A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance —

Vol. 50. No. 06

IN THIS ISSUE

ON TARGET

- 2 The 'Aboriginal Recognition' Constitutional Challenge
- 3 Shock Horror We Are Told, SA's Premier Is Red Faced!
- 3 China-isation and the Abandonment of Western Values
- 4 Land Sold For Quarter of Its Value: The Final Fate of the MFP
- 4 Death of the Family Farm, Death of the Family
- 4 Who Owns Corporate Australia?
- 5 Letters *in* the Press: Aussie Workers First Globalism Last!
- 5 Asylum Seekers and the Inevitable Human Rights Abuse Claim
- 5 And Then From the UK Came This Report of Our 'Inhumanity'
- 6 I Smell a Rat
- 7 Time for a Democratic Decision about Immigration
- 7 Letter In The Press: What A Beat Up!
- 8 Heritage Books and VERITAS Online

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

The Twelfth Commandment: Some years ago a clergyman friend confided that he could not understand why "goodness" in a man did not ensure God's blessing in the form of economic prosperity. "Why," I said, "I've just been reading a book that will help you clear up that point." I was thinking of some passages from the greatest mind of this century. Here is the central point from page 15:

"It is not necessary to have a contempt, or to be lacking in a proper respect, for qualities in human beings which add to the grace, dignity and meaning of human existence, to be quite clear that those qualities are not in themselves at issue in regard to many of the economic and industrial problems which confront the world at this time."

My friend accepted the loan of Douglas's Social Credit. A year later, on answering a "call" from another State, he returned the book, remarking casually, "Afraid I didn't get round to reading it."

Although my Scottish ancestry is cause for pride, it is not of the clan Douglas, so the way the conversation should have continued does not occur to me until next day. To his confession I reply, "So there's one of Christ's commandments you don't carry out".

With startled look, he enquires. "Which is that?" "The twelfth," I say," 'Seek ye the truth, and the truth shall make you free'."

To the best of my knowledge his mind is still in bondage to a "group ego" which conforms to the requirements of Satan—subjugation of the individual to totalitarian bureaucracy. *Demon est Deus inversus*.

-- Eve Arndt, The New Times, p.4, 14 March 1958

TARGETS FOR THE WEEK

SPC ARDMONA: The 'SPC Coca Cola Amatil' saga has dominated the news particularly with the Prime Minister's rejection of a request by SPC-CCA for supporting funds from the Commonwealth and State to upgrade their factories.

This has prompted the local federal member for Murray, Dr Sharman Stone, to say Tony Abbott is not telling the truth about the worker's conditions at SPC Ardmona. Dr Stone is more closely associated with the effects and troubles in her electorate than her Liberal/National colleagues and is making a stand against the 'economic rationalists' in the parliament. We should not be too bogged down with government funding of a private cartel but the simple fact that we have a politician supporting her constituents in a manner likely to be dangerous to her future as a representative because 'intimidating party power' will be brought to bear to change her courageous stand. Please write letters of support to Dr Sharman Stone and remind other backbenchers that their stay in Parliament could be short. The electorate is fickle and the same group who supported Cathy McGowan, Independent for Indi, is working to replicate the same result elsewhere at the next election.

In the discussions, the fruit growers

don't rate a mention and there little

understanding of their role in the food production supply chain. It takes 14/15 years for a fruit tree to reach maturity and the orchardist has to put money up-front and do considerable work before there is any financial return. This is why SPC Ardmona must be supported. The Liberal/National back-benchers should be 'screwed' for all you are worth... this is the 'Achilles heel' of the Government. This is why continuing operation of the cannery must be supported.

Start with your local representatives; you will find their names in a phone book.

Write to Members and Senators care of Parliament House, Canberra 2600. (continued on page 7)



14th February, 2014

By Ian Wilson LL.B. and Brian Simpson

Andrew Bolt really stirred up the Establishment ruling elites with his article "I am, You are, We are Australian" in the *Herald Sun* 29 January 2014. Bolt said, "I am an indigenous Australian, like millions of other people here, black or white. Take note, Tony Abbott. Think again, you new dividers, before we are on the path to apartheid with your change to our Constitution". Bolt is a classical liberal who puts individuals first. Thus he sees himself as indigenous being born here and having as much right to this land as anyone else. The Aboriginal lobby are racial essentialists who see that, as the Aboriginal race (people) occupied this land mass, allegedly first, they therefore have some special claim. Such a priority claim is never accepted by the chattering class for white nations such as Sweden because the indigenous ideology really only applied to non-white people. But leaving this aside, the whole Aboriginal argument is inconsistent with their stated objective of attempting to remove race from the Constitution: if anything it further racialises the Constitution. Adding some special clause of Aboriginal advancement will indeed constitute economic apartheid, adding an eternal affirmative action clause to the Constitution.

Meet the Australian of the Year and What He Really Thinks

The Age of 26 January 2014 contained some relevant thoughts by Adam Goodes, Australian of the Year. For Goodes, "Australia Day is a day of mourning". He said, "It's a very sad day for a lot of our mob". Yes, the Sydney Swans player owes everything to Australia – there was no football played by Aboriginals prior to British settlement. Goodes has done well in this system but he says that he finds it difficult to celebrate Australia Day "because of the sadness and the mourning and sorrow of our people and a culture that unfortunately has been lost to me through generations". Actually Adam, you can go and throw it all away and go to the Northern Territory and hunt

kangaroo with a spear if you want to: it's your heritage. And one wonders the fate of such a guilt industry if the Chinese had settled Australia? As well, if China ultimately colonises Australia what significance will be given to the survival of the Aboriginal people, and to us?

A Strategy for Fighting the Coming Constitutional Challenge

The Andrew Bolt article goes on to outline the most likely outcome of the Constitutional change. Abbott will "license demands from people, particularly race industry professionals, who will in some cases be satisfied with nothing less than apartheid". Bolt said that the Aboriginal reconciliation movement went from a demand for a "sorry", which Kevin Rudd gave them as his "big act" to Parliament passing an "act of recognition". Now comes the demand for Constitutional change, and it won't stop there. Right now Abbott's chief adviser on Aboriginal issues has as that there is a need for the recognition of treaties with the hundreds of tribes to "acknowledge Australia's right to exist". How do you like that: does it make you want to vote "Yes"? And after that

comes complete apartheid with no Australian court being able to sit in judgment of Aborigines, Bolt notes. The legal argument against Constitutional change was put by law professor James Allan ("The Document We Have Sure Ain't broken, So Why Change It?" The Australian 30 January 2014, p.10) Professor Allan points out that our High Court has engaged in a creative use of the law, such as finding "implied rights" in the Constitution. The High Court has seen the Constitution as a "living tree" that can be pruned by the legal elites. Gone are the days of trying to capture any "original intention" of the Founding Fathers. One does not know how far down this road they will take us in a few decades. Judges have already decided that some in prison may vote even when

Parliament has said that they could not, and based on the phrase "directly chosen by the people" judges have made a decision about when electoral rolls could close. In short, this argument is: **don't trust the lawyers to get their hands on our Constitution!**

Professor Allan draws on the New Zealand example of the Treaty of Waitangi where judges have invented a "partnership principle" between the Crown and the Maoris and "Treaty principles" which "is just a springboard to read in the desires of today's metropolitan elite". All of this has created numerous race-based divisions that have fostered "rent-seeking behaviour that benefits the tribal elite far, far more than the poor in the cities". Democracy has been undermined.

These two articles summarised here are excellent to refer people to who need some convincing on the Aboriginal referendum issue. **Red Over Black : Essential Reading Today**

Geoff McDonald in his classic book "Red Over Black : Behind the Aboriginal Land Rights" (1982), sets out the historical case about how the Aboriginal land rights movement was used by the communists and what we would call 'globalists of the Left' to attack and undermine traditional Australia. It was most definitely a "divide and conquer" strategy.

McDonald would know because he was a member of the Australian Communist

Party, joining in 1948. He learnt how leading communists were planning to make Australia into a communist republic through the establishment of "black republic" areas of Australia to be exclusively inhabited by Aborigines. This was to become the "land rights" movement. As well, the communists, through the universities and education system had begun a full scale attack on the White Australia Policy, with the longer term aim of "abandoning Australia as a European nation to become predominately Asian". (p. xii) The communists were explicitly seeking to destroy Anglo Saxon Australia, because, we believe, that anti-white and anti-Anglo Saxonism is implicitly built into communism. G.H. Burchett's "China and the White Australia Policy" was one of the texts that McDonald studied, which *(Continued on page 3)*

(Continued from page 2)

supported the right of the Asians to be homogenous whilst attacking the White Australia Policy because it offended the Indians! Burchett advocated a "one world consciousness" which is the defining ideology today.

McDonald's chapter four "Singling Out the Anglo Saxons for Attack" is instructive to read today because he has all the relevant quotes from early attackers, such as Al Grassby of the Whitlam government fame. Readers who don't know about him now have the magic of Google for enlightenment, but in short Grassby was one of the first to develop multiculturalism as an Australian doctrine and what it entailed. All of this is relevant because the White Australia Policy was once accepted by the major parties. Today it is seen in our schools and universities as a "Nazi" racist policy. To give another example, before

WWII support for 'gay marriage' was unheard of and public homosexual activity was illegal. Today opinion polls (which should be taken with a BAG of salt) claim majority support for gay marriage. Proceeding with a Fabian strategy of the inevitability of gradualism, the elites move, step-bystep to undermine the old order and ring in their New World Order, where they rule.

Geoff McDonald's book presented all of the early available evidence that radicals were using the Aboriginal issue to create an independent black nation, and to dispossess white Australians (chapter three of the book). We have seen that Mr. McDonald's early predictions have come true, first with Mabo (No2) and Wik, judgements which undermined the traditional basis for possession of Australia, and now with this Constitutional challenge. There is a continuity of purpose here indicating an agenda that has been worked on for at least fifty years.

It is NOT Too Late!

The time to fight is now, for all that you hold dear. In the time to come, more articles will appear at this site about strategies of resistance, but for the time being, actionists need to build up their knowledge for the battle ahead. The League has many excellent books and DVDs to bring one up to speed about this issue which includes:

- "Red Over Black" (1982) by former communist Geoff McDonald
 - "The Evidence" by Geoff McDonald
- "Land Rights, Birth Rights by Peter English "Healing a Divided Nation"
 - by Rev Cedric Jacobs See Heritage Booklist on page 8

SHOCK – HORROR – WE ARE TOLD, SA's PREMIER IS RED FACED!

And do you know why? "Jay Weatherill has been hit with a cash crisis less than two months from the election..." (*The Advertiser* 23 January 2014 p.1.) Not only has a Government modelling revealed a "cash crisis" of a \$380 million Budget 'black hole' but South Australian taxpayers are looking at a government "deficit now out to more than \$1 billion".

I don't know about you gentle reader but I am sick to the back teeth with this type of charade being played out just before a looming election – or timed when the incoming Party takes the reins of office. For God's sake South Australians, wake up to the fact that governments of all persuasions handed over the powers of credit creation (creation of a State's money supply) to the Financial Oligarchs many years ago. It is a long sorry story, but if you won't discipline yourselves to take time to understand what happened then you and your children, and grandchildren, and your great grandchildren will continue to live under this oppressive system. Probably the South Australian government will sell South Australia's remaining public assets to the Chinese government in a "Debt for Equity Swap".

See Jeremy Lee CDs advertised on page 8

- How can the Whole World be In Debt?
- Debt for Equity Swaps
- Building the World State Through Financial Debt

CHINA-ISATION AND THE ABANDONMENT OF WESTERN VALUES By Richard Miller

John Fitzgerald ("To Each Their Own No Longer Applies to China", The WE-Australian 25-26 January 2014, p.16) is the author of "Big White Lie - Chinese Australians in White Australia". I haven't yet read this book, but I can guess at its contents by comments made in his article that the Chinese were not regarded by the founders of White Australia as having equal rights of "all men": "Chinese were to be excluded from Australia because they were held incapable of appreciating universal values that made white people - and only white people - Australian". Feel quilty yet?

On the contrary, the White Australia Policy aimed to prevent Australia being racially transformed as it is today, just as the racially-based immigration policy of China does in protecting China. Ironically Fitzgerald goes on to detail the challenge which China is making to the very basis of liberal democratic values as China is now seeking to universalise its values of authority over freedom, hierarchy over equality and the group over the individual. In the Chinese New World Order, all nations would pay deference to China and States "would never say or do anything to give offence to the government of China. If they did, Beijing would strike back... There would be no tolerance for another country's leaders or citizens expressing an inconvenient thought about the Chinese regime or leader, not even on that other country's home soil".

Fitzgerald's criticism of White Australia is essentially undermined by this observation of Chinese global totalitarianism. Even if it was the case that the founders of the White Australia Policy did exclude the Chinese, not merely because of Anglo Saxon racial preservation, but because the Chinese people as a whole did not accept liberal democratic institutions but favoured "Chinese values", these observations seem to confirm such a fear. A nation with a majority Chinese will have "Chinese values", logically enough, even if many individuals did favour liberty and freedom. As the quote from Fitzgerald makes clear, the few will be forced by the iron fist of China to conform.

LAND SOLD FOR QUARTER OF ITS VALUE: THE FINAL FATE OF THE MFP: By James Reed

I remember the MFP – Multifunction Polis – a futuristic Japanese city that was to built on the "swamps" of Gillman, Adelaide. It was all the go at the time because the new class saw Japan as Number 1. They would do the same for China if China operated that way. Now it appears that the South Australian government has sold off the MFP site in Gillman "for a quarter of its potential value without going to tender". (*The WE Australian*, 25-26 January 2014, p.8) One administrator in the SA government's own development agency was concerned about a "lack of competition and lack of transparency" about not following the normal process of going out to public tender. Another business leader is quoted as saying: "I actually can't believe that this is not a major scandal".

Well I can. It is poetic justice and sums up the whole disaster that was the MFP.

DEATH OF THE FAMILY FARM, DEATH OF THE FAMILY: IT'S ALL PART OF GLOBALISM

According to union boss Paul Howes, we need to see the end of "ma and pa farming" so that Australia can become the food bowl for Asia. (*The Australian*, 2 December 2013, p.1) Corporate

By Peter West

agriculture is the way to go, he believes, just like in the US. It is an interesting connection shown here between corporatism, Asianisation and corporate farms are all Chinese owned, and my, my, you have *everything*! Comment: Obviously, unionist Mr Howes is an internationalist (a one worlder?).

WHO OWNS CORPORATE AUSTRALIA?

globalism. Just put in there that

Pleased to see some folk within the business world are speaking out on the centralisation of finance and the economy. The following is from website: http://wakeup-world.com/2014/02/03/who-owns-corporate-australia/

"Due to the complex nature of the legal structures of shareholders and ways that the various shareholders work together, it is virtually impossible to determine who really controls the banks. Many of the other minor shareholders in the banks also have HSBC, JP Morgan and Citibank, along with many other European and US banks as their major shareholders. This argument is often countered by stating that HSBC, J.P. Morgan and Citibank are only investing on behalf of small investors. What is of issue here is control and the prerogative of the funds to appoint a director to the board of their choice, not the investors. These figures are also consistent with a recent worldwide study showing that most of the world's company equity is controlled by no more than 25 companies, of which many of these companies have equity in Australian banks.

One of the most interesting aspects that complement the cross-ownership in the big four Australian banks is the number of cross directorships in other foreign banks and financial institutions that exist in a wide manner. Studies have shown how even small crossshareholding structures, at a national level, can affect market competition in sectors such as airline, automobile and steel, as well as the financial one. When one turns to corporate Australia, one will find that it is very similar to the banks. Both commercial and mining companies ownership are dominated by HSBC Nominees, JP Morgan Nominees, and Citibank Nominees as the top three shareholders of most companies. If one examines company directorships there is a tight cross-linking across commerce, banking and mining in Australia today. Commerce, banking and mining are now part of an oligopoly.

The great myth is that Australia is a competitive economy. Most of Australia's largest companies have either monopolies or exercise some form of oligopoly.

For example:

BHP Billiton, Rio Tinto, Woodside Petroleum, Newcrest Mining, Fortescue Metals and Origin Energy all have monopoly control over the resources they exploit. The four major banks exercise almost 90% control over all transactions in the economy and the smaller banks have the same shareholding as the 'big four" as well.

News Corporation controls over 80% of all metropolitan newspapers in Australia.

Wesfarmers operate Coles, Bunnings, Target, Kmart, Officeworks in duopoly markets.

Telstra has a near monopoly in telecommunications infrastructure. Woolworths operates in a duopoly with Coles.

Westfield Group operates a unique group of shopping centres without competition, and

CSL has an almost complete monopoly on all blood products.

The top businesses in Australia do not exist within competitive environments and are therefore able to earn above average profits. This has potential consequences for local innovation, consequences for sustainable exploitation of resources, consequences for which industries survive and which industries are lost, and consequences for the cost of living

for Australians, not to mention fairness and transparency in the marketplace..."

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

LETTERS IN THE PRESS: AUSSIE WORKERS FIRST – GLOBALISM LAST!

Train our own workers before imports

"Well said, Engineers Australia ("Cal1 to lift migrant intake as skills crunch looms", The Australian 13/1). The nation should definitely mobilise more of our own people before we look overseas for skilled workers.

The Australian Industry Group's call for increased immigration is impractical when so many businesses are struggling to stay afloat, or are releasing skilled labour through downsizing or business closure.

The financial as well as non- economic costs of immigration affect the future of us all. We need more emphasis on skilling up the population we already have, through training and apprenticeships".

- - Margaret James, Carlton, Vic

"The Australian Industry Group warns of a looming fall in apprenticeships, and business urges an expanded migration program to prevent a "shortage of about 2.8 million skilled workers within a decade".

Increasing the number of migrants to such levels will create a bigger demand for infrastructure and housing, areas in which we allegedly already have skills shortages.

Ai Group's demand should be pruned by empirical evidence, a cost-benefit analysis, and a firm foot in reality.

With jobs growth sluggish, and the puff running out of the mining boom, industries are dwindling, infrastructure debt is growing and so is unemployment". (The Australian 13/1)

- - Margit Alm, Eltham, Vic

ASYLUM SEEKERS AND THE INEVITABLE HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSE CLAIM by Peter West

The shock horror headline-story was that of the ABC footage of asylum seekers whose boat was turned back to Indonesia by the Australian Navy on 6 January 2014. They were allegedly punched and beaten by sailors and forced to hold hot pipes on the boat's engines, burning their hands. (Photo at The Australian 24 January 2014, p.1) I ask: Are we supposed to believe this? Isn't this torture story just to bring the UN down on Australia? You know the scenario: Stop turning back the boats, you are burning asylum seekers! Did you hear the report of the asylum seeker who on a flight from Perth claimed (falsely) to have a bomb and threatened to blow up the plane? He was later charged under the Crimes (Aviation) Act 1991. But what is significant is that his dramatic claim was false. People desperate to get to the good life in Australia, will do desperate

things. Didn't asylum seekers in the past threaten hunger strikes and sewed up their lips – even those of children? If this can be done – what is a burn or two?

Update: ABC now admits its navy smear "unlikely to be true", Andrew Bolt Blog, 28 January 2014. "A former

senior army officer was so astonished by this email from the ABC that he wrote back to ask if it was genuine.. And, yes, indeed. A week after claiming it had "footage [which] appears to back asylum seekers' claims of mistreatment by the Australian Navy" the ABC is now checking whether that improbable claim is actually true. What's more, it now says it never really believed the claims it trumpeted so loudly, damaging the Abbott Government and the navy and prompting Indonesia to accuse Australia of a "crime".

Checking out the story of hunger

strikers sewing up their lips:

The Melbourne Age, June 27 2002 reported: "50 sew up lips in hunger strike, detainees claim". "Fifty asylum seekers at the Woomera detention centre had sewn their lips together as part of an ongoing hunger strike, a detainee said today. About 190 asylum seekers including 15 children, aged between six and 12, and a pregnant woman, were refusing to eat at the centre in South Australia's north, detainee Ramzi Rihei said. They have vowed to continue the hunger strike until they die...

"However, the Immigration Department said today 164 detainees, including 21 minors, were claiming to be on the hunger strike and did not attend dinner last night. The department said only four adult men had sewn their lips together".

Source: http://www.theage.com.au/articles/2002/06/27/1023864626046.html

AND THEN FROM THE UK CAME THIS REPORT OF OUR 'INHUMANITY'

Cathedral defies Australia's 'inhumane, demeaning' asylum policies, 25 Jan 2014

http://www.ekklesia.co.uk/node/20017.

"A banner at St Paul's Cathedral in Melbourne encouraging people to "fully welcome refugees" will remain until Australia's "inhumane and demeaning" asylum policies change, the Dean of Melbourne, Dr Andreas Loewe, has confirmed.

Dr Loewe made this statement

following creditable claims by asylum seekers that they were taken up by an Australian naval vessel and placed in a lifeboat with written instructions to return to Indonesia.

News sources claim that the first such documented group of asylum

seekers to be transferred into a lifeboat received an assurance that they would be transferred to offshore detention on Christmas Island. The asylum seekers' claims have not been denied by the Minister for Immigration ... "

I SMELL A RAT by Betty Luks

Oh why, oh why, am I so cynical and suspicious? All of a sudden there is this attack on the unions by Tony Abbott's 'conservative' mob and it happened just when the public was reading of the Gravy Trains some union heavies have been riding. I don't think we can look at any one issue in isolation.

What about the complaint lodged by another former union official into the scandal now known as the Heiner Affair? Now in government, Abbott could initiate the means by which this whole sorry matter could be brought to the light of day.

(The Heiner affair is the long-running controversy surrounding the Goss cabinet's 1990 shredding of documents

relating to child abuse - including the rape of a 14-year-old Aboriginal girl after it aborted an inquiry into the former John Oxley Youth Detention Centre.

The documents had been compiled during an inquiry headed by former magistrate Noel Heiner that was set up in the final days of the Cooper conservative government in 1989. The complaint to the Parliamentary Crime and Misconduct Committee (PCMC) was lodged by former union official Kevin Lindeberg in February 2008 and concerned the handling of the Heiner affair by the main corruption watchdog, the Crime and Misconduct Commission (CMC) and its predecessor body, the Criminal Justice Commission (CJC).

Read more: http://www.brisbanetimes.com.au/queensland/what-is-the-heiner-affair-20090428-alxz.html#ixzz2sKJa1LSZ

An 'Untold' Story? Miranda Devine in *The Telegraph*, Sunday, November 03, 2013 (12:44am) opened discussion on the subversive activities of communist-led wharfie unions during WWII. She writes: "As the Abbott government begins to take on union power and corruption, a timely new book reveals the union movement's role in one of the most shameful periods of Australian history. What the wharfies did to Australian troops - and their nation's war effort between 1939 and 1945 is nothing short of an abomination.

Perth lawyer Hal Colebatch has done the nation a service with his groundbreaking book, "Australia's Secret War", telling the <u>untold story</u> of union bastardry during World War 2.

Using diary entries, letters and interviews with key witnesses, he has pieced together with forensic precision the tale of how Australia's unions sabotaged the war effort, how wharfies vandalised, harassed, and robbed Australian troop ships, and probably cost lives... Colebatch coolly recounts outrage after outrage. There were the radio valves pilfered by waterside workers in Townsville which prevented a new radar station at Green Island from operating...." ("Australia's Secret War: How Unionists Sabotaged Our Troops in World War II, by Hal Colebatch, published by Quadrant Books, 2013 W.A. \$45)

Miranda, I have news for you – it is not an 'untold story':

The Australian League of Rights was formed in 1946 with many returned servicemen supporting the movement, they knew of the traitorous communistled wharfie unionists.

In the eulogy to his deceased friend, the late Edward (Ted) Rock, himself a returned serviceman, wrote of Horton Davies another early supporter - "Happy -

Joyous - Horton Lewis Davies" and explained the communists had infiltrated not only the wharfie unions: "I first got to know Horton in the early nineteen fifties shortly after he was demobilised from the R.A.A.F. in which he served as pilot in a transport wing flying B57s servicing the many fronts in the Island warfare zones which needed supplies. Possibly his most memorable and heart-rending experience was when the armistice came he shuttle-lifted continuous flights of Australian prisoners of war from Changi Prison in Singapore back to hospitals in Australia. Horton said there was no need for stretchers to carry them to the plane, they were so emaciated he could have picked up one in each arm to carry them to his plane. While serving in the R.A.A.F. Horton underwent a deep flirtation with the dominant religion of the day, International Communism, which had penetrated and undermined the Christian Church. Like many young Australians, such as myself, we were looking for answers to the disastrous economicfinance policies which had preceded the war, and the only one on offer was Communism. In effect, we were looking for alternatives the Christian Church had failed to deliver ...

Horton's squadron leader was responsible for recruiting him to the Communist cause, and on Horton's own testimony he succeeded in making Horton a fanatical follower. However, one day Horton asked his squadron leader: if he had any disagreement with the Party line what was his course of action? The reaction of the squadron leader was violent and vehement: "The Party is always right, you never question the Party line, it comes direct from Moscow and is always right". Horton's response to his squadron leader was: "My conscience is the voice of God speaking to me". He promptly brought to an end his flirtation with Communism, and, on demobilisation came in contact with Social Credit, and as Horton told me, he immediately knew Social Credit was 'right' in every sense of his Christian faith..."

Source: http://www.alor.org/NewTimes% 20Survey/Happy%20Joyous%20Horton% 20Lewis%20Davies.htm

But Perth lawyer, Mr Hal Colebatch, should have caste his inquiring net much wider because that part of the story doesn't give the Australian people a fuller picture of the forces at work in those times - and subsequent events. Yes, there were WWII communist wharfieunion traitors, but really, they were small fish in comparison with the larger fish in an ocean of intrigue and treachery. You need to download and read the following booklets for a better understanding:

- The Enemy Within the Empire By Eric D. Butler
- Censored History by Eric D. Butler
- The Real Objectives of the Second World War By Eric D. Butler

Brief for the Prosecution by C. H. Douglas We recommend the following books for further reading:

- "Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution" by Antony Sutton
- "Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler" by Antony Sutton
- "The Controversy of Zion" by Douglas Reed

All books are available from Heritage Bookshops. See page 8.

TIME FOR A DEMOCRATIC DECISION ABOUT IMMIGRATION by James Reed

I was delighted to see Gary Johns ("Migration Control an Important Tool of National Social Policy" *The Australian* 28 January 2014, p.10) put the case that "Citizens should have a say in the number of people who want to settle in their country". I agree and go to the next logical step: Citizens have the right to decide about the race, ethnicity, religion etc., of those people.

Gary Johns goes on to say something which essentially leads to my point: "Saving one refugee is humane: saving one million refugees is almost certainly not. Large numbers of refugees, or migrants not carefully chosen, can change the nature of the host community, to its detriment. If Australia were to consist of a mix of Iraqs, Irans, Afghanistans, Syrias, Somalias, it would no longer be Australia". Indeed, and I would add that the same is true for Asianisation and that this racialdemographic transformation is already underway.

On refugees Johns says that refugee advocates never answer the question of: how many? Presumably Australia has no limit to its compassion or sustainability but the "effect, if indeed not the object of refugee advocacy is to disarm Australia". I of course go further and see the object of immigration itself, to do this, while giving short-term profits to the ruling elites.

Johns concludes that only the citizens can determine how many is enough. Well Tony, let's forget about the Aboriginal recognition referendum and have a longoverdue referendum on immigration, multiculturalism and Asianisation. If, Tony, you really want to define yourself with championing a big issue, this is the one, one which you yourself spoke about ... way back in 1990!

LETTER IN THE PRESS: WHAT A BEAT UP! Adelaide Advertiser 28 January 2014

It has become increasingly clear that much of the reporting on "asylum seekers" getting on the boats in Indonesia to come to Australia is a beat up. Here is why:

They arrive on flights into Indonesia with passports from Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, etc. They must lodge an incoming passenger card with immigration when they show their passport and purchase a VOA (Visa On Arrival) for US\$25. VOA is only available for up to 30 days.

Upon departure from Indonesia they must present the outgoing part of the incoming passenger card to depart Indonesia through immigration. If they do not depart Indonesia within 30 days they have breached Indonesian immigration laws.

When they arrive in Australia on the illegal boats they have therefore committed a crime in another country by breaching their immigration laws, and also committed a crime invading Australia's sovereignty with no good reason, since they aren't fleeing Indonesia.

Therefore under the Migration Act 1958 they have committed a

crime in another country and should not pass the Character Test under Section 501 of the Migration Act 1958.

So these people should be charged in the first instance under Indonesia's immigration laws and also be issued with a "Notice of Intention to Refuse A (Any) Visa on Character Grounds", that is, they are lying, cheating, dishonest individuals. Send them back to Indonesia for breaching Indonesia's immigration laws and do not allow them to pass the "character test" as they have already lied to another country.

Not only that, but they are no longer fleeing persecution or harm while harbouring in Indonesia or any other country they are smuggled from.

This is illegal migration as defined by UNHRC guidelines on defining illegal migration and seeking asylum.

You cannot bounce around from country to country until you find the one with good welfare. Case closed.

-- Signed: William Durrell, Darling Heights

TARGETS FOR THE WEEK (continued from page 1)

CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGES: The moves for 'Aboriginal' recognition in the Australian Constitution must be opposed. This proposal is divisive because it elevates one 'race' above all others.

The Australian Constitution is inclusive and includes 'all' Australians. A study of the Australian Constitution and the Referendum process should be undertaken by our readers. Please write to your federal Member and request a free copy of the Constitution and any other educational material available.

A CD, 'Australian Referendums: 1906—1999' is also available free of charge by application to: --

Sally Bolton, Project Officer, Education & Communications Branch, Australian Electoral Commission,

Canberra ACT. 2600.

The CD has digital copies of all official referenda material used in the various proposals placed before voters since 1906... a worthwhile resource for your collection.

The article on page 2 in this issue and recommended books will provide an understanding of the deeper issues of indigenous recognition in the Constitution.

See books and DVD/CD details on page 8 of this issue.

The Prime Minister's stand against SPC-CCA must be closely linked to his support for the Trans Pacific Partnership. Free trade between the G20 countries must come at a cost.

Write to your elected representatives and ask them if they support the TPP and how will participation in the TPP help Australian agriculture and motor industry. Be polite in your enquiries and keep your questions short to the point. It helps if you can cultivate 'an air of enquiring ignorance'.

A note to On Target Subscribers; if you would like a digital copy of this journal then please return your envelope with clearly written email address to the Melbourne Heritage Bookshop address. There is no extra charge for this service. *Nd.*

HERITAGE BOOKSHOP SERVICES AND VERITAS BOOKS ONLINE

'KNOWLEDGE IS POWER'

The League carries an excellent list of books on a range of topics.


Please note: Prices now quoted for books do not include postage and handling charges, and will be subject to change. Postage and Handling charges for Book Orders will be as follows: + 20% on orders less than \$50.00 : + 12.5% on orders \$51.00 to \$100.00 : Orders over \$100.00 to be negotiated : Minimum order \$2.00. Cheques/Money Orders made out to 'Heritage Bookshop'.

Books and CDs related to articles in this issue of 'On Target'



Red Over Black : Essential Reading Today \$3.4 plus p/p

Geoff McDonald in his classic book "Red Over Black : Behind the Aboriginal Land Rights" (1982), sets out the historical case about how the Aboriginal land rights



movement was used by the

communists and what we

would call 'globalists of the

traditional Australia. It was

conquer" strategy.


most definitely a "divide and

Left' to attack and undermine

We recommend the following books for further reading:




"Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution" by Antony Sutton



"Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler" by Antony Sutton

And

"National Suicide" by Antony Sutton




"The Controversy of Zion" by Douglas Reed

Douglas Reed traces the 'thread of 'world problems' from c. 400BC to the present day.

An excellent presentation!

Readers who can, are encouraged to check the VERITAS website for current discounts on a range of books and DVD/CDs.

• Rights, Birth Rights by Peter English



\$10.90 plus p/p

"Healing a Divided Nation" by Rev Cedric Jacobs \$6.36 JEREMY'S CDS ARE ESSENTIAL VIEWING:

- How can the Whole World be In Debt?
- Debt for Equity Swaps
- Building the World
 State Through Financial
 Debt

@ \$5.45 Each

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: www.alor.org/

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: As well as the publication of journals – Cheques/Money Orders made out to 'ALOR Journals' – for the dissemination of information, the League publishes and distributes a wide range of educational books, videos and DVDs. These are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania: Heritage Bookshop,

2nd Floor, 145 Russell Street,

Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone: (03) 9650 9749; Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

South Australia

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 83961245;

Fax: (08) 8396 1245.

All Other States: To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE:

http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/ http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php