

ON TARGET

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY ON THE COLD WAR

"We will bury you ... your children will grow up under Communism ..." Krushchev.

FALSEHOODS

DISTORTIONS

SUPPRESSION

Registered at the G.P.O. Melbourne for transmission by post as a periodical.

Vol. 4 No. 4

9/2 February, 1968

Thought for the Week: "We can choose to be governed by God, or we can condemn ourselves to be ruled by the new scientific 'elite.' Without a belief in God's moral law, there can be no ground or basis for the enforcement of law and order in society. When God and His moral law are rejected by a nation, all defence against arbitrary power vanishes, too, at the same time."
- Rev. E.L.H. Taylor, M.A., England.

3327
VIETNAM REACHES POINT OF MAJOR CRISIS: "The climatic battle of Khe Sanh, which now appears to have begun, has been greeted with confidence at the Pentagon and caution at the White House. President Johnson last week required the joint chiefs of staff to verify in writing that they thought Khe Sanh 'could and should be held'.....President Johnson called for the renewed assessment last week after the extent and ferocity of the Viet Cong offensive in South Vietnam has shocked Washington." - From Roy Macartney, Washington, in The Age, Melbourne, February 6.

As we have constantly stressed, Communist revolutionary war is always directed to achieve political objectives. Practising traditional Communist morality, the Viet Cong promised that they would observe a seven day truce over the period of the annual celebration of Tet, the Vietnamese lunar year, at the very time they were preparing for a carefully co-ordinated major offensive right throughout South Vietnam. Typical of the many soothing statements made by both American and Australian officials was that of the Australian Minister for the Army, Mr. Malcolm Fraser, who said that the Viet Cong attacks on the key cities in South Vietnam were "suicidal missions in a moment of desperation." (vide The Age, February 2) But there is overwhelming evidence that the Viet Cong offensive is designed primarily to achieve political results in the USA. There can be no denying the truth that the Communists have already achieved one of their greatest propaganda effects, with many voices starting to express the opinion that perhaps the war in Vietnam is unwinnable.

It is probably true that the Americans and their allies cannot win while they continue to fight under rules which favour the Communists. Major-General Thomas A. Lane, USA, Retired, whom we have previously quoted as one of the large number of American military authorities criticising the "no-win" policy in Vietnam, has recently put the matter very clearly as follows:

"The Administration error is simple and obvious. It accepts the working premise advanced by the Soviet Union that North Vietnam may attack South Vietnam without provoking a wider war, but that South Vietnam may not counterattack without such consequences. The proposition is on its face preposterous, but it is the heart of the Johnson position on Vietnam. We accept this irrational

proposition out of fear that Communist China will intervene in Vietnam. That fear is irrational. Who can believe that China would expose its industries to destruction rather than see Ho Chi Minh cease his aggression against South Vietnam? There is implicit in the fear of Communist Chinese intervention an assumption that the United States would not attack China but would fight the enlarged conflict in Vietnam. That is what President Truman did in Korea and it was assurance of this reaction which led the Chinese to attack. Why are we now set to repeat the same mistake in Vietnam?"

General Lane states that President Johnson is surrounded by men who insist that his policy of restraint is avoiding a wider war. But this leaves the Communists with the initiative to fight the war in such a way that they create the maximum amount of political confusion and social friction in the USA, and Australia, where the Communists are at present scoring major success in the propaganda war. The Pueblo incident in North Korea is a classic example of the type of diversionary tactics the Communist strategists are employing. Commenting on the Pueblo incident on January 25, former Republican Presidential candidate Barry Goldwater realistically stated that it was just a continuation of the Korean War. He continued, "I think we never have finished that war. We have been having casualties over there ever since the truce." The American handling of the Pueblo affair has caused widespread dismay amongst the South Koreans, with reports appearing that they wish to withdraw some of their troops in South Vietnam to meet any new major aggression from North Korea.

There is considerable speculation that the Communist master-mind behind the current Viet Cong drive in South Vietnam, General Giap, is proposing to try to repeat his 1954 victory against the French at Dien Bien Phu. Khe Sanh could be the test, or perhaps the first of many tests. It is generally overlooked that the French were capable of fighting on militarily after their major defeat at Dien Bien Phu, but that the loss of this major battle proved such a disastrous psychological blow in France that the political base for the military front in Vietnam collapsed. If General Giap cannot achieve a complete military victory in Vietnam, he and his fellow-Comrades are hopeful that the casualties they can inflict on the Americans and their allies will force the creation of a coalition Government in Saigon in which the Communists are well represented. If this can be achieved, it will only be a matter of time before a Communist take-over. And if this happens morale in the whole of Asia will collapse.

There is only one answer to the present developing crisis: the Americans must refuse to fight any longer under rules decided by the Communists. As the American Senate Preparedness Committee has urged, all significant military targets in North Vietnam must be hit from the air, the Port of Haiphong completely blockaded to stop the flood of Soviet military and economic aid to North Vietnam and the Viet Cong. The myth about the Soviet Union "mellowing" must be exploded and appropriate action taken. But time to do this is desperately short.

NEW ZEALAND'S REACTION TO THE WILSON BETRAYAL: "If Australia's reaction to Britain's Far East withdrawal has been one of pained acceptance, New Zealand's has been very close to consternation. It is not the Far Eastern defence structure of the future that is worrying New Zealand

so much.... The basic cause of the near-panic that prevails in Wellington today is the brutal dose of disillusionment that the mother country had administered to the faithful little dominion at a time of economic and financial distress. The fear that many New Zealanders have secretly entertained - that Britain has no intention of protecting their interests when it comes to the crucial point of entering Europe - has overnight become a publicly articulated indignation." - Douglas Brass writing from New Zealand in The Australian, January 24.

New Zealand's present political leaders have been pathetically naive and trusting about British assurances. Their representative in London has been careful to give no support whatever to the rugged addresses by Australia's High Commissioner, Sir Alexander Downer, who never loses an opportunity of appealing direct to the British people on the Common Market threat to the future of the old British Commonwealth, urging that Britain should seek new and more constructive relations with Australia, New Zealand and Canada, instead of surrendering to the European Common Market and its growing super-bureaucracy. The New Zealand view has been that if they do not oppose the British Government, then they will be cared for and given special treatment if Britain does eventually manage to join the EEC. In an interview with Prime Minister Holyoake in 1962 after he had been campaigning in Britain with Mr. D.J. Killen, M.P., against Britain joining the EEC, Mr. Eric Butler attempted to convince the New Zealand leader that the British people had such an affection for New Zealand that a powerful appeal by Mr. Holyoake would have a major impact in Britain. But Mr. Holyoake would have none of it. Before the last New Zealand General Elections he publicly told New Zealanders, the great majority of whom have shown they are strongly pro-Rhodesian, that his Government would tolerate no further action against Rhodesia. But within a few weeks, under economic pressure from Prime Minister Wilson, he was supporting mandatory sanctions against Rhodesia in the Security Council.

The time has come for New Zealanders, like Australians, to face the facts of life as revealed by the wrecker Harold Wilson. They should prepare themselves for the next scuttle by the Wilson Government, which will be from Fiji and other British Pacific Islands. Canberra and Wellington should be planning to take these over as their direct responsibility. And they should be looking Westwards across the Indian Ocean to South Africa, Rhodesia and the Portuguese Territories, making military and economic alliances with resourceful people who have proved far more reliable than Mr. Harold Wilson and his Socialist Government.

AMERICAN NEGRO AGITATOR IN AUSTRALIA: "An American Negro Bishop said last night that there were serious racial overtones in Australia. The Aboriginal problem had implications of racialism or, at best, paternalistic benevolence. Bishop P.A. Taylor, of the United States of America Methodist Church, said: 'As long as any nation deals with groups either within its borders or beyond its national limits with discrimination or indifference it is courting disaster. The nations of our world are swiftly dividing into the rich and the poor, the haves and the have-nots. As long as the have-nots represent the vast majority of world population, there can be no world peace.'" - The Australian, February 5.

As soon as he arrived in Australia Bishop Taylor started sniping at Australia's immigration policy. He claimed that when seeking a visa he had to state his race. This was evidence of "racialism",

he claimed. But the Department of Immigration has obtained a copy of the visa form Bishop Taylor filled in before leaving America, and there is no reference on this form to race. Australia is in fact one of the very few countries in the world which does not require racial identification of people seeking visas. Officers of the Department of Immigration had talked to Bishop Taylor in Adelaide after he claimed in Perth that he had to state his race when applying for his Australian visa. But he continued to maintain that his claim concerning his visa was correct.

It is clear that Bishop Taylor is not very interested in facts. His statements in Australia revealed him to be a typical agitator, of which there are many in the USA, deliberately exploiting race to further revolutionary policies. He attempted to white-wash the "Civil Rights" movement in the USA which has been shown conclusively to be the instrument of the Communist revolutionaries, who believe that they can exploit the Negro to collapse America from within. Anyone doubting this should read the book, It's Very Simple, by Alan Stang.

The race question is being exploited all over the world by the Communist revolutionaries. Australia has no race problems because of the wisdom of those who founded Australia as a nation. Australia can best help other peoples by maintaining a stable, homogeneous population, and offering advice and assistance to overcome production problems. The Bishop Taylors everywhere are suggesting that the Indians, for example, are living in poverty because the "have" nations are more prosperous. The logical conclusion is the Socialist solution that the "haves" should be compelled to share with the "have-nots". This is no solution whatever, but is part of the revolutionary programme.

MR. HAROLD WILSON'S PREDICTION ON THE "BRIDGE TO PEACE": "The bridge to peace in Vietnam was now much shorter, the British Prime Minister, Mr. Harold Wilson, said yesterday. 'Our task is to help narrow the differences and to avoid encouraging the hawks, whether they be in Hanoi or Washington', he told the Russian Prime Minister, Mr. Kosygin, in a formal luncheon toast." - The Australian, January 25.

At the very moment the British Socialist leader was talking about the possibility of peace in Vietnam, the Communists were on the eve of their massive **assaults**. It is the Harold Wilsons who are encouraging the "hawks" in Hanoi, Moscow, Peking, and in all parts of the world by their failure to face the truth that there is an international revolution being promoted and master-minded by criminals determined to conquer the world. One of these criminals is Kosygin of the Soviet Union. He replied to Mr. Wilson's toast in Moscow by telling him that if Britain wanted peace, it should demand an end to American bombing of North Vietnam. In the meantime the Soviet leaders are making their contribution to "peace" by providing North Vietnam and the Viet Cong with a steady flow of sophisticated weapons.

ON TARGET is published by the Australian League of Rights, Post Office Box 1052J Melbourne
Subscription rate: \$4. per annum - W. & J. BARR (PRINTERS) PTY. LTD.
