



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.46, No.31

August 13th, 2010

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: In Solzhenitsyn's famous B.B.C. address (26/3/76), he warned the west that we were accelerating down the same path that would lead to the same conditions which the people of the Soviet Union experienced. But elsewhere he warned that this great human tragedy had nothing to do with race, but everything to do with philosophy. While the world's human bodies are distinguished by racial characteristics their minds are formed and controlled by philosophy.

There are only two groups of "minds" in the world. One philosophical group believes that all power and authority arises external to each individual, while the other group believes that all power and authority arises from within each individual. All the world's religions are rooted in one or other of these basic belief systems.

Western civilisation arose from the Christian philosophy: all power and authority, from God, arises from within each individual. But now the whole world is being overtaken by systems and institutions based on the other philosophy; this includes the corporate state, centralised republican government and in particular financier/bankers. All use 'ballot box democracy' as a vehicle for change.

To undermine and convert the western world, mass immigration from countries that don't even have a ballot box, is one of the current vehicles being used to continue the destruction of what is left of western civilisation. The matter is not even presented as a ballot box option!

– John Brett Toowoomba, Queensland

CALLING ALL THE HIGH PROFILE IMMIGRATION CAMPAIGNERS by Don Auchterlonie:

The question that Dick Smith, Bob Carr, Kelvin Thomson, The ACF, Andrew Bolt, Steve Price and the rest of the newly supportive media of a now decades-old sentiment to REDUCE IMMIGRATION need to answer is: Why won't they use their high profiles and daily opportunities across all media to inform and encourage the millions who have long held the same view to write REDUCE IMMIGRATION in the blank space atop both ballot papers on Election day? **The only day we are all able to tell the politicians what we want on immigration!**

We know a REDUCE IMMIGRATION 'write-on' would work wonderfully well with the encouragement, legitimacy, and public awareness that all these high profile individuals could generate around this idea. It could very quickly go MSM and www viral should they choose to promote it. Look up Wiki on how

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Calling All The High Profile Immigration Campaigners* • *Beware Of 'Pollie Speak': Responsible Voting Needed* • *Scandalous Disregard For Most Things Beyond Re-Election* • *If We Didn't Have A Laugh We Would Have A Good Cry ...*

NO DAMS write-on campaign worked to save the Franklin River with both Dick Smith's and Bob Brown's blessing back in the early 1980s. Presumably they recognise how much more important today's immigration/population issue is to our national future than the Franklin River ever was. So, why don't they harness support via the ballot? (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franklin_Dam)

Dick Smith *et al* have known for many months about the REDUCE IMMIGRATION write-on but have, so far, not responded. If these gentlemen will not back this campaign will they tell the Australian people why not? Are they pulling their punches because of political correctness or what? The present demographics in Australia and the current immigration levels mean that time is running out on Australia retaining its normal culture. Australians have never been consulted about immigration levels so it is so important to have this opportunity publicised.

A MUST WATCH: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sC2vStOI2ZA>

TARGET: Write to Dick Smith, Bob Carr, Kelvin Thomson, Andrew Bolt and Steve Price and ask them why they will not publicise this opportunity we have on the one day we have a chance to have our say!

- Dick Smith C/o Australia All Over, G.P.O. Box 9994, Sydney, N.S.W., 2001.
- Bob Carr, C/o Parliament House, Macquarie Street, Sydney, N.S.W., 2000.
- Kelvin Thomson MP, 3 Munro Street, Coburg, Vic., 3058.
- Andrew Bolt, The Herald Sun, P.O. Box 14999, Melbourne City MC, 8001.
- Steve Price, Radio MTR Melbourne, 473 Swan Street, Melbourne, 3000.

Take a copy of the enclosed pamphlet to your local newspaper and ask them to include it in their election coverage as a local initiative.

BEWARE OF 'POLLIE SPEAK': RESPONSIBLE VOTING NEEDED by Louis Cook: I don't know about you but already I am sick of the election hype and when a questioner asked the two aspiring candidates for Prime Minister about tax cuts during the pseudo debate, one said "you can't just make tax cuts with the stroke of a pen". Rubbish! It seems there is no problem with increasing taxes; an announcement is made on budget night and the increases start the next day!

I have a suggestion, lower the GST by 2% and this would lower power and fuel costs straight away and then flow on to many other areas affecting all Australians.

But a change in that direction is too much to expect from any of the big parties because they have a "debt and taxes" philosophy... increase, increase and increase.

The Liberal party led by John Howard was the highest taxing government of all time when they were removed from office, with total government tax receipts increasing from \$115 million in 1995/96 to \$217.8 million in 2006/07 and the rural debt increased \$25 billion under their watch.

Since Labor came to office rural debt has gone from \$53 billion and now past \$62 billion and the total tax take has escalated even further.

Both parties are indicted by their respective performance as very poor money managers and further, the hard-pressed taxpayer is going to be squeezed even more as they try to do their version of "responsible" money management. When politicians say they will make the "hard decisions" it is "pollie speak" for 'we don't mind hurting people' – and they do!

Voting in elections should be seen as a deadly serious business it is not a "game-show" or some type of pantomime with "good guys and bad guys". You are voting for someone who will make decisions on your behalf, someone who will make decisions affecting your personal, financial, religious and cultural freedoms, and if these freedoms are infringed then you **MUST** take some of the blame yourself.

Unless you live in the electorates of Lalor or Warringah you cannot respectively vote for Julia Gillard or Tony Abbott but you must vote for somebody else. Who will you vote for this time?

Will you vote for more of the same or will you take some responsibility for the state of the nation and try something different? Make the candidates in your electorate earn your vote by making them responsible for their actions.

Your purpose should be to break up the power bases of the major political parties if you must vote, alternatively you could place the sitting member last in the House of Representatives and whatever you do make sure to vote for a different party in the Senate. If you are concerned about the "immigration invasion" then you can let your feelings be known by writing "reduce immigration" on the ballot paper where it will not interfere with your vote. There is a threat that "The Greens" will hold the balance of power and to counter this they should be placed after the major parties... don't give them a chance! I have attached a message from the "Climate Sceptics" who are fielding candidates in the Senate and could be worth your 1st preference as they support The Australian Constitution and Citizens Initiated Referenda. Please check them out to see if they measure up to YOUR ideals in other fields. I believe "Family First" have similar policies, however, the choice, along with the responsibility, is yours.

If you believe in the "power of prayer" then it could be a good idea to start praying hard because the prospects for Australians right now do not look too good. Keep your chin up and start hitting!

SCANDALOUS DISREGARD FOR MOST THINGS BEYOND RE-ELECTION by James Reed:

Don't send a man to do a woman's work, especially when you are Madame-PM. It seems that Gilly has been silly and sent a former bodyguard to attend "highly sensitive security meetings on her behalf" (*The Weekend Australian*, 1/8/2010, p.1) The revelations, leaked by some mysterious person (is that you Kev still loitering around here?), are that Gilly as Deputy PM did not attend regularly Cabinet's national security committee meetings and sent a junior to fill in. After all, it wasn't really important stuff like getting a new shade of red in her hair – it was just national security.

Endeavour Forum newsletter No.139, August 2010, p.1 points out that Julie Gillard is a founding member of Emily's List. This group seeks to elect pro-abortion women into parliament. The organisation has come from the U.S. and spread to Australia. The group supports abortion for any reason until birth and feminist pro-abortion politicians in the U.S. have had their campaign donations cut by Emily's List for failing to support partial-birth abortions.

Here in Australia, according to *Endeavour Forum*, the Victorian Abortion Law Reform Act 2008, instigated in part by Emily's List faction in the ALP allows abortion right up to birth. This was something I did not know, and as well, some late-term aborted babies are born alive.

Endeavour Forum quotes the *Herald Sun* of 20 May 2010 which says: "...one late-term abortion is performed in Victoria every day and 54 babies survived the procedure to die post-natally..." It seems that these 54 babies were not resuscitated or put in a humicrib. They must have been left to die. That is murder.

Now is a good time to write to the PM and ask her about her opinion of the situation described in the *Herald Sun* article – or perhaps a friendly former bodyguard may assist us. Clearly Gillard is not fit to be a PM. Dare I say it – she is almost, almost as bad as Rudd. Think about that Queensland!

IF WE DIDN'T HAVE A LAUGH WE WOULD HAVE A GOOD CRY... Source: Tim Blair, *Daily Telegraph*, 26th July 2010: "The horror of a year in climate La La Land" – NOT that she really needs another vote, the polls being where they now are, but Prime Minister Julia Gillard's climate speech last week absolutely guaranteed my support.

"If we are re-elected," Gillard announced, "I will develop a dedicated process, a Citizens' Assembly, to examine over 12 months the evidence on climate change, the case for action and the possible consequences of introducing a market-based approach to limiting and reducing carbon emissions."

Now, this I've just got to see. A genuine Citizens' Assembly, all squabbling and seething and obsessing for a whole year about how best to destroy our economy for no reason at all? Please, please make it happen.

Even better, they should televise every minute of the Assembly's deliberations, with underperforming Citizens being voted out (and also being sterilised, if we want to add a population theme). Al Gore could turn up to present a special Inconvenient Truth temperature graph challenge. MasterClimate!

But there are one or two problems with Gillard's Citizens' Assembly plan. For a start, it's supposed to feature "a representative range of ordinary Australians". Really? How many ordinary Australians are able to take an entire year off to talk about carbon dioxide levels and emissions trading fantasies? This is usually something only idiots do.

An easy solution: instead of the volunteer system Gillard proposes, which will attract the sort of bearded people who read books about peak oil and know what WOMAD is, membership of the Citizens' Assembly should be determined by a national draft.

It would be just like the Vietnam War days, except far more terrifying. Being deployed to the Vietcong-infested Mekong Delta is one thing. Spending a year helping the Government formulate its climate change policies is quite another.

Imagine the anxiety as millions of Australians scan their mail every day, hoping that the letter hasn't arrived. Jack sensed that his number was up. The recycled paper, the Commonwealth emblem in the left-hand corner, the hand-written message from Penny Wong telling him "Your number is up". None of these signs were good.

His hands shaking, Jack opened the envelope. "Dear Citizen," he read. "You have been selected by the Australian government to take part in ... " The letter fell from his hands.

Eyes closed, Jack could hear Denise and their twins laughing in the kitchen. Even as he listened, the sound became distant, as though receding to another time. A time Jack was about to leave. A happy time. A time without public servants from the Department of Climate Change asking him how many parts per million of carbon dioxide should be permitted under local and international trading agreements prior to the mandatory application of financial penalties.

"Jack? Jack, dear, are you all right?" Denise, dread on her face, walked slowly towards her motionless husband. He didn't answer. Jack was thinking about something he'd read years earlier. On certain Saturday nights, if the sky was clear and the breeze just so, inmates on the prison island of Alcatraz could hear parties from the mainland. They could hear freedom.

Denise picked up the letter. As she began to weep, Jack realised that he was now crying, too.

He'd remembered something else ... nobody ever escaped from Alcatraz.

Probably it would be worse. Even in Alcatraz, nobody made you study coal sequestration.

Continued in The Bulletin

Political comment authorised by Donald Auchterlonie, 145 Russell Street, Melbourne, Vic., 3000

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.