



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The pride of Freedom is eternal vigilance—

Registered by Australia Post — Publication No. VBH 0999

Vol. 21 No. 27

3rd August, 1984

Thought for the Week: "Truth is not something that can be taken for granted. Nor can we safely assume that we see clearly that which is clearly before our eyes. Why do intelligent, well-educated readers so often misread and misunderstand even the most simple and plainly put of propositions?"

- from *Even Gods Err* (Book 3) by F.A.Gourley (1980)

NEW BIG LEAGUE EXPANSION PROGRAMME.

1984 has been planned as expansion year for the League of Rights. Large numbers of new supporters have already been recruited. But a much bigger effort is now required. With this week's "On Target" we are enclosing an updated and improved brochure outlining the history and objectives of The League of Rights. Supporters are urged to make use of these to help increase the circulation of League journals. Experience has shown that where selectively distributed, there is a feed back. Supporters can obtain whatever supplies they can handle. No charge will be made, but small donations to cover cost of printing and postage will be appreciated. Our target is to have at least 500,000 of these brochures distributed before the end of 1984.

Let's go!

FEDERAL LIBERALS ATTEMPT TO SHIFT GROUND: The League of Rights has been advised over the years to form a new political party if it wants to exert a major influence on Australian politics. The League has always resisted this suggestion, pointing out that genuine democracy requires a non-party service movement concerned primarily with principles, to act as watchdog, and when possible to encourage the development of grassroots movements which, if strong enough, can influence the politicians to represent their electors. Experience has demonstrated that the League's approach is a realistic one.

Last week witnessed another major shift in the attitude of the Opposition Liberal and National parties on the Land Claims issue. While we have little faith in Mr. Andrew Peacock, a man concerned more with what appears to be politically expedient than with fundamental principles, in his desperate attempt to "lift his game" as the early Federal Elections draw near, he first paid some lip service to the necessity of revising Australia's current immigration programme, and now appears to have attempted to grasp the nettle on the land claims issue. In an address at Port Macquarie on July 23rd, Mr. Peacock said he now rejected the principle of land rights, making the historic admission that "There is no doubt at all that with hindsight the coalition would not now legislate as it did for land rights for the Northern Territory".

This admission suggests that Mr. Peacock and his colleagues (do they all agree with him?) did not know what they were doing when they set the land rights programme in motion. How much other legislation did the Fraser Government pass without realising its destructive nature? What about the conventions signed with UN agencies? Any determined move by the Opposition parties against the programme which has progressively eroded the sovereignty of Australia, will result in an unprecedented campaign of vilification of the Opposition parties, and an intensification of the continuing campaign to smear the League of Rights and to present the Opposition parties as "stooges" of the League.

Mr. Peacock's remarks at Port Macquarie should be filed away for future reference. He said, "I want to make it clear that I understand the relationship between people and land. I well understand that Aborigines have an affinity with land. But so do other Australians. I have it myself with my farm in Victoria, and it's wrong to believe that only one section of Australia has a special affinity with land. What Australian who has saved and gone without over 30 years to own his or her own home hasn't an affinity with land?"

Mr. Malcolm Fraser promised before the 1975 Federal elections that he would reduce taxation. Seven years later taxation in Australia had increased astronomically! What is required now that the Opposition has started to shift ground on immigration and the land claims issues, is to increase of electoral pressure and insist that Opposition party candidates provide unqualified individual assurances that they will work and vote to abolish the present disastrous immigration policy, and land claims legislation.

But even more important is an assurance that the first act of a new non-Labor government would be legislation to enable a referendum to be held at which Australians could vote to regain control over their own Constitution. As the League has consistently pointed out, the fundamental issue now confronting Australia is whether it can regain its sovereignty. To do so means repudiation of the drive towards internationalism by both the Hawke and Fraser Governments. If the

Opposition politicians can only bring themselves to "bite the bullet", the great majority of Australians would rally behind them. But all hell would break out in the centres of world power. Australia would soon become the target for the type of international campaign which brought Rhodesia down.

Perhaps Mr. Peacock might now also express his regret for the Fraser Government's role in creating the disaster known as Zimbabwe! We doubt that Mr. Peacock will go that far. But at least he is trying to bend to the anti-land claims movement sweeping Australia. Let us be thankful for that - and increase the electoral pressure.

BRIEF COMMENTS: Carrying on from the above article, we were more than interested to hear that Mr. Porter, the Federal Opposition's spokesman on Aboriginal Affairs, has attacked the Hawke Government for using public funds to retain journalist, K.D.Gott, to help discredit the League of Rights' campaign against land rights. His words were: "... a brazen political party campaign under the guise of an anti-racist crusade." To drive the point further home, Mr. Porter charged that Mr. Clyde Holding, Federal Minister for Aboriginal Affairs, was trying to shore up his own stakes by undertaking taxpayer-subsidised party political propaganda.

Who Is An Aboriginal? The West Australian Liberal Party meeting, at which Mr. Porter and Mr. Peacock spoke, was also informed that there should be a superior method of determining 'who is an aboriginal'. Present definitions are nebulous, viz. 'a person who claimed to be an aboriginal', or 'who was regarded as an aboriginal'. We believe that this is the first time that the 'definition' of an aboriginal has been raised - officially. The League of Rights has been asking this question for many years.

* * * * *

The 'Race', 'Racialism', 'Racist' drum is being stridently beaten by the hosts of the multi-racialists and multiculturalists (the same thing.) The new Archbishop of Melbourne, Archbishop David Penman, has already attacked the League of Rights, and called for legislation to curtail its activities. This will please Senator Gareth Evans who (under the phoney guise of advancing 'Human Rights') is gradually - in classic Fabian style - eroding the freedom of the individual. Dr. Colin Tatz, of New England University (N.S.W.) has also only recently yelled and screamed for "racist" activity to be made a criminal offence. These eager "social democrats" (as they flatter themselves; they are totalitarians!) cannot abide any other opinions than their own. C.H.Douglas typified them in one of his works by likening them to the little girl who tells "naughty dolly" not to do this or that. The point that C.H.Douglas was making is that it is essentially immature to "stop" activities that do not conform to the opinions (rather, ideology) of those with their hands currently on the levers of political power.

Senator Evans, Archbishop Penman, Professor Tatz and those with similar views are firmly committed to multiculturalism, are in fact out of step with the opinions of the majority of their fellow Australians. But no matter, the majority of Australians must be wrong, and they are right: but furthermore, the majority of Australians "must be stopped" (naughty dolly) and by law. Big Brother? The 'Thought Police'? Who are the totalitarians???

* * * * *

Michelle Grattan, the political commentator for The Age (Melbourne) is a big name in the political commentary "industry". The late Dr. B.W. Monahan likened these political commentators of the media to race-course touts, promoting or decrying the current political fields. We are also of the opinion that very many journalists in the media do labour under the illusion that they are "opinion makers" - and therefore of some considerable importance in the scheme of things.

If a journalist like Michelle Grattan, competent as she is in many areas, would actually study Geoff McDonald's books, viz. "Red Over Black", and "The Evidence", she could not pen such gibberish as "The level of the League's propaganda can be judged by Mr. McDonald's bizarre comment: 'We are in the middle of a psychological war - the end is when the Aborigines, led by Marxists, declare an independent state and invite in Asian immigrants.' We agree with every word of Geoff McDonald's comment. It is too much for many, we know. We repeat the last sentence from our "Thought for the Week", viz. "Why do intelligent, well-educated readers so often misread and misunderstand even the most simple and plainly put of propositions?" ...Why indeed.

* * * * *

No doubt the Hawke Government leaders are purring like pussy-cats that the American economic "recovery" is "surging ahead". That's the copy they like to see. However, if those who really do understand the modern finance-economic system delve beneath the surface they soon discover that appearances can be deceptive and "all is not what it seems".

The reason (basically) for the so-called American "recovery" is that the Federal Reserve Board (America's Central Bank) up to the last year has been expanding America's money supply, added to which President Reagan has allowed the Treasury to blow out a record Deficit. The economy of a modern nation can be likened to a car: when the accelerator is depressed and more petrol (money) is fed into the engine, then the car "goes faster". The reverse is the case; the car (economy) can be slowed by restricting the flow of petrol (money). Never mind about the "brakes"; they can be applied too, in the form of higher interest rates, higher taxation, etc. Under the present finance-economic conventions, higher inflation in the U.S.A. is inevitable.

"ON TARGET" is published and printed by The Australian League of Rights, 273 Little Collins St., Melbourne. Subscription rate \$18 p.a. posted.