




A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.46, No.42

October 29th, 2010

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "...It is hardly surprising then that the decision of the Director of Military Prosecutions Brigadier Lyn McDade to charge three Australian special force soldiers has been received with surprise, incredulity and outrage..." – **Australians for Constitutional Monarchy**

"There is another matter which is obscured in this DMP scheme, but has far more serious implications than anybody has seen fit to draw to our attention. It concerns the legal principle we once enjoyed, where the ordinary citizen was presumed innocent until guilt could be established, beyond any reasonable doubt. While not mentioned in our constitution it is implicit. Beyond that it came to us through our system of Common Law.

Now all that could ignite a year-long debate amongst the legal people who have a vested interest in having the water muddied – just as long as they are being paid. They no longer protect us, but certainly protect their own legal financial interests.

Avoiding that debate, we need to focus on the system of law that this DMP foreshadows, and it is not confined to the Defence Forces. Its pedigree is at least 2,000 years old; we once left it behind where it was found.

The "Soviet system" we speak of is presented as benign through the mainline media, but there is no "Rule of Law" in Soviet or Communist systems, their enlightened leaders are all above the law until they are thrown into prison. Worse, their systems presume the peasants are all guilty until the DMP decides that they are innocent. Only the leaders are deemed innocent, until they stumble on the truth.

Unlike our Common Law system, witnesses and evidence are not required with this version of the Soviet system. The DMP collects what evidence they need for their purpose of prosecution, not information or facts that may negate his objective, there being no military enemies in their sphere.

The midnight trial of Christ under this Soviet system is the perfect example of what is being foreshadowed for us.

Just imagine in this Afghanistan case, where the DMP here in Australia is going to collect and import witnesses and evidence from Afghanistan years after the event! Then with one foot in the door, the civil DMP will emerge on "The successes of the military DMP". We must stop blindly wandering down this disastrous path.

On this very matter Sir Owen Dixon warned graduating law students in 1970 at Sydney University. He

IN THIS ISSUE • *Thought For The Week* • *The Soviet Legal System Of Australia!* • *Has This ABC Journalist Touched A Raw Nerve?* • *For The Sake Of The Non-White World ... Please Surrender* • *Douglas Social Credit And Global Corporatism*

told them, "never let that happen here". It seems he wasted his time and breath, for the local Soviets are up to their ears in it.

We must find somebody who can see further than these deceitful politicians and can help us. Somebody out there – besides those imposing this on us – must know how the Soviet system works. We have enough of their refugees here, surely some are game enough to speak out.

If not – we will see you all in Moscow! – John Brett, Toowoomba, Queensland.

Watch Jeremy Lee on: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OanA_4JbLAA

THE SOVIET LEGAL SYSTEM OF AUSTRALIA! by Betty Luks: No matter what the latest 'crisis', Australians are finding that an alien system of law is now imposed upon them. Welcome to the U.N. New World Order!

David Flint, Australians for Constitutional Monarchy wrote of the prosecutions instigated by the Director of Military Prosecutions against three Australian soldiers. He wrote:

"It is hardly surprising then that the decision of the Director of Military Prosecutions Brigadier Lyn McDade to charge three Australian special force soldiers has been received with surprise, incredulity and outrage...

Military justice: Military justice must necessarily differ from civilian process. For most of the life of our armed forces, prosecutions were conducted before courts martial. But after a Senate Report which was highly critical of the process, an Australian Military Court was created in 2007. This was found to be unconstitutional in 2009, so courts martial were reinstated temporarily until a constitutionally valid military court under the Federal Court could be established.

But what remained of the 2007 bundle of changes was a separate and independent Director of Military Prosecutions (DMP), one so designed that it is more powerful than the high command. **It is being said that the reason for the prosecutions has been to pre-empt a threat a prosecution before an international tribunal, the International Criminal Court (ICC).** If this is so, there would be a good argument to withdraw from the Rome Convention which established the ICC... Just imagine if such a position had existed in the Second World War. Had prosecutions been launched whenever civilians were inadvertently killed, the AIF, the RAN and the RAAF would have been rendered impotent..."

Where were you Professor Flint, the White Ants started gnawing away 20 years ago: The real intent was to open up our legal system to International Law: Our young soldiers are about to find out what was the real (long-term) intent of the 'war crimes' legislation. By the changes in the legislation – no longer could those charged under the Act plead they 'were following orders'. The revised War Crimes Act, Part III – War Crimes, No.16, put an end to that. "No defence of superior orders" reads: "16. Subject to subsections 6 (2) and 13 (2) the fact that, in doing an act alleged to be an offence against this Act, a person acted under orders of his or her government or of a superior is not a defence in a proceeding for the offence, but may, if the person is convicted of the offence, be taken into account in determining the proper sentence."

The League was there at the time: Maybe the good professor didn't follow the prosecution of the first Australian War Crimes trial in the early 1990s – but I did. Along with other League folk concerned with what the political parties were going along with, I, and my little band, protested outside the Magistrates Court for the first five days of the committal hearings. We carried placards reading: "War Crimes Trials are SELECTIVE : Is HAWKE going to charge JAPANESE 'WAR CRIMINALS'?"; another read "WAR CRIMES LEGISLATION CONTRARY TO OUR TRADITION OF COMMON LAW".

At the time the League wrote: "These men are faced with charges that are alleged to have occurred in another country and tried by people who could not possibly understand what it was like in those situations and conditions. The war crimes legislation is morally wrong. It was accepted under our system of Common Law, that we do not try a person for an alleged crime that was not criminal at the time and in the place when the alleged act occurred."

Spare us the weeping and wailing and gnashing of teeth: As for Tony Abbott, please spare us your words of concern for our soldiers. Your political party is just as much to blame for where Australia's soldiers are at the moment as is that other party that sold us out long ago. Let me repeat what Eric D. Butler had to say about the Ahern government in Queensland when it sent a delegation to Paris to appeal against the Hawke government's listing of North Queensland as a World Heritage area. Our Aboriginal folk need to be reminded of this bit of history. He wrote in the *"Intelligence Survey"* June 1988:

"What a spectacle; an Australian State government, allegedly representative of independent Australians, literally grovelling before the strange assortment of bureaucrats responsible for the World Heritage Commission, pleading that they will heed their requests.

If Premier Ahern had an ounce of statesmanship, he would ignore the World Heritage Commission and convene an immediate conference of Premier Greiner of N.S.W. and Premier Gray of Tasmania, to plan a national campaign to tell the Australian people of the real purpose behind World Heritage listings; **to surrender Australia's constitutional heritage**. I realise of course that this would mean also confronting their federal colleagues who have participated in the surrender of Australia's independence via international conventions." (emphasis added...ed) **So, what about it Professor Flint?**

HAS THIS ABC JOURNALIST TOUCHED A RAW NERVE? "Why does the ABC publish such Leftist tosh?" asks Andrew Bolt, 20 October, 2010.

ABC Journalist David Chibo in "Why Australia fights" gives his reasons – and Andrew Bolt doesn't like them:

"The Australian Senate will this week hold an enquiry into the perpetual war that has become Afghanistan, and cost 21 Australian soldiers' lives, led by the Greens who will query the Labor Government's goal in prosecuting the nine-year war in Afghanistan.

The Liberal Opposition will close ranks with its Labor opponents, at least on this one issue, and ensure that the true goals and purpose of Australia's involvement in Afghanistan remain shrouded in mystery. To even mention that the U.S. and its allies are in Afghanistan to capture Osama bin Laden, or to deny Al Qaeda a base, or to instil democracy and human rights, or even support the U.S. alliance would insult the vast majority of my readers, and be as useful as defending a belief in the Tooth Fairy. What then is the true reason for Australia's illegal war in Afghanistan?

Australia, like the other mercenary nations in the table, is in effect behaving as a "broker" for the "World Banker". In return for its military support, Australia was rewarded with the coveted FTA much to the benefit of its corporate interests, which can be found in the Australia United States FTA Business Group (AUSTA).

Key members include Rupert Murdoch's News Ltd, Westfield Holdings, the Business Council of Australia, the Minerals Council of Australia, the Australian Chamber of Commerce and Industry, BHP Steel, Visy (Pratt Industries) and Southcorp..."

Read more here: <http://www.abc.net.au/unleashed/40226.html>

FOR THE SAKE OF THE NON-WHITE WORLD... PLEASE SURRENDER – your selfish lifestyle! **Social Credit or Bust!** by James Reed: Some articles are informative because they give us a glimpse of what the new world order ruling elites have in store for us. Professor Stephane Garelli, "First World May Need to Rediscover Frugality", *The Australian*, October 6, 2010, p.40, tells us that the bubble of affluence has burst. He says: "The market only understands "big money" and part of the truth of "big money" is that the "real" "debt of nations is deeper than reported". In fact, for most Western economies this debt level is three to five times their GDP and growing. The U.S. national public debt, adding in Medicare and social security, tips the scales at over \$US 105 trillion. Forget ever paying that off: compound interest will ensure that. Such is the global financial treadmill.

There is also now a South-South bloc which lies south of a diagonal between Moscow and Mexico which is eager to reach and surpass the level of affluence of the First World. I note that the environmentalists never criticise these coloured folk for wanting to consume like the West. ("How can we, even if the planet dies, that would be racist...Better the planet dies...")

Garelli's concluding paragraph is food for choking on: "In the end, the next recession will be the real moment of truth for advanced economies and businesses and governments better be ready for it. An ageing population used to a standard of living increasingly subsidised by state aid and public borrowing abroad may be in for a harsh reality check *with a reduced standard of living*."

What would happen, economically, politically and socially if emerging economies decided to close the money tap? Advanced economies have never been so vulnerable. They are now in dire need of a new solid economic model and they may have to rediscover frugality."

The way forward, as I see it, is social credit, a form of national/local economics that completely avoids the absurd debt paradoxes mentioned by Professor Garelli. The threat of the undermining of our lifestyle and the adoption of Third World poverty should be an incentive to implement this much-needed economic revolution. It's social credit or bust.

DOUGLAS SOCIAL CREDIT AND GLOBAL CORPORATISM: Social credit is a study of economics and the social order which will enable you to explain why the words quoted below are farcical. The words are spoken by an orthodox economist seeking to persuade a potentially rebellious population that there is no viable alternative to global corporatism:

"Suppose a party of people were wrecked on a desert island, what do you think would be the first thing they'd do? Obviously they would look around for a man with money to employ them in gathering fruit. If there were no capitalist among them, or if he didn't see his way to make a profit out of the business, they would all remain unemployed and starve to death, no matter how fertile the island might be.

"If therefore we want to have plenty of employment, we must give every possible incentive to entrepreneurs – encouraging them to get as much of our money from us as they can, so that they can spend it on employing us to make more for them."

(Eimar O'Duffy, "Asses in Clover", Jon Carpenter Publishing 2003, p 246-7)

Read about "Julia's "Secret State" at: <http://ipa.org.au/sectors/governance-service-provision/news/2221/julia%27s-secret-state-stinks>

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.