

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free"

VOL. 58, No. 7.

Registered by Australia Post - Publication PP481667 100259

JULY 1994.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

WHAT IS NEW ABOUT THE NEW LIBERAL LEADER?

Unless Australian Prime Minister Paul Keating can produce another miracle like the last Federal election result, when his greatest ally was the proposed Goods and Services Tax, the newly elected Liberal leader, Alexander Downer, should be the next Liberal Australian Prime Minister. It has been said that a week is a long time in politics, and Keating may well prolong the holding of the next Federal elections until the last possible moment. This means that Downer will have to serve as Opposition leader for over two years, a long enough period in which to make election-losing mistakes.

However, assuming that Downer is elected, is there any evidence that Australia will be moved off the disaster road on which it has been travelling for so long? Alexander Downer has been described as a pragmatic politician, which explains why he is very coy about bringing forward any policies so far ahead of the elections that they can be carefully examined and criticised. As an ardent supporter of the GST, Downer will recall that the long period during which former leader John Hewson tried to explain his *Fightback* programme was used by the Labor strategists to exploit.

As a pragmatic politician, Alexander Downer is no doubt aware of the political truism that governments are generally voted OUT, not IN. He has made it clear that he is not going to upset the powerful Zionist-Jewish lobby. *The Australian Jewish News* of June 24 carries a full page report of an interview with Downer with a conclusion that "Mr. Downer appears to be supportive on almost every issue of special interest to the Jewish community." Alexander Downer comes out in favour of the totalitarian anti-racial vilification legislation. While protesting his support for free speech, he supports the principle of "criminal sanctions". He finds the views of the Australian League of Rights "abhorrent". He does not outline what those views are. He supports the banning of British historian David Irving. He finds that the propositions put forward by Irving are "deeply offensive". He does not state what these propositions are. We would be surprised if Downer has even read one of Irving's books.

OUR POLICY

To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.

To defend the free Society and its institutions - private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, either described as public or private.

To encourage all electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

According to one media report, Downer is not concerned about the possibility of Australia becoming a "Eurasian" nation. He follows the Keating line on Asia. He has upset the RSL, already drawing heavy fire from RSL Victorian State President Bruce Ruxton on the subject of permitting homosexuals to serve in the armed forces. Downer does not propose to change the policy laid down by the Keating government.

While saying the right things on the Monarchy issue, Downer is not going to mount a full-scale defence of the

Constitutional Monarchy. He knows that a number of his own Liberal party is "soft" on the issues. His Shadow Minister on the environment, Mr. Ian McLachlan, has indicated how deep the philosophical rot is inside the Liberal Party with his recent statement that the Coalition is now prepared to use the External Powers to override the States on environmental issues. The election of a Downer government may be necessary to demonstrate that a new political grouping is essential for a major change in present disastrous policies.

REVELATIONS ABOUT SOVIET SPY SECRETS

The collapse of the Soviet Empire has already shattered a number of myths, and shed some revealing light on how deep the Soviet espionage system had penetrated into the West. The revelations also reveal that it was the liberals of the West, most of them academics and self-styled intellectuals, who were the most gullible in accepting Soviet propaganda.

Some of the most explosive revelations have come in a book, *Special Tasks*, by Soviet intelligence general Sudoplatov. Eminent historian Robert Conquest describes this book as "the most sensational, the most devastating, and in many ways the most informative ever to emerge from the Stalinist milieu."

Sudoplatov's wife was Jewish, and he is strongly pro-Jewish, claiming that his best intelligence agents were Jewish. His greatest achievement was to penetrate the highly secret Manhattan Project that created the world's first atomic bomb. One of those who passed vital information to the Soviet was top nuclear scientist Oppenheimer. Oppenheimer's wife was a Communist while, according to Sudoplatov, Oppenheimer was also motivated by Soviet promises to establish a Jewish State in the Crimea after the Second World War. The Jewish couple, the Rosenbergs, electrocuted by the USA for their part in pro-Soviet espionage, were not major figures, but merely couriers.

Sudoplatov confirms what Douglas Reed, Malcolm Muggeridge and other Western journalists noted, that the Stalin regime in the 1930's was dominated by Jews. Sudoplatov writes, "in every major ministry at this time, Jews held top positions." From 1917 to 1948, Jews dominated the Soviet intelligence and espionage networks in the USA. But when between 1948 and 1952 Stalin purged all intelligence services of Jews, this "stripped the government of an entire population of public servants who had supported the revolution and worked for the establishment of Soviet power." Most interesting is Sudoplatov's claim that subsequently "the flower of this

educated leadership and their children emigrated to Israel and the West."

It would be instructive to know what has happened to those former Jewish agents of Stalin when they moved to the West. Sudoplatov was a victim of the Soviet system he served so ardently, having been responsible among similar activities for the assassination of Stalin's rival, Leon Trotsky, being imprisoned for fifteen years and tortured.

The picture of the Soviet hell between 1930 and 1960, as painted by Sudoplatov makes Hitler's Germany look like a Sunday School picnic. But, needless to say, it is extremely unlikely that any Hollywood films will be made of what happened to the unfortunate Russian people under Stalin's Jewish-controlled system.

INVESTING IN THE FUTURE

The long-term future of Australia depends upon spirited and intelligent opposition to the centralisation of power. The League has a central role to play. Those League supporters who wish to invest in the future by providing a legacy, are assured that the League Directors have formalised a means whereby legacies are adequately protected, to be of permanent benefit. Those who are interested in this are invited to contact National Director, Mr. David Thompson, or Advisory National Director, Mr. Eric Butler, who will provide the necessary information. Requests to G.P.O. Box 1052J, Melbourne, Victoria 3001.

CANADIAN LEGION DEFIES MULTICULTURALISTS

Canadian loyalists were dismayed when the Canadian Legion of ex-servicemen failed to stand firm against the policy of taking the Union Jack out of the original Canadian flag. But the Legion has earned the warm praise of well-known anti-multiculturalist Doug Collins, a veteran journalist who expresses himself in robust language on controversial issues like war-crime trials and revisionist history. The Australian League of Rights has long planned to bring Doug Collins, a Second World War legend, to visit Australia.

Writing in the *North Shore News*, British Columbia, of June 8, Doug Collins comments on the decision of the Canadian Legion to ban the wearing of turbans and other forms of headgear at Legion meetings.,

The Royal Canadian Legion has struck a royal blow for Canada in the turban issue.

But the reaction from the politically correct was predictable: frenzied howls from the Daily Idiot in Vancouver, the *Toronto Star*, the *Globe and Mail* and other establishment media. How could those lousy Legion guys fail to take our advice? What could they have been thinking of?

What they were thinking of was that for too long they have been pushed around by multiculters and conniving politicians. And they damned well weren't going to take it any more.

First of the ethnics to go to the wailing wall was the Canadian Jewish Congress. Wasn't it "racist" to tell Sikhs and Jews they couldn't go into a Legion with their turbans and yannulkes on?

Not unless it is also racist to deny non-Jews membership in Jewish groups like the B'nai B'rith and to deny non-Sikhs the right to enter Sikh temples with hob-nailed boots on. To each his own.

Nor is anything changed by the gleefully reported fact that in Alberta, members go into branches during Stampede Week wearing cowboy hats. Headgear has always been a matter of branch choice.

There is nothing to stop Sikhs and Jews having their own Legions and going in with feathers on their heads if they want to.

"Racism"? Even babes are now sick of this most overworked word in the language - and one that is used by axe-grinding ethnic groups to hammer the timid into submission.

In this case it didn't work. And it will fail again as more people become aware of what is going on.

Even Michael Valpy of the *Globe* (Canada's national newspaper, you know) - and a dedicated multiculturist - had to admit that what was involved here was national identity.

He works for a "national" newspaper but he doesn't approve of nationalism. But he did agree it was a factor in the Legion ballot, which at 1,959 votes against turbans and 629 for was decisive.

That was in line with popular sentiment. Vancouver's U-TV conducted a phone-in poll and got an unprecedented response - 6,021 calls, of which 67%

approved of the Legion's stand.

Valpy is too young to know, but it was "nationalism" that inspired Canadians, Yanks and Brits to storm those beaches 50 years ago and signal an end to Hitler.

So I find it contemptible that Hal Joffe of the Canadian Jewish Congress says the CJC "will have to look at all responses" to make the Legion reverse its stand. And he didn't exclude a boycott of Poppy Day. There is no limit to *chutzpah*.

I hope the CJC does organise a boycott. True patriots all would buy a hundred poppies each.

Meanwhile, Max Yalden of the Canadian Human Rights Commission says the Legion will be forced to accept the Sikh demands.

What he really means is that he and his fellow "rights" fanatics will show who is boss around here. Supported, naturally, by a sell-out Liberal Defence Minister. But what else do you expect from the party that set us on the road to multicult? Screw him!

Anyway, let's hope the ethnics carry out their threats and that the Legion takes up the challenge, to the Supreme Court of Canada if necessary.

The Legion should start a fighting fund. As Winston Churchill might have put it "Let battle begin!"

It's true that we live in a wacky world but I can't see that court denying private groups the privilege of setting their own rules.

There's a message in all this for the politicians, even if they choose to ignore it, as the Tories did for so long at their peril and as the Liberals are doing now.

In *The Destruction of English Canada* I predicted that this country could become a fragmented, ghettoized Tower of Babel rife with racial and cultural stress.

The politicians have never asked us whether we want that. So talk not of "democracy" or "human rights", talk of news management, intimidation, social engineering, arrogance and betrayal.

The Legion action was a revolt against those things. A new kind of D-Day.

When the Legion leaders sent out their surrender message I dropped my membership in West Vancouver's Branch 60. I have now renewed it. And I'm writing this with my hat off.

ZIONISTS DELIBERATELY PROVOKE ANTI-SEMITISM

by Dr. I.M. Rabinowitch

in *The London (Ontario) Free Press*, 22nd November 1971.

"TO COUNTLESS SINCERELY ORTHODOX RABBIS, TO THIS DAY THE VERY NAME THE ZIONISTS HAD GIVEN TO THEIR STATE - "ISRAEL" - IS A SACRILEGE OF THE WORST KIND, AND THE STATE OF ISRAEL AS A WHOLE IS "AN ABOMINATION".

Dr. Rabinowitch is a former associate Professor of Medicine at McGill University in Montreal and is now retired. He is a member of *Jerusalem Neturei Karta*, (guardians of the holiness of Jerusalem), which, according to Dr. Rabinowitch, consists of religious Jews and some of the most renowned Rabbis of our time. They oppose the State of Israel and pray every day that it will disappear. Their organisation considers itself to be absolute friends with the Arab people and they would prefer to live under Arab rule rather than that of Israel.

* * * * *

I am deeply disturbed by the mass demonstrations by Jews thoroughly blinded by the indoctrinations of Zionist propaganda and led by Zionist rabbis whom countless numbers of strictly orthodox rabbis have named, "the false prophets of our day."

What these kind-hearted, well-meaning but deluded Jews clearly do not know is that, from the very beginning of the Zionist movement, the top Zionist leaders have always welcomed anti-Semitism as a means of realising their nationalistic ends; that the founder of Zionism, Theodore Herzl, heartily approved of anti-Semitism; that Ben Gurion, at the time prime minister of Israel, saw it at times as a potent tool; that Moshe Sharett, at the time chairman of the Jewish Agency, told a Zionist convention that the decreasing anti-Semitism in the U.S. "imperilled the Zionist cause," and that Nahum Goldman, at the time probably the most prominent Zionist leader in the world, next to the president of Israel, was deeply concerned about the decreasing anti-Semitism in the United States. Also, what is not as well known as it should be by these beguiled Jews, is the Machiavellianism, namely, the deliberately inciting hatred of the Jew and then, with feigned horror, pointing to it as a justification of national independence.

The hooliganism and the terrorism are no less disturbing, for terrorism has for many years been Zionist policy. As a single example of the many that could be cited to cause Jews in Arab countries to flee to Israel, it was Ben Gurion's idea to disguise young healthy Jews to appear as Arabs and to go about the streets in Arab countries and plague the Jews with anti-Semitic slogans, such as "Bloody Jew", and where this failed to produce the desired result, the technique was violence.

In Iraq, Jews who refused to panic were beaten up. The chief rabbi, Kadhouri Sason, opposed as he was to

Zionism in all of its manifestations was badly beaten up.

Coming to the cry "humanitarianism" at all United Jewish Appeals for funds for Israel - that the purpose of these vast sums of money is to succour suffering Jews - at no time in history had a more gigantic fraud ever been perpetrated on mankind. From the very beginning of the Zionist movement, the cause has never been sufferings of Jews; the cause, and the only cause, was and is national independence.

If these Jews who, like sheep, follow the Zionist leaders in these mass demonstrations, would use their intelligence instead, and make an effort to learn what in fact is behind these mad activities, they would clearly see that the concern of Zionism about the Jews of U.S.S.R. is not political disabilities but increase of manpower for the State of Israel. As a single example, only recently there was pending legislation in the U.S. Congress that would authorise the issuance by the U.S. of 30,000 visas to Soviet Jews. The intent of House and Senate was not to divert Soviet Jews who are permitted to migrate from going to Israel, if that was where they wished to go, but purely a humanitarian one, to provide Soviet Jews with an additional alternative place of settlement. And what was the result? Down upon the U.S. Senate, like an avalanche, came the full force of the Zionist leaders of the U.S. to prevent passage of the bill.

Of this vileness of the top Zionist leaders in the U.S., Rabbi Moshe Sheter, president of the Orthodox Agudas of America, had this to say: *"This playing politics with Jewish lives is reprehensible to Judaism. It recalls bitter memories of post-war politics by certain Zionist representatives who harassed any rescue efforts for Jewish refugees which brought them to any land other than to Israel"*.

In Canada's House of Commons in 1956, a question was put to J.W. Pickersgill, then minister of citizenship and immigration, about what Canada was doing to open its doors to Jews who, dissatisfied with Israel, wished to settle elsewhere. Mr. Pickersgill replied: *"The government has made no progress in providing facilities for immigrants from Israel and has no intention of making any progress in that direction, because the government of Israel, which is a country seeking immigrants, does not wish us to do so."*

Not only has the cause of Zionism never been humanitarianism, but, to realise its nationalistic ends, here

is seen the apotheosis of inhumanity - cruelty completely beyond belief - were it not for the incontestable facts. Top-level Zionist leaders approved of Hitler's gas chambers and furnaces, on the principle that the greater the number of Jews who enter the gas-chambers and the greater the number who are incinerated in the furnaces, the greater will be the chances of national independence. The quotation *"by blood only shall we acquire the land"* had reference to Hungarian Jews. When, later, the concern was about the Polish Jews, the reply was *"One cow in the realized land is more important than all of the Jews in Poland."*

Nor was this all. In return for allowing some thousands of Jews to escape from the concentration camps and go to Palestine, in order to cause the Nazis as little trouble as possible in the concentration camps, a top-level Zionist leader went from camp to camp and beguiled these terribly stricken Jews into believing that they were being collected merely for transportation to labour camps, and so with the inhuman connivance of this top-level Zionist, tens of thousands of Jews went to their deaths. And, as the Nazi courts had acquitted Nazi murderers of Jews, so a court in Israel acquitted this Zionist murderer. But retribution caught up with him; he was later recognised by one of the Nazi victims who had been in one of these concentration camps and was assassinated.

And, as the cry of humanitarianism is a downright fraud, so are the appeals to the thoroughly deluded Jews for monies on religious grounds deliberate deception, capitalising on the "Promised Land" of the Bible. To anyone who knows the facts, the cry "Promised Land", is the acme of simulation, pretence and hypocritical cant. Immediately after Israel had come into being, Haim Weizman, first president of Israel, declared unequivocally that the religion of the Jew was not to govern the ministries of the state. To countless sincerely orthodox rabbis, to this day, the very name the Zionists had given to their state - "Israel" - is a sacrilege of the worst kind, and the state of Israel as a whole, is "an abomination". As these sincere rabbis see it, the sooner Israel comes to an end, the better for the Jew, for Judaism and for the peace of the world in general.

The huge sums of money which have been, and to this very day are being contributed by kind-hearted, well-meaning Jews throughout the world for the state of Israel recall the Golden Calf of the Bible - *"Break off the golden rings which are in the ears of your wives, of your sons and of your daughters and bring them to me, and all the people broke off the golden rings which were in their ears and brought them to Aaron. And with a graving tool he made a Golden Calf, and they said, This is thy God, O Israel."*

What is most terrifying and probably a most potent factor in creating anti-Semitism where it had not existed

before and intensifying it where it exists, is the indescribably foul teaching of Zionism about a Jew's loyalty to the country of his birth or adoption. Anti-Semites have for centuries spread the venom that Jews are not loyal citizens; that their concern is making money and not the welfare of the country in which the money is made. Absolutely wicked as this has always been, completely contrary as it is to the teachings of Judaism, Zionism has by its vile teachings put into the hands of anti-Semites precisely that which they require to spread hostility towards the Jews. One of the teachings of Zionism is that whatever be the interests of one's country, however harmful it may be to the country of his birth or adoption, a Jew's first loyalty is not to the country of his birth or adoption, but to Israel. As examples, these will suffice: *"There is a collective duty of the Zionist movement to assist the State of Israel in all conditions and under any circumstances - whether the government to which the Jews in question owe allegiance desire it or not . . ."* *"It means defending Israel's policies even if they run counter to the position taken by one government or another of the countries where Jews live"; "It is impossible to be a Jew and at the same time an American."* These are not out-pourings of wild-eyed irresponsible zealots thoroughly indoctrinated by Zionist propaganda. All are from persons, past and present, in highly responsible posts in the Zionist organisation.

I have barely touched upon Zionism. I have not mentioned at all the fraudulent uses of countless millions of dollars - millions that were forwarded to Israel tax-free, having been believed to have been entirely for philanthropy, but, by highly deceptive practices, channelled back to the United States for Zionist propaganda, until the U.S. Senate committee on foreign relations discovered the fraud.

In an address to the Canadian Club of Montreal in 1946, the title of which was, *"The Menace of Political Zionism to Judaism and World Peace"*, I suggested that Zionism be outlawed in Canada and for emphasis, I closed with these words: *"A Jewish State in Palestine means war"*. The latter hardly requires comment; the former, particularly because the teachings of disloyalty to one's country, in my humble opinion, still holds.

The statements I have made here are most serious, and they are irresponsibility and wickedness of the worst character, and he who has made them, merits the severest possible rebuke, unless they can be shown to be true, not beyond all reasonable doubt, but beyond all doubt. I, therefore, challenge any Zionist readers, particularly any one of the "false prophets of our day", to disprove a single statement I've made, for of each, there is incontestable documentary proof, and I have all the documents in my library."

IS THE LOVE OF MONEY THE ROOT OF ALL EVIL?

by Robert R. Yake

This is a question that needs some very serious discussion between Christians, so that as Christians, we don't lose sight of the full weight this statement in Timothy 6:10 proposes.

A good friend of mine took the trouble to glean through several writings on this subject and sent me a lengthy article by Pastor Herman Otten of the *Christian News Encyclopedia* (page 3533). Typical of most evangelical types, the pastor takes almost all the stories and lessons to disprove Timothy 6:10, from the Old Testament. Pastor Herman Otten scores heavily using that tactic, but since Timothy is of the New Testament, which is the story of God on earth, shouldn't we heed what the Master Himself says of this matter! St. Matthew 6:24 is plain enough in any Bible translation. Jesus says: "*Ye cannot serve God and mammon*". Since money and mammon are so intricately connected, one can only ponder why this pastor failed to use Matthew 6:24 in his discussion of Timothy 6:10. Jesus was certainly not afraid of offending anyone, so why should modern day preachers be afraid?

What exactly is mammon? For sure it is money and riches, gold, silver and materialism. But it also has a broader meaning. Webster's of 1959 for example, define it to also mean the pursuit of power or avarice and cupidity. So then, any attempt by a Christian or non-Christian to serve mammon will inevitably result in sin or evil. Throughout the centuries the Christian west has too often been serving two masters with disastrous consequences. Orthodox economics with its insatiable monopolistic greed and resulting trade wars, which all too often result in huge military conflicts, has its roots in the worship of the almighty dollar. Mankind will not solve its problems and will only create more until the time when enough individuals are prepared to dethrone the

dollar and make it mankind's servant.

Pastor Herman Otten states that the root of all evil stems from two separate incidents, namely the rebellion of Satan and his angels against God plus the rebellion of Adam and Eve in the Garden. If this is his argument, then everyone in these two examples got greedy for power and were robbing the "piggy bank" so to speak. "*Ye cannot serve God and mammon*". All subsequent arguments by the pastor are easily refuted when one keeps Timothy 6:10 and Matthew 6:24 together. Even the greed of lasciviousness or sexual license is covered by cupidity, another part of the whole definition of mammon. Also the pastor should be reminded that Jesus is apparently quite concerned about how rich people have acquired their wealth since it was Him who said "*It is easier for a camel to pass through the eye of the needle than for a rich man to enter into the Kingdom*".

Pastor Otten finally goes on to use a New International Version Bible translation of Timothy to bolster his argument. This translation reads: "*The love of money is a root of all kinds of evil*". Since the pastor is concerned about any "hair splitting", this new translation puts him at ease with his refutation of Timothy 6:10. But, since I also wish not to cause any "hair splitting", I shall translate Timothy 6:10 so it reads: "*The love of mammon is the root of all evil*". Keeping in mind that money and mammon are intricately connected we would now have complete harmony with Christ's exhortation not to serve God and mammon. This pastor, in my opinion, makes several good and rather obvious points about money, but has short changed himself and his flock by omitting St. Matthew 6:24 from his entire discussion. It seems that the door of temptation has been left slightly open for people who never seem to get enough of mammon.

THE LAROUCHE MOVEMENT

Our attention has been drawn to the fact that a number of League supporters, and those who have attended League sponsored meetings, have recently been phoned from Melbourne by supporters of the American-based La Rouché movement, now operating in Australia as the Citizens' Electoral Council, pressuring them to provide substantial financial contributions.

In spite of the fact that the League of Rights has been a major target of the La Rouché movement's criticism, attempts have been made to suggest that there is some connection between the League and the CEC, and that there has now been some "falling out"

concerning what is loosely described as the Australian "extreme right wing movement". The truth is that long before the La Rouché movement was known in Australia, the League of Rights had encouraged a number of initiatives to make individual Members of Parliament more responsible to their electors. It pioneered work on the Citizens' Referendum and Recall concept, encouraging any who took up the concept.

When the concept emerged of Citizens' Electoral Councils, first in the Queensland by-election following the resignation of the former Premier of Queensland, Sir Joh Bjelke Petersen, and an Independent was elected with

a promise to support the CIR, some League supporters and sympathisers supported the CEC concept, although warned by National Director Eric Butler that the by-election result in Kingaroy was a one-off result because of the circumstances concerning the resignation of Sir Joh Bjelke Petersen. When it became clear that the supporters of the CEC in Kingaroy were attempting to bring all CEC's under the La Rouche movement, which, apart from other issues, was strongly opposed to the institution of Constitutional Monarchy, those League supporters involved withdrew. Ever since the La Rouche supporters have conducted a smearing campaign against the League. This campaign has had no effect on the steady grass-roots educational and other activities of the League.

There are a number of unanswered questions concerning the La Rouche movement. Why, if a genuine conservative movement, has it decided to move into Australia and seek to subvert the League of Rights? There are plenty of problems to solve in the USA. Is the La Rouche movement, typical of the American scene, merely a moneymaking business, or is there a deeper hidden agenda? At a time when the Constitutional Monarchy, which serves the United Kingdom, New Zealand, Canada and Australia, is under heavy attack by the internationalists, why does the La Rouche movement seek to promote the view that the British Monarchy is a major source of the world's troubles and make the incredible allegation that the Queen is the centre of an international drug business?

Prior to an Australian CEC conference, held in Melbourne last year, with a number of overseas La Rouche representatives, the CEC publication, *The Citizen*, ran some scathing material against the Leiblers, prominent Zionist leaders, and attacks on the Jewish Anti-Defamation League. The Zionists were well aware of the Melbourne CEC international conference - which, incidentally, saw a number of defections from the CEC movement. We are not aware of any attempt by the Zionists in Australia to prevent La Rouche representatives from entering Australia in the same way that David Irving has been prevented. What is the explanation for this?

The La Rouche movement has now formed a united front with some radical black groups in the USA and is heavily promoting a crude anti-Jewish campaign. Those best qualified to express an opinion claim that there are a number of Jews in the top echelon of the La Rouche movement in the USA. If this is true, then are they merely interested in promoting a moneymaking racket, or are they playing the old Zionist game of deliberately promoting "anti-Semitism" as a major part of a Zionist strategy?

Whatever the answers to these questions, we can only warn our readers to try to ensure that well-meaning people are not high-pressured into donating money to a movement which uses un-Australian tactics to persuade desperate people into believing that unless they give, they are guilty of letting Australia down.

DEMOCRATISING BANKING

*The world alas doth fail to see
The Banks creatability
To lend a broken world in debt
And contra liability.*

*As loan-deposits equal be
Per net no gain 'tis plain to see
Though planet rape proceed full swing
And set at naught tranquility.*

*The rich who live in cards of debt
Of debt-free credit little fret
For who shall pay the piper's due
Or dare the mortgagee, forget?*

*The planets full of asset thieves
Of Leninists, and old of reeves
So who will wash the books of red
And others' debt be pleased to cease?*

*So let us take the Bankers cross
Poor souls account deposits loss
And strip their down-side balance sheet
And lay their assets at their feet.*

*Forgive them liability
Give credit past that future ours be
Forgive their debts, triumphant free,
Inducement chain to thee, democracy!*

Charles Pinwill

BRITISH EDUCATION MINISTER ATTACKS "POLITICAL CORRECTNESS"

The most dangerous two words in the English language today.

For years most people in Britain have laughed off the stories of political correctness creeping into our lives.

It seemed to be a problem associated with America, which was then unfortunately picked up by a few loony councils and Shakespearophobic headmistresses in London boroughs.

But we should be in no doubt about either the scale or the danger of the PC advance. It is spreading like an insidious evil across the country and across the calendar. It is dangerous, and if allowed to spread without challenge it could alter the nature of British life.

Examples abound. Books are taken out of libraries because they are not PC. Any position, or indeed any word, with "man" in it seems now suspect. One organisation went as far recently as to get rid of the title of "manager". In at least one school, *Robinson Crusoe* cannot now be performed as a play. It is deemed non-PC because of Man Friday.

The litany goes on: shopkeepers are asked to stock gingerbread persons, not gingerbread men; tea ladies are asked not to offer black coffee, but rather coffee with or without milk; and child-minders are censured if they are caught in possession of a golliwog. Now - to cap it all - children are not to have their work marked in red in some of our schools because it shatters pupils' confidence.

I am an exponent of the correct use of the English language. I also like to get my facts right. If writers refer to "he" in the context of a mixed group of people, then the usage is wrong. "He or she" is correct and is now widely employed.

However, PC is pushed too far, finding sexist language where none exists. To object to the existence of "man" or "his" in particular words (manager, even history) is quite clearly ludicrous. If "his" is out, then so too must be "her". So out goes heraldry, herbaceous and heritage.

Attitudes

And whither the poor Bachelor of Arts? Are we now to be a Person of Arts? And what about Master of Arts? How do we signify a degree above Bachelor of Arts

without appearing "blatantly hierarchist"? And what are the political parties going to have to call their manifestos? Personifestos? I think not.

It is all too easy to dismiss these attitudes as part of the froth and bubble of crazy extremism, and to treat them as a joke. To my mind there is nothing humorous about this increasingly insidious trend. Political correctness was born in America during a generation obsessed with sociological theory, and was nurtured by a network of people who are deadly serious about their roles in life.

For them, there is no question of political correctness being a light-hearted affair. It is to be pursued with a passion verging on obsessiveness. Its exponents seek out positions of power and influence, encourage each other, and attempt to persuade ordinary people that all the attitudes established over decades are either unfair or completely wrong.

PC can and does take on more dangerous forms. We've seen some doctors threatening not to treat smokers because of their politically incorrect activity. That sort of approach by those with our lives in their hands in the National Health Services is truly terrifying in its implications. Virginia Bottomley, our Health Secretary, is quite right to have cracked down on this sort of thing, and I know she is watching it very closely. So am I, for I don't want to lose good teachers because of the efforts of the PC thought police.

The brutal truth is that the precepts of political correctness and a free society are simply not compatible. I don't think it is going too far to say that those with politically correct attitudes, which are so often picked up by Liberal and Labour Party activists, have fascist instincts.

For political correctness seeks to curb freedoms and limit diversity by having just one correct view, which should be imposed on everybody. The end product of this approach is found in the killing fields of ethnic cleansing.

The belief that there is only one correct view runs right against the British way of doing things. Our pragmatic mixture of liberal and conservative laws seeks to map out areas in which individual freedom is defined and extended.