



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

# ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

*The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance —*

Registered By Australia Post — Publication No. VBH 0999

Vol.27, No.45

November 22nd, 1991

**THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:** "Once the idea of the Government as the 'Big Boss' is cleared away, it is much easier to discern its legitimate functions ... the first of these functions is that of maintaining the rights of the individual by providing for the proper mechanisms for the administration of Justice ... a reversal of the present usurpation of the function of the Courts of Law by the 'administrative lawlessness' of the bureaucracy. Secondly, the Government has a function as a General Committee of Society. And ... it has a function as a Board of Directors."

- Bryan W. Monahan, in An Introduction to Social Credit

**THE KEATING STRATEGY:** Paul Keating's statement that he will not be pursuing his leadership ambition cannot be taken at its face value. The reality is that Paul Keating knows that Prime Minister Hawke is in big trouble politically, and that by appearing to withdraw from the contest at this time, is in fact employing the correct strategy. The Prime Minister's bad political mauling by the Premiers indirectly assisted the Keating strategy. Then came the much-publicised Prime Minister's economic statement of last week, this also creating the impression that Hawke was attempting to yield to the Keating pressure. But what the Prime Minister offered was more of a propaganda exercise than any serious change of government policy. It will have no effect on the basic problems afflicting the nation. It is, of course, breathtaking that the man who as Treasurer implemented the policies responsible for the present recession, should now be claiming that he is the man who, if elected Prime Minister, would reverse the direction in which Australia is travelling.

Recent public opinion surveys showed that consumer confidence has consistently fallen over the past four months. The overwhelming majority of people do not believe that any economic recovery is taking place. But the Treasury's current public relations man, Mr. Kerin, insisted last week that the economy was coming out of the recession, asserting that it was possible that the worst of the job losses was over. But he admitted that the Prime Minister's economic statement had been

prompted "by some unexpected weakness in the economy since the August budget". The growth in unemployment and a mounting deficit has demonstrated the failures of the Treasury "experts".

Paul Keating is well aware that, with every day that passes, the credibility of Prime Minister Hawke is further undermined. He knows that the backbench members of the Labor Party are becoming increasingly restive and that as the next elections come closer that restiveness will increase to the point where they will be seriously considering an alternative leader. Keating is the only one offering. Keating does not want to win by a narrow majority; he wants an overwhelming majority to confirm his authority.

Unless there are major basic changes in the Hawke Government's continuing financial and economic policies, we anticipate a worsening of the general situation early in the New Year. In spite of the fact that Paul Keating once advocated a General Services Tax himself, he believes that Dr. Hewson's consumption tax policy will provide him with the opportunity to demonstrate that he is much better equipped to deal with Dr. Hewson than is Prime Minister Hawke. As an attack on Dr. Hewson's consumption tax is the major issue which the Labor Party perceives to be its best chance of surviving at the next elections, the majority may well conclude that their best chance of survival is with Paul Keating rather than with Bob Hawke. No, the Keating challenge is still well and truly on.

We adhere to the view that, from a realistic point of view, the best result possible at the next Federal elections is one in which a small group of Independents holds the balance of power in the House of Representatives and a similar result in the Senate.

**ZIONIST LEADERS MEET WITH NATIONAL FARMERS:** The Australian Jewish News of November 15th carries the revealing report that the Executive Council of Australian Jewry President Mr. Leslie Caplan and Secretary Jeremy Jones have sought to meet Mr. Rick Farley, Executive Director of the National Farmers Federation after Farley had commented that the League of Rights was gaining ground in the country and "raising false hopes among farmers". Mr. Caplan is quoted as saying that because of their desperate economic plight, "farmers are feeling defeated and rejected by the Australian community", and find themselves turning to "simplistic political solutions, which is a matter of concern to everyone". Presumably the Zionist leaders are opposed to the concept of a moratorium on debt, the re-regulation of the banking system, and more effective electoral control of Members of Parliament. Or do they fear the League's growing exposure of the New International Economic Order and the international programme behind it?

Farmers who are financing the N.F.F. will be interested to know that Mr. Caplan says that he and Mr. Farley will be "sharing information" and will meet again "should the need arise".

## THE FINAL BATTLE FOR AUSTRALIA NOW UNDER WAY

### **Why Basic \$70,000 Fund Must Be Filled**

Late at night last week Mr. Eric Butler received a call from a leading citizen in the country seeking information concerning what he is attempting to achieve through Local Government. The caller said he was a fourth-generation Australian and, like many others, was witnessing developments which he once would have never thought possible. Many are stunned by what has happened. Lacking any background knowledge, many cannot see a way out.

Sustaining morale and providing hope is absolutely vital to carry the nation through the deepening crisis. This is only possible with the widest possible distribution of the information which the League, and those influenced by the League, are providing.

"You have given me hope when I was gripped with near-despair"; is a common reaction to the League's work.

Over the years the League has had the difficult task of attempting to warn people of the insidious influences working through their society, through their educational and other institutions. The result has been an on-going smearing of the League, with the unspeakable Phillip Adams describing League National Director Eric Butler as the "most dangerous man in Australia". Dangerous to what, Mr. Adams?

As the nation has been progressively undermined over the years, the League has been prominent in a number of rearguard actions which have at least slowed down the many assaults on the foundations of the nation, while at the same time the League has been developed to the stage where, with sufficient support, it can play a decisive role in what could be the final battle for Australia. The Secular Humanists have boldly proclaimed that by the year 2001, with the celebration of the Centenary of Federation, Australia will become a republic. The implications of this proposal are horrendous.

Young Australians now only nine years of age will be of voting age before the end of the century. Who is going to try to reach those young Australians with the vital information about their priceless heritage? That is one of the big tasks the League is undertaking. But it needs money.

Responding to a recent private letter by Mr. Eric Butler, a small number of supporters have responded magnificently. The Fund has now passed \$25,000. Eric Butler flew to Brisbane last Monday for a week's work. He will give progress reports. Will the great majority now get behind this magnificent lead? All contributions Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, 3001.

GREENHOUSE HUMBUG from David Thompson:

The 'evidence' for global warming becomes daily more threadbare, and the power groups who use the 'global warming' threat to drive a political agenda become more transparent. Last month SBS videocast the English documentary, "The Greenhouse Conspiracy", which was first videocast in Britain on Channel 4 a number of years ago. The documentary led journalist Frank Devine to comment on the issue in The Australian (11/11/91):

"The 'greenhouse effect' is politically and intellectually the nuclear umbrella of the green movement. From its shadow, extraordinary power plays are being attempted and pervasive efforts made to undermine creative and productive human aspirations..."

The handful of fashionable, high-profile, self-righteous green organisations depend heavily on 'greenhouse' as an article of faith. They shall be the last to examine the scientific evidence; they are propaganda machines. In a recent edition of Forbes magazine, Paul Watson, a disillusioned co-founder of Greenpeace, commented: "The secret to Greenpeace's success (is that) it doesn't matter what is true. It only matters what people believe is true. You are what the media define you to be. Greenpeace became a myth, and a myth-making machine."

Mr. Des Moore, newspaper columnist and senior fellow of the Institute of Public Affairs in Victoria, concurred. The Age (3/8/91): "The global warming theory is now more and more reliant for support on belief and less and less on science."

"The Melbourne (greenhouse action) conference followed an important Washington environmental conference at which scientific papers were presented which contradicted the warming theory or drew attention to serious flaws in it. One suggested that there is now compelling evidence that there are influences which are mitigating any warming that might otherwise occur, leaving a benign result overall."

REJECT THE POWER AGENDA: Those who saw the "Greenhouse Conspiracy" documentary will have seen interviews with scientists who are clearly more interested in their research grants than scientific evidence for global warming. Similarly, political power groups care far more for the centralisation of power than they do for the facts. 'Warming' is merely an excuse for frightening people into agreeing to far-reaching environmental legislation, reducing the individual to a mere statistic of no more value than a tree. The environmentally-led political agenda should be rejected until solid proof of global warming is available. As Frank Devine concluded: "In the meantime, we would all be wise to take no action, and agree to none being taken on our behalf, if the decision to act is in any way influenced by greenhouse-threat theorising."