

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free " - John 8:31.

VOL. 59, No. 4.

Registered by Australia Post -Publication PP481667 100259

APRIL 1995.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

HOLDING HIGH THE TORCH OF TRUTH THROUGH ANOTHER DARK AGE

by Eric D. Butler

One of the early Social Credit writers observed that for the first time in recorded history not only had the basic cause of a disintegrating civilisation been pinpointed, but a policy had been outlined which would not only halt the disintegration but would also result in a new Golden Age. The law and order provided by the Romans, themselves drawing heavily on the rich legacy of the Greek civilisation, provided the environment in which Christianity flourished. While a number of historians have outlined the social and economic disintegration of Rome's long decline, this making it imperative that the Roman legions be brought home, relatively few have drawn attention to the basic debt, high taxation and inflation problems which, as C.H. Douglas pointed out, resulted in bureaucratic centralism. The end result was a long Dark Age.

The barbarians moved through the ruins

Contrary to the traditional Marxist view that all progress takes place through the dialectical clash of opposites; genuine progress requires stability and organic growth with the maximum opportunity for individual development. Whatever faults the old British Empire had, and there were many, the worldwide civilising programme of the British was only possible because of Great Britain's worldwide naval and military supremacy. As pointed out by the late Professor Revilo Oliver, professor of classics at the Urban University, Illinois, USA, Christianity was only brought to many parts of the world because the missionaries had the protection of military power. The famous Christian missionary, David Livingstone, graphically described the central Africa he first visited as a "charnel house". The first essential for preaching Christianity was to stop the killing. As witnessed by the latest outbreak of frenzied tribal killings in Central Africa, the premature withdrawal of European-imposed law and order has been a return to charnel house conditions, made worse by the introduction of modern weapons.

The Indian experience

With relatively few troops and officials, the British maintained law and order over the vast sub-continent of India, eliminating the brutal practice of *suttee* and other evils, while successfully establishing a network of railways and irrigation schemes. The abrupt departure of the British after the Second World War, the result of international pressure, resulted in the worst communal violence in history, with probably two million dead or wounded in the Moslem-Hindu bloodbath. A former Indian Communist attending a world anti-Communist conference in Washington, astonished the Americans present by

OUR POLICY

To promote service to the Christian revelation of God, loyalty to the Australian Constitutional Monarchy, and maximum co-operation between subjects of the Crown Commonwealth of Nations.

To defend the free Society and its institutions - private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, either described as public or private.

To encourage all electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

stating that there was a widespread desire among many Indians that the British Raj return. Large numbers missed the law and order, the protection against the rapacious moneylenders, which the British provided.

In a number of his writings, C.H. Douglas pointed out that the British Empire had disintegrated primarily because of an international bankers' policy, which sought the destruction, not only of an Empire, but of a British culture which was a major barrier to the expansion of a new type of Empire, one of international finance. One of the major instruments of a soulless international financial imperialism is the International Monetary Fund, spawned during the Second World War, one of the main architects being the top Marxist agent in the American administration, Harry Dexter White. The more mature observers of international affairs were not surprised when Communist movements everywhere were urged to support the Bretton Woods Agreement, at which the IMF was born. Supporters of centralised power often have different labels, but reflect the same philosophy. But all policies rooted in an unrealistic philosophy, or concept of reality, inevitably result in disasters. The plight of the world today is a striking testimony to the relationship of unrealistic philosophies to disastrous policies.

The tragedy of Yugoslavia

Consider the plight of what was Yugoslavia. Tensions between the different religious and ethnic groups making up the federation were relatively contained under the rule of Communist leader Tito. Tito had managed to obtain economic assistance from the West, presenting himself as a "moderate" Communist. Even after his death Yugoslavia continued to operate without major disasters. But with the break up of the Communist Empire, the western governments offered assistance for "reconstructing" the Marxist dominated economies. But there was a price: acceptance of the policies of the International Monetary Fund. The general theme was that all the Marxist economies had to be given "shock therapy" to shift them to what is euphemistically termed the "market economy". The results have been catastrophic wherever the IMF "medicine" has been administered. The old tensions exploded in Yugoslavia, with the different ethnic groups now tearing themselves and their country to pieces. It can be predicted with certainty that the destruction will continue so long as the IMF policies of "shock therapy" are persevered with. United Nations forces are doomed to failure.

The former Soviet Union is experiencing the same violent disintegration as Yugoslavia. More loans and "shock therapies" will not prevent the growing breakdown of ordered society throughout the former Communist nations, with desperate people in Poland and elsewhere voting Marxists back into power. These Communists are now known as Social Democrats. Mexico is moving towards open revolution as the IMF's policies destroy large sections of the rural community. The world is not threatened with the establishment of a New World Order, which can work; it is faced with growing social and economic disintegration at a time when Christian leadership is failing to provide the type of guidance required. It is dangerously futile to lecture people on the need for "change of heart" when inhuman policies make this practically impossible in a growing number of cases.

The role of the Social Crediter

In *The Monopoly of Social Credit* C.H. Douglas provides a

chart showing the close relationship between financial depressions and suicide. But, as he points out, statistics concerning suicides do not measure the years of suffering before a break down takes place. There is no doubt that financial hardships are one of the major causes of many marriage break ups. Those who insist upon trying to establish multicultural societies are creating the groundwork for future explosions. Even in a traditionally tolerant nation like Australia, the growing resentment against the manipulation of a small Aboriginal minority is an indication of what can happen if Australia persists in attempting to pursue the type of policies which are producing violence and destruction elsewhere.

The whole world, including western civilisation, of which Australia is a part, is now moving through the Dark Age, which Douglas predicted. The role of the Social Crediter is of special importance during this period in the human drama. Quality, not quantity, is of the greatest importance, ensuring that the torch of truth is held high to illuminate the road, which must be followed towards regeneration.

Myth Of Sexual Equality

A study at the Yale University of Medicine has demonstrated what people of commonsense have always known: that men and women are not only biologically different, but think differently. The principal author of the Yale study, Dr. Shacky Shaywitz, is a behavioural scientist. She says that the study demonstrates that the brains of men and women function differently. There is no suggestion that the brains of one sex are better than the other. Psychologists have known for some time that women are able to perform some mental functions better than men while men out perform women in other functions. There are marked differences between the sexes in reading activities. Dr. Shaywitz's study shows that while there is no biological reason why men and women should have developed different brain functions for this activity, this is in fact the case. But the believers of the equality dogma will attempt to hold fast to unrealistic philosophies.

The New International Bureaucracy

With the creation of an increasing number of international organisations since the end of the Second World War, there has been a proliferation of an army of international bureaucrats. Many of these have little or no loyalty to the countries in which they were born and grew up. Like media mogul Rupert Murdoch, they see themselves as "citizens of the world". An interesting example of this new class is President Clinton's recently appointed ambassador to Israel Mr. Martin Indyk. Indyk is one of the numerous Zionist Jews holding key positions in the Clinton Administration. Indyk came to Australia from England as a young child. Indyk taught at the Macquarie University in Sydney and later became a senior intelligence analyst for the Fraser government in the Office of National Assessment. Later he moved to Washington where he founded the Washington Institute for Near East Policy. Over the past two years he has played a major role in President Clinton's Middle East peace strategy. A study of the Zionist Jewish press indicated that Indyk is highly regarded in international Zionist circles.

THE REAL MEANING OF A BALANCED BUDGET

The USA Congress has been discussing the question of whether it is possible to make it a constitutional requirement that all future administrations introduce balanced budgets. As C.H. Douglas pointed out in *The Monopoly of Credit*, "Like so many other of the axioms of finance, the proposition seems on the face of it to be incontrovertible." In essence, the proposal is that all government expenditure must be recovered from the individuals in the country by means of taxation.

While it is obviously true that in the case of an individual, continuing to spend more than is received is a recipe for ultimate disaster, this is not so with government. In order to grasp the reality of the proposal that governments should operate with balanced budgets, it is essential to have a firm understanding that all money comes into existence as a debt to the banking system, which creates it. Most business organisations operate on bank overdrafts, created and loaned to them by the banks at interest. The wages paid to individuals employed by these organisations are financial costs, which must be recovered by their employers through prices. These prices must include government taxation on any profits they might make. But wages are also taxed by governments. A study of official figures shows that just as a business organisation must include interest charges in its total costs, so a big part of government taxation goes to service government debt.

As demonstrated by Douglas, modern industrial systems can only be sustained by continuous expansion, this requiring continuous expansion of debt. Any attempt to impose a balanced budget under conventional finance-economic rules can only result in greater disaster for the individual.

Commenting on this question in *The Monopoly of Credit*, Douglas said that "every modern community, so far as physical facts are concerned, is becoming richer year by year, and this increase of riches could be greatly accelerated, a fact which is indicated by a large unemployed population, and a manufacturing system with a capacity which, although already greatly in excess of present possibilities of sale, is daily being improved. It is equally obvious that so long as this demand for a balanced budget is admitted, there can be no economic security, since it involves continuous application to the financial authorities for permission to live."

Douglas's comment was made in 1931. Events since then have confirmed what he said. When Federal Treasurer Paul Keating boasted of how he had eliminated the federal deficit, while at the same time the nation's foreign debt was escalating, under conventional finance-economic rules there is no way in which a major collapse of the economy can be avoided without an expansion of debt. Any attempt by the USA to operate a balanced federal budget, with the government attempting to finance its activities out of taxation revenue, would prove a major disaster. An Australian Liberal-National Party coalition government would be faced with the same realities.

MANIPULATING THE MONARCHY ISSUE

Media reports state that the Federal government appears certain to recommend a President chosen by Parliament when it reveals its preferred model for an Australian republic. While visiting Germany, Prime Minister Paul Keating publicly endorsed the German version of a type of Republic, which Australia might emulate. In many ways Germany today is more totalitarian than it was under Hitler. For example, only one version of history is permitted, those expressing a difference with the official version concerning the Second World War liable to be prosecuted and sent to prison.

While in Berlin Keating again displayed his anti-British feelings, telling a meeting of German political leaders that moves towards an Australian Republic were part of "a tide of national renewal. Economic change and our integration into the regional and global economy also has consequences for our identity . . . We can't succeed without the world knowing who we are and much as we like to acknowledge the British and the institutions we have inherited, we are not British now."

One of the institutions, which Australia inherited from Great Britain, was a system of government in which the central feature was the institution of constitutional monarchy.

Enrol now for Social Dynamics Seminar

It has become increasingly obvious that it is going to be primarily quality, not quantity, which will carry the day in favour of our cause. All those playing a key role in what is an on-going battle, have come through the League's in-depth training programme, of which The Social Dynamics Seminar is a major feature. This Seminar has been refined and updated over the years. With the professional video presentation of the Seminar it is now possible to ensure that its presentation can be decentralised. Those serious about equipping themselves to provide real leadership must be prepared to allocate at least six hours of their time, and to invest the sum of \$50. This fee is essential for the repayment of the money invested in the video programme, and to assist with further improvements. A reduction is available for married couples while reductions can also be arranged for group bookings - such as Church groups, etc. The 1995 Social Dynamics programme is already under way, but it is essential that all those desirous of doing the Seminar send their names to League addresses in each State. They will then be notified of when and where the next Seminar in their area is to be held.

BASIC FUND SUCCESS

With some late-dedicated support, the League's 1994-95 Basic Fund reached the target of \$50,000 just as we were going to press. In view of the current economic situation, which has taken its toll of some of our best financial supporters, it was a struggle to reach the target but it has been done. The League of Rights thanks all those who have made the success possible. The practical support of our readers has been an inspiration to those who continue to man "the front line" in the battle to maintain a free Australia. Late donations to the Basic Fund will be accepted, these allocated to next year's fund. All donations to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

PRINCE OF WALES BIOGRAPHY GIVEN SILENT TREATMENT

One of the most revealing aspects of the publication of Jonathan Dimbleby's biography of Prince Charles is how the biography was treated by the media before publication and after. Before publication the headlines screamed that Prince Charles had said that he had never loved Princess Diana when he married her and that he had only married her because ordered to do so by his father, the Duke of Edinburgh, and much more. Dimbleby complained that these and similar allegations were blatant lies and that a reading of his biography would provide no evidence to support these charges. Dimbleby said he had come to the conclusion that Prince Charles was a decent and honourable man, and that he would make a good monarch. He also made the observation that it was obvious that the mass media was going to continue crucifying him and eventually kill him. Prince Charles had no alternative but to go public with his television programme with Dimbleby, the prelude to the biography. The public response to the TV programme had been overwhelmingly pro-Charles. This result was not publicised by the media.

Dimbleby's biography of Prince Charles, a man who is one of the world's most outstanding figures, who clearly understands that he holds the key to the future of constitutional monarchy, and that of the British family of nations, is such an important work that it can only be regarded as highly significant that it has generally been given what can only be called the silent treatment. Not so long ago a grubby autobiography, *I was a Teenage Fascist*, by a former National Socialist, David Greason, was given maximum publicity by the Australian mass media. Well-known bookshops had massive displays of the book. But no such treatment was given to the Dimbleby work, beautifully presented and tastefully written. There is no attempt to apportion blame in the Charles-Diana tragedy, but merely a sympathetic outline of a situation, which was beyond Prince Charles' capacity to handle. There were three major problems: Princess Diana's lack of background to fulfill what was required of the wife of the heir to the throne, the lack of common interests between Prince Charles and Princess Diana, and, perhaps most important, Princess Diana's deep-seated health and psychological problems. Clearly Prince Charles felt frustrated by his inability to do what he felt should be done to make his marriage work. His own temperament and the pressure of his public duties were major problems he could not overcome. It is clear that he was bewildered by his wife's behaviour.

As Prince Charles says, he felt he was being swept along in a type of Greek tragedy over which he had little control. There is little doubt that Prince Charles became deeply concerned about both his wife's problems and how any collapse of his marriage would affect the monarchy. Any prospect of working through his problems were thwarted by a mass media openly determined to destroy him and the institution of constitutional monarchy. The publication of Andrew Morton's book, *Diana: Her Story* was, however, for Prince Charles "a humiliation of almost unendurable proportions." It is probably true that, too late, Princess Diana realised that she had been exploited.

Prince Charles has barely managed to hide his contempt for the mass media, pointing out on one occasion that he was damned if he spoke to the media, almost certain to misquote

him, or if he failed to be interviewed, the media would deliberately concoct a story.

The most important question about Prince Charles is not whether he failed to make an extremely difficult marriage work, but what are his qualifications to serve as the Constitutional Monarch for the United Kingdom, Canada, New Zealand, Australia and several other countries, and as head of a Commonwealth of Nations, which encircles the globe. Jonathan Dimbleby's biography provides a fascinating and inspiring picture of a man whose active interests cover a multitude of subjects. He has deliberately set out to stimulate discussion on questions like education, architecture, literature and, as he terms it, "complementary medicine".

In this biography Prince Charles emerges as a deeply sensitive and religious man, one constantly seeking for the deeper meaning of life. Prince Charles is quoted as saying; "I always feel that unless I rush about doing things and trying to help furiously . . . I will be seen as a mere playboy, and the monarchy will be seen as irrelevant". Prince Charles says he is no good at being a "performing monkey". He might have added, "like so many party politicians"!

Although a traditionalist, Prince Charles is shown to be a man who is prepared to work for any changes, which will make the monarchy more relevant. The biography sheds light on many important historical events and makes most gripping reading. Appendix 11 of the book provides a classic example of the blatant lying of the media; a story in the *Daily Express* headed Charles' Rift With the Queen. The so-called evidence of the story is exposed as a "media myth". It is not surprising that having manufactured lies about the biography before it was published, those responsible for the lying have tried to ignore the work when published.

Containing a number of excellent photographs, a good index and adequate explanatory notes, this work of over 600 pages should be on the bookshelves of every student of constitutional monarchy.

\$40 from the Australian Heritage Society.

SOCIAL CREDIT TRAINING COURSE

Those who find it extremely difficult to attend a Social Dynamics Seminar are urged to avail themselves of the eight-lecture Social Credit Training Course, this done by correspondence under a qualified Social Credit Tutor. Those undertaking this course are required to provide written answers to questions on each lecture. The tutor will comment on the answers and make suggestions concerning further reading. Those living in remote areas are urged to make use of this correspondence course. There is a \$20 fee, this to cover lecture notes and postage. All enquiries to the Director, Social Credit Training Course, Box 1052J, G.P.O. Melbourne 3001.

CANADIAN JEWISH CONGRESS CHARGES VETERAN CANADIAN JOURNALIST

Australians are being told that they have nothing to fear from the government's Race Hatred legislation, which might still be defeated in the Senate. One of the strongest opponents of the legislation, West Australian Labor backbencher Graeme Campbell, said in the Federal parliament, *"It is an absolute disgrace that it has been brought before the parliament and it will be an infamous day in our political history if it is passed. This is one of the most draconian pieces of legislation ever brought before the parliament in peace time."*

Australians might well heed what has happened in Canada, where a number of Canadians have suffered as a result of being politically incorrect. The latest victim is British born Canadian journalist Doug Collins, well known critic of multiculturalism. The British Columbian Human Rights Council is to hear a complaint against Collins by the Canadian Jewish Congress, which charges that a column written by Collins on the movie film, *Schindler's List*, violated the Human Rights Act by exposing the Jewish community to hatred or contempt.

Doug Collins says he is looking forward to appearing before the Human Rights Commission *"because it will give me a good opportunity to strike a blow for freedom of the press."*

In spite of the fact that Collins served against Hitler's Germany during the Second World War, and was taken prisoner, he has sometimes been charged with being a "neo-Nazi". Collins is one of the old time types of journalist, who sought the truth, this sometimes leading to them changing their views. Initially critical of British historian David Irving, Collins showed his professionalism by changing his views after making himself familiar with what Irving was saying and had written.

A robust speaker, Collins has been in increasing demand throughout North America. The following is a recent sample of what Collins describes as "Confessions of a Modern Heretic":

"My main heresy is 'the holocaust'. I do not believe the six million figure, although I used to. Nor do I believe in the gas chamber stuff. How can I know about such things when the Popes of the New Establishment know otherwise? I don't 'know' anything, but have read the revisionist literature and come to certain conclusions. My media critics, on the other hand, have not read any of it. . . They just go on repeating the Orthodox Version. And that's safe. Discussion is not on. Discussion is 'denial' and therefore heresy. Don't they get the truth daily on TV?"

*"The literature is impressive. It includes Professor Butz's *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, the writings of Professor Robert Faurisson on France, those of former French resistance leader and concentration camp inmate Paul Rassinier, and judge Wilhelm Staeblich's *Auschwitz*.*

"Plus the work of arch heretic David Irving."

Doug Collins is right when he says that the main purpose of all anti-free speech legislation is to frighten people. Even those who make it clear that the League of Rights is a major target of the anti-free speech forces do not believe some of the nonsense used in the anti-League campaigns. The primary purpose is to intimidate people against associating with the League.

THE IMPORTANCE OF A COMMON HERITAGE AND CULTURE

The following is an extract from a recent letter by Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs of North Wales, United Kingdom.

"About the Monarchy and immigration - they are aspects of the same thing, inheritance, and its denial. It seems to me that as social creditors we should be constantly stressing this one point . . . There is no stability or contentment in a nation or a people without a large measure of common inheritance and culture, even though there must always be change naturally occurring as in all living processes. It is a question of healthy moderation and balance. A hereditary Sovereign supported by a hereditary aristocracy is the natural Figurehead and Symbol of such a people, and their wealth, inherited through generations symbolises and should remind us of the wealth inherited by all of us, of which we are being deprived by the debt and Tax Monopoly. A true nation is a hereditary institution. Anything else is tyranny.

In contrast, our opponents' ideal is a 'mass' of people as mixed as possible, with no common culture or inheritance except that imposed from day to day by the mass media, rule through a bureaucracy by remote and inaccessible money - controllers, deeply envious of all inherited wealth - indeed of all wealth not allotted to them as the hired underlings of their paymasters. Thus they are induced to deny their modest share in the quite obvious multiplication of wealth brought about by their technological inheritance, and to allow it to be misused and wasted on a vast scale - which is where the Green Movement, and its perversion, comes in. Also attention is successfully diverted from those who 'create the means of payment out of nothing'. This is all denial and destructive . . .

By now, I think, all mature social creditors must have come to the conclusion that this civilisation, as far as we see, is too corrupt to survive, short of a miracle; and our job is sowing the seed for the next. The growth and fruiting of that seed is not in our hands but those of the Creator, as is the success or failure of our efforts to defend our heritage, e.g. the Monarchy. Winning the numbers game is not our objective. If we lose it those efforts will not be wasted. It could well be that after experience of a republic the monarchy would be restored. In Britain it is too strongly based to get rid of it. The aim is to undermine its powers and deride it into a nonentity. We can but do our best with our eyes upon the goodness of reality knowing that it must win in the end."

Geoffrey Dobbs concludes his letter by saying that his infirmity, primarily the result of a bad fall and a hip replacement; and a failure to make any muscle, results in having to use two sticks for any walking. He badly misses the rural walks, which used to help him and his wife, Elizabeth, to return to sanity. And he finishes with the following inspiring comment: *"But the reality still is that I have the gift of life, and though I can do little with it, I can do something."*

WANTED: MEN OF COURAGE TO TELL THE TRUTH EQUALITARIAN DOGMA EXPOSED

by Carleton Putnam, Author of "Race and Reason" and "Race and Reality"

In his best seller "*Race and Reality*," Carleton Putnam throws revealing light on how the erosion of the national psyche in the USA began, with the equalitarian dogma.

In the following excerpt, he calls on men of courage and honour to stand up and tell the truth about this scientific fraud.

Australia can recognise the same process of erosion already far advanced in their own country. *Editor.*

WHERE had the courage gone that was needed to tell the truth? What had destroyed it? Where was the tone that had bred the courage in years gone by?

Looking frankly at Britain and the United States, one beheld a strange situation. One saw an Anglo-American majority battered and divided, guilt-ridden and bemused by the equalitarian dogma.

One observed a mass media saturating the public with a scientific fraud, promoted by a hierarchy whose aim was not the search for truth but political propaganda.

One found ignorant political and judicial leaders, with mediocre minds and little moral stamina, drawn from a society whose human resources had been emasculated by two generations of compromise and appeasement.

These were some of the results of the destruction. But they were not the cause. Nor could the cause lie in the humanitarian impulse itself.

The drive for social justice and the awakening of sympathy for the unfortunate were both good. Where the train ran off the track was at the equalitarian switch - flashing false signals and manipulated by envy.

If there existed one crime more contemptible than any other, **it was the use of good to promote evil.**

On this siding, other trains had been destroyed. Would this one, too?

Leftward Overdrift

But still the question was not on point. Not proximate causes, but the root cause alone could provide the key I sought.

In the closing pages of "*Race and Reason*", I had spoken of the leftward overdrift of the West, as justifying to my mind a term used by Ortega y Gasset - "**the sovereignty of the unqualified**".

I had also quoted from Lord Tweedsmuir: "*The gutters have exuded a poison that bids fair to infect the world. The beggar on horse-back rides more roughshod over the helpless than the cavalier*".

This was strong language, perhaps too strong, but certainly with the trend toward sympathy with failure - toward encouragement of the underdog - had grown a tendency to disparage the superior and to fawn upon the inferior.

It was not a pretty sight, this picture of the top abasing itself before the bottom, and it meant rapid deterioration at the top. The good lost respect for goodness, and the bad lost respect for it too.

Capacity for leadership dwindled, tolerance of evil increased. Was there not here cause enough for the emasculation of the values, which had made the flowering of Western civilisation possible?

In other words, did not the equalitarian dogma, like a rank growth, contain within itself not only the seeds of its own proliferation, but of general collapse as well?

Was this not the point where the erosion of the individual and national psyche began?

Values Degenerate

Let man be told incessantly that everything he and his forefathers had achieved was largely a matter of chance; that the poverty and backward condition of other individuals and races was also largely a question of luck - in fact perhaps even the fault of himself and his forefathers; that his standards of morals, fiscal responsibility and personal integrity were no better than anyone else's; that his civilisation was mostly happenstance and really nothing much to be proud of; that since all humanity were innately equal, all actual differences must be due to the other man's misfortune and his own four-leaf clovers - let a man hear these things often enough and his values were bound to change.

And the change must soon diffuse itself through the family, the community and the nation. The child must begin to sense it in the parent, the criminal in the court, the citizen in his leaders.

How seldom one heard the word "*distinguished*" today! How seldom one dared to speak of a man as "*discriminating*"!

In other words, in condemning the concept of inferiority, our society necessarily had had to destroy the concept of superiority, for one could not exist without the other.

Death of Respect

With this destruction had come the death of respect for authority, of pride in the achievements of the past, of reverence for tradition, of the wisdom to honour the heritage of one's family, one's race and one's country.

Also had come the death of that quality in superior men, which sprang from confidence, not only in their own personal excellence, but in that of their kind and their race.

This was the most serious loss of all, for it was an electric quality that had once communicated itself, with conviction, to others.

With it has passed the genius of true leadership, the power to lead up the hill instead of down, to get a nation 'moving again' morally as well as economically. It was in fact the quality that had given men the courage to tell the truth.

And thus one was back in the circle, which was just what the hardcore leftist and the communist counted on, and which the bemused humanitarian and the castrated conservative, obligingly tread like a squirrel in a cage. A vicious circle indeed, with just one point where it might be broken - **by an attack on the lie.**

Hard but clear

So this was the challenge, two generations of false indoctrination to be overcome, a society saturated in a debilitating fallacy to be cleansed.

No doubt in due course, the Supreme Court would forbid the singing of Auld Land Syne on New Year's Eve, which would be consistent enough, and would spare us even the memory of those who had given us all that we had.

If ever there was a goose whose golden eggs were being stolen and which was now in the process of being killed, we were it.

Not many years remained to correct the fallacy. The successors to the last two generations were in the nursery at this very minute, and in the schools.

Luckily life renewed itself in the family and in the race.

There might yet be enough of the genes for honour left in the human fabric to respond to the truth in a new generation, provided it too were not emasculated.

A hard task, but a clear one. The sword of truth in the vital area of race could cut the ground from under both socialism and communism at one stroke, if it were used.

Let it be placed in the hands of honourable men wherever possible, not to injure the defenceless, but to restore the strong.

NO LIBERAL is completely worthless. He can always serve as a horrible example to others.

A CULTURAL CIVIL WAR

C.H. Douglas said that the basic cleavage in society was cultural, not economic. The changes necessary for the regeneration of a dying civilisation are neither going to be initiated nor supported by members of the new rich, whose life style is generally one of blatant vulgarity.

We are indebted to Bishop Robert Crawley's quarterly, "The Rock" (available from 10989 Hilsea Crescent, R.R. 4 Ladysmith, B.C.C., Canada, V.O.R. 2 EO, for the following article by Link Byfield in the August 8 of two Western Canadian journals, "B.C. Report" and "Alberta Report". The article was a brilliant and inspiring commentary, on the coming 25th anniversary of the worldwide-publicised rock concert at Woodstock, New York, USA, There is hope for Western Christendom when there are some magazines, which can produce such articles. Bishop Crawley was guest of honour at the 1992 "New Times" Dinner:

An advance news story on the 25th Woodstock reunion later this month really says it all. To commemorate the famous 1969 rock concert in the rain - three spontaneous days of peace, love, music, pneumonia, drug overdoses, sex in the mud and littering - New York promoters are staging a repeat performance. However, this time there will be no tribes of barefoot youngsters drifting in with a glazed smile and no ticket. This time there will be 1,000 private guards inside a secured compound, a small army of state troopers on ATVs and horses scouring the hills for trespassers, and a fleet of tow-trucks to haul away vehicles parked without authorisation. All the 250,000 fortyish and fiftyish "beautiful people" who can afford the pre-purchased package will be shuttled in and out by charter bus.

So much for "All you need is love". I sometimes ponder how and why my generation turned into such feckless phonies. Our hypocrisies and stupidities were legion. We celebrated liberty while smashing the foundation of self-restraint upon which liberty is built. We professed peace while encouraging a barbaric enemy bent upon our conquest. We professed to respect women as equals while bullying them out of the modesty upon which they depend for dignity. We upheld the brotherhood of man while opening up an abortion slaughter that has reddened the sewers. We professed to reject materialism and industrialism while we consumed more than any generation in history.

If all this were behind us, it would hardly matter. Unfortunately, however, the legacy is still very much alive. It is why we can never balance a government budget, why we are captive to a psychology of selfism, grievance and victimhood, why our children are culturally illiterate, why our families so often fall apart into poverty, why crime and distrust are rampant across the land, why our massive state services apparatus doesn't work, and why Asia is rapidly becoming our economic master.

The '60s are sometimes described as a period when religion was rejected. I disagree. That seems to have occurred much earlier. What set the '60s decade apart was its rejection

of reason. We '60s kids wanted sensation and emotion, and like Grace Slick of *Jefferson Airplane*, we held "logic and proportion" in contempt.

Though most of us erstwhile revolutionaries soon got over our delusions, the true believers in anti-industrialists, the doctrinaire pacifists and romantic primitivists, the sexual subversives and perversives, the egalitarian socialists - all these ended up in positions of authority and influence. These establishment types are the same folk who are heading back to Woodstock, to relive in sanitised form yesteryear's happy, heady anarchism while they are safely ensconced in their compound, secure from the less cheerful anarchy they have unleashed outside and are determined to ignore.

Yet numerous as these people are, I think they are vastly and increasingly outnumbered by others, young and old, who despise everything the '60s stood for. For the simple fact is, we have run out of money, and '60s enthusiasms are nothing if not expensive.

What we are discovering instead is the necessity, reality and rationality of virtue. More precisely, we are rediscovering the four natural virtues of courage, justice, wisdom and moderation and especially the first two. These stand in stark reproach to the irresponsible selfism of the revolution. We see them being invoked again, in the demand for punishment of criminals, in the clamour for lower taxes, fewer "rights" and less government security, in the growing resistance to pornography and homosexuality and in the determination of parents to regain control of schools.

To '60s people, all these ideas are incomprehensibly harsh and don't feel virtuous at all. That is because the revolutionaries cling to a sentimentalized, secularised Walt Disney version of the higher religious virtues of faith, hope and charity. But these three transcendent virtues are not natural in men and can't flourish without reason and religious grace, which the revolutionaries have expunged from the culture. So the population reverts to the harsh, easily recognised virtues of courage, shrewdness and justice, without which no nation can long survive. This is why we are today in a state resembling cultural civil war.

A Christian Leader Writes to John Howard

Edward Rock, chairman of the Christian Alternative Movement, sent the following letter to Mr. John Howard on January 27, 1995:

Dear Mr. Howard,

While all the hype and euphoria is at its height about the outcome of making you leader of the Liberal Party I want to put on record why I believe your elevation to that position of power will not result in the necessary changes of policy to restore confidence in the Liberal Party and will be just as disastrous as those who went before you.

I have been writing to you for at least 14 years and probably longer. The earliest letter in my files is dated 16 August 1981 when I wrote protesting the horrifying social consequences of your action as the Treasurer in raising interest rates by 1%. My theme through all those years is that unless the Liberal Party embraces policies incorporating Christian principles based upon decentralised power, which alone will restore Australia's political, economic, and financial sovereignty the party will continue to self destruct. All through those years you enjoyed an influence, which could have put the party and Australia back on track. You could have enunciated policies which make both government and money the servant of the people restoring to us the political, economic and financial sovereignty which must be the basis for expanding the freedom and dignity of the people and the nation, and the basis on which Australia takes its place as a sovereign nation in its relationship with other nations.

Unless you are now prepared to reverse your position of past years and say to the Australian people, "I will only serve as leader of a party which is truly your servant. Without fear of opposing forces I will work to restore the sovereignty betrayed by not only the Hawke - Keating governments but previous Liberal governments. We now repeat our endorsement of the financial and political betrayal of Australia's sovereignty and will repay in full what we have destroyed." If you have the courage to make such a statement immediately there may be some hope. Without it there will be none.

I suggest you take a leaf out of the book of Graeme Campbell M.P. for Kalgoorlie and get a copy of his oration given in the New England University in honour of D.H. Drummond last year, in which he scathingly exposed the treachery of his own leader in selling out Australia's sovereignty to international interests not responsible to the Australian electorate. Ask yourself why is it Australia can produce men of integrity like Graeme Campbell and Ted Mack but the Liberal Party cannot produce one. Should not every Liberal Party MP have the same courage and integrity as these patriots?

If you achieve your lifetime ambition to reach the pinnacle of power in Australian politics only to lead Australia and the Liberal Party to further destruction what sort of a hollow victory is that? The formula for successful leadership is in those simple Christian principles of decentralised power. A servant politician is a servant of Christ and the people. He/she trusts the people and decentralises power so that the people control institutions and policies. A dictatorial politician like Keating seeks to impose policy does not trust the people and must pursue a course of centralised power. Which path will you pursue? My gut feeling is that the John Howard who endorsed the Hawke-Keating betrayal of Australia's political and

financial sovereignty inherent in the adoption of financial deregulation will find it very difficult to change. I know many good Australians are praying he will, and that he will have the courage to honestly say so, now, without prevarications, not weighing the reactions of the satanic forces surrounding him in the party itself and the media, but knowing he stands on firm and impregnable ground with superior weapons at his command.

Which is it to be? Victory or defeat? Your answer, honest or dishonest will tell me all. Your time of truth has arrived.

Yours sincerely, Edward Rock.

Please use Post Office Box for mail

Will readers please use the League's box number - 1052J, G.P.O. Melbourne 3001 - for all mail, and not the League's Russell Street address, which has on occasions resulted in some mail going astray.

A TIMELY BOOK ON DISCRIMINATION

A recent publication, *Discriminate or Be Damned*, by John Fairbanks Kerr, is a most timely work, an injection of some old fashioned healthy common sense into the subject of discrimination. Every form of life discriminates in favour of itself, otherwise it does not survive.

The central theme of the author's work may be judged by the following in the Foreword: *"To deny us our right to make choices in our own way is to deny us existence as individuals. A robot cannot make a choice of the kind that human beings make . . . A robot has no soul.*

"It follows that to deprive us of any part of our right to make choices diminishes us as human beings to the extent of the restriction. Thus, to lose the right to make certain choices (or to choose according to certain criteria) is to lose a part of our capacity for self-determination - to lose a part of our true selves.

"This is what anti-discrimination law does.... "

Kerr warns *"If we cave in to the anti-discriminationists we shall simply have made an abject surrender of our being without getting anything back . . . if we are not going to suffer the emasculation of our personal integrity we must discriminate.*

"That is why I say - DISCRIMINATE OR BE DAMNED."

With the totalitarian collectivists pushing forward on all fronts, the widespread circulation of this book could act as a most effective counter offensive.

Order from all State League addresses: \$14 posted.