

# THE NEW TIMES

*"Ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free" - John 8:31.*

VOL. 59, No. 8.

Registered by Australia Post -Publication PP481667 100259

AUGUST 1995.

Australia and New Zealand Edition. Published in Melbourne and Auckland.

## LEAGUE OF RIGHTS MAJOR TARGET OF TOTALITARIANISM

*by Eric D. Butler*

Early in the history of the League of Rights, Zionist Jewish leader Mr. Isi Leibler claimed that the Australian League of Rights had to be driven from the Australian political scene. When the true history of the League is written, it will reveal the consistent record of Leibler and other Zionist Jews in attempting to intimidate any political or business leaders they felt were sympathetic to the League. Attempts by the League, a Christian based movement, to defend itself against Zionist Jewish attacks are described as evidence of the League's alleged "anti-Semitism".

In recent weeks the Zionist-Jewish campaign reached a new level of intensity, allegedly because West Australian Labor M.P. Graeme Campbell had made a second appearance on a League of Rights platform, and had suggested in answer to a question at the Toowoomba Seminar that the best contribution Prime Minister Keating could make to Australia was to arrange a State funeral for himself. The state funeral suggestion was a "throw away" line, which no one, including Graeme Campbell, took seriously. The "throw away" line had actually been first used by Campbell on an ABC programme two years previously. But no one, not even Paul Keating, took the comment to be other than humorous. The media "beat up" started as a result of an article in the Zionist-Jewish journal, *The Australia-Israel Review*.

What followed was an orchestrated campaign of vilification of Graeme Campbell and the League of Rights. There was no reference to the *issues*, which Campbell addressed in his address at the Toowoomba seminar of the League. Campbell had been invited to present his Paper, not primarily because he was a Labor Member of the Federal Parliament, but because he has been the only member to examine critically the disastrous results of the "level playing field" economic philosophy, multiculturalism and mass non-European immigration. Mr. Dennis McCormack of Australians Against Further Immigration also spoke at the Toowoomba seminar. As the media has carefully studied the videotape of the Campbell and McCormack addresses at the Toowoomba seminar (\$30 posted from all League addresses), they stand self-condemned of blatant misrepresentation and censorship in their anti-Campbell smear.

### TOTALITARIAN VENOM

The totalitarian venom of the mass media was graphically demonstrated by an editorial in *The Age* of Wednesday, August 2. A paper which prides itself upon its

liberalism and support of free speech, demands that Graeme Campbell have his Labor pre-selection withdrawn, and concludes: *"What's more, all the mainstream political parties ought to make it clear to their MPs that they should not have any association with the League of Rights, an*

### OUR POLICY

To promote service to the Christian revelation of God, loyalty to the Australian Constitutional Monarchy, and maximum co-operation between subjects of the Crown Commonwealth of Nations.

To defend the free Society and its institutions - private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, either described as public or private.

To encourage all electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

organisation that is - and deserves to remain - well outside the mainstream of Australian politics." These views echo those of Isi Leibler and other Zionist Jewish leaders.

*The Age* editorial was followed three days later, on August 5, with a featured article by a senior *Age* journalist, Mr. Martin Daly, who had sought an interview with the writer, who stressed that because of *The Age's* record, he was reluctant to spend valuable time on what could prove a useless interview. A detailed analysis of an interview, which I requested be taped, must await a time when this whole affair can be dealt with in some detail. Suffice it to say now that the interview devoted little time to what the League was about and how it operated, 80 percent of the time being devoted to "anti-Semitism" and immigration.

The Daly article appeared in *The Age* of Saturday, August 5. Saturday's *Age* is the paper's most widely read edition. The Daly article concluded by quoting Mr. Victor Rebikoff, chairman of the Federation of Ethnic Communities Council of Australia, as saying that the League of Rights was "the major reason Australia needs racial vilification legislation." This further confirms the view that the League has always been the major target of the totalitarian racial vilification legislation.

The League is ready and equipped to meet the totalitarian threat, which is now out in the open. The mass media stands self exposed as a supporter of this totalitarianism. Australia not only has the three major political parties, Labor, Liberal and National; there is also what should be described as the Media Party, a party with an agenda it never submits to the judgment of the Australian people. As C.H. Douglas has said, the mass media is like the prostitute throughout the ages, using power without responsibility.

### **CORRUPTION AT THE TOP**

As the writer said in his interview with Martin Daly, the League does not need the mass media to advance its cause. It is a genuine grass roots movement, which believes the future of what is left of western Christian civilisation, depends primarily upon regeneration, starting with individuals and working through decentralised groups. Events confirm the truth of the great Alexander Solzhenitsyn's comment that the more centralised power becomes in a society, the greater the corruption at the top of that society. It is unrealistic to expect too much from those who have managed to establish themselves at the top of that society.

The mounting and increasingly frenzied campaign against the League of Rights, and the identity of those promoting this campaign, is striking confirmation of the Social Credit view that it reflects those truths which are feared by the totalitarians; that Social Credit offers the path to genuine freedom for the individual. Two thousand years ago Christ taught that He had come that the individual might enjoy the life more abundant, that He represented that Truth which could make men free. The end result was that the group was manipulated against the very person who offered them salvation. Clearly the mass media must be seen today as a major enemy of true freedom. The battle for true freedom can only be fought by those prepared to "nail their colours to the mast". The League of Rights has never claimed to have large numbers of members. Its

enemies know that the League threatens no take over of the State. Why then do they fear the League? Because they are aware that history is often shaped by a dedicated and inspired few.

---

## **PRINCE CHARLES VERSUS COMMON MARKET MADNESS**

Once again, Prince Charles has demonstrated that he is far from being politically correct. Clearly he is not impressed with the craze for uniformity, which grips the thousands of European bureaucrats. He is a most practical organic farmer, producing organic lamb, and biscuits made from organic wheat. The biscuits are sold in packets bearing the Duchy of Cornwall crest.

One of his first skirmishes with the Eurocrats was when he came out publicly defending raw milk cheese such as *brie de Meaux* and *bleu d'Auvergne*. He said he wanted to defend them against what he termed the "bacteriological police" of the E.E.C.

Prince Charles managed to save Britain's National fruit collection. As a result of his initiative 200 varieties of apples, 500 of pears and 20 of cherries have been saved. His latest scheme is to preserve endangered varieties of garden vegetables from extinction. In setting up a common catalogue of plant varieties in 1972, the Eurocrats eliminated 1500 varieties of 23 crops. The fine for selling a packet of seeds of a variety not on the EEC list is £2000. The result has been that many traditional vegetable varieties grown over centuries by home gardeners have been outlawed. Prince Charles has put himself in the forefront of those trying to preserve those varieties not yet destroyed.

In order to avoid clashing with the law, members of those small organisations devoted to preserving traditional varieties must "request" rather than order from the available catalogue of such varieties. No doubt Prince Charles finds all this quite hilarious. Authorities warn that by reducing the number of varieties of vegetables available, the narrowing of the genetic base is courting disaster. As one authority says, "There is great danger when we become dependent on fewer and fewer varieties. If all the plants you are growing are generally uniform, then if a disease is going to attack one, it's going to attack them all. It's like a burglar walking into a block of flats and finding that the one key he has stolen opens every lock in the block." This is what happened with the destructive Irish potato disaster of last century.

All resistance to centralisation and uniformity is a sign of health. Prince Charles deserves "full marks" for his contribution to preserving some health in the United Kingdom.

# 'BEWARE THE LEAVEN OF THE PHARISEES'

from *Christian Comment*.

In the Gospel context, Our Lord Jesus Christ warns his 'own Sheep' to expect the present worldwide highly orchestrated attack on his Name, and shows us how to avoid being 'deceived by the Antichrist' (those hostile to the name of Christ).

As the current orchestrated attacks on the Name of Jesus, especially in the Western media, become more blatantly obvious, Jewish apologists are reacting in various ways, naturally including Jews of respected status in what is essentially a Western Christian milieu (such as writers Sobran and Chomsky in the USA, and musician Menuhin in Europe).

From time to time public protestations are offered, in the way of publicised criticisms of the methods of Zionism, and, sometimes, of the Israeli state - at the same time, these apologists always evade any mention of the ultimate objective of Zionism; probably for the obvious reason that in the final analysis Zionism and Judaism are the synonymous and interchangeable expression of that secular Jewish nationalism identified and categorically rejected as such for all time by Jesus Christ throughout His earthly mission.

In the New Testament context Jesus Christ clearly identifies the Pharisees and Jews as being synonymous and interchangeable names (along with the scribes, priests etc. of the 'establishment' religions, as it was in general terms in those days), and Our Lord's identification is confirmed by modern Jewish scholars, perhaps never more frankly than in the two examples quoted below:

\* Historian Dr. Josef Kastein: *'Jewish life was regulated by the teachings of the Pharisees. . . . Pharisaism shaped the character of Judaism and the life and thought of the Jew for all the future.'*

\* Universal Jewish Encyclopedia (1943): *The Jewish religion as it is today traces its descent without a break, through all the centuries, from the Pharisees.'* And etc. etc.

Judaism has now captured and occupied the citadel of the Christian mystique of the Second Return (of Jesus Christ), and Jesus himself tells us clearly why it was necessary for him 'to speak first to the Jews' so that 'salvation' could 'come from the Jews', by other nations being enabled to see and understand the example of the disobedience and intransigence of Jewry who, having heard and rejected God's Word, would therefore continue in a state of 'sin, with no cloak to hide themselves' (etc., etc.).

In this way, salvation would be offered to the 'gentiles', a word meaning 'all nations' -hence, 'Galilee of the Gentiles', all those groups and individuals of the time of Jesus' earthly ministry who, while paying some lip-service to the Judaic 'establishment' religious and financial power of the day, were not themselves racially Jews, but only 'calling themselves Jews' in a citizenship

sense (as in various countries today, where all sorts of disparate race-groups can loosely be called 'British', 'Australian', etc., etc. by grant of 'citizenship' status.)

Hence also Jewish hostility to Jesus' invitation to follow Him, and 'come out' from Jewry and cease to be Jews - *"for there is no other Name by which men must be saved'*.

## A MUSICIAN PROTESTS OVERMUCH

An interesting commentary is provided by the recent 'protestations' of the distinguished Yehudi Menuhin at the Dresden wartime commemorations; Menuhin is one of many other (less-publicised) brilliant musical performers and interpreters of Western Christian music - so people pay attention when he publicly puts himself 'on the side of the angels' by condemning the methods of Zionism in the Middle East but not its ultimate objective which is that of a 'new world order' under the sway of Jewish nationalism centred in the Israeli state.

Describing himself as a 'humanitarian', Menuhin lines up with present world trends and at the same time evades the imperative call of Jesus Christ to 'come out' from Jewry and accept redemption away from a secular religion centred in the money-power. \* And, in refusing to conduct Bach's Mass in B Minor at Dresden, on the grounds that its Christian text is 'anti-Semitic', he uses a propaganda ploy to effect a sort of 'inter-faith' compromise (to which the Germans, presumably Christians, accede!)

No one seems to have asked the obvious question: What exactly are the words used in Bach's Christian Mass music, and are they any more 'anti-Semitic', so-called, than any number of the words of Jesus Christ? (as in just a few New Testament references, KJAVC, at *Matthew* 12:34; 23:13-15; and *John* 5:36, 38, 42, 45; 8:19, 39, 42, 44, 55; 9:41; 10:26 etc., not to mention *Mark*, *Luke*, *Acts* and many parts of the *Epistles*).

*When you therefore shall see the abomination of desolation stand in the holy place - whoso readeth, let him understand.'*

\* Among the rare examples of any real significance who either 'came out' or were 'cast out' (like Lazarus, who had already accepted redemption through Jesus anyway) were Spinoza (cast out), and in nearer times to ours Mendelssohn and Mahler ("came out"), and even more recently the two notable 'out-casts', the truthful Koestler and the courageous Lilienthal.

Conservatives have yet to give Koestler's book (which is not listed in such guides to literature as the Oxford, etc.), *The Thirteenth Tribe*, the study and analytical interpretation it deserves -especially Koestler's carefully evasive reference to the Gog-Magog antecedents of the Jews (Judaists) - the real reason for the 'sudden' easy conversion of the already powerful Khazars of the Black Sea kingdom of which he writes so entertainingly, and accurately. Malcolm Ross has picked this up, obliquely, at page 15 of his invaluable booklet *'Christianity versus Judeo-Christianity'*.

## THE ESSENCE OF SOCIAL CREDIT

From Witana Gemot, published by The Guild of  
St. George, Rose Cottage,  
17 Hadrian Grove, UK., L17 8 XH:

"There are in various parts of the world several so-called 'Social Credit' Parties, though they might just as well be called 'Liberal', 'Conservative' or 'Socialist' Parties, since the notion that Social Credit can be imposed by a 'majority' is a contradiction. 'Social' comes from the Latin *Socius*, a companion or association. 'Credit' comes from the Latin *Credo*, faith, confidence. So Social Credit is faith or confidence in association. At this level it is easy to see that *Credit*, confidence that everyone will abide by the social ethos, is the basis of any society, whether it be a cricket club or a State. No one disputes it, so why make an issue of it. Let us look at a more exact definition: '*Social Credit is the efficiency of human beings in association, measured in terms of human satisfaction.*' 'Efficiency' is used here in its exact meaning - power to produce the result intended; so our definition now runs: '*Social Credit is the power of human beings in association to produce the result intended measured in terms of human satisfaction.*' The last clause is important - note: not measured in terms of pig-iron produced, or Five Year Plans fulfilled, but of human satisfaction. It follows there is an opposite, a social Discredit which detracts from human satisfaction.

"Social Credit is not, strictly speaking, politics; but it is a policy; nor is it a religion, but it is *applied* religion, as engineering, for example, is *applied* science. As a policy it has been particularly associated with technical proposals for monetary reform. The proposals are not the essence of Social Credit but arise because we live in a monetary economy. As a matter of fact money is a good example of Social Credit - faith in association. If I board a bus and demand a journey, the driver will ask me to justify my demand. If I proffer him money, either paper or base metal, he will accept it and give me a ticket. If I have no money he will order me off. In the first instance my demand is effective and in the second ineffective."

## HI-JACKING THE CONSERVATION MOVEMENT

Long before concern about the environment became fashionable and trendy, C.H. Douglas had pointed out the philosophy undergirding the finance-economic system was resulting in built-in obsolescence with an enormous waste of natural resources. Many of the earlier conservationists were aware of the money question. Both Prince Charles and his father, the Duke of Edinburgh, are on record as having drawn attention to the debt and environmental problems.

But many of the new leaders of the conservation movement have seized upon effects to advocate more centralised control over the individual, avoiding basic causes. Waste of all kinds is inevitable under a policy of "full employment". No one disputes that the bigger the modern city ant heap becomes, the greater the environmental problems. The mushrooming big cities of the "tiger economies" of Asia, cities like Bangkok in Thailand, provide striking confirmation of this reality.

However, there are also gross exaggerations of some problems for naked political purposes. Even scientists can, and have, become the victims of mythology when promoted by the mass media. The mythologists promote the sweeping claim that there is universal acceptance of the view that mankind is threatened by global warming of catastrophic proportions. And yet in 1991 a poll revealed that only 19 percent of professional climatologists and meteorologists believed that any warming was the result of human activities.

When the distinguished physicist and astronomer, Dr. Robert Jastrow, who headed the American Goddard Institute of Space Studies for twenty years before becoming director of the George C. Marshall Institute, joined the group of respectable scientists who had expressed their scepticism about any global warming being the result of human activities, this received little or no publicity by the mass media. Dr. Jastrow credits solar activity with any warming that may be taking place. As even amateur astronomers know, solar energy is cyclical, both over the short range of decades and the longer range of centuries. Human activities had nothing to do with the change of climate following the Ice Age. All forms of life, including human beings, were subject to the changes.

---

## THE DAVID IRVING CASE

As we go to press the Federal appeal judge hearing David Irving's appeal against the Australian government's refusal to grant him a visa to enter Australia has reserved his judgment. It is anticipated that the judge's decision will not be handed down for some weeks. Irving's Australian publishing

representatives anticipate an early report from David Irving concerning his publishing programme. This consists of an update of his best seller on the destruction of Dresden, Goebbel's Diaries, and the second volume of *Churchill's War*.

# 'OPEN SECRETS' AND SIGNS OF THE TIMES

by Jay Evelyn, a Student of Comparative Religion and Biblical History and Ethnology

in April/May 1995 *Christian Comment*.

'You can read the face of the sky', said Jesus to his persecutors, 'but can you discern the signs of the times?'

Yet, again and again well-meaning conservative newsletter writers, some even from inside the various 'rightwing' groups, unwittingly mislead their readers simply by presenting a superfluity of 'facts' without the vital cohesion of a sufficiently thought-out interpretation and analysis.

Two important examples of misleading but factual information appear in the January 1995 issue of *The Aida Parker Newsletter*, produced by a well-known South African professional journalist of generally conservative reputation.

## JOE SLOVO'S 'OPEN SECRET'

*The APN* gives the life and background of Joe SLOVO, the recently-deceased Jewish revolutionary who for years was sympathetically acclaimed by the world's press as 'the ultimate foe of apartheid', and in three and a half pages of detailed 'critical expose', Ms. Parker yet manages to give a far from unsympathetic picture of a sort of combined 'communist-idealist cum folk-hero'. Doubtless this was not her real intention but simply the result of her long-term pro-Israel stance.

Ms. Parker does record one significant fact - hardly to be avoided - that Joe Slovo came to South Africa as a refugee, 'as a nine-year old Yiddish-speaking Lithuanian Jew' who, she goes on, 'seems to have had a wretched childhood' (poor boy, sob, sob.)

Towards the end of an interesting effusion full of real facts but *blurred by misleading emphases* and gossipy detail, comes a significant sentence to ponder: 'Obviously much more needs to be established,' says Ms. Parker, 'if we are ever going to get a full profile of the real Joe Slovo.'

In fact, *the truth about 'the real Joe Slovo'* needs only to be compressed into a few simple and straightforward words: Joe Slovo was from the beginning a Jewish nationalist revolutionary trained in the twin techniques of Communism and Zionism for 'the destruction of nations, with perplexity' - in his case the target was South Africa.

Jewish writers are far more outspoken about the inextricable working relationship of Communism and Zionism in the long-planned overthrow of white South Africa by a process of undeclared war, as has been frankly recorded by Israeli scholar Dr. Gideon Shimoni in his book *Jews and Zionism: the South African Experience 1910-1967*. And Dr. Shimoni gives a detailed account of what he describes as "the extraordinary salience of Jewish individuals' in every facet of the revolutionary struggle against South Africa.

## 'IN THE NAME OF JESUS CHRIST'

Nothing better suits the furthering of the 'open secret'

of the New World Order (NWO) that is centred in Jewish nationalism than to have 'rightwing/conservative' newsletter writers fulminating incessantly about all the *symptoms* of social disorder in the West.

And how the 'managers' behind the scenes must 'laugh through their snouts' when conservative papers act as unwitting 'promotional agents' at pains to reprint articles calculated to show certain selected 'ordinary citizens' in a 'righteous' light.

*The APN* follows the piece on Joe Slovo with a reprint of Alan Brownfeld's 'Letter from America' in which 'a committed Orthodox Jew', *Boston Herald* columnist Don Feder, is praised for his moral stance in support of 'Judeo-Christian ethics', so-called.

So blatant is the current widely-orchestrated attack on Christianity *and the Name of Jesus Christ*, that it has attracted diluted 'apologies' from some other respected writers and columnists in America (such as, for example, Sobran, Chomsky, etc) - and, in a different context (during this year's Dresden commemoration), even the musician Menuhin got in on the 'anti-Semitism' act and fired a subtle broadside at Bach's great *Christian Mass* in B Minor.

In an important booklet, *Christianity versus Judeo-Christianity*, Canadian teacher and writer Malcolm Ross has courageously hailed the *Great Lie* of a 'Judeo-Christian concept' for what it is - a powerfully deceiving propaganda ploy *verging on blasphemy* - since Our Lord Jesus Christ identified for all time the secular nature of Judaism and its continuing pharisaic leadership as a powerful worldly structure, *which he consistently exposed and categorically rejected* throughout his earthly ministry.

This was the worldly power which inspired in the followers of Jesus Christ in his time *a terrible fear*, which we can read about in the Gospels and Acts and be surprised at the very modern tone of the words and incidents - *nothing has changed*, the same techniques of intimidation, lies, character-assassination and psychological manipulation of the masses, the same incitement to agitation and riot, the same pressures on the nominal governing authority.

As for 'cover-up bleeps' about the prophets of ancient Israel, the psalms etc. - it has long been another great 'open secret' that the Old Testament *Israelites never were 'Jews'* and that the Jews as we have known them throughout history belong to *a wholly different line* of Biblical ethnology and *are not Israel at all*, the definitive work in our time is by Arthur Koestler, himself once a Jew, with the significant title of *The Thirteenth Tribe*.

*'Know the Truth and the Truth shall make you free'*

-John 8:32.



# JOHN DEMJANJUK VICTIM OF CONSPIRACY

The courageous Jewish lawyer, who eventually managed to successfully defend John Demjanjuk from the vengeance of the Israeli government, has written a book about an international conspiracy, which nearly had an innocent American citizen of Ukrainian background, hanged for his alleged part in "The Holocaust". *The Rise and Fall of A Show Case*, by Yarram Sheftel, has, remarkably, been published by the well-known Jewish publishing firm, Victor Gollancz, London.

Reviewing this book in the London *Financial Times*, Chrystia Freeland writes that the Sheftel book exposes a major international cover-up: "Not only was the wrong man put on trial, the whole affair began with a despicable plot, an international conspiracy of five countries, the Soviet Union, Poland, the United States, Germany and Israel."

According to the *Financial Times*, Sheftel argues that the Office of Special Investigations, established principally by Jewish lawyers within the US Justice Department, knew all along that Demjanjuk was not "Ivan". The OSI concealed exonerating evidence from the defence team - which only discovered the damning evidence in the trashcans behind the Justice Department's building - because of an overpowering desire to secure a conviction. Poland, the Soviet Union and even Germany concealed documents, which would also have cleared Demjanjuk.

Sheftel accuses the "Israeli establishment" of nearly murdering an innocent man in its eagerness to "conduct a special Israeli-style show trial, to teach Israel's children the story of "The Holocaust". In publishing Freeland's review of *The Rise and Fall of A Show Trial*, the *Financial Times* clearly endorses his finding concerning the book.

Perhaps Zionist leaders like Isi Leibler, who endorsed the Demjanjuk trial, might now care to comment?

---

## CRITICAL QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE OKLAHOMA BOMBING

A growing number of responsible Americans are raising critical questions concerning the Oklahoma bombing. Clearly a fertiliser bomb was not responsible. Experts point out that even if five tons of fertiliser had been used, it might have created a similar amount of damage, but the building, and those around it, would have looked quite different. It is alleged there were two blasts, not one. The first was the car bomb at the kerb. The second was a set of strategically placed charges in the building, which exploded simultaneously. The second blast was designed and set by demolition experts to provide a specialised directional blast, which destroyed a section of the building without levelling the whole structure. If what happened was performed by military-style demolition experts, not by rural "red-necks" using a fertiliser bomb, what was the motive? Many are suspicious that the operation was part of a psycho-political type of warfare against a growing grass roots American conservative movement, which feels itself threatened by Big

Government and its agencies. The USA is a nation now threatened by violent internal explosions. It is yet another example of the failure of multiculturalism. Australians should take heed while there is still time for corrective action.

The League of Rights has constantly deplored all acts of violence, stressing that these are nearly always counter productive.

---

## HOW PRESIDENT CLINTON GOT THE NAFTA PASSED

Writing in *News Weekly* of July 29th, distinguished conservative Roman Catholic columnist, BA. Santamaria, provides a clear picture of how President Clinton managed to persuade the American Congress to agree to accept the North American Free Trade Agreements. The truth is that Clinton managed to achieve his objective, in the face of a storm of public opposition, by lying. The NAFTA includes Canada, the U.S.A. and Mexico. The Santamaria article is a summary of a 748-page report by the U.S.A. Banking committee. The Report is not yet available in Australia, but its main thesis has been outlined by A.M. Rosenthal, former *New York Times* editor, in the *International Herald Tribune*.

When Mexico defaulted on its debts in 1982, this triggered off mass defaults right throughout Latin America. There was a fear that the whole world financial system would collapse. The USA financial institutions agreed on action to "rescue" Mexico. But there was a price to be paid by the Mexicans. Mexican wage earners and peasants suffered heavy cuts in their wages, while small business was crushed with high interest rates. The orthodox explanations were offered for the programme: inflation had to be reduced and foreign investments attracted. There was a heavy dose of privatisation, with Mexican utilities and most of the big banks controlled by the Mexican government being sold off. By 1993 inflation had been reduced to less than 10 percent, with Mexico now apparently in a state of "recovery".

The election of the Clinton Administration in 1994 saw a massive propaganda campaign to persuade Americans that the Mexican "recovery" was the result of a free trade programme, which was allegedly one, which beckoned a glorious future for all Americans. But that future did not eventuate. The free trade theory was not working out. Clinton and his "advisers" had to take drastic action. Santamaria writes: "Without a Congressional vote - and by means which made the late Ned Kelly look like St. Francis of Assisi - he (Clinton) appropriated US\$20 billion from the US Monetary Reserve, insisted that the International Monetary Fund put up another US\$17 billion and somehow rustled up another \$13 billion from anonymous sources."

Not surprisingly, all this money enabled the Mexican Treasury to pay off the debts owing to the Wall Street banks and other US financial institutions. Billions were also pumped into those Mexican banks not already privatised, enabling them also to be sold off to foreign interests. But not everybody benefited. Soaring interest rates resulted in a massive business collapse and a surge in the already high unemployment rate. Mexican oil revenues were

appropriated as security and are now being paid into a special US Treasury Fund. Social disintegration plunged Mexico into a national crisis.

While the Mexican collapse of 1994 was presented as sudden and unexpected, the truth is that right throughout 1994, while Clinton and his propagandists were working to have the NAFTA passed, and telling the Americans how great the situation was in Mexico, they were aware that it was heading for disaster. This was a classic example of government lying.

All of which raises the question of how much can the Australian people believe about what Paul Keating and his public relations men are telling them? One thing is certain: the Keating government's mounting campaign against French nuclear testing is primarily concerned with trying to ensure that they gain the "green" vote at the coming Federal elections. If by any chance a strong campaign against China's nuclear testing were to emerge, Keating and associates would once again "jump on the bandwagon". There is, of course, little fear of anyone suggesting that Zionist Israel should be criticised for developing nuclear weapons!

---

## THE CAMERA CAN LIE

The late Malcolm Muggeridge once raised the question of whether it was moral for someone like himself to appear before the television cameras. The famous commentator had seen the manner in which truth could be perverted by the use of the television camera, with its capacity to penetrate into every home. While the print media has been used to pervert and to distort the truth, it is relatively easier to check the printed word than it is to check what is shown on the television screen.

"*I saw it with my own eyes* is a common comment by those who hold a view concerning any event challenged by critics. The Holocaust Myth depends upon using films of mountains of human bodies allegedly resulting from mass killings by the Nazis. *The Australian* of June 12 carries the headline, PROPAGANDA PLAYED STARRING ROLE IN HISTORIC FILM FOOTAGE; the article following being from *The Sunday Times* in London, which states, "*Footage from celebrated battles of the first and second world wars, and Nazi concentration camps, and Charles Lindberg's first solo flight across the Atlantic, are among film clips that historians and film-makers now believe were stage-managed.*"

Mr. Jerome Kuehl, a leading television producer and author, is quoted as saying that "*dozens of documentaries and films purporting to show the Nazi death camps are also misleading. The pictures normally shown are of Westernburg, a German camp in the Netherlands, or from a Polish film showing Auschwitz a year after the war*"

Kuehl also expresses doubt about the authenticity of the film of Sir Winston Churchill's famous Iron Curtain speech delivered in America in 1946, stating that it could be library pictures of Churchill spliced with a radio recording. British historian David Irving, in *Churchill's War*, reveals how a BBC actor was used to present some of Churchill's famous wartime speeches.

Douglas said that real history is "crystallised politics". The TV camera must be regarded as even more suspect than written history.

## THOSE "BEAUTIFUL FIGURES"

Those who saw the recent TV programme concerning the young woman who for years successfully convinced her own parents, relatives and friends that she was a highly successful barrister practising at the Victorian Bar, while criminally defrauding people of hundreds of thousands of dollars, could not help being struck by the capacity of some people for self-delusion. One commentator cynically observed, "*This woman is perfect party political material!*" In considering this incredible true story, we are reminded of how Prime Minister Paul Keating treats all figures showing a decline in Australia's economic sovereignty. "*Beautiful figures!*" Paul Keating responds. Those "beautiful figures" reveal that Australia's foreign debt has steadily moved towards \$200 billion. The current figure is \$160 billion. If Keating manages to survive by buying the votes of minority pressure groups he is now odds-on to take the foreign debt past \$200 billion. No doubt there will be reference once again to a "beautiful set of figures". If Paul Keating is a genuine victim of his own delusions, Labor Member Graeme Campbell is right when he says that the Prime Minister is one of the most dangerous men in Australia.

But the tragedy is that as yet John Howard has not indicated that he offers any genuine alternatives to the Keating government's policies.

---

## "TOTAL WAR"

Military war is the pursuit of policy by other means. Military war has always had its barbaric aspects. But Christian nations did seek to minimise the barbaric aspects by adhering to a code of conduct, which reflected Christian values. Women, children and civilians were not regarded as legitimate military targets.

But the Second World War saw these concepts swept away with the philosophy of "total war" and "unconditional surrender". The proposed initial Morgenthau Plan for Germany envisaged a Carthage-type of peace, which would almost certainly have brought the Red Army to the English Channel.

The fiftieth anniversary of the nuclear attack on the Japanese has re-stimulated debate concerning whether this attack was in fact necessary. The Japanese had been searching for a surrender strategy long before the nuclear attack on Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Allied military superiority was complete. Civilians were the main victims of both nuclear attacks. But civilians were also the main victims of the "total" war in the West. The destruction of the unarmed cultural city of Dresden was just as devastating as the nuclear attacks on Japanese cities. At the end of the Second World War the Western nations had been in a position to enforce a peace which offered some prospects for a continuation of Western Christian civilisation. With a monopoly of the new weapon of war, the nuclear bomb, the West could have dictated terms to the Soviet leaders, insisting that all European nations be permitted to freely choose their own futures. But the west had been morally corrupted by its blind-eye attitude towards the Soviet

Union.

In the second volume of *Churchill's War*, David Irving reveals how Churchill had indicated he was prepared to use biological warfare if he felt it necessary. The use of anthrax would have had a deadly effect in Europe for years to come. It has now been revealed that the plan for an American invasion included a massive poison gas attack. It was estimated that as many as 5 million Japanese civilians could have been killed. Not surprisingly, the document concerning this proposed gas attack was suppressed after the war.

The centralisation of power along with the weakening of traditional Christianity and its value system has resulted in a world threatened by increasing violence. While every nation has the natural right to take all possible steps to defend itself, as the French are logically pointing out, the ultimate solution to a problem concerning all thinking people, is for one nation to give a lead by putting its own internal finance-economic house in order, withdrawing from "the fight for markets", and ensuring that its military defences are adequate to act as an effective deterrent against possible external military aggression.

It is conceivable that the French determination to provide themselves with an adequate nuclear military deterrent, pointing out that they have been invaded three times, could prove to be one of those unrehearsed events which change the course of history. The French are aware that it was a highly centralised Germany, which was manipulated to help trigger two world wars. A "United States of Europe" is unlikely to emerge while the French insist on maintaining their national sovereignty. The whole concept is being increasingly questioned, and French policy may lead to a situation in which the British can follow the French lead and also insist on maintaining their national sovereignty.

A new phase in the human drama is starting to emerge,

fraught with awesome dangers, but also offering a unique opportunity for Mankind to take a different road to the one being followed at present. For historical and other reasons, the English-speaking world is best equipped to provide the necessary leadership.

---

## ERIC BUTLER'S BUSY PROGRAMME

Following his recent South Australian programme, during which he drove nearly two thousand miles, covering the West Coast, accompanied by his wife, and for part of the programme with South Australian State League of Rights director, Mrs. Betty Luks, addressing a series of meetings, Advisory National Director Eric Butler will conduct a nine day tour in Western Australia, including participation in the West Australian State League Dinner and Seminar on September 9. Betty Luks, also editor of the quarterly journal *Heritage*, will also participate in the West Australian Seminar. Details of the League's West Australian programme may be obtained from (09) 572 6250, or (09) 574 8042.

In view of the momentous developments concerning the League, supporters are urged to make every attempt to attend the Annual Seminar and Dinner.

Eric Butler will also be participating in the South Australian Annual Dinner and Seminar on Saturday, August 19. With immigration and republicanism being discussed, it is of interest that Mrs. Robyn Spencer of the Australians Against Further Immigration Movement is giving a paper on immigration. All those who have heard Robyn Spencer have been most impressed with how she presents her subject. Information concerning the South Australian Seminar and Dinner may be obtained from the State Director, Mrs. Betty Luks, (08) 381 3909.

---

## DOUG COLLINS FOR *NEW TIMES* ANNUAL DINNER AND LEAGUE NATIONAL SEMINAR

On a coming visit to Australia to enjoy what he terms a "working holiday", Mr. Doug Collins, the British born Canadian journalist and author, and former broadcaster, has accepted an invitation to be the guest of honour at the Annual New Times Dinner on Friday, October 6th, and to present a Paper at the League of Rights National Seminar on Saturday, October 7th. The former British soldier and Intelligence Official plans to interview a number of prominent Australians on the immigration and multicultural issues. Doug Collins is widely in demand as a speaker in both Canada and the USA. He is noted for "not pulling his punches", and will undoubtedly make a distinctive contribution to the growing debate throughout Australia concerning immigration and multiculturalism.

Early bookings for *The New Times* Dinner will be accepted. In spite of rising costs, the charge for the Dinner is

being maintained at \$30 per person. The organisers reserve the right to reject bookings for the Dinner, which is strictly a closed "family affair". The League of Rights also announces a "tightening up" concerning attendance at the League's National Action Seminar on Sunday, October 8th. This function is to be restricted to League actionists only and to those who wish to become actionists.

Along with Doug Collins, the League has arranged for a panel of outstanding speakers at the League National Seminar. The charge for the complete Seminar, of three sessions, will be \$10 per head, with discounts for families. The theme of the Seminar will be "*Immigration, Multiculturalism and Australia's future.*"

Plan for the national weekend now. Limited private hospitality can be organised for country and interstate visitors.