

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

*The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –*

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.47, No.38

September 30th, 2011

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

An Army of some 25 people invaded the home of Pastor Chris Field, evicted him and family leaving them out the front of the home with only the clothes they were wearing, but without shoes. The enforcers then erected a fence around the home to be policed by guard dogs. This show of strength by the Victorian Government on this passive family of God was larger than most sent to a hostage situation involving firearms.

If I have understood the situation correctly: The key point about all of Pastor Chris Field's queries is that he wants to know is his Mortgage Deed still held by the Macquarie Bank or was it on-sold to another institution. – <http://www.alor.org/Volume47/Vol47No15.htm>

In 2008 American lawyer and author Ellen Brown alerted her readers to the fact that banks had on-sold the Mortgages without their clients knowledge, and had created such a tangle that original ownership was hard to prove.

"Let the Lawsuits Begin: Banks Brace for a Storm of Litigation": 13th, July 2008: – <http://www.webofdebt.com/articles/bracing-storm.php>

THIS MONSTROUS CONFIDENCE TRICK, THIS BOGUS NUMEROLOGY by Wallace Klink:

Politicians are concerned to become elected and to remain in office. They are under pressure to create more employment (i.e., "jobs") because people including the politicians have been sedulously indoctrinated with the notion, which takes the form of an absolute moral imperative, that the only right to partake of the product of industry is that earned by contributing directly to its creation as a paid "worker".

Inevitably, under such circumstances "work" (i.e., "jobs") must be created whether or not they are actually needed, and consequently much of, and an increasing portion, of production must be "crude" because it is artificially driven not so consumers can enjoy the product of the current outpouring of goods but so that they can earn the incomes required to purchase past production.

Current finished production can only be purchased by incomes earned through additional production and/or the assumption of debt in the form of credit issued as repayable bank loans, which loans are of course a charge against and recoverable from future production. As Douglas observed, he could never understand why we must first manufacture a machine-gun in order to derive the financial incomes by which to purchase a cabbage already produced and awaiting consumption.

IN THIS ISSUE • *Thought For The Week* • *This Monstrous Confidence Trick, This Bogus Numerology* • *Collective Action? Individual Choice? Social Democracy?* • *Labor Heavyweights 'Unload' On Gillard And Rival* • *Human Behaviour Has Not Had A Fair Chance* • *The Religion Of Immigration* • *The Attack Of The Killer TV!*

5.31

Faulty Cost-Accounting Conventions: Of course, the inherent deficiency of purchasing power, i.e., the growing chasm between financial costs and prices and consumer income, is due primarily to capital costs included in price--and the more capital (i.e., "tool") intensive and therefore efficient our economy becomes, the greater the deficiency of purchasing becomes both absolutely and relatively. The financial system works counter to every advance in science because of faulty cost accounting conventions which result in an increasingly non-self liquidating price-system. Efficiency naturally reduces the need for human input in production but the fundamental lack of purchasing power is a relentless factor in dictating the need for further employment of human effort because under the current morality it is only through employment primarily that people can obtain the means to consume the product of industry. So, inevitably we engage in evermore wasteful unnecessary and destructive "work", war being the most effective way of distributing incomes without piling up an even greater mountain of unsaleable goods. (although it piles up a mountain of bogus financial debt) Of course, increasing competition in the struggle for sales, internally and externally, does have an effect of striving for better quality but this does not obviate the tendency toward more gross production for the reasons cited and the morals of industry are subject to deterioration in the struggle for markets.

Douglas was clear regarding the matter: Economics is simply a functional activity of men and women in the world and the quicker it can be accomplished through enhanced efficiency, leaving increased leisure for all, the better will be the results.

No one can predict how far advances in production efficiency can go and we really cannot establish any limits. We can only hope that there exist no limits and be eternally grateful for this circumstance. During the 1980's I asked a senior individual in the commercial electronics business when he thought all of the analogue devices would become digital. He looked me directly in the eye and asserted without blinking that this would "never" happen because it was "technically impossible." But increase in knowledge and its refined application to achievement of results is the basis for an evolving civilization. Whether or not such advancement of knowledge and science is benign or destructive will depend upon our concurrent development in morals and ethics, which because of the abnormal stresses wrought increasingly by adherence to orthodox philosophy and policy, are being degraded to an ever-lower level of quality.

The primary hurdle we face is to make a decisive and irreversible departure from the false dictum that consumption is justified only by "work." Unless we recognize that all organisms have an inalienable right in natural law to draw sustenance from their environment and rearrange our financial accountancy to ensure that every human enjoys the security of this right, mankind will continue down the path to barbarity and destruction.

Modern technology brings financial system to unworkable state? Douglas believed that the very productive forces unleashed by modern technology would bring the financial system to an increasingly unworkable state which would compel mankind in the very interests of survival to seek and effect the necessary changes to our false system of national cost accountancy. The question is how much suffering must we endure and how close to the brink of extinction must we come before we muster the intelligence and humanity to make the requisite changes.

As Eric de Mare concluded his book "A Matter of Life or Debt" (U.S. ed., 1991): "This monstrous confidence trick, this bogus numerology, this mad metaphysical rite of borrowing oneself out of debt, is still blindly accepted by political leaders of every persuasion all over the world. It is so destructive and so crazy that it may bring all life on this planet to a hideous end. Are we to vanish from this earth without even a whimper of protest against the cause of that possible tragedy? Nothing in the world will, or can, go right until we first get our money sums right."

The central point of Douglas's analysis over ninety years ago was that advances of science are not

only increasingly, but exponentially, causing production and employment to become "uncoupled". Surely any sane society would recognize the wonderful blessing that this phenomenon is with its provision and potential of material plenty and cultural efflorescence in leisure for all through release from the historic drudgery imposed by scarcity. What is required is that we alter our system of financial accountancy to ensure that our very real actualized and potential abundance is distributed to the benefit of all citizens as an inalienable right to a share in the magnificent results made possible by our accumulated Cultural Heritage.

We have demonstrated the physical and psychological capacity to deliver the "goods"; we are quite capable of devising a modified system of accountancy whereby all citizens might partake of the "goods". But we are held in thrall to a vicious and false Puritanical so-called "ethic" which demands that if we automated the entire economy we would erect a wall around the resulting surfeit of consumer goods and force the needy and the hungry work-displaced population merely to gaze at the mountain of finished product because of their failure to demonstrate a justification to consume through "work", unnecessary and irrelevant as it has been demonstrated to be.

COLLECTIVE ACTION? INDIVIDUAL CHOICE? SOCIAL DEMOCRACY? by Betty Luks:

So this nation's Labor Party leaders are lamenting the fact that the 'social democrat' (read Fabian Socialism) parties are 'on the nose' world-wide. The PM Julia Gillard thinks that "It falls to us to analyse and understand this contradiction of our age".

Prime minister I suggest the contradiction is in the Labor Party's basic beliefs and policies rather than in the 'age'. But then Labor is not alone, political parties of various persuasions have followed an agenda of centralising financial, political and economic power for at least the last fifty years - doing with their hands what they have continually denied with their mouths! (<http://www.alp.org.au/special-pages/pm-special-address-crc/>)

Carefully analyse the headlines above – individual choice is sandwiched between 'collective action' and 'social democracy'. Genuine democracy means the right of the individual to govern his/her own life without the incessant interference of governments and their busybody bureaucrats. In a genuine democracy governments would have limited powers. Fat chance the individual has of deciding for his own life within this rigid financial-corporate-government straight-jacket at present squeezing the life out of us all.

Collective Action? In contrast to a Socialist or Collectivist Society a Free Society exists entirely for the mutual benefit of the people who comprise it, apart from which it has no justification for existence. But then these power-wielders don't take into account human nature, the inner nature. What politicians of all shades are witnessing is the frustrated revolt of the individual to the subordination of his true self to the diktats of these despots. Yes, human beings do come together in groups to produce their daily bread with the machines and technology that have been inherited from the past, but there is more to an organic society than that.

Soviet style 'collective' production proved to be an utter failure. Just as Julia's government-organised solar-power scheme proved such a disaster. Julia, a society in which people are constantly making irresponsible decisions, the consequences of which return to other people rather than themselves is a Slave Society.

Individual Choice? The human spirit cries out for the freedom to develop his inner self - his soul, his own individuality, his personality, and, in harmony and agreement with his fellow human beings, will work together for their mutual benefit.

To sum up: Any human association will only work satisfactorily so long as its individual members

believe they are obtaining benefits from it. Australians have had the wool pulled *away* from their eyes and see the politicians for what they are and the mess they have made of this nation.

But we can't leave things as they are now, it is time for Australians to come together and agree together to save ourselves from the storms bearing down upon us.

LABOR HEAVYWEIGHTS 'UNLOAD' ON GILLARD AND RIVAL: *Source:* Andrew Bolt – Saturday, September 17, 11 (04:49 pm) "When the ship is sinking, everyone has advice for the crew. Here's Graham Richardson:

Julia Gillard, he says, has "this incredible self-belief [which] is totally unjustified. She listens to no one because she thinks she understands, and she hasn't got a clue. There are plenty of good people around, if you include the cabinet, but she is not listening to any of them."... And he insists Labor did not underestimate the electorate's revulsion at the axing of Rudd. There was only a "gross overestimation of Gillard's ability".

HUMAN BEHAVIOUR HAS NOT HAD A FAIR CHANCE by Wallace Klink: The financial system inversely represents the real economy – the actual physical facts of production and consumption. Under such circumstances, evermore artificial activity is required to generate required purchasing-power--increasingly wasteful and destructive activity eventuating inevitably in war. Under such circumstances humanity is subject to entirely unnatural conditions, pressures, anxieties and fears which automatically trigger defensive and hostile reactions.

Human behaviour has not had a fair chance to display its real face under the existing financial system because with every genuine advance in technology, where true efficiency is increased and human energy expenditure relatively reduced, that very financial system denies us the benefits of abundance realisable through such real production advances by creating a continuous rise in financial costs and prices and the need for ever-increasing financial debt in order to facilitate the wheels of production and consumption.

THE RELIGION OF IMMIGRATION by James Reed: Want proof that immigration and multiculturalism have become a powerful new religion? In the US four bishops are suing the State of Alabama, claiming that the state's new anti-immigration laws are unconstitutional, violating the right to religious freedom. (*The Australian*, August 18, 2011, p.9) It, allegedly, becomes "a crime to follow God's command to be Good Samaritans." The laws make it illegal to provide shelter or transportation to immigrants entering Alabama illegally. According to one bishop this law "attacks what it means to be a Christian". This is absurd. The Biblical story of the Good Samaritan while involving helping a person in need get on his way again, does not involve taking him in. The story is about compassion, not immigration – in fact, if anything, the story is about emigration, helping the stranger be on his way. The mainstream churches are hooked on migrants, and are busy reworking their worldview to service a non-white world.

THE ATTACK OF THE KILLER TV! by James Reed: So, I was right after all! Researchers at the University of Queensland and Melbourne's BakerIDI Heart and Diabetes Institute have found that TV watching is a health risk: every hour watching TV after the age of 25 reduces life expectancy by around 22 minutes. (*The Australian*, August 17, 2011, p.9) Watch TV for six hours a day and lose about five years of life. The causal explanation seems to be lifestyle reasons such as lack of exercise. However I wonder if there could be something more to it, something my mother warned me about, that TV rots the brain. Could the trash shown on TV also be a factor contributing to ill-health?

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.