

# THE NEW TIMES

*"Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free"*

VOL.58, No. 8.

Registered by Australia Post - Publication PP481667 100259

AUGUST 1994.

## REGENERATION FROM THE FAMILY UP

*by Eric D. Butler*

**One of the most significant manifestations of a disintegrating civilisation is the break up of the traditional family. The secular humanists and their allies in the ranks of the pseudo-intellectuals, are responding to what is happening by attempting to re-define the meaning of family, even suggesting that if two practising male homosexuals adopt children, they have established a family. But such an association is lifeless and therefore contrary to the natural law. The long history of the development of civilisation reveals that a major factor in the development of stable societies has been stable family life. The stable family is the glue, which holds society together; remove the glue and the result is growing disorder. The disintegration of the traditional family has been a major feature of every collapsing civilisation.**

While there are a number of factors involved in the growing breakdown of the traditional family, the basic factor is economic. Every survey shows that if mothers had genuine freedom of choice, the majority would prefer to stay at home looking after their children and engaging in activities associated with what was once termed "homemaking". A courageous and dedicated minority still attempt to give their undivided attention to their families. But there is a price to be paid: a lower standard of living. Any starting point for the re-generation of the traditional family, must attack a financial policy which drives mothers from the home into the workforce.

There is a growing realisation that the mounting international debt problem is a central feature of a collapsing civilisation. Even prominent bankers are indicating that they have no real answers. Mr. Alan Greenspan, Chairman of the American Federal Reserve system has recently revealed that the 1987 stock market crash brought the world closer to an "historic monetary collapse" than anyone thought possible. Greenspan claims that it was only the action of the Federal Reserve which prevented a complete collapse of the world's monetary system. The action taken was to increase the flow of new financial credits. But such action is similar to providing a heavier dose of drugs in an endeavour to ease the pain resulting from the effects of previous drugs wearing off. This merely intensifies the basic problem.

### EVENTS, NOT EXPLANATIONS

C.H. Douglas said that the majority of people were not moved by explanations concerning the state of their nations, only by events. Events are now forcing an increasing number of people to a realisation that they are threatened with major problems. But this realisation does not of itself solve problems. And a starting point must not concentrate upon effects, but upon causes. All the Australian political parties are beginning

to realise that there is a family vote available to those who can

### OUR POLICY

**To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, and to a society in which every individual enjoys inalienable rights, derived from God, not from the State.**

**To defend the free Society and its institutions - private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.**

**To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.**

**To oppose all forms of monopoly, either described as public or private.**

**To encourage all electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.**

**To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.**

**To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.**

appear at least to offer some relief to hard-pressed families.

The recently elected Federal Liberal Member from N.S.W., Mr. Tony Abbott, has in his maiden speech indicated a refreshingly new approach, which might well be expanded into a national campaign. Abbott is a practising Christian and has given some robust leadership on the Constitutional Monarchy issue. In essence he is saying to his fellow Liberals that they should cease fiddling about with income-splitting techniques in order to assist families, and support the policy of the payment of a direct family wage. This could be an extension of the child endowment scheme, with the amount paid being linked to the number of children.

Such a family wage should be sufficient to provide the mother with a genuine choice concerning where she wants to spend her time: in the factory or elsewhere, or in the home. Even many of the orthodox economists admit, indirectly, that the present economic system can only be sustained by the injection of new financial credits. The Keynesians advocate deficit budgets and government "pump priming", while the "monetarists" appear to believe that heavy private investment in capital works will ensure that income will be sufficient to meet total consumer prices. But the overall result is more debt and more social as well as environmental disasters.

## A CONSTRUCTIVE PROGRAMME

Financed out of new credits at the cost of issue, an adequate family wage would start to achieve a number of short term as well as long-term results:

1. With a large number of mothers leaving the work force to look after their own families, the way would be open for the employment of younger male members of the family.
2. The reduction in the number of young unemployed would start to reduce the present high juvenile crime rate. The level of violence in society would drop. This would ease the pressure on police forces, the courts and welfare workers.
3. The full time care of children by their mothers would help ensure that they were far more adequately supervised than they are at present.
4. The present rate of family breakups, with enormous human and social costs, would start to drop.

Paying family incomes along the lines suggested would be a reflection of the reality that Australia is a wealthy society in physical terms, that all members of society are heirs to a heritage built up over the past, and are morally entitled to a share of their heritage. The proper nurturing and education of children should be regarded as an investment in the future of a stable Australian nation.

Modern societies have become so corrupted at the top that it is folly, as Solzhenitsyn has stressed, to look for salvation at the top. A start must be made at the grass roots. What better place to start a programme of regeneration than with the smallest unit of society, the family? The policy suggested would not cure all of a nation's problems overnight, but it would place the nation on a course, which would organically lead to regeneration in all aspects of society. Any set of politicians adopting a realistic programme for regenerating the family would be swept into office at the first election it contested with this programme.

### "CHARLEY'S LETTER"

This powerful audio tape, professionally produced, with "Charley's" voice being spoken by the late Leonard Teale, makes a distinctive contribution to the debate concerning the Constitutional Monarchy. This was Leonard Teale's last recording before his untimely death, and will become a collector's item.

"Charley's Letter" may be obtained from all League of Rights' address. \$6 single copy, \$10 for two copies - post-free.

*At last!*

### "The Case for David Irving"

by Nigel Jackson

**A documented exposure of the sinister international conspiracy against British historian David Irving. Massive documentation and detailed index.**

Banned British historian David Irving is back before the Federal Court again. The David Irving case is without parallel. Brilliant Australian literary figure Nigel Jackson has painstakingly put together the most revealing picture of an incredible international campaign, which transformed an acknowledged expert in the field of Second World War history into such a dangerous man that he must be banned and destroyed. Nigel Jackson examines the role of the media and that of people like Gerard Henderson and Robert Manne, and others, in the anti-Irving campaign. This is the most thoroughly researched work on David Irving yet to be published. It will prove political dynamite in Australia and Canada. As a result of several technical hiccups, the publishing date had to be put back. But *The Case for David Irving* is now available. The League of Rights placed a major order for this work as soon as it became known that it was being produced. As the book is being released internationally, it is anticipated that there will be an immediate heavy demand. We trust that we have ordered adequate supplies to ensure that all League supporters obtain an early copy. Order from local State League addresses. *The Case for David Irving* sells for \$23.00 posted.

## A SIDELIGHT ON D-DAY COMMEMORATIONS

The London *Jewish Chronicle* of June 10 quotes the Queen as having addressed the assembled veterans, saying, "I am glad that the French government is represented here by Madam Veil. By the courage she had and a multitude had displayed in the Nazi concentration camps, she exemplifies perfectly why we are here today."

Madam Veil was a prominent French Jewess. How strange that she managed, as did large numbers of other Jews, to survive the alleged mass gassings by the Nazis?

## A RETURN TO ALDOUS HUXLEY'S "BRAVE NEW WORLD"

Although George Orwell painted a grim picture of a modern totalitarian State in his famous novel "1984", he provided few direct pointers as to what might be done to avoid the major disaster threatening mankind. But an earlier work, little known today *Science, Liberty and Peace*, written by Aldous Huxley, the well known English writer, reveals a deeper understanding of the practical implications of the philosophy of power in the modern world where man has greatly increased his power over Nature. Aldous Huxley first emerged as a well-known public figure with his futuristic novel, *Brave New World*, which outlined a Utopian society in which the individual was controlled from the cradle to the grave. *Brave New World* appeared long before the Second World War. Only a small work, *Science Liberty and Peace* was published in 1947, at a time when it was believed by many that the newly created United Nations offered a new hope for Mankind.

There is no evidence that Aldous Huxley had any association with Social Credit, but the central theme of *Science Liberty and Peace* took him close to the Social Credit position concerning the role of finance in fostering the centralisation of power. Huxley argued that it was the misapplication of modern technological progress which was responsible for the concentration of economic and political power, this resulting in a number of evils, one being the corruption of those exercising centralised power, and a lack of self-reliance among the great majority over whom the power was exercised, but his central theme was that unless power, production and property were decentralised, Mankind was threatened with universal servitude under a ruling oligarchy, and wars and revolutions.

Huxley starts his book by quoting from the famous Russian novelist, Tolstoy: *"If the arrangement of society is bad (as ours is), and a small number of people have power over the majority and oppress it, every victory over Nature will inevitably serve only to increase that power and that oppression. This is what is actually happening."* These prophetic words by Tolstoy had been written fifty years previously. In his little work, *The Abolition of Man*, the famous Christian publicist, C.S. Lewis, had developed the same theme, pointing out that the much-lauded concept of man "conquering nature" ended with Man destroying himself.

In one sense, Aldous Huxley could be described as a Christian fellow-traveller, as witnessed by his comment on the Lord's Prayer: *"Lead us not into temptation"*. Huxley writes: *"The presence of this phrase in the Lord's Prayer reveals its author's profoundly realistic appreciation of human nature. Why should we pray that we may not be led into temptation? For the excellent reason, as all experience proves, whenever temptations to evil are sufficiently strong and sufficiently frequent, men and women generally succumb to them."* One of the constants in the human drama is the will-to-power. And as Lord Acton said, *"All power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely."*

Huxley observes that the dogma of inevitable progress, stemming from developments in the physical sciences, was, from an orthodox Christian point of view, heretical. *"For the ancient Greeks, hubris, or overwhelming insolence, whether directed against the gods, or one's fellow men, or nature, was sure to be followed, sooner or later, in one way or another, with Nemesis. Unlike the Greeks, we in the twentieth century believe that we can be insolent with impunity. So intense is our faith in the dogma of inevitable progress that it has survived two world wars and still remains flourishing in spite of totalitarianism and the revival of slavery, concentration camps and saturation bombing."*

C.H. Douglas said that the only true progress was moral progress. Modern technology enables man to travel from point A to B in less time. But what does man do with the time saved? Is there more time for self-development or less? Douglas observed that while the ultimate end of man may be unknown, he will make the greatest progress towards that end where there is maximum freedom, including economic freedom, for self-development.

Aldous Huxley saw that *"Centralised finance begets centralised industry, and in due course the profits of centralised industry increased the power of centralised finance, so that it was able to proceed even further in the direction of completely centralised production and distribution"*. Huxley outlines his philosophy concerning individual independence. *"Democratic institutions are likely to work best at times and in places where at least a good part of the citizens have access to enough land and possess sufficient tools and professional skill to be able to provide for their subsistence without recourse to financially potent private capitalists or to the government."*

In the absence of an understanding of a Social Credit, it was not surprising that Huxley made no concrete suggestions for dealing with the problem he had correctly outlined. The best he could suggest was that *"If inventors and technicians so chose, they could just as well apply the results of pure science for increasing the economic self-sufficiency and consequently the political independence of small owners, working either for their own or in co-operative groups, concerned not with mass distribution, but with subsistence and the supply of a local market."* But centralised control of credit creation and its distribution makes such a desirable objective virtually impossible. In his 1934 Melbourne Town Hall address, *The Monopolistic Idea*, C.H. Douglas referred to his own experiences as an engineer, with less efficient organisations being awarded contracts purely for financial reasons.

Although Huxley had a deep interest in Eastern religions, he was familiar with the basic philosophy of Christianity, writing, *"The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath; and the same is true of applied science. Human beings have certain physical and psychological wants. They require food, clothing and shelter; and for moral and mental health, they need to be given the opportunity to develop their latent personalities to the fullest degree compatible with the freedom and well being of others. And beyond these primary psychological needs lie man's spiritual needs, the need, in theological language, to achieve his Final End, which is the unitive knowledge of ultimate reality ....."*

Huxley feared that the development of nuclear power would be used to further the process of centralisation of power,

concerned that while the lust for power "*persists as a human trait*", "*no political arrangement, however well contrived, can guarantee peace*". Referring to the Roman Civilisation, he wrote "*The Pax Romano was a very uneasy affair, troubled at almost every imperial death by civil strife over the question of succession.*" But as Douglas more accurately observed, the Roman Civilisation eventually broke down because of the centralist financial policies, which are corrupting Western Civilisation. As for the problems associated with the question of succession, the British evolution of constitutional government with the hereditary Monarchy a major feature of a Trinitarian form of government has provided an effective answer. That is why those power groups who seek to create their version of a **Brave New World** are currently engaged in a long-term strategy designed to destroy Constitutional Monarchy. The front line of this strategy can be best seen in Australia. For this reason, the future of what remains of the old British world could be decided in Australia.

---

## THE FREE TRADE CIRCUS MOVES ON

Three months after members of the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs (GATT) ended over seven years of talk by the team of international bureaucrats, and signed what is known as the *Uruguay Round*, only 20 nations' parliaments have ratified the deal, Germany being the only one of the group of G-7 nations to have done so. Germany has always been in the forefront of the drive towards establishing a "global economy", confident that it will be a major beneficiary of such an economy. But the realities of domestic politics are still preventing the implementation of the global free trade ideal. Conflict between the USA and Japan still continues, with the Japanese doggedly refusing to open up their markets. In spite of its openly aggressive attitude towards Japan, the USA is having relatively little success in its attempt to force the Japanese to lower the defence of their own industries. In its draft bill to implement the *Uruguay Round*, the USA has included a clause giving it the authority to press for both labour and environmental standards to be included in future trade deals.

President Clinton, echoing the views of his "advisers", suggested at the Naples Summit of the G-7 nations that they should make every effort to ratify the *Uruguay Round* before the next summit, to be held in Nova Scotia, Canada, next year. In the meantime members of GATT are haggling with China over the terms on which it can rejoin GATT. Attempts are to be made to bring tourism, health, various professional services, construction and transport within the scope of the World Trade Organisation, which is supposed to oversee the new free trade order, opening its doors on January 1. It is as certain as the sunrise that the attempt to globalise the world's economies will merely add to the growing disasters afflicting mankind.

## PLAN NOW FOR THE NATIONAL WEEKEND

The wise Chinese sage warned that when struck by a thunderbolt, it was too late to consult the book of dates. Events, both national and international, are now moving with greater intensity than ever. As always, the League is engaged in forward planning. This year's National Weekend, the highlight of the League's Annual Calendar, starting with the *New Times* Dinner on Friday, September 30, will conclude on the Sunday with actionists briefed on the biggest and most comprehensive future plans in the history of the League. The nation is moving towards explosive developments. We must be well prepared and ready.

The New Times Dinner is a League family dinner and is open only to League supporters and their families. The organisers reserve the right to decline any bookings. This year's Dinner will return to the original venue, The Victoria, Little Collins Street, Melbourne. Bookings must be accompanied with a \$30 donation.

### MESSAGES FOR DINNER

Interstate and overseas readers of the *New Times* who are unable to be present physically at the Dinner, are invited to participate with appropriate messages. These will be read at the Dinner and published in the special Dinner issue of *The New Times*.

### NATIONAL SEMINAR

This will also be held at the Banquet Hall at the Victoria, starting at 2 p.m. There will be the usual wide display of literature, including all latest titles. The hall will be open at 1 p.m. in order that there will be every opportunity to peruse and buy books.

### ACTION SEMINAR

This will be held in the Douglas Memorial Hall, "Runnymede", on the Sunday, October 2, starting at 9.30 a.m. with a short non-denominational Divine Service. This Seminar is open only to actionists or those who wish to be involved in action programmes. There will be a wide range of action reports. Lunch will be provided for a small charge.

### ACCOMMODATION

Limited private accommodation is available for interstate and country visitors. Early requests will assist the organisers, who can also make arrangements for bookings at The Victoria.

### "YOUR RIGHTS 1994"

*by John Bennett*

The annually up-dated booklet published by the Australian Civil Liberties Union, is now available for 1994. With the usual needy advice on everyday legal matters for the ordinary citizen, the 1994 edition has material on censorship, racism, immigration, multiculturalism, and other issues like the banning of Mr. David Irving, the British historian whose visa was again denied by the Minister for Immigration. \$4.95 from all League book services, or \$6.50 posted.

## DIRECTOR OF "RHODESIA CHRISTIAN GROUP" GUEST OF HONOUR AT "NEW TIMES" DINNER

The President of the *Rhodesia Christian Group* is the well-known Rev. Father Arthur Lewis, and the Director is Mr. Denis Walker. Both Father Lewis and Denis Walker served with distinction as Christian missionaries, Mr. Walker as a Methodist, in Rhodesia (Zimbabwe) and later in politics.

The Object of the "*Rhodesia Christian Group*" is: "*For the defence of the Faith and to monitor the spiritual, economic and social development of Zimbabwe and befriend, advise and assist where possible former Rhodesian citizens.*"

Denis Walker is an outstanding authority on Southern Africa, and was in South Africa on the eve of the much-publicised elections. He is also a prominent member of the Monarchist League. He will be one of the panel of speakers at the League's National Seminar on Saturday, October 1 and will also be visiting all States except West Australia.

As the national and international crisis deepens, the

League is planning to enter a new phase of its mission. It is not too much to say that the 1994 National Weekend of the League is the most important in its long history. All actionists will be challenged with "A Call to Arms" on a scale exceeding all past endeavour.

The *New Times* Dinner will be held at The Victoria, Little Collins Street, Melbourne, and is available only for known League supporters and their families. The charge is \$30 per person, which must accompany all bookings.

There will be the usual high quality toasts, a manifestation of the growth of the League.

Appropriate messages from interstate and overseas visitors, unable to attend the Dinner will be appreciated. These will be read at the Dinner and published in the special Dinner issue of the *New Times*. Limited private hospitality is available for country and interstate visitors.

---

## MCCARTHY WAS PROVED RIGHT

American Senator Joseph McCarthy has been one of the most maligned figures in modern history. McCarthy's crime was to attempt to make prominent public figures personally responsible for the policies they pursued. During a period when it was far from certain that the West was going to survive the onward expansion of International Communism, McCarthy believed that those who closely associated with Communist operators should be treated with suspicion. In an attempt to protect himself against the charge of "anti-Semitism", Senator McCarthy even hired two Jews to assist on his staff. Both proved disastrous, with large numbers of Jews prominent in the anti-McCarthy campaign.

McCarthy was ridiculed and charged with "extremism" because he said that the American administration was riddled with Soviet spies. The evidence coming out since the collapse of the Soviet empire has revealed that McCarthy was much closer to the truth than even he realised. After a long and desperate attempt to defend Alger Hiss, a top American State Department official who presided over the formation of the United Nations, and later became President of the prestigious Carnegie Institute, it has had to be conceded that Hiss was a top Soviet agent.

Richard Nixon was not one of the USA's greatest Presidents, but he was certainly much better than Clinton. Nixon was driven from office by a campaign masterminded by a media dominated by individuals who had never forgiven Nixon for having played a key role in destroying one of the idols of the Liberal-left, Alger Hiss. It now emerges that Nixon was well aware of the Zionist Jewish influence in American affairs, intensely disliked the mass media and while not violating the law concerning forced integration of blacks and whites, did not support the policy. The worst charge that could be levelled against Nixon is that he half-approved the bugging of the offices of his Democratic opponents at Watergate. But his predecessor, Lyndon Johnson, had not only supported his own bugging, but his political career was that of a crook, if not worse.

President Clinton is much more vulnerable to a campaign to drive him from office, than was Nixon. But he is protected, at least to date, by the Zionist-Jewish forces which played the

decisive role in destroying Nixon. If there is a serious media campaign to drive Clinton from office, this will be more the result of a determination to undermine what is left of American stability by undermining the institution of the Presidency. Clinton is, along with his wife, an almost complete captive of the American Zionist-Jewish machine.

A few honest journalists have admitted the misleading role they played during the McCarthy years. Norman Macrae of the *Sunday Times*, London, wrote on April 24 that ". . . all the more reputable journalistic, literary, film and then television media have for 40 years told complete untruths about what was happening in mankind's most dangerous decade." McCarthy could have added that the same people have been doing this ever since. Macrae frankly admitted what the journalists had done in their attempt to protect another of their idols, Oppenheimer, director of the A-bomb project, 1943-45.

Macrae writes: The 'peak' of McCarthyism was screeched by us journalists to have come when a committee in 1953 withdrew from Oppenheimer . . . the right to future H-bomb information, because 'his associations with persons known to be communist have extended far beyond the tolerable limits of prudence.' When I researched at Los Alamos, I wondered why we screeched. Those Oppie had chosen and inherited in his family, all belonged to the Communist party in Stalin's worst terror years. They included his first fiancé and Los Alamos-time mistress, his alcoholic wife Kitty and her first husband, his brother and sister-in-law, and colleagues for whom he repeatedly lied in cover up. We excused this because he was so much nicer than his McCarthyite critics."

Oppenheimer later dropped his many pro-Communist contacts - but as revealed by Stalin's former top hatchet man, General Sudaplatov, in his autobiography *Special Tasks*, Oppenheimer did this because he was ordered by Sudaplatov to do so. Married to a Jewess and strongly pro-Jewish, Sudaplatov reveals that most of his agents were Jewish and that the Soviet had deeply penetrated into the West's most influential areas. Senator Joe McCarthy actually understated the extent of Soviet subversion. Perhaps one day history will be re-written and the American Senator will be more realistically assessed.

# A POLITICALLY INCORRECT VIEW OF "SCHINDLER'S LIST"

by A.N. Hunt

This film, yet to receive an unfavourable review, purports to be the story of how Schindler, a Jewish business man, arranged with the Nazis to be allocated Jews destined for the concentration camps to be sent to work in his factory. This was producing for the German government. "List" is a version of what happened to Schindler and his people.

The film does not say why Jews were being interned in camps. "List" implies that concentration camps were a German invention. They were not. Concentration camps were first used by the British during the Boer war. They were established by the G.O.C. Lord Kitchener. He said that he was acting on the advice of "surrendered burghers".

Britain interned thousands of enemy aliens in two world wars. The American government interned citizens of Japanese origin. Clearly, any belligerent must for its own safety neutralise enemy subjects in its territory. Jews living in Germany had to be interned because they were at war with Germany.

## A Declaration of War

Speaking in 1932, before Hitler came to power, Bernat Lecache, President of the World Jewish League, said: "Germany is our Public Enemy No. 1. It is our object to declare war without mercy against her." Later, on March 14th 1935 the *Daily Express* newspaper reported that: "The Israeli people around the world declare economic and financial war against Germany. Fourteen million Jews stand together as one man to declare war against Germany . . . to join together in a holy war against Hitler's people."

No Jews anywhere repudiated these declarations of war. No responsible government could ignore the threat posed by the Jews. So they interned them, together with the British, French and other enemy nationals. Only the arrest of the Jews was held by "world opinion" to be intolerable. We are not told why they should have been exempted from the rules of war.

Film epics and blockbuster novels have told again and again the story of the Nazi Death Camps. Always, fiendishly sadistic Germans sent millions of harmless Jews to labour camps with the intention that they should be worked or starved to death or gassed. Why the practical and hardheaded Germans should so stupidly destroy valuable workers is never explained. We are to suppose that Germans were sadists to a man, led by a fiend with no other object than the mass murder of Jews.

For geographical reasons the nightmare of the German General Staff was always that they might have to fight on two fronts, as they did in World War 2. Germany had not the manpower to defend her lengthy frontiers. She had to make the best possible use of every man and woman.

Every Jew producing for the Wehrmacht freed a German to fight. Jewish labour was thus valuable. Most Jews were educated, intelligent and industrious, hence even more valuable.

Yet we are to believe that a Germany fighting for her existence was stupid enough deliberately to work or starve to death or kill by gassing millions of useful workers whose production was priceless to her war effort. It seems improbable.

"List" stresses the random and universal brutality towards the workers - the commandant taking pot shots at workers for no reason, and so on. Undoubtedly the German Army, like any large organisation anywhere in the world, contained a percentage of sadists and bullies.

Yet it seems unlikely that such misfits would have been allowed a free hand. A people as methodical as the Germans would fix schedules and quotas, as we know that they did. Random killings and beatings would be disruptive and interfere with vital production schedules. It is known that all the camps were regularly inspected both by the German authorities and by the Red Cross. We know, too, that Heinrich Himmler, in charge of all camps, ordered on 28th December 1942 "the death rate in the concentration camps must be lowered at all costs." As a result, on 30th September 1943 one Pohl, head of the Economic Administration Office, reported that the camp death rates had been reduced from 8.5% to 2.8% in June 1943.

## Commandant of Buchenwald shot

Regarding the allegations of habitual murder and torture, in 1943 the Commandant of Buchenwald Camp was arrested by the S.S. for mistreating prisoners. After trial by an S.S. judge he was shot. As regards gas chambers, Hardesty, a Jewish historian, in the foreword to his *"Nuremburg and Other War Crimes Tribunals"*, writes: "Eventually enough firsthand reports were brought forward by British and American observers . . . which established the complete fraudulence of the reports concerning alleged gas chambers in the various camps (there were eleven) in Germany."

Any attempt to show that the camps were not extermination centres is countered by photographs of piles of corpses found by liberating British and American forces, plus evidence of typhus and starvation. But by the time the camps were liberated the Allies had long had complete air control. They had bombed every bridge, crossing and almost every culvert in Germany. Road and rail transport was paralysed; food and medicines could not reach the camps. Not only the inmates but their guards were starving. This state of affairs is amply documented by surviving German records and reports to higher authority.

## No mention of gassing by Churchill

This is not to argue that the camps were havens of ease and luxury. They most certainly were not. But it does not follow that they were established deliberately to exterminate Jews, as we are given to believe was the case. Winston Churchill wrote a very detailed history of the war. He does not

mention death camps or gas chambers. Nor does the International Red Cross in its volumes of reports on German camps.

Dr. Charles Larsen was a leading American forensic pathologist; he led medical teams trying to pinpoint the causes of deaths in the camps. In 20 camps the teams investigated over 100 bodies. Interviewed by the *Wichita Eagle* newspaper in 1980 Dr. Larsen said: "What we've heard is that six million Jews were exterminated. Part of that is a hoax. I was the only forensic pathologist on duty in the entire European theatre of war. No case of death by poison gas was ever uncovered."

Concerning the figures of deaths, *Newsweek* magazine on 15th May 1989 reported that the figure of Jewish deaths at Auschwitz is about one million. This is confirmed by Yehuda Bauer, a leading "Holocaust scholar" in the *New York Times* of 12th November 1989 when he said: "The number of Jews murdered at Auschwitz is far fewer (sic) than commonly reported." This is confirmed by "famed Nazi-hunter Simon Wiesenthal", as it is almost obligatory to describe him, who said in *Books and Bookmen* in April 1975: "No gassing took place in any camps on German soil." Nor has anyone yet reported seeing the heaps of about 15,000 tons of ashes, which would have resulted from six million cremations.

The weight of all this testimony, much from Jewish sources, must be to cast some doubt on the version of history given in "List". How was the myth born? One explanation, perhaps the kindest, is that of the Jewish historian Gerald Reitlinger. He deals with the question of the accuracy of survivors' evidence in his book *The Final Solution*. He concludes that "a certain degree of reserve is necessary in handling all of this material . . . the Eastern European Jew is a natural rhetorician, speaking in flowery similes . . . who uses numbers as oratorical adjectives and whose very names are creations of fantasy."

Really? That explains everything, of course. Too, it makes those who gave evidence on oath about events in the camps sound as harmlessly imaginative as the authors of *The Chronicles of Narnia* or *The Lord of the Rings*. Yet before we chuckle too sympathetically at the rather endearing foibles of these Eastern Europeans, let us not quite forget that because of these "natural rhetoricians" with their "flowery similes", their "numbers as oratorical adjectives" and their "names creations of fantasy", men of whom some at least may have been innocent, were hanged in scores at Nuremburg.

(Mr. N. Hunt is a British correspondent with many years of practical administrative experience in Africa).

## JAPANESE GIANTS MOVE OFFSHORE

Burdened by an enormous debt structure, the Japanese are finding that they must change direction in their attempts to maintain their domestic economy. As we have pointed out previously, the Japanese birth rate has dropped progressively with the Japanese short of Japanese to man their vast industrial system. This has led to foreign workers being allowed into Japan. But Japan's industrial giants have decided that they can help solve their problems by moving their industries offshore, to other Asian nations like Taiwan, Malaysia and Thailand, where as yet there is a plentiful supply of cheap labour. When President Clinton signalled that American businessmen could start moving into Vietnam, American businessmen found that the Japanese were already established there.

Like the deluded Western nations, Japan has rushed to jump on the Chinese bandwagon, pouring increasing investment into Communist China. Few are asking where the drive to sustain domestic economies by increasing exports, is going to end. The end is greater international friction. However, at long last, a few voices are starting to warn that the much-publicised Asian boom is producing increasing environmental problems. The building of satellite cities, like the one outside Bangkok, merely delays ultimate disaster.

## JEWISH SUPPORT FOR DISCRIMINATION

A reading of the Jewish press, either in Australia or overseas, shows that Jewish leaders strongly favour discrimination in an attempt to prevent their children marrying non-Jews. The British *Jewish Chronicle* for example, reports on a Seminar at which Jewish parents discussed the question of how to cope with a situation where the Jewish son or daughter "marries out". The report says that one in three Jews were now marrying non-Jews. In Australia Zionist Jewish leader Isi Leibler has recently spoken out on the question, urging Jewish parents to make every effort to ensure that their children marry inside the Jewish community. Jewish parents have every right to try to encourage their children to discriminate in favour of their own kind. But the same Jewish leaders, who advocate discrimination as desirable for the long-term benefit of the Jewish community, strongly criticise Christians and others who also support various types of discrimination. Zionist Jewish leaders in Australia have been in the forefront of the campaign to foster multiculturalism.

---

## UNDERMINING THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION

Australia's largest employer group, The Australian Chamber of Commerce and Industry, has joined the growing ranks of those who are warning that the Federal government is misusing the External Affairs Powers of the Constitution to get around the limits on its powers as made clear in the Federal Constitution.

The ACCI states that "Most recently, the use by the Federal government of the International Labour Organisation conventions as the basis for amendments to the Industrial

Relations Act has permitted the Federal Government to take actions which the original framers of the Constitution clearly intended to prevent the Federal Government from taking."

When the Australian League of Rights first warned about the Fabian technique for subverting the Federal Constitution, it was charged with being "too extreme". But as on so many other issues, others have now joined with the League criticism. All international agreements should be subject to veto by the Senate.

# FALLEN LEAVES

by Neil McDonald

Daily, I sweep them up. Leaves dropped to the ground now at mercy of wind or water. They have had a brief life span until all energy is drained away.

Fallen leaves lie spiritless like far too many humans. The politics of life are created by only a few rollers and shakers. Most of us become spectators, apathetic, distracted - not prepared to challenge - being lured to silence like a fallen leaf.

The meek will inherit - not the earth, but chains and bondage.

Similar to a fallen leaf is a motorcar without fuel.

You - the reader of *On Target*, or *Intelligence Survey*, or *New Times* - have hands guided to the gear lever. Neutral gear at least holds precious ground - much preferable to reverse gear - going backwards.

An alert brain can think and gain direction to smoothly slip into first gear. Knowledge kept to one's self does not influence events. Spread the word - tell a friend, write a letter to your local newspaper, attend a meeting, join or form a group. When like minds communicate, the increment of association multiplies to produce a better result.

Active association and stimulating conversation polishes mental marbles and creates fluency.

Individual initiative can slay dragons.

You are cruising in second gear when regular contacts are spiced with voluntary effort.

Roll with the punches. Counter opposing views with a sharp counter attack. Take one hit and return two.

Top gear is comfort gliding.

The cream of Social Crediters organise meetings - city, country, interstate and overseas. They need and deserve support.

Media comment is a one-way street, organised to promote increasing centralist control - a policy of confusion luring fallen leaves along rapids and over the precipice.

But, the power of one can surprise. Create a ripple to roll and become a wave.

Our greatest inventors were solo dreamers. Persistence, patience and determination can overtake mistakes and obstacles.

More is in all of us. But, not if our alertness is sapped with the drugs of excessive sport and television.

Nothing is more dangerous than individual initiative.

Unlike fallen leaves waiting to be swept up and burnt, there is spark and hope in the few who grab the gear lever and start rolling.

Progress against the wind is possible; move from neutral into gear, then accelerate!

---

## THE UNDISCUSSED "MEGAHOLOCAUST"

" . . . We are officially taught that Nazi Germany represented 'absolute evil', when Soviet Communism had murdered at least five times as many people - nearly all of them Christian - even before Hitler began building concentration camps.

"One recent study, *Lethal Politics*, by R.J. Rummel, puts the total number of Soviet-slain people conservatively at nearly 62 million. If 6 million is a holocaust, what can we call 62 million? A Megaholocaust? The scale of that slaughter has never sunk in; Steven Spielberg hasn't made an epic about it; there is no Megaholocaust Museum on federal property to drive the lessons home to us.

"The reason the Megaholocaust isn't discussed is that it's part of something that is still going on: the war of the modern State against Christianity. If the separation of church and state were part of a general reduction of the state, it would be desirable. But when the state is taking over more and more of our lives, you can only conclude that the purpose of separationism is anti-Christian. Can we not read the signs of our times?

"We fail to ask the simplest questions about our own interests as Christians. Even now, World War II needs to be re-evaluated from the perspective of Christendom. It should be an everlasting shame to this country that our government, which had already burst its constitutional restraints under Roosevelt, should have befriended the global enemy of Christianity as it did....."

- Joseph Sobran in *"The Wanderer, U.S.A., April 28.*

---

## CLOUDS OVER SOUTH AFRICA

Nelson Mandela of South Africa is starting to be faced with the realities of finance-economics. He has his first major strike as African blacks demand the benefits of what they were led to believe would be flowing to them once the African National Congress was elected to office. At the same time the new government is faced with dwindling reserves of gold and foreign exchange with a consequent fall in the value of the rand. The eagerly awaited flood of foreign investments has failed to materialise. The Communist influence inside the government has, for ideological reasons, restrained the government from taking out foreign loans. But in the absence of such loans, it is doubtful if sufficient economic growth can be sustained to meet the rising demands of the blacks. The hated whites were able to generate sufficient economic activity to make it possible for the standard of living of the black majority to be progressively increased.

More matured observers of the South African situation are asking if Nelson Mandela can now serve the interests of the internationalists who brought him into office. It is certain that more violence awaits South Africa.