



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

09 SEP 2011

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.47, No.35

September 9th, 2011

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: The Trinitarian Function of Political, Economic and Financial Power: Political, economic and financial power are three areas which should have one objective: service to mankind. In trinitarian terms, the three should overlap into one, and the one into three if the pattern of reality established by the Holy Trinity is to be observed. When finance is elevated above the other two, there is no affinity with Christ's teaching, and balanced harmony is fractured.

A Christian gospel which ignores the power of finance over politics and economics is a filleted gospel, robbed of Christ's power and authority. It fears confrontation with the one power on earth Christ said was opposed to God; the power of materialism, money or as Christ named it, Mammon. Christ said no person could worship God and Mammon. Mankind will only serve God when the power of Mammon has been subjugated to God...

A Christian economy would function by eliminating any form of coercion against producer or consumer - the underlying weapons of a centrally-controlled economy. The objective of a Christian economy is to fulfil a trinitarian function, delivering goods and services to each individual As, When and Where required, the essence of decentralised service. The individual consumer is master, the economy the servant. Money becomes a ticket, not a truncheon. The whole tenor of Matthew 6:24, 34 sets down from the first verse the principle from which all else flows..." – "Trinitarianism: The Threefold Substance of Reality" by Edward Rock, March 2000

PROFESSOR FLINT – WE AREN'T 'TRULY INDEPENDENT' by Betty Luks: The Hon. King O'Malley, who had maintained a keen interest in Australian politics over the years, was stirred by what he saw as yet another attempt to emasculate his beloved Bank. Although over 80 years of age, King O'Malley vigorously entered the 1939 'Save The Commonwealth Bank Campaign', publishing a little booklet in which he demonstrated that he was still capable of the type of language for which he was famous during his campaign to have the Bank established.

He wrote, "I trust that good and patriotic Australians will swear by the altar of their gods, the tombs of their Ancestors and the cradles of their children, that they will never vote for Parliamentary candidates whose secret mission is to destroy the Commonwealth Bank ... and whose brains, if extracted, dried and placed in the quill of a cocksparrow and blown into the eye of a bee, would not even make him blink". – **"The Story of the Commonwealth Bank"** by Institute of Economic Democracy

Professor David Flint of Australians for Constitutional Monarchy recently took to task the new Tasmanian Senator Lisa Singh (Lab) for claiming Australia is not truly independent.

IN THIS ISSUE • *Thought For The Week* • *Professor Flint – We Aren't 'Truly' Independent'* • *Totsched: Death By Silence* • *The Left Plays The "Politics Of Fear"* • *Kevin Rudd & Death Of West: Need More Than A New Heart-Valve* • *The Abuse Of Children In The Name Of "Beauty"* • *Black Superman Saves Truth, Debt And The American Way* • *We Are Being Forced Fed A Lie!*

"ON TARGET"

September 9th, 2011

Page 1 (137)

New Senator affirms allegiance, declares Australia not truly independent": "...we still, in my view, have a way to go in fully declaring ourselves as the architect of our own destiny. As a former state convenor of the Australian Republican Movement, I hope that in my time in this place Australia becomes a truly independent nation with our own head of state."

(http://www.norepublic.com.au/index.php?searchword=29+august+2011&option=com_search&Itemid=) As is to be expected, being a Labor senator the good lady wants Australia to become a centralised republic thus radically altering our tripartite governmental system of a Constitutional Monarchy.

For me the key words are: "architect of our own destiny". How can either Labor's Senator Lisa Singh or ACM's Professor David Flint claim we Australians are not/are 'architects of our own destiny' whilst our financial system is controlled by international financiers and bankers? Surely a nation's financial system should be based on the belief it is a social institution and not the plaything of private financiers and bankers?

Professor Flint posted "a photo of the Federal Labour Party MPs elected to the Australian House of Representatives and Australian Senate at the inaugural 1901 election" which included that colourful character King O'Malley.

King O'Malley's background and the battles he fought on behalf of the Australian people should be closely studied and taken to heart. King O'Malley fought to wrest the dominance of the creation of credit out of the hands of the banks and financiers by the setting up of the original Commonwealth Bank. When the Commonwealth Constitution was drafted and accepted by the Australian people, the power of financial credit was left in the hands of the banks, which was operated between themselves largely through the chequeing system (another form of IOUs). By 1934 L.C. Jauncey Ph.D. referred to the Commonwealth Bank as "Australia's Government Bank" in his book of the same name. But, sadly, as King O'Malley warned against it, the Australian people did vote for "*Parliamentary candidates whose secret mission [was] to destroy the Commonwealth Bank ... and whose brains, if extracted, dried and placed in the quill of a cocksparrow and blown into the eye of a bee, would not even make him blink*" with the result we are caught up in a financial-debt straight-jacket which is slowly strangling all community and family life to death in this nation.

Further reading here <http://www.alor.org/Library/Commonwealthbank.htm> Or purchase a hard copy of "The Story of the Commonwealth Bank" by D.J. Amos from Heritage Book Services \$10.00 + postage.

** The latest High Court decision on Gillard's Australia/Malaysia 'swap' of illegals highlights further the fact that the Australian people are NOT independent.

On Target, Vol.18, No.49, 17 December 1982: "As the international crisis deepens, the constant theme is being advanced that the only hope for mankind is planning on a global scale. Talk of "reforming" the international banking system, and the increasing promotion of the New International Economic Order, are all part of the Big Idea. Australians should carefully note that not only Prime Minister Fraser and associates like Mr. Andrew Peacock are keen supporters of the Big Idea, but that it is also endorsed by both Mr. Bill Hayden and Mr. Bob Hawke, although the lure of support from some of Australia's threatened secondary industries has resulted in the ALP suggesting that these industries are entitled to some protection against foreign imports.

TOTSCHED: DEATH BY SILENCE: The Albrechtsen article mentioned an article by Shelly Gare, "Death by Silence in Writers' Combat Zone", *Quadrant Online*, August 4, 2010. The German word "totschweig-tactic" means death by silence and is one of the ways the new class elite, especially in the media and academia, neutralise those who oppose them. Works are allowed to go down the memory

hole. But this is also done to people, so effectively, that the ranks of academia in Australia have been largely pruned of critics of immigration and multiculturalism. This is statistically significant with the complete absence of critics that it can only be because of a program of "intellectual genocide".

THE LEFT PLAYS THE "POLITICS OF FEAR" by Peter Ewer: Political fallout from the Breivik Norway massacre was not long in coming. The Left went through Breivik's raving manifesto and pointed their claws at those references within it they did not like. An editorial in *The Australian*, July 30-31, 2011, p.15, hit back and said "A debate on multiculturalism is entirely legitimate." Well, when is this likely to occur? Reading further in the editorial we find: "This newspaper has always supported Australia's open-migration policies." In other words, there will be no debate. But really "debate" is just talk while the policy of open-migration ever continues. The fact of the matter is that people in the West are not and have never been, given a say about immigration.

KEVIN RUDD & DEATH OF WEST: NEED MORE THAN A NEW HEART-VALVE by James Reed: Kev 07 Rudd, "Don't Believe Rumours of the West's Death", *The Australian*, August 1, 2011, p.14, recently delivered the Magna Carta lecture in Adelaide. At first I was astonished that someone who did so much in such a short time to Asianise Australia would have a good word to say about the Magna Carta, but Rudd did: "The Magna Carta represents all that is finest in the continuing values that bind the peoples of Britain and Australia, other places of the common law world and to those of the family of democracies that lie beyond the common law world as well. This is not a heritage lightly to be discarded". Agreed.

Nevertheless the heritage of the West is being threatened because the people who created that heritage are being displaced. For the likes of Rudd, cultures are independent of races: Australia would still be "Australia" if 100% Chinese. This is a fallacy, a fateful fallacy. It is races or peoples who create cultures. Cultures die with racial death. The West dies when White people die as a race. Mass immigration and multicultural diversity is hastening these death throes.

THE ABUSE OF CHILDREN IN THE NAME OF "BEAUTY" by James Reed: Here in Melbourne there was a U.S.-style beauty contest where young female children were all done up in make-up. Child beauty contests, I believe, are a form of child abuse equal to the sexualising of children, usually young girls in commercials. In my opinion it is obvious that that "making up" with cosmetics is to make the young female attractive by looking like an adult female. And there is something wrong here. It is qualitatively different from forcing one's child to do extra study on maths or take tennis lessons. The tragedy of JonBenet Ramsey, a child beauty queen found strangled in her home, with a paedophile a likely suspect, is a chilling image of where all this can lead.

BLACK SUPERMAN SAVES TRUTH, DEBT AND THE AMERICAN WAY by Peter Ewer: It was all so predictable: the Republicans would talk tough about not letting Obamarama write cheques, but before the fateful hour they struck a deal: go ahead and spend, the U.S.A. can collapse another day. But the eurozone is in crisis and even media scribblers fear the European Union's demise. In Australia the capitalists have experienced the weakest retail growth since 1962. During early August, 2011, Australian stocks slumped, washing away \$30 billion in value, just like that. One has to laugh if this is what life is supposed to be about. It is only cosmically fitting for a system as absurd as this one to flop over with its belly split open.

WE ARE BEING FORCED FED A LIE! There are some excellent articles coming out about the truth of Carbon and Carbon Dioxide (or CO₂). The following taken from <http://galileomovement.com.au/blog/> is one such article:

What is this Carbon Tax all about? by Michelle Tesoriero: Firstly let's get our terms factual and

truthful i.e. to state exactly what is and what the propaganda really means. The term, "carbon tax" is not factual and it is not truthful. Why? Carbon is contained in all living things on earth. You have carbon inside you, so does your pet cat, dog, bird, horse, the plants in your garden, including weeds, the trees, the farms that grow the food we put on our table, the rivers, Sydney Harbour, the oceans of the world. I think I've communicated my factual and truthful point.

Ok. So if we tax carbon we are taxing life itself. Sounds inhumane doesn't it? So do those that invented this notion and those that vehemently support this sound like folk you would like to invite for dinner... or perhaps try your luck dining at theirs?

Your place or theirs I'd say the odds would be they'd take umbrage to you having the temerity and audacity to live, to cook the food that was grown by the farmers that was prepared and cooked and served for a pleasant evening all because you are a Carbon Carrier. Now they wouldn't dare to admit they are Carbon Carriers.

After all, carbon is present in all living things on earth. So, what do they classify themselves? Mmmm...perhaps aliens or inanimate objects?

Ok. Now if it is all about emissions; don't worry I'll keep it clean. I shall not delve into being a carbon copy of the dishonourables emitting toxicity in OUR parliaments as they spew their hostile and poisonous unintelligible gases into the atmosphere; our atmosphere. They are the real polluters. I'd class myself as a Carbon Carrier and a long term friend of CO₂. I actually know lots of folk who are friends with CO₂. The trees out the front of my house have indicated they NEED CO₂. I'd say they are perfect candidates as friends of CO₂. Perhaps this could be the catalyst for a new political party, "Friends Of CO₂"

Next thing: So if we Carbon Carriers exhale, that is an emission so the logical conclusion is the plan to tax us. Sounds inhumane don't you think? Anything else that contains carbon that is emitting it into the atmosphere shall also be taxed. Your pets, livestock, the oceans, yes the BIG POLLUTERS the oceans as they have in dissolved form 50 times the CO₂ in the earth's ENTIRE atmosphere! Wow, they sure do have a head start on us! And that is BEFORE it hits the atmosphere. So the oceans are not only Carbon Carriers, they are Carbon Dioxide Hoarders as well. The plants don't even get a look in because they NEED CO₂.

They will be classified as Carbon Thieves. Ah, then this is where the Carbon Cops enter the world stage. They will redistribute the wealth so the Carbon Hoarders 'n' Carriers don't give away the CO₂ to those that really need it! The Carbon Cops will decide it is better at a price that they see fit, an undefined price at that. All friends of CO₂ will be pursued whether they produced, stored or used this "pollutant".

Doesn't it sound similar to a philosophy of control of market forces? Will the creators of this madness be able to measure each person's boundary line of carbon dioxide "trafficking"? Sounds to me more like guilty by association.

Yes we will definitely need the Carbon Cops to tax the Carbon Traffickers. Perhaps the reason the dishonourables of parliament displayed such toxicity toward the truckies?

So what is this Carbon Dioxide Tax all about? No matter how unjust, they are after their unjust share. Sounds like the flourishing bureaucracies of the past that continue to spawn and feed off citizens in the guise of helping yet at the same time not delivering to those in real need. They aim to create an interdependent market on their unjust terms.

Continued in The Bulletin