

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free"

VOL. 57, No.5

Registered by Australia Post - Publication No. VBH 1001

MAY 1993

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

THE SEEDS OF CHAOS AND WAR

by Eric D. Butler

Even the most cursory look at the world's news reveals that the major nations of the world are united in endorsing the central theme that there must be greater world trade and more exports if the current world depression is to be overcome. But the unity of intention is shattered by growing conflicts about nations striving to force other nations to accept more of their exports. Japanese Prime Minister Kichi Miyazawa, who has recently exchanged sharp words in Washington with President Clinton concerning trade relations between Japan and the USA, has paid a short visit to Australia where he attempted to enlist Paul Keating's support against Clinton's policy of a "managed trade programme" for Japan and the rest of the world.

Mr. Rupert Murdoch's *Herald-Sun* of May 1st carried the bold headline, JAPAN WELCOMES PUSH TO REPUBLIC, with Japanese Prime Minister Miyazawa being quoted as having said at the Parliamentary Dinner held in his honour, that "a multicultural, international and Australian Australia" was an invaluable friend for Japan. The Japanese Prime Minister did not mention that Japan is a Monarchy and that multiculturalism is not encouraged in Japan. At an earlier press conference Mr. Miyazawa said he welcomed the Keating policy of seeking closer ties with Asia. He is, of course, driven by the same forces which have produced growing conflict between the USA and Japan, and conflict between the USA and the European Common Market countries; the struggle for export markets in an attempt to solve internal problems.

Writing in the chapter, "The Causes of War", in *The Monopoly of Credit*, first published in 1931, C.H. Douglas said that, "You do not dispose of a problem by enlarging its boundaries, and, if I am not mistaken the seeds of war are in every village". The basic problem, outlined by Douglas at the conclusion of the First World War, was that under orthodox financial conventions, no industrial nation distributed sufficient purchasing power over any given period of time, to buy its own production. One of the inevitable results was to concentrate upon means of overcoming this deficiency, a major one being by attempting to obtain "a favourable balance of trade".

ECONOMIC WAR LEADS TO MILITARY WAR

Douglas wrote, "... most statesmen at the present time would agree that their primary problem is to increase employment, and to induce trade prosperity for their own nationals, and there are few of them who would not add that the shortest way to achieve this would be to capture foreign markets. Once this, the common theory of international trade, is assumed, we have set our feet upon a road whose only end is war. The use of the word 'capture' indicates the desire to take away from some other country, something with which it being unable, also, to be prosperous without general employment, does not desire to part. That is endeavouring to impose your will upon an adversary, and is economic war, and economic war has always resulted in military war, and probably always will."

The "fight for markets" was the major, but not exclusive cause of both the First World War and the Second World War. Hitler only came to power because of mass German unemployment and his promise to deal with it. Hitler achieved this with a Keynesian-type expansionary financial policy. He also argued that Germany needed more "living space" and greater exports. It was the same type of thinking which drove the Japanese to invade Manchuria and China in an attempt to create their

famous "Co-Prosperity" scheme in Asia. Their vulnerability to any loss of energy resources to sustain their economy was exploited by the Washington policy makers to bring a reluctant American people into the Second World War. Pearl Harbour was spawned in Washington.

A major feature of the post Second World War period was an attempt to mask the basic flaw in the finance-economic system by advancing a programme of internationalism in which nations would be organised into different types of Common Markets, clinging to the view that bigger trading blocs with "free trade" would make it possible to keep the economic system operating through never-ending growth. But the problem is how to promote growth without, for example, once again escalating inflation. Already there are price increases in the USA as the Clinton administration attempts to stimulate the economy. In the meantime the long-running General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs circus continues. Australian Federal Treasurer Dawkins has been in Washington warning international finance ministers that unless some GATT agreement is reached soon, there is a dangerous period ahead. Mr. Dawkins told the International Monetary Fund that unless the USA and the European Economic Community reached an immediate agreement the global recession would continue. But both the USA and the EEC are indicating that trade war between the two is more likely to increase than to be reduced.

THE KEATING VISION

Prime Minister Keating has made it clear that while he continues to talk loosely about internationalism and free trade, his vision for Australia is part of a new regional trade group in the Pacific and Asia. Prime Minister Miyazawa is reported to be in complete agreement with Mr. Keating, and will do what is possible to ensure that the GATT Uruguay talks continue,

DAVID IRVING BREAKS CENSOR BAN

The Zionist propaganda machine and the Australian Government have failed to prevent British historian David Irving from speaking in Australia. Modern technology has made it possible for David Irving to address Australian audiences in Perth, Melbourne, Sydney and Brisbane on Wednesday, May 19th. Story on pages 7 and 8.

paying at least lip service to free trade, but then significantly refusing to promise that more rice will be allowed into Japan. The stage is being set for the July Tokyo Conference of the Group of Seven industrial nations (G-7) where it is certain that there will be a further manifestation of friction concerning trade and associated issues. The G-7 are on the horns of a dilemma; any policy for stimulating domestic economies, such as that adopted in Japan, can only add to the pressures to try to increase exports to solve domestic problems, this resulting in growing international friction.

Not surprisingly, the internationalists believe that a reconstructed Soviet system and Communist China offer the best possibility of trying to solve the problem they are attempting to resolve. Both Russia and Communist China are seen as countries with an enormous potential for growth, particularly Communist China, with an enormous population. The recent G-7 contribution to Russia, and massive international investments in China, must be seen as part of a strategy to ensure that Russia does not collapse into complete chaos, and can be eventually integrated into an enlarged EEC free trade bloc, while an industrialised China will provide a vast export market. But in the meantime there is a growing disquiet throughout Asia as China's economy is rapidly expanded. It is now only a matter of time before there is political as well as economic integration between Communist China and Taiwan.

A COMING ASIAN CRISIS

Unless Russia and Communist China break from financial orthodoxy, it is only a matter of a relatively short time before they will be striving to solve their internal problems by attempting greater exports, this in turn further increasing international friction. There is also the growing problem of social disintegration as nations are forced into the type of associa-

tions which accentuate cultural and racial differences. A major contribution to the growing social disintegration in developed nations like the USA has been the uprooting of rural communities under the pressures of financial orthodoxy, then herding millions into what are little more than urban slums. The social instability is escalating at an alarming rate in the USA because of the growing multicultural mix of the population. While the first Industrial Revolution, in Great Britain, badly shattered the stability of rural communities, the homogeneous nature of the population ensured that eventually the worst features of the upheaval were relatively modified in non-violent reforms.

Driven by a financial orthodoxy which has now consolidated its power internationally, and fanned by a philosophy which insists that big is better, that change for the sake of change is preferable to stability, and that the end of man is economic growth, the human drama is moving at an accelerating rate towards even greater disasters. While a number of true prophets, men like Malcolm Muggeridge and Solzhenitsyn have warned that civilisation itself was disintegrating, it was C.H. Douglas who was able to define precisely the basic cause of the disintegration, demonstrating the flaw in the financial-economic system, and how all attempts to mask the flaw by expanding debt finance to misdirect economic activities away from their true purpose, were doomed.

One of the early pioneers of Social Credit observed that, thanks to Douglas, for the first time in the recorded history of mankind, not only was the basic cause of the collapse of a civilisation clearly defined, but a constructive answer to prevent the collapse was available. That answer is still available, and nowhere more readily practical than in Australia or New Zealand. The first essential is a repudiation of the policy of Australian Prime Minister Paul Keating, which would see Australia sucked down with the chaos and conflict, which will inevitably develop in Asia as the seeds of chaos and war grow.

ZIONISM AND MULTICULTURALISM

Zionist leaders are prominent in their support for multiculturalism. They are actively promoting the totalitarian racial anti-vilification legislation, which will be almost certainly a major issue in the next Federal Parliament. The Attorney General has conducted a series of public meetings, allegedly to judge community response to the proposed legislation.

Although the League of Rights was not officially represented at any of the hearings, a number of League supporters or sympathisers were present, while at the Adelaide hearing League State Director Mrs. Betty Luks identified herself as a League of Rights supporter, but did not claim to be presenting a formal League position. This has been forwarded in writing by National Director David Thompson.

However, we have received what we believe to be accurate reports of what took place at the hearings, including an audiotape report on the Adelaide meeting. Reports in the Zionist press have been most misleading concerning what allegedly took place at the hearings; claims being made that threats of violence at the hearings were evidence of the necessity for the proposed anti-vilification legislation.

The President of the Jewish Community Council of Victoria, Mr. Joe Gersh, has said that there should be even stronger legislation and that if this "curtailed" anti-immigration organisations, "then that would be in the public good". Historically, much of what is termed "anti-Semitism" has been deliberately promoted by Zionist Jews, as pointed out by the well-known anti-Zionist American Jewish authority on the Middle East, Dr. Alfred Lilienthal. The majority of Australians, of Anglo-Saxon-Celtic backgrounds have been a notoriously tolerant people and apart from a mild form of social discrimination against Jews, we are not aware of any acts of violence. We certainly deplore violence and have grave reservations about some of the reports of "anti-Semitic" activities.

A Zionist view on multiculturalism was expressed as follows in the winter 1992 edition of *Australian Jewish Democrat*: "The strengthening of multicultural or diverse Australia is also our most effective insurance policy against anti-Semitism. The day Australia has a Chinese Australian Governor-General, I would feel more confident of my freedom to live as a Jewish Australian."

This type of statement is an insult to all Anglo-Saxon-Celtic Australians. But presumably they are not to find such an insult to be offensive. Zionist philosophy is that of the one-way street.

MASSIVE UNEMPLOYMENT TO STAY

Prime Minister Paul Keating has at last admitted that it is an "undeniable" fact that long-term unemployment would worsen before it improves. "The number of long-term unemployed will increase as employers often prefer to take up new entrants to the labour market or those with only short terms of unemployment." The social implications of this development are horrendous. With each recession, resulting from the efforts of the economic "experts" to reduce the inflation rate, long-term unemployment is ratcheted up. In the early 1980s the number of long-term unemployed was approximately 75,000. The 1982-83 recession took the total to over 200,000, and for a short period it came back to 175,000. But the Keating depression — which Australians "had to have" — has taken long-term unemployment (those out of work for more than 52 weeks) to 370,000. Even with any stimulus to the economy, this will have no impact on long-term unemployment. Most of these are younger members of society. The inevitable result is the development of a permanent underclass in society with its own culture of violence and drugs as its members feel completely alienated from mainstream society. Getting the young into meaningful constructive activities is a major priority for the country. Only mesmerisation by the money myth prevents it being undertaken.

MONARCHY OR TYRANNY

The following is the concluding part of Eric Butler's address on the Republican issue, to the Melbourne Conservative Speakers' Club, on Monday, May 3rd. An enhanced video of this important address will be available in the near future for \$22 posted. An audiotape is available immediately from MEA Tape Library, Box 118, Numurkah, Victoria, 3636. Actionists are urged to make widespread use of an address, which will provide a new impetus to the anti-Republican campaign now starting to take shape across Australia.

While it is important that the case for the Constitutional Monarchy must be clearly outlined, the sheer arrogance of the Republicans and their materialistic, collectivist and totalitarian philosophy must be exposed by challenging them to answer the following types of questions:

Was the Constitutional Monarchy responsible for the worst economic depression in Australian history — one of the principle architects being Prime Minister Paul Keating who, as Treasurer in the Hawke Government, spoke about "the depression we had to have".

In what way is the present Australian Constitution preventing Mr. Paul Keating and his colleagues from implementing the financial and economic policies so urgently necessary to end the suffering and misery of millions of Australians?

With any defects, which it may have, did not the system of Constitutional Monarchy provide the stability under which Australia developed into one of the freest and most prosperous nations in the world?

Would the Australian people have any greater control over their elected representatives under a Republic than they have now?

How could a Republican President be elected free of sectional party power politics.

Would a Republican President have the reserve power to force a corrupt and unpopular government to face an election?

If a Republican President were not an active participant in Constitutional Government, would the President merely be a ceremonial figurehead?

To whom would the Armed Forces pledge their loyalty and who would control them?

Will the advocates of Republicanism nominate any nation, which has the type of Republic system they prefer, and where the people are in any way better off than are Australians under a Constitutional Monarchy?

As the Australian States, the foundations of the Australian Federation, all operating as Constitutional Monarchies, are a central feature of the Federation, what is proposed for the future of the States?

If it is proposed that the States be abolished, as Paul Keating has suggested, how could this be attempted without a referendum, national conflict and further divisions in society?

In what way will a divisive controversy about Republicanism help to solve Australia's growing economic and social problems?

In one sense Prime Minister Paul Keating, the man who is serving as the standard bearer for a strange assortment of self-styled intellectuals and malcontents who make up the core of the Republican movement, is correct when he says that the debate he has initiated is about **the future of Australia**. And I for one thank Paul Keating for raising a subject, which will jolt the complacency, or ignorance, of large numbers of Australians who have either taken their traditional way of life for granted, or, as the result of a subverted educational system, have little or no understanding of a rich and unique heritage.

Defenders of our Constitutional Monarchy must refuse to enter into any debates, which are in essence merely the best way

of committing national suicide, and rally in the spirit which spurred us as a people to perform epic feats of courage and endurance in the past, proclaiming that their constitutional heritage is inviolable. They must first equip themselves with a deeper understanding of their heritage in order that they can carry a programme of both education and action across the nation. They must reach the young and tell them the inspiring story they have in many cases been denied by their teachers.

As the great Edmund Burke said, we grow stronger in wrestling with our adversaries. Let us welcome the challenge of the Republicans, standing boldly to proclaim our faith. I believe that at this horrendous time in history with the whole world gripped by growing crisis and chaos, Australians were blessed with the opportunity to demonstrate to the rest of the world that a stable future is only possible by looking to the past. Speaking at the glorious Bi-centennial celebrations in Sydney in January, 1988, Prince Charles rose up above the mundane chattering of so many of the party politicians and in an inspiring address invited Australians to reflect with pride upon their constitutional heritage. More recently Prince Charles once again revealed his deep understanding of history and its significance with his statement that a people who wished to survive into the future must go back to their roots.

Traditional Australia's future can only be assured by re-discovering and encouraging new life from those roots. If we cut those roots, as Paul Keating and his fellow Republicans advocate, Australians face a barren and lifeless future.

RE-DISCOVERING THE NATION'S SOUL

As I said at the beginning of this address, the Republican threat is to the very soul of the Australian nation. That soul must be re-discovered by stressing that the future is rooted in the past. Simone Weil, the French Canadian mystic, summarised this question so brilliantly that I can do no better than to invite my fellow Australians to ponder deeply on what she wrote in *The Need For Roots*:

"The opposition of future to past or past to future is absurd. The future brings us nothing, gives us nothing; it is we who in order to build it have to give everything, our very life. But to be able to give one has to possess: and we possess no other life, no other living sap, than the treasures stored up from the past and digested, assimilated and created afresh by us. Of all the human soul's needs, none is more vital."

We are on the eve of writing a new chapter in Australian history, one that will inspire generations yet to come. This is a precious moment in history, one that we must not squander, during which Australians should raise loud their voices in that most important prayer, *God Save the Queen*, with special emphasis on the second verse:

*"O Lord our God arise,
Scatter our enemies
And make them fall.
Confound their politics,
Frustrate their knavish tricks;
On Thee our hopes we fix
God save us all."*

THE SOURCE OF AUTHORITY AND THE NATURE OF THE MORAL LAW

The following article by one of the pioneers of the Social Credit Movement, the late John Macara of Sydney, appeared in "The New Era" on July 25th, 1955.

Among Christians there is an ever-growing uneasiness that all is not well with the Christian Church. There is a feeling abroad that the Church is not devoid of responsibility for the stark chaos abroad in the world today; that in some tangible way the Christian Church has failed us.

But, concurrent with this uneasiness and sense of failure, there has arisen a voice, at first very small, but rapidly growing in volume, demanding of the Church that it assume its rightful role as ambassador of Christ, and speaks with **Authority** in relation to the Moral Law.

But there are those who react coldly to the word "authority"; they have vivid and unhappy recollections of undue trespass upon their rightful liberties, perpetuated by "authority", exercising the false sanctions of man-made law.

In these days "democracy", when rule by the majority has been elevated to the status of a religious cult, when mob-rule has become the accepted order of the day, we must submit ourselves to some hard thinking before we may discover the feet of clay upon which acceptance of the value of majority-rule is standing.

Majority-rule is based upon a totally false conception that one man's opinion is as good as another's. But it is only in the realm of the mind, and of controversy, that this fake conception holds sway. In the realm of practical affairs its absurdity is quickly revealed. For instance, if our electric service fails us, we do not take a "vote" to decide what shall be done; in practical affairs, we realise that the opinion of the ignorant has no value in comparison with the opinion of the expert, the electrician. We therefore proceed to abide by the voice of **authority**.

But we must be very careful as to the nature of the sanctions with which we clothe authority, or with which we permit it to clothe itself.

Fundamentally there should be NO sanctions other than those of the natural law. For instance, the electrician is entitled to exercise the following sanction, i.e., he may say "If you do not choose to acknowledge the superiority of my knowledge (i.e., my authority) and decide to forego the necessary repairs, the **natural law** will assert itself, and your house will be burned down". In this case the sanction of authority is based upon **natural law**.

In the foregoing we have considered the nature of **authority**.

Let us now proceed to consider the nature of **natural law**, and then proceed to consider the nature of **moral law**.

As an example of **natural law**, we have the law of gravitation. We are free to transgress it, but if we do so the physical consequences assert themselves immediately. We may place our hand in the fire, but the consequences are immediate and inevitable.

But there are fields in which the results of violation of the natural law do not obviously and immediately follow. In the early days of investigation of the nature of certain rays, scientists were injured because of their lack of knowledge regarding the operation of natural law in this field.

The **moral law** is of the same nature as the **natural law**; it is a section of the **natural law**; the nature and action of the moral law is built into the Cosmos; it is no more the subject of opinion than is gravitation. Its operation is as certain as the law of gravitation, but its action is more subtle; and that which separates contravention from consequence is greater.

It is beyond the capacity of man to formulate the conditions under which it operates, and the consequences thereof. Owing to this incapacity, Christianity owes to its Founder an infinite debt, for He revealed to us the nature and operation of the **moral law**.

The present chaos of the world is the inevitable consequence of our indifference to, and our violation of, the **moral law**.

It is the function of the Christian clergy, the ambassadors of Christ, relying upon the protection of the Almighty, fearlessly to denounce every major violation of the **moral law**.

Do not let the clergy delude themselves that they can discharge their heavy responsibility by denouncing the "small fry", the so-called sins of minor individuals. David's attention was directed **first**, not to some minor enemy, but to the great and powerful Goliath.

First attention must be directed to denouncing fearlessly, "Wickedness in high places", using the tremendous voice of **authority** with which they are entrusted.

And the function of the laity is to **support** the clergy to the utmost.

When the **moral law** becomes the basis of all our major policies, chaos will quickly revert to cosmos; and **God's Will**, will be done on earth.

UPDATED SOCIAL DYNAMICS SCHOOL

Advisory League of Rights National Director, Mr. Eric Butler, will be conducting an updated and expanded Social Dynamics School at the Douglas Memorial Hall, "Runnymede", on Sunday, May 23rd.

This school is a "must" for those who wish seriously to equip themselves for constructive action programme.

The school will start at 2.30 p.m. and conclude at 9.00 p.m. A set of notes will be provided to those attending. Students are required to bring a basket tea, but coffee and tea will be provided. There will be a moderate charge of \$6 only. To get the maximum value from this school, it is essential that intending students plan to do the whole school.

Any required information may be obtained by ringing the Melbourne League Office on (03) 650 9749.

"HERITAGE" EDITOR IN UK

Mrs. Betty Luks, South Australian State Director of the League of Rights and Editor of *Heritage*, the quarterly magazine of the Heritage Society, has, like many other Australians before her, been seeking out her family's roots in the United Kingdom. But she has also been busy meeting British Social Crediters and others, and promoting the publication, *A Peoples' Prince*. She has also addressed a number of small meetings and making it clear that Republicanism is now sweeping Australia. Betty Luks returns to Australia later in this month and will be reporting on her activities.

THE EVIL OF POVERTY

"Poverty is not a good in itself ... in so far as poverty removes the good resulting from riches ... it is simply an evil. Spiritual danger ensues from poverty when the latter is not voluntary; because those who are unwilling poor, fall into many sins."

- St. Thomas Aquinas

PORNOGRAPHY AND CHILD ABUSE

We learn from Canada that a new publication, *Family Values*, has been widely acclaimed, indicating that it is providing a service for Canadians alarmed by the same type of social disintegration now afflicting all Western societies. As an example of the type of valuable information and comment being provided by his new publication, we publish part of an article by Lorraine Addington, which appeared in the May-June, 1993, issue of *Family Values*, which is published bi-monthly by Family Values Publications, Box 53334, High River, Alberta, Canada TIV 1M5. The annual subscription rate is \$10 Canadian.

There are not many who have not heard of Alfred Kinsey whose reports on male and female sexuality (1948 and 1953 respectively) have been considered to be accurate, scientific studies, and Dr. Kinsey himself an authority on sexual behaviour. This concept has changed, however, with the work of Dr. Judith Reisman and Edward W. Eichel, who examined the Kinsey reports and produced the critique, *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*.

The nature of his experiments can bring us to only one conclusion — he wished to alter the traditional view of human sexuality. In the Female Report he said, "It is ... difficult to explain why each and every individual is not involved in every type of sexual activity." Based on the results of his interviews, he established a scale, the balance point being bi-sexuality, a position between heterosexuality and homosexuality, with fully heterosexual graded at 0, and fully homosexual at 6. However, his sampling of interviewees could not be considered a scientific cross-section of society, since he gathered a high percentage of sexually promiscuous persons from bars and prisons. From this motley assemblage, he maintained that 10% of the population is homosexual, a statistic which prevails to this day, whereas 3% is the more accurate figure.

Kinsey's experiments on children a few months old to 14 to 15 years were nothing less than child sexual abuse. "Technically trained" pedophiles "used" these children with the intention of proving that children of all ages are capable of indulging in and enjoying sexual behaviour. Perhaps this explains why the homosexual lobby wants to lower, if not eliminate, the age of consent.

With this brief insight into the Kinsey agenda we follow his ideology to the beginning of the Hugh Hefner/*Playboy* empire. Hefner became a student of Kinsey's and it wasn't long before his magazine (the first printed in 1953) became a textbook for "accepted" sexual behaviour. It was more than just a "girlie" publication, and now boasts that it started a revolution, one that encouraged men and boys to reject traditional morality and adopt the *Playboy* philosophy of unrestrained fun and sex. This revolution attacks religion, chastity, marriage, heterosexual love and the family. No-fault divorce laws sprang from this hedonistic philosophy, causing disaster for young wives with children who suffered a 75% drop in their standard of living while divorced men enjoyed a 42% rise. This constituted a further attack on the family, as the pornographic message of the *Playboy/Hustler/Penthouse* skin magazines lured husbands into a lifestyle free of marital responsibilities.

But what the pornographers have done to our children and young people amounts to emotional and sexual abuse and demands redress. Hefner has always used cartoons to attract readers of all ages with the purpose of desensitizing. *Playboy/Hustler/Penthouse* used what are called "child magnets", images which have special appeal to children such as cartoons, colouring books, pop-ups, etc. Children's heroes and even Santa Claus are used in pornographic situations to the extent that children will accept the sex industry message and consider it normal. The sex industry is indoctrinating our children, thus looking to the next generation to perpetuate its philosophy of sexual violence, degradation of women and everything, which strikes a blow against decency and family love. Pornographers hate marriage and families - they are less likely to be consumers.

THE ROLE OF ORGANIZED CRIME

Dr. Park Elliott Dietz, a member of the 1986 Commission on Pornography, published a statement in which he included

reasons why pornography is a medical and public health problem. Some of them are: women and children particularly, are physically abused in the production of certain materials; pornographic retail outlets sell products under the pretext of health and recreation that are the instruments of injury; it teaches false, misleading and even dangerous information about human sexuality; it encourages patterns of social behaviour which have adverse health consequences; pornography of all types is used in the sexual abuse of children. Dr. Deitz makes several astute observations, namely that "violent pornography is not so much about sex as about violence". He also says, "... a great deal of contemporary pornography constitutes an offense against human dignity and decency that should be shunned by the citizens, not because the evils of the world will thereby be eliminated, but because conscience demands it." In view of the foregoing, why cannot pornography be attacked solely from the medical and health aspect?

The 1970 Presidential Commission on Obscenity and Pornography claimed "there is insufficient evidence at present to warrant any conclusion" as to the involvement of organized crime in the distribution of obscene and pornographic materials. However, the Attorney General's Commission received reports from law enforcement officials, prosecutors and legislators describing the substantial role which organized crime plays in the porn business in the US.

Organized crime is openly controlling major porn operations in Los Angeles where the majority of porn materials are produced. By 1975, organized crime controlled 80% of the industry and it is estimated that this figure is between 85 to 90% today. Related crimes include physical violence, prostitution and sexual abuse. "It is impossible for most sexually explicit books, magazines, or films to be produced without acts of prostitution." The female victims are used by the pornographers, controlled and forced to engage in indescribable degradation.

Pornography is virtually a cash business, and as such is an ideal means to launder revenue from drug dealings and gambling. There are conflicting estimates as to the gross revenue generated from the sale of pornography, but it seems to rest in the 4-to-7-billion-dollars-a-year range.

Local task forces to combat prostitution and violence against women are merely band-aid attempts, and nothing much can come from them. The real enemy is the multi-billion-dollar porn industry, and who is going to attack it? Legislators and law enforcement agencies seem powerless against this monolithic, closely controlled organization.

Michael J. McManus, who wrote the Introduction to the Attorney General's Commission on Pornography, took on the job of getting the Report published. Inquiries were made to several New York publishers, but he was turned down. McManus said he also had trouble interesting Christian book publishers. Even though he guaranteed 100,000 copies in advance and a profitable book, one editor who had made a commitment to publish the Report, refused to take calls from him.

THE ROLE OF TELEVISION

The role of television in influencing the behaviour of heavy viewers has been the subject of many studies. Young children, especially in the 3-to-8-year-old group, get a distorted view of living, and aggressive behaviour becomes to them the answer to all of life's situations. Children also tend to imitate cruelty and sadism, and I think this has been amply demonstrated by the publicized cases of children molesting and even killing another

child. The Child and Family Protection Institute in Washington, DC, has produced a lengthy essay on pornography, including a section on the influence of television which is a scathing indictment of the industry. It states, "Only a handful of writers, producers, directors and production executives, perhaps fewer than one hundred, effectively control most of the content we see on television and in movies..." And, of course, competition and profit are the motivating factors, with no concern for the incalculable damage done to the family and society at large.

However, people are watching pornography, and thus supporting the industry. Perhaps Dr. Dietz is on the mark when he observed, "pornography is both causal; and symptomatic of immorality and corruption".

There have been depictions of explicit sexuality from the beginning of recorded history, usually among those considered to be the social elite. Prior to the 1960s, sale of pornographic

materials was handled either through the mails or "under the counter" with considerable care to keep such transactions discreet. The 1970 Commission opened the floodgates and pornography became ugly, with violence, deviation and degradation the norm, ever reaching lower and lower levels of degeneration, until now we are faced with this abomination reaching into our homes via television and dial-a-porn, with pornographic videos available to young children, making it next to impossible to protect our families from this destructive influence.

As if this isn't enough for parents to defend their children from, it is essential we take a hard look at the Sex Education in our schools and what is further proposed for students by Kinsey's disciples. It could very well be that your young child's first exposure to pornography is in the classroom.

BASIC FUND FILLED

With a magnificent last minute surge of support, the League of Rights basic fund for 1992/93 easily reached its target of \$60,000. The surplus of several thousand dollars will be allocated to assisting with the financing of a national anti-Republican campaign, which will be launched shortly under the auspices of the Australian Heritage Society, a specialist division of the League of Rights. One feature of this campaign will be to encourage Australian Royalists to contact the British media prior to the visit to London by Prime Minister Paul Keating in September, stressing that Mr. Keating has no mandate from the Australian people to attempt to create a Republican system of government. Media reports state that during his September visit to London, Mr. Keating will be reporting to the Queen on the Republican moves.

The successful filling of the 1992/93 Basic Fund was of special significance in the development of the League of Rights at a critical time in the nation's history. Large numbers of League supporters have been hard hit by the economic depression, making it impossible for many to contribute more than a few dollars. Many gave sacrificially. There were several magnificent contributions from relatively New Australians who are appalled by what is happening to an adopted nation which they had felt offered security and stability for the future. A growing number of young people have become deeply concerned about their future. Typical of these was the young man in his early 20s who walked into the Melbourne League office and, although unemployed, left \$100, observing that he had learned little or nothing about his constitutional heritage while at school.

The Basic Fund response was also a very practical vote of confidence in the League's new National Director, Mr. David Thompson, who has already demonstrated that the future of the League is in safe hands. Advisory National Director Mr. Eric Butler expresses his deep thanks to all veteran colleagues who have continued to support in every possible way, stating that "The League is, I believe, entering a new phase in its development, with a young generation of supporters reaping the benefits of the foundations laid by the League's founders".

Further late contributions to the Basic Fund will be accepted - these allocated to the heritage campaign.

WAS J. EDGAR HOOVER BLACKMAILED?

Blackmail has long played a major role in political history. Doubts on the role of the USA's former chief of the FBI, J. Edgar Hoover, have been raised in an alleged documentary concerning America's supreme law enforcer, who operated under eight American Presidents. One of the principle allegations in the documentary, *The Secret File on J. Edgar Hoover*, is that he

was "soft" on the Mafia because the Mafia had evidence that Hoover was a homosexual and an inveterate gambler. It is also alleged that Lyndon Johnson, a friend of Hoover's, only became J.F. Kennedy's Vice-President because Hoover insisted, blackmailing Kennedy with the information he had amassed about Kennedy's notorious womanising. Johnson re-appointed Hoover as head of the FBI following his appointment as President in 1963 following the assassination of Kennedy.

While the Hoover documentary is being welcomed by those liberals who believed that people had been unjustly persecuted by Hoover during the Cold War, in an attempt to justify their own soft attitude towards Communism, no reference has been made to the strange and out of place chapter on the Anti-Defamation League in Hoover's well-known book, *Masters of Deceit*. This chapter lauded the ADL for their alleged vital contribution to the anti-Communist cause. As Hoover must have known that this was not true, the only explanation is that Hoover was also being blackmailed by the ADL. Hoover's anti-Communism never extended to warning about the international financial groups sustaining the Soviet criminals.

THE ONE HOPE

"The forces threatening the final destruction of this our Graeco-Roman-Christian Civilisation have become too powerful and entrenched to be met head on. There is, so far as we can see, but one hope left: a widespread public understanding of the fact of a global conspiracy so that the acts of compliant politicians begin to look wrong even to themselves ... If just one country, suitably endowed, would buck the financial system and demonstrate that true national independence is possible and advantageous it might be an example that would save us all. Then that inner source of life and evolution, which has selected out of the collectivity of the herd the individuality of Man may begin the task of rebuilding what has been destroyed, in a new economic freedom made possible by the magnificent development of the industrial arts. Not 'Full Employment', but Leisure for Opportunity."

- The Late Dr. Bryan Monahan, 1977

A REJECTED SOLUTION

"We are more than ever, if possible, convinced that a falling price level, with loss to producers and entrepreneurs, is the very core of social and industrial pacification. And we are equally convinced by 30 years' specialised experience and observation that the coterie which is at the core of world unrest knows it too, and is determined that at whatever cost, extending to the complete destruction of civilisation, and even of the terrestrial globe, it will not have that solution, which would automatically wrest power from it as nothing else would." - C.H. Douglas

BANNED DAVID IRVING TO ADDRESS AUSTRALIA-WIDE MEETINGS

A man of great courage and resourcefulness, British historian David Irving is relying upon modern technology to break through the Australian Federal Government's banning order and to address Australian audiences. In a magnificent video filmed address, with a powerful and highly professional introduction by his Australian representatives, Veritas Publishing Co. Pty. Ltd., David Irving outlines why, as an historian firmly concerned with Truth, he eventually reached the conclusion that the alleged mass gassing of Jews at Auschwitz was not true.

This explosive address shatters a myth, which has dominated modern history. It is not surprising why powerful forces want David Irving silenced.

Because of the momentous implications for the whole of the Free World, including developments in the explosive Middle East, the Australian League of Rights has readily agreed to co-operate with David Irving's Australian publishing and organisational agents to ensure that as many people as possible see and hear Irving.

We have been privileged to see a preview of what will be one of the most dramatic and historical addresses of this century. All those who have expressed opposition to the proposed Irving lecture tour of Australia, should be challenged to attend the Irving address and then say they still oppose him being allowed to visit Australia.

REVELATIONS AT FEDERAL COURT APPEAL

In an attempt to obtain a visa to enter Australia, David Irving has been forced into expensive legal action. The Federal Court in Perth heard the appeal on Friday, April 30th. In view of the revelations during this hearing, it is not surprising that the Federal Government had earlier made an attempt to have the case quashed, claiming that there was no case to answer. The Judge rejected this attempt to prevent the presentation of the most damning evidence against the Government.

At the Perth hearing the astonishing revelation was made that the Australian Security Services (ASIO) had reported that there was no reason why David Irving should not be given a visa. ASIO by inference rejected the claims of Zionist leaders like Isi Leibler that David Irving would engage in violent and "neo-Nazi" revolutionary activities if allowed into Australia.

Even more astonishing at the Perth hearing was the revelation that Immigration Minister Gerry Hand's own department had ruled that Irving should be given a visa.

It was the Prime Minister's Department and the Cabinet, which had made the decision that David Irving be banned from visiting Australia. Clearly Prime Minister Paul Keating, the man who prates about independence, buckled when the local representatives of the international Zionist terror movement applied the heat.

Judge French of the Perth Federal Court said he would give his ruling on the Irving case on May 11th, although pointing out that pressure of work might result in a slight delay.

But, irrespective of how Mr. Justice French rules, the Irving affair is not going to go away. The evidence is mounting that the Zionists are promoting an international campaign designed to destroy him.

Lovers of freedom must now rally to prevent this happening. They can make a start by attending his Australian meetings (see over page) and advising others. They can contribute to David Irving Legal Aid Defence Fund, set up in Australia by Veritas Publishing Co. Pty. Ltd. They can purchase David Irving's books, either at his meetings or through the mail. They can also purchase and show their friends the address, which the Federal Government has tried to ban in Australia.

From *Sunday Times*, May 2nd, 1993

COURT TOLD IRVING HAD ASIO ALL CLEAR

by Joe Poprzeczny

Australia's Secret Intelligence Organisation had given the all clear for a planned third Australian trip by controversial British historian David Irving.

This was claimed in the Federal Court this week during an application to overturn a decision to ban Mr. Irving making a promotional tour of Australia.

Former Immigration Minister Gerry Hand blocked Mr. Irving's visa in February, claiming his unconventional views on the Nazi gassing of Jews in Auschwitz could lead to public disruption.

Mr. Irving appealed against the ban.

His lawyer Peter Bates, stressed that Mr. Hand rejected all expert and departmental advice in refusing the visa.

He said ASIO, the Department of Foreign Affairs and Immigration Department advisers had not opposed the visit.

Speaking after the hearing, Mr. Bates said this suggested the decision was made because of a large number of letters from Australian Jewish groups and the lobbying of the Minister by Federal MPs.

Mr. Irving said: "Having seen the documentation prepared for my application, I wish to express my gratitude to the civil servants who drafted the internal memorandum that I have seen, in particular their remarkable conscientiousness and objectivity despite the torrent of abuse heaped on me."

Mr. Bates said Mr. Irving's 1986 and 1987 Australia visits had not led to unrest.

WHERE DAVID IRVING WILL BE SPEAKING ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 19th

All meetings start at 7.30 p.m.

The organisers have announced that they reserve the right to deny entrance.

Admission \$10 cash at the door.

PERTH: Claremont Football Club, Davies Road, Claremont.

ADELAIDE: German Social Club, 233 Flinders Street, Adelaide.

MELBOURNE: Sheraton Hotel, 13 Spring Street, Melbourne.

SYDNEY: Balmain League Club, 138 Victoria Road, Rozelle.

BRISBANE: Albert Rooms, Lennons Hotel, 66 Queen Street, Brisbane.

Any required information may be obtained from Veritas Publishing Co. Pty. Ltd.,
P.O. Box 42, Cranbrook, W.A., 6321. Telephone: (098) 268 055, Fax: (098) 268 051.

REGIONAL MEETINGS

Following the capital city meetings, there will be a series of meetings in regional centres, starting with Albury, NSW, on Monday, May 24th, and Shepparton, Victoria, on Tuesday, May 25th.

We will publicise details of these meetings as they come to hand.

DAVID IRVING DEFENCE FUND

David Irving requires the support of everyone who believes in freedom of speech as he continues in his SEARCH FOR TRUTH IN HISTORY. An international campaign is being conducted in an attempt not only to prevent him speaking, but to ruin him financially. His legal expenses are already high.

In November 1992, Irving's two-week battle to stay in Canada ran up thousands of dollars in legal costs. Australian expenses are already over \$10,000 and the Canadian legal battle is not yet completed. Cancellation of his Canadian tour also lost him thousands of dollars.

David Irving's appeal to the Australian Federal Court has been expensive. He is also commencing legal action against some Australian newspapers and individuals for libel and slander. Cancellation of his planned Australian tour has also been expensive in terms of lost income and costs for his Australian representatives.

But in spite of the internationally orchestrated campaign against him, David Irving is determined to fight on. But he is going to require substantial financial backing, or he will go to the wall.

All those who wish to support David Irving, who will personally acknowledge all donations, should send contributions to: **DAVID IRVING LEGAL DEFENCE FUND, P.O. BOX 42, CRANBROOK, W.A., 6321.**

IRVING ADDRESS AVAILABLE

Those attending the David Irving meetings will be able to buy AFTER the meetings the videotape. This will be \$30, but those who wish to order may do so from Veritas Publishing Co., P.O. Box 42, Cranbrook, W.A., 6321. The cost is \$35, \$5 being required to cover postage and cost of handling. **NO ORDERS WILL BE ACCEPTED BEFORE MAY 19th.**

An audiotape of the Irving address will also be available at the public meetings, but may also be ordered from Veritas. The cost is \$10 posted. Profits from the sale of tapes will go towards helping to finance David Irving's legal battles.

DAVID IRVING'S BOOKS

HITLER'S WAR (Focal Point, London, 1992) — completely updated and revised, and includes The War Path and two earlier volumes of *Hitler's War*. \$66 posted

GORING (Macmillan London and William Morrow & Co. New York, 1989) — Irving's biography of Goring. \$23 posted

TORPEDO RUNNING (Focal Point) — a special publication outlining 25 years of David Irving's writing career. \$12 posted

LELCHTER REPORT (Focal Point) — with a foreword by David Irving. \$14 posted

HESS: THE MISSING YEARS (Macmillan London and Grafton Books London, 1989) — Irving's research answers many of the questions, which have perplexed historians for half a century. \$22 posted

CHURCHILL'S WAR Vol.1 (Veritas Publishing, 1987) - challenges the generally accepted view of Winston Churchill. \$35 posted