



# ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

*The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –*

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.31, No.48

December 15th, 1995

**THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:** "The 50th Anniversary of the United Nations should be a cause of mourning, not celebration. It is a moment to foolish hopes, embarrassing compromise, betrayal of our servicemen and a steady stream of insults to our nation. It is a Trojan Horse that carries the enemy into our midst and lures Americans to ride under alien insignia to fight and die in faraway lands."

– Well known U.S.A. commentator Phyllis Schafly

## **BEHIND THE DREAM OF THE WORLD STATE** by Eric D. Butler:

In a society where every individual is economically secure, the minimum of force is required to maintain law and order. In a world in which nations were genuinely sovereign, and not forced by debt-ridden finance-economic policies to "fight for markets", harmonious co-operation between nations would be much easier. But the state of the world is such that violations of natural law and justice create increasing problems which are then justified to support the argument that a strong international police force is necessary to keep order. Although the dream of some type of a "New World Order" is as old as man, it was the two World Wars of this century which provided a tremendous impetus for attempting to create a World State. The genesis of the concept found expression in the creation of the League of Nations at the end of the First World War. It is a matter of history that the League failed to prevent the Second World War. This gave rise to the claim that any new international organisation must have "teeth". The framers of the United Nations set out to ensure that the instruments were available to over-ride the sovereignties of the nations' States.

The Marxist-Leninists everywhere had a clear picture of what they felt was necessary to achieve this objective. The American Soviet agent Alger Hiss was the organising secretary of the San Francisco 1946 U.N. Conference. He was the principal author of the U.N. Charter, drafted at the Dumbarton Oaks Conference. One cannot obtain figs from thistles, and it was not surprising that the U.N. has failed dismally to achieve its stated objectives, one being to prevent aggression. But its first major act was to endorse the Communist-backed aggression which destroyed the sovereignty of Palestine and created a major refugee problem which has continued to poison the whole of the Middle East. The U.N. military action in the Korean War of 1950-53 revealed that U.N. troops under General Douglas Macarthur were not permitted to win, and Macarthur was in fact sacked

for trying to do so. When the U.N. was being established, it was agreed that a Communist should always hold the vital position of Secretary of Political and Security Council Affairs. This enabled the Communists to exercise authority over all U.N. military, political and nuclear questions.

Whether the disintegration of the old Soviet Empire was a major dialectical move, the reality is that a Russian government dominated by former Communists, now often known as Social Democrats, has resorted to ruthless force in an attempt to prevent further fragmentation. There is no suggestion that any international "peace-keeping" action should be taken against Russia. But Russia is now endorsing the latest attempt at international "peace-keeping", in the former Yugoslavia. It will be recalled that the first international "peace-keeping" project in Africa was by the U.N. when it attempted to prevent the Christian African leader Moshe Tshombe from breaking away from the threatened Communist take-over in the former Belgian Congo. More recent international "peace-keeping" efforts in Central Africa, such as Somalia, have proved disastrous, leaving unresolved the basic problem of tribalism which defies the artificial boundaries drawn by the European colonial powers.

A British Government which cannot even guarantee peace in neighbouring Ireland, where an overwhelming majority of the people of Northern Ireland want to remain under the British Crown, is once again providing British troops for an "international peace-keeping" project in the Balkans. It will be recalled that President George Bush claimed that the American invasion of Iraq, presented as yet another "international peace-keeping project", failed to achieve its stated objective of removing Saddam Hussein. It certainly did not advance the "New World Order". France has now rejoined the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (N.A.T.O.), and has already indicated that it will be following its own programme in the Balkans. In the meantime there is little peace inside France as its government attempts to impose an austerity programme which has the nation going up in flames.

Here in Australia Prime Minister Keating says Australia does not need to worry unduly about military defence, as there are no foreseeable threats to the nation. He leads a Government which says that it will always be ready to provide troops for international peace-keeping exercises. The best contribution which Australia can make to world peace is to put its own house in order, strengthen its military defence capacities, and pursue trading policies which create harmonious relations with other nations. Even more important, Australia should start to free itself from the multitude of international agreements and treaties which are eroding the nation's sovereignty. The vast international bureaucracies which have been spawned ever since the U.N. and its numerous agencies were established, have a vested interest in seeking more power over the nations of the world, allegedly in the cause of world peace.

The most encouraging feature of the attempt to create a World State, is that it is falling apart as it is attempted. Occasionally a little realism is shed on the scene, as witnessed by a recent statement

by Trade Minister Bob McMullin in an address in October. He was referring to an attempt by the U.S.A. to have the World Trade Organisation insist that all nations, including the Asians, update labour conditions. McMullin said: "Within the World Trade Organisation, there is absolutely no possibility that a consensus will emerge for trade and labour standards . . . . we can make all the ringing speeches in the halls of the world if it will make us feel better. No worker will be one iota better off as a consequence. It will not happen. So I don't know how much time you want to spend on it, but I hope you will excuse me if I don't spend much."

All the wordy speeches about "world peace" will affect nothing unless basic causes are dealt with.

**CAN WE MOVE THE BASIC FUND PAST THE TARGET?** Some truly magnificent giving has taken the Basic Fund total to \$49,606. But we must stress again that this has been provided by a minority of supporters. What we need as the Fund moves towards its objective, is a flood of smaller donations. Even the humble \$2.00 donation adds up when all pull together. The League's small full-time staff has been feverishly engaged in laying the groundwork for 1996, which will beyond doubt be the biggest year in the history of the League. National Director David Thompson flew into Melbourne from Perth last Monday evening to attend a "hard core" League meeting and then to attend the League's Annual General Meeting of members on Tuesday evening. With the use of phone and faxes, the League's nation-wide network is in "top gear". This is only possible while the financial "sinews of war" are provided. One big "push" by all those who have not yet contributed to the Basic Fund will carry the Fund past the target of \$60,000 early in the New Year. All donations to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne, 3001. In order to save time and postal charges, receipts will not be sent unless requested.

**WHAT ABOUT YOUR SUBSCRIPTION?** As we "clear the decks" for the big action campaigns of 1996, one of the most important supports our readers can give is to ensure that their subscriptions are paid promptly when received. Having to send out reminders wastes valuable time and money.

**"CAMPBELL FACTOR" THREATENS PARTY POWER MONOPOLY** – David Thompson reports from Western Australian:

"The self-inflicted wounds inside the Labor Party in W.A. are deep as the Party divides over the sacking of Graeme Campbell, M.H.R. The resentment extends across the nation, with A.L.P. back-benchers on the "Left", who would not otherwise support Campbell, scathing about the way he was deposed. In W.A. Campbell's supporters inside the A.L.P. in his Kalgoorlie seat, have served notice on the A.L.P. in Perth that they will not campaign against Campbell. This includes two State A.L.P. Members, who together with Campbell are known as the 'Desert Rats'.

"The loyalties inside the A.L.P. are only matched by the strength of factional hatreds. Campbell's new position has generated a form of internal tribal warfare in which he has become embroiled. A man of intense loyalties himself, Campbell feels compelled to keep faith with those who have risked their standing in the A.L.P. by remaining loyal to him. This is why Campbell has announced that he will be contesting Kalgoorlie, rather than running for the Senate. This is regrettable

because Graeme Campbell was a nuisance to the A.L.P. as a dissenting back-bencher: he would be a nightmare to them in the Senate. The drama and theatre may take place in the House of Representatives, but the political sanctions are in the Senate.

"Nevertheless, Campbell proposes to endorse a nation-wide team of Senate candidates for the next election. As a logistical exercise, this is a formidable prospect, but the support for Campbell is massive at the grassroots. This support crosses party lines and many Liberal/National Party **members** are also saying that, if offered the chance, **they would vote for Campbell.**

"One W.A. Liberal M.L.C., Ross Lightfoot, is publicly supporting Campbell and others do so privately. An article in the *Sunday Times* (10/12/95) quotes Mr. Lightfoot as saying that the A.L.P. has seriously miscalculated by dumping Campbell. 'Quite frankly, Graeme's independence of mind is one of his endearing characteristics – he's 10 times the man of Paul Keating,' said Lightfoot.

"Campbell at one time worked for Ross Lightfoot in the W.A. outback as a station overseer. 'Of all the people I've employed, Graeme was undoubtedly the most self-sufficient and by far the toughest,' he said.

"There are two levels of support for Campbell. The first is based upon his appealing personal 'style' and turn of phrase. He projects an image of honesty and integrity in an environment of cynicism and double standards. He is blunt and down-to-earth, and he is **different** to the party-political hack. This alone is enough to generate massive popular support. But in the heat of an intense election campaign, such popular support cannot be easily sustained, and it is another quality about Campbell which terrifies the A.L.P. power-brokers.

"The most dangerous quality about Campbell – to the A.L.P. and the Coalition alike – is his ability to constantly identify the **issues** that concern large numbers of people, but which parties refuse to address. For example, in *The Bulletin* (Sydney, 12/12/95) Campbell is quoted on the key issues of Immigration:- 'The only criteria (to be allowed to migrate) should be net value to Australia; you don't bring in people who are going to be an obvious cost to the taxpayer, like unemployable people; and you don't bring in people whose culture is incompatible with Western liberal democracy. Immigration mistakes are big mistakes, long-term mistakes. If you are hell-bent on irrevocably changing tenets of Australian culture, people have the right to be told. If you want to fill Australia up with lame Lebanese lesbians, and the people of Australia agree, that's fine. But if the people of Australia aren't consulted when you're doing it, then that's treason,' he said."