



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance—

Vol. 19 No. 49

Registered by Australia Post — Publication No. VBH 0999

December 17th, 1982

Thought for the Week: "A radical modification of the existing financial system will make it possible to build up a strong and united nation free from economic dissension, which would, by its strength, offer a powerful deterrent to aggressive war. And, secondly, the spectacle of a contented and prosperous Britain, willing to trade but not forced by unemployment to fight for trade, would provide an irresistible object-lesson in genuine progress and would be imitated everywhere."

- C. H. Douglas in "The Monopoly of Credit".

"IN WAR OR UNDER THE THREAT OF WAR": During the Depression of the thirties, produced by the same financial groups responsible for the current Depression, there emerged in Britain a movement known as Political and Economic Planning (P.E.P.). This movement brought into the open the nexus between International Finance, Big Business and Marxism. P.E.P. was a forerunner to movements which have developed since the end of the Second World War - The Bilderbergers, the Club of Rome and The Trilateralists. P.E.P. stressed that it was only "in war or under the threat of war" that British people would submit to large-scale centralised planning. Bearing in mind its source, this statement provides a key to an explanation of the deepening world crisis. The threat of military war, sustained by the Soviet Union, itself a creature of the International Financial groups which have sustained the Soviet and its satellites with massive credits, is now augmented with the threat of a disastrous international trade war as desperate nations seek to solve their internal problems by feverish exports. The United States, for example, threatens to retaliate against the European Economic Community's policy of subsidising its vast agricultural surpluses on to the world's markets, and virtually giving some of the surplus to the Soviet, by "dumping" its own enormous food surpluses on to other countries.

As the international crisis deepens, the constant theme is being advanced that the only hope for mankind is planning on a global scale. Talk of "reforming" the international banking system, and the increasing promotion of the New International Economic Order, are

all part of the Big Idea. Australians should carefully note that not only Prime Minister Fraser and associates like Mr. Andrew Peacock are keen supporters of the Big Idea, but that it is also endorsed by both Mr. Bill Hayden and Mr. Bob Hawke, although the lure of support from some of Australia's threatened secondary industries has resulted in the ALP suggesting that these industries are entitled to some protection against foreign imports.

Although we believe that the Fraser Government and its advisers are reading the results of the Flinders by-election incorrectly, there appears to be little doubt that the "wage freeze" political gimmick did help to sustain the Liberals. For what it is worth, one public opinion poll claimed that 52 per cent of the electors supported a "wage freeze", with 42 per cent against. But 72 per cent supported a policy of a freeze for twelve months on BOTH wages and prices. The Premiers' Conference was an extension of the Federal Government's political gimmickry and was designed to try to provide Prime Minister Fraser with a policy on which he might attempt a Federal election early next year, arguing that he is attempting to provide "stability".

Premier Wran of N.S.W. is reported to have said at the Premiers' Conference that it reminded him of the disastrous Premiers' Conference in the Thirties, which drastically reduced purchasing power and eventually resulted in the political defeat of most of those who participated. It is disappointing that not one of the Premiers, Labor or non-Labor, offered one constructive alternative to what Treasurer John Howard and his "advisers" virtually demanded. The central truth about the worsening crisis in Australia is simple: There is inadequate purchasing power to buy the existing production. The policies of the Fraser Government, including the coming increased tax on petrol of 5 cents a litre (approximately 20 cents a gallon) are mathematically certain to maintain inflation at the present high level, which means that a "freeze" on wages will result in a further reduction in effective purchasing power. Many public servants are grossly overpaid in relationship to what they do, but "freezing" their wages and using the money "saved" to finance "job creating" schemes will not increase total purchasing power by one cent. The whole concept is an extension of the socialist programme. The total result is going to be a further undermining of important sections of Australian secondary industries. This is welcomed by the international planners and their local agents and dupes, who continue to insist that Australian industry must be "restructured". Part of the Big Idea is to reduce Australia to a type of off-shore quarry to feed international industrial complexes based in Asia.

Australia's future as an independent nation can only be secured by internal financial policies which free Australia from the alleged necessity to participate in the international trade war. The first

essential step is the complete abolition of Sales Tax, followed by the policy of consumer price subsidies as promised by the Menzies-Fadden Coalition at the 1949 Federal Elections.

EDGING CLOSER TO THE N.I.E.O.: Both Australia and New Zealand have obediently signed The Law of The Sea - a United Nations concept which is part of the New International Economic Order package, and also recommended in the Brandt Report. So far the United States has refused to sign - and the Reagan administration is coming under increasing pressure to do so.

What is wrong with the Law of the Sea? On November 4th, 1982, "The Press", a major New Zealand daily, reported: "Britain and other countries were urged this week not to sign the draft Law of the Treaty because an independent report claimed it would allow terrorist organisations to share in revenues from international mining operations on the ocean floor. The report, published by the London-based Institute of European Defence and Strategic Studies, said the Treaty permits United Nations-recognised liberation groups, such as the Palestine Liberation organisation to join the administration of a proposed international sea-bed authority and to receive some of its income. Of 150 nations that took part in negotiating the draft treaty, 130 voted last April to back it. But 17 nations, including most East and West European States, abstained. Four countries including the United States rejected the first draft...."

The Institute Report went on to point out that one of the areas likely to be affected by the Treaty was the Antarctic, which is of major economic and strategic concern to Australia.

This was followed by a most significant report in "The Weekend Australian" (Dec. 11-12, 82) as follows: "The United States Ambassador to the United Nations, Mrs. Jeane Kirkpatrick, yesterday spoke out strongly against the Law of the Sea Convention, which the USA and other Western nations - but not Australia - refuse to sign.. The international bureaucracy running the UN is committed to achieving "global socialism" Mrs. Kirkpatrick charged. It advances a Marxist ideology based on the assertion that all poverty is the fault of the rich...."

Mrs. Kirkpatrick is right. The documentation is overwhelming. The Brandt Commission was a Socialist International project set up by that body at its Vancouver Conference in 1978. Willy Brandt is current chairman of the Socialist International, which will be meeting for its Congress in Sydney next April, hosted by the A.L.P. Senator Don Grimes has announced that amongst those attending will be the Swedish Prime Minister Olaf Palme (Member of the Brandt Commission), Mr. Bettino Craxi of the Italian Socialist Party, the new left-wing Spanish Prime Minister Mr. Felipe Gonzalez, the leader of the British Labor Party, Michael Foot, and Chilean Radical Party chairman Anselmo Sule.

The Socialist International Congress should be highly pleased with Malcolm Fraser's performance in pushing the N.I.E.O. Meanwhile, the movement - Parliamentarians For World Order - has just sponsored a "United Nations parliamentary meeting on global development issues" from November 15th to the 17th. Attending on behalf of Australia was the Liberal Member for Higgins, Mr. Roger Shipton, Chairman of the Joint Committee on Foreign Affairs and Defence. According to reports, the conference was designed specifically for foreign affairs and development committees from key parliaments with the intention of exploring possibilities for progress in global economic negotiations. Mr. Shipton described the PWO as "a United Nations centred group of 600 legislators in 25 countries devoted to strengthening international institutions for the maintenance of world peace....Principal discussion items will include food and raw materials, energy, trade, development assistance, and monetary and financial questions...."

The Parliamentarians For World Order pamphlet makes it clear it is committed to the Brandt Report, set up by the Socialist International. To the Liberals of this world, the Socialists must be saying with amazed incredulity "Come in, Spinner!"

WOOL OVER THEIR EYES: Eighteen months ago, just after it became apparent that Poland was bankrupt, Australia lent Poland \$40 million "To buy Australian wool". Whoever dreamed up such a deal should have been sent to a psychiatrist. "The Financial Review" (30/11/82) said: "Poland is again in default of payment for wool purchased at auction during October and has again had its roll-over cover on a \$40 million line of credit, guaranteed by the Federal Government, temporarily suspended by the Export Finance Insurance Corporation. This is the third time in under 18 months Poland has failed to make a prompt payment for wool purchases, being in default of about \$6 million in July last year and about \$2 million in late September this year...." A spokesman for the woolbrokers said yesterday that Poland had this time failed to make the prompt payment on wool which was worth considerably more than \$2 million but less than \$10 million. He said brokers had paid woolgrowers in expectation of receipt of the money and were now carrying the debt on behalf of Poland...."

It may be that the bankrupt Poland can borrow enough money from some international source or other to make payment this time. But the time is coming when Australia doesn't get paid at all. Australian politicians must be held responsible for the treacherous support of Communist regimes.

"ON TARGET" is published and printed by the Australian League of Rights, 273 Little Collins Street, Melb. Subscription rate \$12 p.a. posted.