's financial year there were no outstanding debts. The League has continued to demonstrate that providing it has a guaranteed basic Fund, it is able to carry through a wide range of activities, which are generally self-financing.

Because of the emerging national situation, the League plans a further major expansion on several fronts, and is confident that this will generate the increased financial support necessary. Should there be a shortfall, the League only then will come back to its supporters and ask for the increased finances. But let us first reach the minimum target of \$60,000 for the coming year. National Director David Thompson will shortly be issuing a comprehensive report. However, the Fund is now open to those who wish to make an early contribution and get the Fund "on the way".

All contributions to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, Victoria 3001.

WORK COMPLEX IN POLITICS

(By HELEN CORKE, author of "Towards Economic Freedom")

Reprinted from "The Fig Tree", a Douglas Social Credit Quarterly Review, edited by C.H. Douglas. The problem discussed remains to be solved.

The work complex provides the impulse, which stimulates public effort to recreate a world wherein each unit of the "working class" shall be provided with a wage earning "job". Note that this common persuasion as to the desirability of the job is not concerned with its nature. Public satisfaction at the opening of new factories and extensions is not extinguished, nor even much lessened, by the knowledge that purely destructive products will be manufactured. The unsalutary industry will "make work" Work is the essential commodity.

Moreover, there is no public anxiety to suit the work to the worker. We do not enquire into the capacity and bent of young people leaving elementary and secondary schools, but press them into whatever local industry will supply the all-essential job. Genius of the less combative variety may be destroyed in adolescence by a monotony of unintelligent labour, but even if the fact is admitted, it is regarded as an unfortunate necessity. We complacently hand the pneumatic drill to the contractor's workman who may know himself for a C3 man but accepts the tool without complaint or question. The work complex is at the bottom of such a policy of stupidity and cruelty.

Let it be recognised that the abode of this complex is the subconscious, larger area of our mentality. The iceberg floats with one-tenth of its bulk visible above the surface of the sea, with nine-tenths submerged. So may we imagine the mind of man: so small the conscious area, ruled by observation and reason, so large the sunken field dominated by impulses inherited from immediate, remote and remoter ancestry.

"If a man will not work, neither shall he eat!" The average man, drawn from any section of the community, and representative of his section, will react to that saying with a feeling of instinctive approval. The work complex is common to all classes, and particularly evident in the minds of the labouring class. The great majority of the dole-existing millions assert emphatically and truthfully that they want work and only work. Instinct invests the sense of leisure with a feeling of uneasiness.

This is entirely natural and very simply explained. The youngest student of human history need only glance at a rough time chart showing to scale some 7000 years, the approximate period of written records. Neglecting prehistoric possibilities, we know certainly that for these 7000 years the civilised races of man have been dependent upon muscular energy and physical labour for food, clothing, and shelter. Up to the dawn of our own century it has been essential in every community that the majority of persons should engage in constant, sustained, mechanical work, in order that the community might be provided with its primary needs. Leisure and abundance were possible only for the few, labour and scarcity the lot of the many. Upon this principle the ancient civilisations — Egypt, Persia, Greece, Rome, India, China — were maintained. It could not have been otherwise, because human and animal energy was the only known source of productive power. The amount of food consumed, the quantity of commodities available was limited precisely and finally by the physical labour which might be utilised for the tasks of production and distribution. The principle was not handed, consciously, by one generation to the next. It was essential, unquestionable, belonging to each man's inherited racial mentality. Thus, as an instinct, our generation received it.

We have been considering an approximate 7000 years of human history. One century ago — one-seventieth part of that period — Faraday invented the dynamo. This last century has

seen electric energy with its illimitable potency harnessed to the service of man. A spate of invention has filled the world with robot labour — a machine for every kind of mechanical work. This displacement of human labour began, accelerated, goes on accelerating. Leisure and abundance are now possible for the whole human race. But public reaction to the new conditions is one of bewilderment, discomfort, fear. Instinct still prompts us to require men to work. Conditions point to the conclusion that they need not work as mechanisms any more. Here is mental conflict which only individuality is ready to face. We temporise, we evade the issue.

The politics of a democratic nation reflect its instinct rather than its thought. The work complex naturally finds expression in the utterances of politicians of all parties. Party supremacy may depend upon success in persuading the electorate of the party's capacity to cope with what is called "the problem of unemployment". There are, obviously, only two possible courses open to the government; it can turn employer, and improvise on a colossal scale public work on mediaeval lines; it can subsidise similar action on the part of industrialists generally. In either case it will be compelled (under the present monetary system) to demand a huge increase in revenue, an increase which the taxpayer will sanction only if spurred by a lively apprehension.

Rearmament is the present Government's major response to the urge of the work complex. We shall have the opportunity of observing that policy issue in due course. A minor response is the administration of the special areas. Mr. Malcolm Stewart, first Commissioner for those areas, tendered his resignation last autumn. In his last report he says: "It has to be admitted that no appreciable reduction of those unemployed has been effected. Such increased employment as is likely to result from the operation of the many schemes initiated will prove altogether insufficient in the absence of a spontaneous growth of new industries and the expansion of existing industries to offset the release of labour brought about by increased mechanisation and rationalisation."

The Government subsidy to the Land Settlement Association will enable the latter to transfer 2000 of the 148,500 unemployed persons in the northern division of the special areas to rural districts, under the Homesteads Scheme. The homestead or smallholding is a most effective work-manufactory. Without electric-power or modern appliances, the better still without water and sewerage systems, a family can readily realise the dawn-to-dusk ideal; and it will not produce on its few acres enough food either to feed itself or to market for a livelihood. The dignity of labour will be the only dignity its threadbare poverty achieves. But "homestead" is a picturesque term, with an unfailing appeal for the medievalist.

Government pamphlets are circulated among the adolescents of the special areas with a view to inducing them to leave home and seek work elsewhere. A Ministry of Labour pamphlet says: "If you live in lodgings and your wages are not sufficient for you to pay your expenses, you will receive each week a grant which, with your wages, will nominally leave you with 4/- per week pocket money if you are under 16, and 5/- per week if you are over 16, after paying for board and lodging, laundry, daily fares to your employment, insurance contributions, and any other necessary expenses.'

Such a subsidy will tend to reduce the general wage rate for adolescents, and to secure the vacant job for the special areas

applicant, it seems unlikely to increase the number of jobs available. But there is no need to urge the child into the overstocked labour market; if he is to be subsidised let the money be invested in his further education. I submit that the special areas would solve their own problems as easy as the City of London, given national dividends instead of schemes of labour.

A clearer conception of the essential conditions of modern life will eventually eradicate the work complex from our minds. That is the next step in human evolution. It must be taken soon or western civilisation will perish in confusion. Electric-power and the wage slave cannot be maintained indefinitely within the same industrial system. The power of any complex is exercised fully only while it remains in the darkness of the unconscious mind. Drag it into consciousness, examine it, challenge it to justify its claim by objective fact, and it shrinks, becomes ineffectual, becomes ridiculous. Let those who have thus dealt with the work complex as individuals help to displace it from politics, exposing it as the dangerous legacy of an ancient era of scarcity, which saving the possible folly of international war, has passed away for ever.

CANADIAN FREE SPEECH VERDICT UPSETS ZIONISTS

The Australian mass media has failed to publicise the unanimous decision by the Canadian Supreme Court that the law used to convict Ernest Zundel, originally found guilty of spreading "false news" by circulating literature disputing the Jewish Holocaust, was unconstitutional, violating the guarantee of free speech in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. In his long battle in the Canadian Courts, Zundel has been represented by brilliant barrister Doug Christie.

Zundel was originally convicted in 1985 in a trial, which gained worldwide headlines, effectively putting the Jewish Holocaust on trial. Doug Christie destroyed the credibility of some of the "experts" used by the Crown prosecution. Zundel denounced the "false news" law as "an instrument of thought control". The Ontario Court of Appeal upheld the validity of the law in 1987. But Zundel won a new trial in 1988 as a result of a ruling that the first trial judge had made legal errors. The judge hearing the second trial distinguished himself by ruling at the beginning of the trial that the "Holocaust" was an established fact of history. Zionist influence in the media ensured that, unlike the first trial, there was a minimum of publicity. This helped to ensure that the history-making evidence of American expert, Fred Leuchter, who had managed to make a scientific investigation of the alleged "gas chambers" in Poland, was generally ignored by the media, as was the evidence of British historian David Irving, who explained why the Leuchter investigation had brought him to the firm conclusion that the "Holocaust" story was a gigantic hoax.

But the 1988 trial found again that Zundel was guilty and sentenced to gaol for nine months, the Judge describing him as a "fraud". A 1989 appeal was dismissed, but in a surprise move, in November last year, the Canadian Supreme Court agreed to hear a constitutional challenge to Section 181 of the Criminal Code concerning the spreading of "false news". The Supreme

Court, in upholding the Zundel challenge, ruled that the wording of the section was too vague.

In a typical Zionist reaction, Canadian Jewish leaders have said that while regretting the Supreme Court decision, they were determined to push on with their campaign against Zundel and anyone else disputing the "Holocaust". Marvin Kurz, Ontario Regional chairman of the League for Human Rights of B'Nai B'rith Canada, says "What is needed now is for the government of Ontario to show its commitment to eradicating bigotry by charging Zundel for inciting hatred against Jews." This is a typical example of Talmudic dialectics, anyone not agreeing with Jewish propaganda being charged with fostering hatred of Jews. The absurdity of that attitude is demonstrated by the fact that a number of Jews do not support the "Holocaust" hoax. Presumably they also are spreading hate against their fellow Jews!

It will be recalled that when Canada's first War Crimes trial against Imre Finta, ended with the jury spending little time to find Finta not guilty, Canadian Jewish leaders expressed outrage and demanded that Finta be tried again. In Australia Jewish spokesmen have expressed dismay that the Federal government has decided not to proceed with an investigation into a fourth war crimes charge. World Jewish Congress chairman Isi Leibler says "I will be looking into the matter further. If there are grounds for prosecution and they have been simply pushed aside because of a technicality, the issue will have to be taken up further with the government."

Now that the former members of the Soviet Empire are sovereign independent nations, any action taken against alleged wartime criminals should be undertaken by the governments of these nations, not by the Canadian, Australian and other governments. Sadly lacking in Canada and Australia has been some Christian leadership stressing that what has been happening is a manifestation of anti-Christian vengeance.

CONSPIRACY

Although generally unknown to those who claim to be students of modern history, the work by the English economist and journalist, J.A. Hobson, *Imperialism - A Study* (1902) was of considerable significance. In his first major work, *Economic Democracy*, C.H. Douglas quoted from Hobson's *Democracy After the War* to demonstrate the fostering of the "Illusion of the fiercely competitive nature of international trade". This illusion has been a dominating factor in international affairs for the whole of this century, and indications are that it will become even more important.

A number of Marxists, including Lenin, used Hobson's *Imperalism* to discredit the free-enterprise system, one result being that there emerged what was known as the "Hobson-Lenin theory of economic imperialism."

The following passage from *Imperialism* is a succinct description of the essence of conspiracy, even though Hobson does not use the term:

"United by the strongest bonds of organisation, always in

closest and quickest touch with one another, situated in the very heart of the business capital of every State, controlled, so far as Europe is concerned, chiefly by men of a single and peculiar race, who have behind them many centuries of financial experience, they are in a unique position to manipulate the policy of nations...."

Conspiracy operates when individuals of similar backgrounds and with a common interest, operate to advance those interests. The financial credit mechanism provides the most powerful tool for advancing those interests when they are concerned with the centralisation of power. Douglas wrote in *Economic Democracy*:

"The centralisation of the power of capital and credit is going on before our eyes, both directly in the form of money trusts and bank amalgamations and indirectly in the confederation of producing industries representing the capital power of machinery. It has its counterpart in every sphere of activity: the coalescing of small businesses into larger, of shops into huge stores, of villages into towns, of nations into leagues, and in every case is commended to the reason by the plea of economic necessity and efficiency. But behind this lies always the will-to-power, which operates equally through politics, finance or industry, and always towards centralisation. If this point of view be admitted, it seems perfectly clear that to the individual it will make very little difference what name is given to

centralisation."

Seventy years have passed since Douglas wrote the above, and events have consistently confirmed that there has been a conscious policy to advance a programme of global centralisation of all power. It is certain that such a programme must intensify the growing disintegration of what is left of Civilisation.

"RACISM" AND POWER POLITICS

Along with "anti-Semitism", the term "racist" has become one of the most emotive terms in use today, generally used to prevent rational discussion of important questions. Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs, in a series of articles "On Planning The Earth", currently appearing in the British quarterly "Home", (editorial office Bodifyr, Lonpobty, Bangor, Gwynedd LL 57 1 RT, UK), makes the following valuable comment in the June issue of "Home":

In general Christians, having faced once the monstrous events in the Nazi camps, cease to pollute their minds with them, but still remember and glorify those who resisted or sacrificed themselves for others, as they glorify, not the victim-hood of the Crossbut the love which endured it. On the other hand we are daily pounded with the policy of a few influential Jews in dwelling upon, and making the most psychologically, of their mass-victimisation under the Nazis. Their open policy is to seek public vengeance in show trials of obscure old men of dubious identity and involvement in those fifty-year-old crimes in order to perpetuate collective guilt and vengeance seeking among the young.

ARE MOST JEWS REALLY VENGEANCE SEEKERS?

Is it 'anti-semitic' to suggest that most ordinary Jews are not vengeance-seekers but even more than the rest of us, would welcome any impartial investigation in the hope that it might show that some of the much-publicised figure of 6,000,000 had never suffered that fate?

Since Jews are taught to think of themselves racially and collectively rather than individually, as God's Chosen People, called to a moral leadership of the World, if this is corrupted from the spiritual into a form of collective racial pride, is it not then credible that it could be taken over by an anti-Jewish fanatic with Nordic German fantasies and transformed into a racial Herrenvolk, the 'highest human type', with a moral duty to confer the benefits of its rule upon the rest?

For other peoples — e.g. the French, British and Americansit is the political unity, the Nation, which unites them and expresses their national pride. But the Germans, before Bismarck, never had been a nation, and even Bismarck did not bring them all under the same flag. Hence it was easy for them to pride themselves as a race. Moreover, the Nazi view of 'non-Nordic' peoples was that they were 'inferiors' to be given the boon of German rule. Only the Jews were different: a rival 'race' which could not be tolerated under any circumstances.

Is it not sensible and charitable to assume that most like the rest of us, were victims of their Herrenvolk, as most Jews are victims of their own 'Herrenvolk", and indeed do we not all suffer under some sort of *ubermensch?* The identity and extent of the tyranny they exercise may be judged by the penalties exacted for confronting their policies. Yet, if ever there were people who should know better than to victimise others it must surely be the power-wielding Jews.

Every effort seems to be made by the organs of publicity to induce everyone to think collectively in categories of Jews, Nazis, fascists, racists, blacks, whites, Asians, etc., and to discourage the Christian way of thinking of them as individual people, with personal responsibility for their actions. Thus, the bulk condemnation of the entire Jewish race as the 'murderers of Christ' follows a Jewish rather than Christian mode of thinking; but *some* Jews had responsibility. Which Jews, Germans

racists Blacks, Asians etc are we referring to? Crude lumpenthinking always leads to disaster.

RACE-WAR TO SKIN-COLOUR-WAR

So now, two generations later, we have Hitler's race-war mania extended to skin-colour differences, with the creation of the great White-skinned Guilt Complex. It is not 'racist' for Jews to oppress Arabs, for Arabs to oppress Kurds, for Serbs to oppress Croats, for people with black, or brown, or yellow skins to oppress each other (as they all do). It is skin-colour that seems to matter rather than oppression.

Even here in Britain, we now have an Izanist official attitude which uses these vulgar and racially insulting skin-terms for people (every whit as crude as Hitler's): 'black' and 'white', and more recently 'Asian' — lumping together vast masses of mankind by skin alone. Our language, we are told, must be changed to exclude words like blackmail, blackguard, etc.; neither must we use 'white' in ways indicating harmlessness, such as 'white lie' or 'white magic'; as our thought-masters have prescribed that these words must always have a racial meaning.

Post-war 'anti-racism' did, indeed, start by trying to be just that; to oppose the very idea of race, to depict it as of negligible importance as compared with education and environment. All races were equal, (by implication, in everything) and the Racial Equality Laws attempted to enforce this insulting lack of discrimination. But as the years have passed, its unreality became inescapable, so our anti-racists are now defying their own laws by what they call (ironically, having destroyed the real meaning of the word) positive discrimination; in other words, *anti-racism* has become *reverse racism*.

IZANISM'S TWISTED WORD-WEAPONS

There is a Society of Black Lawyers, a Black Environment Network, even a Group which advertises that 'Black Lesbians only need apply'; but the equivalent of White Lawyers etc. are not only illegal, but would be greeted with furious accusations of 'racism' which are themselves simply reverse-racism. We are also told, by a Government Agency (English Nature) that our national tree, the oak so long associated with our history and literature, is no longer to be called the 'native oak' as this is irrelevant, and offends 'ethnic groups' as a form of biological racism. So now, the word 'native ' is tabooed by our official Herrenvolk, even as applied to trees, which is contrary to all our knowledge of the ecology of trees, soil and mycorrhiza, and the dangers, which may accompany the introduction of alien species or varieties.

It is evident that the development of this language psyching into a weapon of aggressive Izanism dates from long after the experience of Nazism. It is a product of propaganda which on its own excesses.

One of those most vicious word-misuses has been the complete inversion of the meaning of the word 'discrimination' to

serve the purposes of this inverted Nazism. In its proper sense this word means precisely that careful discernment of differences which is essential to harmony between races (or indeed any different groups or individuals). It sums up the aim of all real education, and is the basis of all biology. Its inversion to mean a malign prejudice against anyone on racial or sexual grounds now even embodied in our Law, so that its proper meaning is almost unknown to the young is a gross offence against our language and against civilisation itself. It is ironic to remember that it started as a witticism perpetrated by the American humorist, Mark Twain.

There are other ways of expressing contempt for other races than the direct Nazi way. The fact that nowadays few people dare be anything but carefully polite to anyone with a coloured skin, for fear of the "racist' hate-smear, makes a colour-bar colder than anything our tougher-minded and less touchy predecessors are accused of.

The selective world propagandist and financial assault upon South African 'whites' for trying to solve their menacing multiracial problems by enforcing some segregation (apartheid) upon each race — excluding from that condemnation the non-white abominations, such as burning people alive, and the corruption, massacres and starvation in non-white countries — in fact was an insult to non-white people not less than that of the Nazis.

What is clearly implied (and is sometimes said in 'anti-colonialist' terms) was that you must not hold 'blacks' to be responsible for gross corruption, atrocities and social chaos: 'whites' alone are responsible people; and are to blame for everything that goes wrong, which is not all that different from claiming total 'white' racial superiority. It is not as if differences in race and colour were anything new in a country such as Britain, merely that our Izanists are trying to destroy the common sense with which, until recently, we all came to terms with them as a normality. Now, by depicting the familiar customs and attitudes of the native population as rotten with an anti-black 'racism' which has to be eradicated by legal force, our racial thought-police are magnifying on both sides the fear and resentment they feel so righteous in castigating, and forcing upon non-white new-comers the feeling of isolation among a hostile people.

HOW TO STIR UP 'RACISM'

Scarcely a week passes but they try to find some part of our customary way of life and language which, they say, is an offence to the 'ethnic' minority, never mind what it does to the not-to-be-called native 95 per cent of the nation. Then they have to persuade the 'ethnics' to feel offended at what they never were before. Even the normal rules of a working-man's club which tend to elect their own sort, have now been found to be 'racist', if 'their own sort' have skins of the normal 'native' hue.

Consider the behaviour of 'politically correct' operators under the banner of 'anti-racism'. It is usually to maximise publicity for any local act or language, which actually does cause racial offence. Instead of, say, a dozen people being enraged and offended maybe a million are made so by proxy. This is a strictly post-Hitler phenomenon.

Before that War there was a rough and ready give and take between the races, which took account of a British characteristic of abusive familiarity, which sometimes could even be called affectionate abuse, a sign of acceptance into the group or society in question. The last time I heard a Nigerian student called 'a bloody nigger' during a minor roughhouse, it was just such a sign. To exclude him (on colour-bar grounds as now required) from the general pseudo-abuse being used at the time would have been a deliberate snub (as it still would be).

A little of that good-humoured tolerance and common sense would reduce the whole thing to a trivial nonsense. What we all know if we are allowed to remember it is that every race rightly

deems itself to be superior to all others in some respects, namely those which are especially peculiar to itself and which justify its existence. That cannot possibly mean superior to all others in everything. This is where an insane pride comes in.

It is as hypocritical to deny that European civilisation is vastly superior to any other in certain respects, notably technological power and organisation, as it is to deny its major failings, notably in respect of the environment, or to deny the superiority of a black skin in the tropics, and of a desert culture, such as that of the Bushmen, in the Kalahari. To assert that, in general, a black African is as good a European as is a European is also to assert that a European is as good an African as an African, an Innuit is as good a Zulu as a Zulu, and so on. It is all nonsense, and known to be nonsense, but imposed via the mob psyche.

EVERY RACE IS 'SUPERIOR'

Any race or culture which ceases to feel that it is superior to all others in some respects, those which it believes to be typical and unique to itself, is simply on the way out to make way for others which possess that natural and essential self-confidence. The trouble comes when people are bamboozled into making a single, simple League Table and allotting places on it to widely different peoples. The reaction against this of saying that they are all 'equal' is as foolish and insulting as the superior-inferior idiocy. Do our Izanists really suppose that 'ethnic' people (as they call them) are pleased to be told "You are my equal!"? What is needed is proper discrimination. In *precisely what respects are they superior, inferior, or (improbably) equal?*

The answer is, of course, each is at the top in its own qualities; meaning that each is best at, and in, its own culture, and by and large, not so good in other people's cultures. Which is no more than common knowledge and common sense, and this is where *discrimination*, the careful discernment of differences, is vitally necessary for racial harmony and mutual respect. But this is also where the crude, vulgar, indiscriminate sloshing of people into skin-colour lumpages, such as 'black', 'white', or 'Asian' is bound to arouse the maximum tension. It is a nasty form of racial collectivism, and the basic cause of race-warfare.

If we all take it for granted that every race and nation prefers the excellence of its own sort, then it is possible to respect, admire and find our differences valuable. Ecologists need also to remember that, among mankind as many other forms of life, greater variety tends towards greater stability in an association. The attempt to 'harmonise' us, as in Europe, or South Africa, destroys the means of complementary mutualism.

In attempting to co-operate with Nature, some of our Greens are all too liable to ignore human nature. Why do they bother about saving the black rhino, the snow leopard, the natterjack toad, the bee orchid, and so on? If there is good reason for this, why then must the different breeds of men be herded into multiracial, multicultural masses and left to sort out the dominant strains by money-conflict and their success in breeding? What is wrong about some degree of 'separate development' to preserve their distinctive character for mutual respect when an imposed close mixing leads to conflict between different breeds of men?

VALE LEO RAFFERTY

We regret to record the recent passing of veteran supporter Mr. Leo Rafferty of Bendigo, Victoria. Leo Rafferty was one of the original subscribers to "The New Times" and never lost his enthusiasm for defending the basic principles of freedom. He was a staunch Christian. Our deepest regrets go to his wife and family.

HISTORIC SIGNIFICANCE OF LEAGUE 1992 NATIONAL WEEKEND

The League's 1992 National Weekend, starting with the annual "New Times" Dinner on Friday, October 2, will be an historic "gathering of the clans", from all parts of Australia. League officials will be discussing the League strategy running up to the most critical Federal election in Australian history. This election will decide which way Australia is to move in the critical years ahead.

NEW TIMES DINNER Every New Times Dinner is an intellectual and spiritual feast, with a history going back nearly half a century. Guests of honour have come from all parts of the world, all outstanding in their own spheres. This year's guest will break new ground. Do not miss. We ask supporters to accept our assurance that this year's Dinner will be an event they will never forget.

David Thompson will be chairing his first Dinner as National Director of the League of Rights. Another special address by former National Director, Mr. Eric Butler.

Seats still available to those eligible to attend. The tariff is \$30, inclusive. The venue is the Banquet Hall, YWCA, Elizabeth Street, Melbourne (near Victoria Markets). Guests must be ready to be seated at 7 p.m. sharp.

NATIONAL SEMINAR. "Defending the National Heritage" will be the theme of this year's National Seminar, also to be held in the YWCA Banquet Hall, starting at 2 p.m. Three outstanding speakers: Dr. David Mitchell, eminent constitutional authority, will deal with the issue of the Constitutional Monarchy. The Rev. Canon A.G. Fellows, an authority on Church history, will speak on The Christian Roots of Democratic Government. The final address will be given by Australia's most popular public speaker, Mr. Jeremy Lee, who will outline a constructive programme for the defence of the essential Australian heritage.

BIG BOOK DISPLAY. There will be a big display of books, with the Seminar open at 1 p.m. to allow people to "browse" before buying.

ENTRANCE FEE: The entrance fee will be a moderate \$6 per person.

TELL YOUR FRIENDS. Pass the information on to all those whom you feel should be interested in this Seminar.

ONLY LOVE OF GOD CAN UNITE

The Right Reverend R.C. Crawley, SSC, is a Bishop of the Anglo-Catholic Church in Canada, and edits a quarterly magazine *The Rock*, described as "A Journal for Orthodox Anglicans". (Available from 10989 Hilsea Crescent, R.R. 4 Ladysmith, B.C. VOR 2 EO, Canada).

The issue of June 15, 1992, republishes a remarkable and most stimulating article from Canadian weekly publication, *B.C. Report*. Entitled "Orthodoxy", the article is by Ted and Virginia Byfield, members of Bishop Crawley's Communion. The article starts with the following quotation: "Political questions cannot be decided until there is a sincere repentance, a return to faith by the people. That is the cross I must bear. In the study of scientific atheism, there was the idea that religion divides people. Now we see the opposite: love for God can only unite." The orthodox Christian will find nothing unusual about this statement. But it is more than unusual because it came from the lips of General Nicholai Stolyarov, KGB vice-President, as quoted in the widely respected journal, Christianity Today.

The British Columbia Report states that "This observation, on the lips of the deputy commander of a police force whose labour camps and purges took the lives of up to 20 million people, 42,000 of them priests, was no more astonishing than the circumstances in which it was made. Nineteen American evangelical leaders had been invited to Moscow to advise the government on the recovery of faith, something it regarded as essential for real reconstruction to occur. They were taken to KGB head-quarters, the austere building atop the notorious Lubyanka prison.

"An incredible scene followed. Former KGB prisoners, punished for practising Christianity, confronted the men who had

punished them. But the victims, recalling the example of Christ on the Cross ('Father, forgive them, for they know not what they do') forgave their former tormenters, one man even asking the KGB commanders to forgive him for having hated them so. Stolyarov put his hands to his eyes. 'Only two times in my life have I cried' he said. 'Once was when my mother died. The other is tonight'.

The Byfield article went on to observe that there were major changes in the world. Originally it was felt by the so-called educated that Christianity was a dying superstition, dead in the Western world by the end of the century as in the Communist world. But so far from this happening, there is a major Christian revival throughout the former Communist world, while from Communist China comes the disclosure that an underground Christian movement continued to develop throughout the darkest years. Latin America is being swept by a vast Christian evangelical movement, which has not been reported in a Western secular media, which by its debased standards demonstrates that secular humanism has failed.

Regeneration in the West requires the type of repentance mentioned by the KGB vice-chairman. But a genuine programme of Christian regeneration requires that politics, economics and social activities are an incarnation of Christian Truths. The major challenge is how to make God's Grace available to the individual through the economic and financial system. Social Crediters are specially equipped to meet that challenge. And they should be inspired by what is happening in the former Soviet Union. Is it too much to expect that the time may come when prominent makers of centralist financial and economic policies will follow the lead of the KGB vice-chairman and discuss the necessity for a "sincere repentance"?